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This book is a tribute to nursing theorists and a classic in theoretical nursing literature. It presents many major thinkers in nursing, reviews their important knowledge-building ideas, lists their publications, and points the reader to those using the works and writing about them in their own theoretical publications.

Unit I introduces the text with a brief history of nursing knowledge development and its significance to the discipline and practice of the profession in Chapter 1. Other chapters in Unit I discuss the history, philosophy of science and the framework for analysis used throughout the text, logical reasoning and theory development processes, and the structure of knowledge and types of knowledge within that structure. Ten works from earlier editions of Nursing Theorists and Their Work are introduced and discussed briefly as nursing theorists of historical significance in Chapter 5. They are Peplau; Henderson; Abdellah; Wiedenbach; Hall; Travelbee; Barnard; Adam; Roper, Logan, Tierney, and Orlando.

In Unit II, the philosophies of Nightingale, Watson, Ray, Benner, Martinsen, and Eriksson are presented. Unit III includes nursing models by Levine, Rogers, Orem, King, Neuman, Roy, and Johnson. The work of Boykin and Schoenhofer begins Unit IV on nursing theory, followed by the works of Meleis; Pender; Leininger; Newman; Parse; Erickson, Tomlin, and Swain; and the Husteds. Unit V presents middle range theoretical works of Mercer; Mishel; Reed; Wiener and Dodd; Eakes, Burke, and Hainsworth; Barker; Kolcaba; Beck; Swanson; Ruland and Moore. Unit VI addresses the state of the art and science of nursing theory from three perspectives: the philosophy of nursing science, the expansion of theory development, and the global nature and expanding use of nursing theoretical works.

The works of nurse theorists from around the world are featured in this text, including works by international theorists that have been translated into English. Nursing Theorists and Their Work has also been translated into numerous languages for nursing faculty and students in other parts of the world as well as nurses in practice.

Nurses and students at all stages of their education are interested in learning about nursing theory and the use of nurse theorist works from around the world. Those who are just beginning their nursing education, such as associate degree and baccalaureate students, will be interested in the concepts, definitions, and theoretical assertions. Graduates students, at the masters and doctoral levels, will be more interested in the logical form, acceptance by the nursing community, the theoretical sources for theory development, and the use of empirical data. The references and extensive bibliographies are particularly useful to graduate students for locating primary and secondary sources that augment the websites specific to the theorist. The following comprehensive websites are excellent resources with information about theory resources and links to the individual theorists featured in this book:

- Nursing Theory link page, Clayton College and State University, Department of Nursing: http://www.healthsci.clayton.edu/eichelberger/nursing.htm
- Nursing Theory page, Hahn School of Nursing and Health Science, University of San Diego: http://www.sandiego.edu/academics/nursing/theory/

The works of the theorists presented in this text have stimulated phenomenal growth in nursing literature and enriched the professional lives of nurses around the world by guiding nursing research, education, administration, and practice. The professional growth continues to multiply as we analyze and synthesize these works,
generate new ideas, and develop new theory and applications for education in the discipline and quality care in practice by nurses.

The work of each theorist is presented with a framework using the following headings to facilitate uniformity and comparison among the theorists and their works:

- Credentials and background
- Theoretical sources for theory development
- Use of empirical data
- Major concepts and definitions
- Major assumptions
- Theoretical assertions
- Logical form
- Acceptance by the nursing community
- Further development
- Critique of the work
- Summary
- Case study based on the work
- Critical thinking activities
- Points for further study
- References and bibliographies
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Searching for specialized nursing knowledge led nurse scholars to theories that guide research, education, administration, and professional practice.

Nursing followed a path from concepts to conceptual frameworks to models to theories, and finally to middle range theory, in this theory utilization era.

Nursing history demonstrates the significance of theory for nursing as a division of education (the discipline) and a specialized field of practice (the profession).

Knowledge of the theory development process is basic to a personal understanding of the theoretical works of the discipline.

Analysis facilitates learning through systematic review and critical reflection of the theoretical works of the discipline.

Theory analysis begins the process of identifying a decision making framework for nursing research or nursing practice.
Introduction to Nursing Theory: Its History, Significance, and Analysis

Martha Raile Alligood

“The systematic accumulation of knowledge is essential to progress in any profession . . . however theory and practice must be constantly interactive. Theory without practice is empty and practice without theory is blind.” (Cross, 1981, p. 110).

This text is designed to introduce the reader to nursing theorists and their work. Nursing theory became a major theme in the last century, and it continues today to stimulate phenomenal professional growth and expansion of nursing literature and education. Selected nursing theorists are presented in this text to expose students at all levels of nursing to a broad range of nurse theorists and various types of theoretical works. Nurses of early eras delivered excellent care to patients; however, much of what was known about nursing was passed on through forms of education that were focused on skills and functional tasks. Whereas many nursing practices seemed effective, they were not tested nor used uniformly in practice or education. Therefore, a major goal put forth by nursing leaders in the twentieth century was the development of nursing knowledge on which to base nursing practice, improve quality of care, and gain recognition of nursing as a profession. The history of nursing clearly documents sustained efforts toward the goal of developing a specialized body of nursing knowledge to guide nursing practice (Alligood, 2010a; Alligood & Tomey, 1997; Bixler & Bixler, 1959; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; George, 2011; Im & Chang, 2012; Judd, Sitzman & Davis, 2010; Meleis, 2007; Shaw, 1993).

This chapter introduces nursing theory from three different perspectives: history, significance, and analysis. Each perspective contributes understanding of the contributions of the nursing theorists and their work. A brief history of nursing development from vocational to professional describes the search for nursing substance that led to this exciting time in nursing history as linkages were strengthened between nursing as an academic discipline and as professional practice. The history of this development provides context and a perspective to understand the continuing significance of nursing theory for the discipline and profession of nursing. The history and significance of nursing theory leads logically into analysis, the third section of the chapter and final perspective. Analysis of nursing theoretical works and its role in knowledge development is presented as an essential process of critical reflection. Criteria for analysis of the works of theorists are presented, along with a brief discussion of how each criterion

Previous authors: Martha Raile Alligood, Elizabeth Chong Choi, Juanita Fogel Keck, and Ann Marriner Tomey.
CHAPTER 1  Introduction to Nursing Theory: Its History, Significance, and Analysis 3

and individual hospital procedure manuals (Alligood, 2010a; Kalisch & Kalisch, 2003). Although some nursing leaders aspired for nursing to be recognized as a profession and become an academic discipline, nursing practice continued to reflect its vocational heritage more than a professional vision. The transition from vocation to profession included successive eras of history as nurses began to develop a body of specialized knowledge on which to base nursing practice. Nursing had begun with a strong emphasis on practice, and nurses worked throughout the century toward the development of nursing as a profession. Progress toward the goal of developing a specialized basis for nursing practice has been viewed from the perspective of historical eras recognizing the thrust toward professional development within each era (Alligood, 2010a; Alligood & Tomey, 1997).

The curriculum era addressed the question of what content nurses should study to learn how to be a nurse. During this era, the emphasis was on what courses nursing students should take, with the goal of arriving at a standardized curriculum (Alligood, 2010a). By the mid-1930s, a standardized curriculum had been published and adopted by many diploma programs. However, the idea of moving nursing education from hospital-based diploma programs into colleges and universities also emerged during this era (Judd, Sitzman & Davis, 2010). In spite of this early idea for nursing education, it was the middle of the century before many states acted upon this goal, and during the second half of the twentieth century, diploma programs began closing and significant numbers of nursing education programs opened in colleges and universities (Judd, Sitzman, & Davis, 2010; Kalisch & Kalisch, 2003). The curriculum era emphasized course selection and content for nursing programs and gave way to the research era, which focused on the research process and the long-range goal of acquiring substantive knowledge to guide nursing practice.

As nurses increasingly sought degrees in higher education, the research emphasis era began to emerge. This era began during the mid-century as more nurse leaders embraced higher education and arrived at a common understanding of the scientific age—that research was the path to new nursing knowledge. Nurses began to participate in research, and research courses were included in the nursing curricula of early

**History of Nursing Theory**

The history of professional nursing began with Florence Nightingale. Nightingale envisioned nurses as a body of educated women at a time when women were neither educated nor employed in public service. Following her wartime service of organizing and caring for the wounded in Scutari during the Crimean War, Nightingale's vision and establishment of a School of Nursing at St. Thomas' Hospital in London marked the birth of modern nursing. Nightingale's pioneering activities in nursing practice and education and her subsequent writings became a guide for establishing nursing schools and hospitals in the United States at the beginning of the twentieth century (Kalisch & Kalisch, 2003; Nightingale, 1859/1969).

Nightingale's (1859/1969) vision of nursing has been practiced for more than a century, and theory development in nursing has evolved rapidly over the past 6 decades, leading to the recognition of nursing as an academic discipline with a specialized body of knowledge (Alligood, 2010a, 2010b; Alligood & Tomey, 2010; Bixler & Bixler, 1959; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett, 2005; Im & Chang, 2012; Walker & Avant, 2011). It was during the mid-1800s that Nightingale recognized the unique focus of nursing and declared nursing knowledge as distinct from medical knowledge. She described a nurse's proper function as putting the patient in the best condition for nature (God) to act upon him or her. She set forth the following: that care of the sick is based on knowledge of persons and their surroundings—a different knowledge base than that used by physicians in their practice (Nightingale, 1859/1969). Despite this early edict from Nightingale in the 1850s, it was 100 years later, during the 1950s, before the nursing profession began to engage in serious discussion of the need to develop nursing knowledge apart from medical knowledge to guide nursing practice. This beginning led to awareness of the need to develop nursing theory (Alligood, 2010a; Alligood, 2004; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Meleis, 2007; Walker & Avant, 2011). Until the emergence of nursing as a science in the 1950s, nursing practice was based on principles and traditions that were handed down through an apprenticeship model of education and contributed to a deeper understanding of the work (Chinn & Kramer, 2011).
developing graduate nursing programs (Alligood, 2010a). In the mid-1970s, an evaluation of the first 25 years of the journal *Nursing Research* revealed that nursing studies lacked conceptual connections and theoretical frameworks, accentuating the need for conceptual and theoretical frameworks for development of specialized nursing knowledge (Batey, 1977). Awareness of the need for concept and theory development coincided with two other significant milestones in the evolution of nursing theory. The first milestone is the standardization of curricula for nursing master’s education by the National League for Nursing accreditation criteria for baccalaureate and higher-degree programs, and the second is the decision that doctoral education for nurses should be in nursing (Alligood, 2010a).

The research era and the _graduate education era_ developed in tandem. Master’s degree programs in nursing emerged across the country to meet the public need for nurses for specialized clinical nursing practice. Many of these graduate programs included a course that introduced the student to the research process. Also during this era, nursing master’s programs began to include courses in concept development and nursing models, introducing students to early nursing theorists and knowledge development processes (Alligood, 2010a). Development of nursing knowledge was a major force during this period. The baccalaureate degree began to gain wider acceptance as the first educational level for professional nursing, and nursing attained nationwide recognition and acceptance as an academic discipline in higher education. Nurse researchers worked to develop and clarify a specialized body of nursing knowledge, with the goals of improving the quality of patient care, providing a professional style of practice, and achieving recognition as a profession. There were debates and discussions in the 1960s regarding the proper direction and appropriate discipline for nursing knowledge development. In the 1970s, nursing continued to make the transition from vocation to profession as nurse leaders debated whether nursing should be other-discipline based or nursing based. History records the outcome, that nursing practice is to be based on nursing science (Alligood, 2010a; Fawcett, 1978; Nicoll, 1986). It is as Meleis (2007) noted, “theory is not a luxury in the discipline of nursing . . . but an integral part of the nursing lexicon in education, administration, and practice” (p. 4). An important precursor to the theory era was the general acceptance of nursing as a profession and an academic discipline in its own right.

The _theory era_ was a natural outgrowth of the research and graduate education eras (Alligood, 2010a; Im & Chang, 2012). The explosive proliferation of nursing doctoral programs from the 1970s and nursing theory literature substantiated that nursing doctorates should be in nursing (Nicoll, 1986, 1992, 1997; Reed, Shearer, & Nicoll, 2003; Reed & Shearer, 2009; 2012). As understanding of research and knowledge development increased, it became obvious that research without conceptual and theoretical frameworks produced isolated information. Rather, there was an understanding that research and theory together were required to produce nursing science (Batey, 1977; Fawcett, 1978; Hardy, 1978). Doctoral education in nursing began to flourish with the introduction of new programs and a strong emphasis on theory development and testing. The theory era accelerated as works began to be recognized as theory, having been developed as frameworks for curricula and advanced practice guides. In fact, it was at the Nurse Educator Conference in New York City in 1978 that theorists were recognized as nursing theorists and their works as nursing conceptual models and theories (Fawcett, 1984; Fitzpatrick & Whall, 1983).

The 1980s was a period of major developments in nursing theory that has been characterized as a transition from the pre-paradigm to the paradigm period (Fawcett, 1984; Hardy, 1978; Kuhn, 1970). The prevailing nursing paradigms (models) provided perspectives for nursing practice, administration, education, research, and further theory development. In the 1980s, Fawcett’s seminal proposal of four global nursing concepts as a nursing metaparadigm served as an organizing structure for existing nursing frameworks and introduced a way of organizing individual theoretical works in a meaningful structure (Fawcett, 1978, 1984, 1993; Fitzpatrick & Whall, 1983). Classifying the nursing models as paradigms within a metaparadigm of the _person, environment, health_, and _nursing_ concepts systematically united the nursing theoretical works for the discipline. This system clarified and improved comprehension of knowledge development by positioning the theorists’ works in a
larger context, thus facilitating the growth of nursing science (Fawcett, 2005). The body of nursing science and research, education, administration, and practice continues to expand through nursing scholarship. In the last decades of the century, emphasis shifted from learning about the theorists to utilization of the theoretical works to generate research questions, guide practice, and organize curricula. Evidence of this growth of theoretical works has proliferated in podium presentations at national and international conferences, newsletters, journals, and books written by nurse scientists who are members of societies as communities of scholars for nursing models and theories. Members contribute to the general nursing literature and communicate their research and practice with a certain paradigm model or framework at conferences of the societies where they present their scholarship and move the science of the selected paradigm forward (Alligood, 2004; Alligood 2014, in press; Fawcett & Garity, 2009; Im & Chang, 2012; Parker, 2006).

These observations of nursing theory development bring Kuhn’s (1970) description of normal science to life. His philosophy of science clarifies our understanding of the evolution of nursing theory through paradigm science. It is important historically to understand that what we view collectively today as nursing models and theories is the work of individuals in various areas of the country who published their ideas and conceptualizations of nursing. These works later were viewed collectively within a systematic structure of knowledge according to analysis and evaluation (Fawcett, 1984, 1993, 2005). Theory development emerged as a process and product of professional scholarship and growth among nurse leaders, administrators, educators, and practitioners who sought higher education. These leaders recognized limitations of theory from other disciplines to describe, explain, or predict nursing outcomes, and they labored to establish a scientific basis for nursing management, curricula, practice, and research. The development and use of theory conveyed meaning for nursing processes, resulting in what is recognized today as the nursing theory era (Alligood, 2010a; Alligood 2010b; Nicoll, 1986, 1992, 1997; Reed, Shearer, & Nicoll, 2003; Reed & Shearer, 2012; Wood, 2010). It was as Fitzpatrick and Whall (1983) had said, “. . . nursing is on the brink of an exciting new era” (p. 2). This awareness ushered in the theory utilization era.

The accomplishments of normal science accompanied the theory utilization era as emphasis shifted to theory application in nursing practice, education, administration, and research (Alligood, 2010c; Wood, 2010). In this era, middle-range theory and valuing of a nursing framework for thought and action of nursing practice was realized. This shift to the application of nursing theory was extremely important for theory-based nursing, evidence-based practice, and future theory development (Alligood, 2011a; Alligood, 2014, in press; Alligood & Tomey, 2010; Alligood & Tomey, 1997, 2002, 2006; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett, 2005; Fawcett & Garity, 2009).

The theory utilization era has restored a balance between research and practice for knowledge development in the discipline of nursing. The reader is referred to the fifth edition of Nursing Theory: Utilization & Application (Alligood, 2014, in press) for case applications and evidence of outcomes from utilization of nursing theoretical works in practice. Table 1-1 presents a summary of the eras of nursing’s search for specialized nursing knowledge. Each era addressed nursing knowledge in a unique way that contributed to the history. Within each era, the prevailing question “What is the nature of the knowledge that is needed for the practice of nursing?” was addressed at a level of understanding that prevailed at the time (Alligood, 2010a).

This brief history provides some background and context for your study of nursing theorists and their work. The theory utilization era continues today, emphasizing the development and use of nursing theory and producing evidence for professional practice. New theory and new methodologies from qualitative research approaches continue to expand ways of knowing among nurse scientists. The utilization of nursing models, theories, and middle-range theories for the thought and action of nursing practice contributes important evidence for quality care in all areas of practice in the twenty-first century (Alligood, 2010b; Fawcett, 2005; Fawcett & Garity, 2009; Peterson, 2008; Smith & Leihr, 2008; Wood, 2010). Preparation for practice in the profession of nursing today requires knowledge of and use of the theoretical works of the discipline (Alligood, 2010c).
Significance of Nursing Theory

At the beginning of the twentieth century, nursing was not recognized as an academic discipline or a profession. The accomplishments of the past century led to the recognition of nursing in both areas. The terms discipline and profession are interrelated, and some may even use them interchangeably; however, they are not the same. It is important to note their differences and specific meaning, as noted in Box 1-1:

**BOX 1-1 The Meaning of a Discipline and a Profession**

- A discipline is specific to academia and refers to a branch of education, a department of learning, or a domain of knowledge.
- A profession refers to a specialized field of practice, founded upon the theoretical structure of the science or knowledge of that discipline and accompanying practice abilities.

The achievements of the profession over the past century were highly relevant to nursing science development, but they did not come easily. History shows that many nurses pioneered the various causes and challenged the status quo with creative ideas for both the health of people and the development of nursing. Their achievements ushered in this exciting time when nursing became recognized as both an academic discipline and a profession (Fitzpatrick, 1983; Kalisch & Kalisch, 2003; Meleis, 2007; Shaw, 1993). This section addresses the significance of theoretical works for the discipline and the profession of nursing. Nursing theoretical works represent the most comprehensive presentation of systematic nursing knowledge; therefore, nursing theoretical works are vital to the future of both the discipline and the profession of nursing.

**Significance for the Discipline**

Nurses entered baccalaureate and higher-degree programs in universities during the last half of the twentieth century, and the goal of developing knowledge as a basis for nursing practice began to be realized. University baccalaureate programs proliferated, master’s programs in nursing were developed, and
a standardized curriculum was realized through accreditation. Nursing had passed through eras of gradual development, and nursing leaders offered their perspectives on the development of nursing science. They addressed significant disciplinary questions about whether nursing was an applied science or a basic science (Donaldson & Crowley, 1978; Johnson, 1959; Rogers, 1970). History provides evidence of the consensus that was reached, and nursing doctoral programs began to open to generate nursing knowledge.

The 1970s was a significant period of development. In 1977, after Nursing Research had been published for 25 years, studies were reviewed comprehensively, and strengths and weaknesses were reported in the journal that year. Batey (1977) called attention to the importance of nursing conceptualization in the research process and the role of a conceptual framework in the design of research for the production of science. This emphasis led the theory development era and moved nursing forward to new nursing knowledge for nursing practice. Soon the nursing theoretical works began to be recognized to address Batey’s call (Johnson, 1968, 1974; King, 1971; Levine, 1969; Neuman, 1974; Orem, 1971; Rogers, 1970; Roy, 1970).

In 1978, Fawcett presented her double helix metaphor, now a classic publication, on the interdependent relationship of theory and research. Also at this time, nursing scholars such as Henderson, Nightingale, Orlando, Peplau, and Wiedenbach were recognized for the theoretical nature of their earlier writings. These early works were developed by educators as frameworks to structure curriculum content in nursing programs. Similarly, Orlando’s (1961, 1972) theory was derived from the report of an early nationally funded research project designed to study nursing practice.

I attended the Nurse Educator Nursing Theory Conference in New York City in 1978, where the major theorists were brought together on the same stage for the first time. Most of them began their presentations by stating that they were not theorists. Although complete understanding of the significance of these works for nursing was limited at the time, many in the audience seemed to be aware of the significance of the event. After the first few introductions, the audience laughed at the theorists’ denial of being theorists and listened carefully as each theorist described the theoretical work they had developed for curricula, research, or practice.

Also noteworthy, Donaldson and Crowley (1978) presented the keynote address at the Western Commission of Higher Education in Nursing Conference in 1977, just as their nursing doctoral program was about to open. They reopened the discussion of the nature of nursing science and the nature of knowledge needed for the discipline and the profession. The published version of their keynote address has become classic for students to learn about nursing and recognize the difference between the discipline and the profession. These speakers called for both basic and applied research, asserting that knowledge was vital to nursing as both a discipline and a profession. They argued that the discipline and the profession are inextricably linked, but failure to separate them from each other anchors nursing in a vocational rather than a professional view.

Soon nursing conceptual frameworks began to be used to organize curricula in nursing programs and were recognized as models that address the values and concepts of nursing. The creative conceptualization of a nursing metaparadigm (person, environment, health, and nursing) and a structure of knowledge clarified the related nature of the collective works of major nursing theorists as conceptual frameworks and paradigms of nursing (Fawcett, 1984). This approach organized nursing works into a system of theoretical knowledge, developed by theorists at different times and in different parts of the country. Each nursing conceptual model was classified on the basis of a set of analysis and evaluation criteria (Fawcett, 1984; 1993). Recognition of the separate nursing works collectively with a metaparadigm umbrella enhanced the recognition and understanding of nursing theoretical works as a body of nursing knowledge. In short, the significance of theory for the discipline of nursing is that the discipline is dependent upon theory for its continued existence, that is, we can be a vocation, or we can be a discipline with a professional style of theory-based practice. The theoretical works have taken nursing to higher levels of education and practice as nurses have moved from the functional focus, or what nurses do, to a knowledge focus, or what nurses know and how they use what they know for thinking and decision making while concentrating on the patient.
Frameworks and theories are structures about human beings and their health; these structures provide nurses with a perspective of the patient for professional practice. Professionals provide public service in a practice focused on those whom they serve. The nursing process is useful in practice, but the primary focus is the patient, or human being. Knowledge of persons, health, and environment forms the basis for recognition of nursing as a discipline, and this knowledge is taught to those who enter the profession. Every discipline or field of knowledge includes theoretical knowledge. Therefore, nursing as an academic discipline depends on the existence of nursing knowledge (Butts & Rich, 2011). For those entering the profession, this knowledge is basic for their practice in the profession. Kuhn (1970), noted philosopher of science, stated, “The study of paradigms . . . is what mainly prepares the student for membership in the particular scientific community with which he [or she] will later practice” (p. 11). This is significant for all nurses, but it is particularly important to those who are entering the profession because “in the absence of a paradigm . . . all of the facts that could possibly pertain to the development of a given science are likely to seem equally relevant” (Kuhn, 1970, p. 15). Finally, with regard to the priority of paradigms, Kuhn states, “By studying them and by practicing with them, the members of their corresponding community learn their trade” (Kuhn, 1970, p. 43). Master’s students apply and test theoretical knowledge in their nursing practice. Doctoral students studying to become nurse scientists develop nursing theory, test theory, and contribute nursing science in theory-based and theory-generating research studies.

**Significance for the Profession**

Not only is theory essential for the existence of nursing as an academic discipline, it is vital to the practice of professional nursing. Recognition as a profession was a less urgent issue as the twentieth century ended because nurses had made consistent progress toward professional status through the century. Higher-degree nursing is recognized as a profession today having used the criteria for a profession to guide development. Nursing development was the subject of numerous studies by sociologists. Bixler and Bixler (1959) published a set of criteria for a profession tailored to nursing in the *American Journal of Nursing* (Box 1-2).

---

**Box 1-2 Criteria for Development of the Professional Status of Nursing**

- 1. Utilizes in its practice a well-defined and well-organized body of specialized knowledge [that] is on the intellectual level of the higher learning
- 2. Constantly enlarges the body of knowledge it uses and improves its techniques of education and service through use of the scientific method
- 3. Entrusts the education of its practitioners to institutions of higher education
- 4. Applies its body of knowledge in practical services vital to human and social welfare
- 5. Functions autonomously in the formulation of professional policy and thereby in the control of professional activity
- 6. Attracts individuals with intellectual and personal qualities of exalting service above personal gain who recognize their chosen occupation as a life work
- 7. Strives to compensate its practitioners by providing freedom of action, opportunity for continuous professional growth, and economic security


These criteria have historical value for enhancing our understanding of the developmental path that nurses followed. For example, a knowledge base that is well defined, organized, and specific to the discipline was formalized during the last half of the twentieth century, but this knowledge is not static. Rather, it continues to grow in relation to the profession’s goals for the human and social welfare of the society that nurses serve. So although the body of knowledge is important, the theories and research are vital to the discipline and the profession, so that new knowledge continues to be generated. The application of nursing knowledge in practice is a criterion that is currently at the forefront, with emphasis on accountability for nursing practice, theory-based evidence for nursing practice, and the growing recognition of middle-range theory for professional nursing practice (Alligood, 2014, in press).
In the last decades of the twentieth century, in anticipation of the new millennium, ideas targeted toward moving nursing forward were published. Styles (1982) described a distinction between the collective nursing profession and the individual professional nurse and called for internal developments based on ideals and beliefs of nursing for continued professional development. Similarly, Fitzpatrick (1983) presented a historical chronicle of twentieth-century achievements that led to the professional status of nursing. Both Styles (1982) and Fitzpatrick (1983) referenced a detailed history specific to the development of nursing as a profession. Now that nursing is recognized as a profession, emphasis in this text is placed on the relationship between nursing theoretical works and the status of nursing as a profession. Similarities and differences have been noted in sets of criteria used to evaluate the status of professions; however, they all call for a body of knowledge that is foundational to the practice of the given profession (Styles, 1982).

As individual nurses grow in their professional status, the use of substantive knowledge for theory-based evidence for nursing is a quality that is characteristic of their practice (Butts & Rich, 2011). This commitment to theory-based evidence for practice is beneficial to patients in that it guides systematic, knowledgeable care. It serves the profession as nurses are recognized for the contributions they make to the health care of society. As noted previously in relation to the discipline of nursing, the development of knowledge is an important activity for nurse scholars to pursue. It is important that nurses have continued recognition and respect for their scholarly discipline and for their contribution to the health of society. Finally and most important, the continued recognition of nursing theory as a tool for the reasoning, critical thinking, and decision making required for quality nursing practice is important because of the following:

Nursing practice settings are complex, and the amount of data (information) confronting nurses is virtually endless. Nurses must analyze a vast amount of information about each patient and decide what to do. A theoretical approach helps practicing nurses not to be overwhelmed by the mass of information and to progress through the nursing process in an orderly manner. Theory enables them to organize and understand what happens in practice, to analyze patient situations critically for clinical decision making; to plan care and propose appropriate nursing interventions; and to predict patient outcomes from the care and evaluate its effectiveness.

(Alligood, 2004, p. 247)

Professional practice requires a systematic approach that is focused on the patient, and the theoretical works provide just such perspectives of the patient. The theoretical works presented in this text illustrate those various perspectives. Philosophies of nursing, conceptual models of nursing, nursing theories, and middle-range theories provide the nurse with a view of the patient and a guide for data processing, evaluation of evidence, and decisions regarding action to take in practice (Alligood 2014, in press; Butts & Rich, 2011; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett & Garity, 2009). With this background of the history and significance of nursing theory for the discipline and the profession, we turn to analysis of theory, a systematic process of critical reflection for understanding nursing theoretical works (Chinn & Kramer, 2011).

Analysis of Theory

Analysis, critique, and evaluation are methods used to study nursing theoretical works critically. Analysis of theory is carried out to acquire knowledge of theoretical adequacy. It is an important process and the first step in applying nursing theoretical works to education, research, administration, or practice. The analysis criteria used for each theoretical work in this text are included in Box 1-3 with the questions that guide the critical reflection of analysis.

**BOX 1-3 Analysis Questions to Determine Theoretical Adequacy**

- Clarity: How clear is this theory?
- Simplicity: How simple is this theory?
- Generality: How general is this theory?
- Accessibility: How accessible is this theory?
- Importance: How important is this theory?

The analysis process is useful for learning about the works and is essential for nurse scientists who intend to test, expand, or extend the works. When nurse scientists consider their research interests in the context of one of the theoretical works, areas for further development are discovered through the processes of critique, analysis, and critical reflection. Therefore, analysis is an important process for learning, for developing research projects, and for expanding the science associated with the theoretical works of nursing in the future. Understanding a theoretical framework is vital to applying it in your practice.

**Clarity**

Clarity and structure are reviewed in terms of semantic clarity and consistency and structural clarity and consistency. Clarity speaks to the meaning of terms used, and definitional consistency and structure speaks to the consistent structural form of terms in the theory. Analysis begins as the major concepts and subconcepts and their definitions are identified. Words have multiple meanings within and across disciplines; therefore, a word should be defined carefully and specifically according to the framework (philosophy, conceptual model, or theory) within which it is developed. Clarity and consistency are facilitated with diagrams and examples. The logical development and type of structure used should be clear, and assumptions should be stated clearly and be consistent with the goal of the theory (Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Reynolds, 1971; Walker & Avant, 2011). Reynolds (1971) speaks to intersubjectivity and says, “There must be shared agreement of the definitions of concepts and relationships between concepts within a theory” (p. 13). Hardy (1973) refers to meaning and logical adequacy and says, “Concepts and relationships between concepts must be clearly identified and valid” (p. 106). Ellis (1968) used “the criterion of terminology” to evaluate theory and warns about “the danger of lost meaning when terms are borrowed from other disciplines and used in a different context” (p. 221). Walker and Avant (2011) assess “logical adequacy” according to “the logical structure of the concepts and statements” proposed in the theory (p. 195).

**Simplicity**

Simplicity is highly valued in nursing theory development. Chinn and Kramer (2011) called for simple forms of theory, such as middle range, to guide practice. A theory should be sufficiently comprehensive, presented at a level of abstraction to provide guidance, and have as few concepts as possible with simplistic relations to aid clarity. Reynolds (1971) contends, “The most useful theory provides the greatest sense of understanding” (p. 135). Walker and Avant (2011) describe theory parsimony as “brief but complete” (p. 195).

**Generality**

The generality of a theory speaks to the scope of application and the purpose within the theory (Chinn & Kramer, 2011). Ellis (1968) stated, “The broader the scope . . . the greater the significance of the theory” (p. 219). The generality of a theoretical work varies by how abstract or concrete it is (Fawcett, 2005). Understanding the levels of abstraction by doctoral students and nurse scientists facilitated the use of abstract frameworks for the development of middle-range theories. Rogers’ (1986) Theory of Accelerating Change is an example of an abstract theory from which numerous middle-range theories have been generated.

**Accessibility**

Accessibility is linked to the empirical indicators for testability and ultimate use of a theory to describe aspects of practice (Chinn & Kramer, 2011). Accessible” addresses the extent to which empiric indicators for the concepts can be identified and to what extent the purposes of the theory can be attained” (Chinn & Kramer, 2011, p. 203). Reynolds (1971) evaluates empirical relevance by examining “the correspondence between a particular theory and the objective empirical data” (p. 18). He suggests that scientists should be able to evaluate and verify results by themselves. Walker and Avant (2011) evaluate testability based on the theory’s capacity to “generate hypotheses and be subjected to empirical research” (p. 195).

**Importance**

A parallel can be drawn between outcome and importance. Because research, theory, and practice are closely related, nursing theory lends itself to research testing, and research testing leads to knowledge for practice. Nursing theory guides research and practice,
generates new ideas, and differentiates the focus of nursing from that of other professions (Chinn & Kramer, 2011). Ellis (1968) indicates that to be considered useful, “it is essential for theory to develop and guide practice . . . theories should reveal what knowledge nurses must, and should, spend time pursuing” (p. 220).

The five criteria for the analysis of theory—clarity, simplicity, generality, accessibility, and importance—guide the critical reflection of each theoretical work in Chapters 6 to 36. These broad criteria facilitate the analysis of theoretical works, whether they are applied to works at the level of philosophies, conceptual models, theories, or middle-range theories.

Summary
This chapter presents an introduction to nursing theory with a discussion of its history, significance, and analysis. A nurse increases professional power when using theoretical research as systematic evidence for critical thinking and decision making. When nurses use theory and theory-based evidence to structure their practice, it improves the quality of care. They sort patient data quickly, decide on appropriate nursing action, deliver care, and evaluate outcomes. They also are able to discuss the nature of their practice with other health professionals. Considering nursing practice in a theory context helps students to develop analytical skills and critical thinking ability and to clarify their values and assumptions. Theory guides practice, education, and research (Alligood 2014, in press; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett, 2005; Meleis, 2007).

 Globally, nurses are recognizing the rich heritage of the works of nursing theorists, that is, the philosophies, conceptual models, theories, and middle-range theories of nursing. The publication of this text in multiple (at least 10) languages reflects the global use of theory. The contributions of global theorists present nursing as a discipline and provide knowledge structure for further development. The use of theory-based research supports evidence-based practice. There is worldwide recognition of the rich diversity of nursing values the models represent. Today we see added clarification of the theoretical works in the nursing literature as more and more nurses learn and use theory-based practice. Most important, the philosophies, models, theories, and middle-range theories are used broadly in all areas—nursing education, administration, research, and practice.

There is recognition of normal science in the theoretical works (Wood, 2010). The scholarship of the past 3 decades has expanded the volume of nursing literature around the philosophies, models, theories, and middle-range theories. Similarly, the philosophy of science has expanded and fostered nursing knowledge development with new qualitative approaches. As more nurses have acquired higher education, understanding of the importance of nursing theory has expanded. The use of theory by nurses has increased knowledge development and improved the quality of nursing practice (Alligood, 2010a; Alligood, 2011b; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett & Garity, 2009; George, 2011; Im & Chang, 2012; Reed & Shearer, 2012; Wood, 2010).

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY
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"Why should nurses be interested in the history and philosophy of science? The history and philosophy of science is important as a foundation for exploring whether scientific results are actually truth. As nurses our practice should be based upon truth and we need the ability to interpret the results of science. Nursing science provides us with knowledge to describe, explain and predict outcomes. The legitimacy of any profession is built on its ability to generate and apply theory.” (McCrae, 2011, p. 222)

Modern science was established over 400 years ago as an intellectual activity to formalize given phenomena of interest in an attempt to describe, explain, predict, or control states of affairs in nature. Scientific activity has persisted because it has improved quality of life and has satisfied human needs for creative work, a sense of order, and the desire to understand the unknown (Bronowski, 1979; Gale, 1979; Piaget, 1970). The development of nursing science has evolved since the 1960s as a pursuit to be understood as a scientific discipline. Being a scientific discipline means identifying nursing’s unique contribution to the care of patients, families, and communities. It means that nurses can conduct clinical and basic nursing research to establish the scientific base for the care of individuals across the life span. For example, research revealed gaps between the pain management needs of patients and the information communicated by patients and clinicians during office visits. Although many older adults have painful but not readily visible conditions (e.g., symptomatic osteoarthritis), little research has examined how the style or format of a health care practitioner’s questions influence the quality and amount of diagnostic information obtained from older adults. A recent study tested the theory that a certain type of question would elicit the most response. The theory was confirmed when findings supported that the open-ended questions prompted patients to provide a larger amount of diagnostically useful pain information than did the closed-ended questions (McDonald, Shea, Rose, & Fedo, 2009). While this study is one example of nursing science, advance practice nurses should be familiar with the long history of the science of nursing.

**Historical Views of the Nature of Science**

To formalize the science of nursing, basic questions must be considered, such as: What is science, knowledge, and truth? What methods produce scientific knowledge? These are philosophical questions. The term *epistemology* is concerned with the theory of knowledge in philosophical inquiry. The particular philosophical perspective selected to answer these questions will influence how scientists perform scientific activities, how they interpret outcomes, and even what they regard as science and knowledge.
additional research is conducted or modifications are made in the theory and further tests are devised; otherwise, the theory is discarded in favor of an alternative explanation (Gale, 1979; Zetterberg, 1966). Popper (1962) argued that science would evolve more rapidly through the process of conjectures and refutations by devising research in an attempt to refute new ideas. For example, his point is simple; you can never prove that all individuals without social support have frequent rehospitalizations since there might be one individual that presents with no rehospitalization. A single person with no social support that does not have a readmission disproves the theory that all individuals with a lack of social support have hospital readmissions. From Popper’s perspective, “research consists of generating general hypotheses and then attempting to refute them” (Lipton, 2005, p. 1263). So the hypothesis that a lack of social support results in hospital readmission is the phenomena of interest to be refuted.

The rationalist view is most clearly evident in the work of Einstein, the theoretical physicist, who made extensive use of mathematical equations in developing his theories. The theories Einstein constructed offered an imaginative framework, which has directed research in numerous areas (Calder, 1979). As Reynolds (1971) noted, if someone believes that science is a process of inventing descriptions of phenomena, the appropriate strategy for theory construction is the theory-then-research strategy. In Reynolds’ view, “as the continuous interplay between theory construction (invention) and testing with empirical research progresses, the theory becomes more precise and complete as a description of nature and, therefore, more useful for the goals of science” (Reynolds, 1971, p. 145).

Empiricism

The empiricist view is based on the central idea that scientific knowledge can be derived only from sensory experience (i.e., seeing, feeling, hearing facts). Francis Bacon (Gale, 1979) received credit for popularizing the basis for the empiricist approach to inquiry. Bacon believed that scientific truth was discovered through generalizing observed facts in the natural world. This approach, called the inductive method, is based on the idea that the collection of facts precedes attempts to formulate generalizations, or as Reynolds (1971) called it, the research-then-theory strategy. One of the best examples to demonstrate this form of logic in nursing
has to do with formulating differential diagnoses. Formulating a differential diagnosis requires collecting the facts and then devising a list of possible theories to explain the facts.

The strict empiricist view is reflected in the work of the behaviorist Skinner. In a 1950 paper, Skinner asserted that advances in the science of psychology could be expected if scientists would focus on the collection of empirical data. He cautioned against drawing premature inferences and proposed a moratorium on theory building until further facts were collected. **Skinner's (1950) approach to theory construction was clearly inductive.** His view of science and the popularity of behaviorism have been credited with influencing psychology's shift in emphasis from the building of theories to the gathering of facts between the 1950s and 1970s (Snelbecker, 1974). The difficulty with the inductive mode of inquiry is that the world presents an infinite number of possible observations, and, therefore, the scientist must bring ideas to his or her experiences to decide what to observe and what to exclude (Steiner, 1977).

In summary, deductive inquiry uses the theory-then-research approach, and inductive inquiry uses the research-then-theory approach. Both approaches are utilized in the field of nursing.

---

**Early Twentieth Century Views of Science and Theory**

During the first half of this century, philosophers focused on the analysis of theory structure, whereas scientists focused on empirical research (Brown, 1977). There was minimal interest in the history of science, the nature of scientific discovery, or the similarities between the philosophical view of science and the scientific methods (Brown, 1977). **Positivism,** a term first used by Comte, emerged as the dominant view of modern science (Gale, 1979). Modern logical positivists believed that empirical research and logical analysis (deductive and inductive) were two approaches that would produce scientific knowledge (Brown, 1977).

The logical empiricists offered a more lenient view of logical positivism and argued that theoretical propositions (proposition affirms or denies something) must be tested through observation and experimentation (Brown, 1977). This perspective is rooted in the idea that empirical facts exist independently of theories and offer the only basis for objectivity in science (Brown, 1977). In this view, objective truth exists independently of the researcher, and the task of science is to discover it, which is an inductive method (Gale, 1979). This view of science is often presented in research method courses as: “The scientist first sets up an experiment; observes what occurs . . . reaches a preliminary hypothesis to describe the occurrence; runs further experiments to test the hypothesis [and] finally corrects or modifies the hypothesis in light of the results” (Gale, 1979, p. 13).

The increasing use of computers, which permit the analysis of large data sets, may have contributed to the acceptance of the positivist approach to modern science (Snelbecker, 1974). However, in the 1950s, the literature began to reflect an increasing challenge to the positivist view, thereby ushering in a new view of science in the late twentieth century (Brown, 1977).

---

**Emergent Views of Science and Theory in the Late Twentieth Century**

In the latter years of the twentieth century, several authors presented analyses challenging the positivist position, thus offering the basis for a new perspective of science (Brown, 1977; Foucault, 1973; Hanson, 1958; Kuhn, 1962; Toulmin, 1961). Foucault (1973) published his analysis of the **epistemology** (knowledge) of human sciences from the seventeenth to the nineteenth century. His major thesis stated that empirical knowledge was arranged in different patterns at a given time and in a given culture and that humans where emerging as objects of study. In *The Phenomenology of the Social World*, Schutz (1967) argued that scientists seeking to understand the social world could not cognitively know an external world that is independent of their own life experiences. Phenomenology, set forth by Edmund Husserl (1859 to 1938) proposed that the objectivism of science could not provide an adequate apprehension of the world (Husserl 1931, 1970). A phenomenological approach reduces observations or text to the meanings of phenomena independent of their particular context. This approach focuses on the lived meaning of experiences.

In 1977, Brown argued an intellectual revolution in philosophy that emphasized the history of science was replacing formal logic as the major analytical tool.
in the philosophy of science. One of the major perspectives in the new philosophy emphasized science as a process of continuing research rather than a product focused on findings. In this emergent epistemology, emphasis shifted to understanding scientific discovery and process as theories change over time.

Empiricists view phenomena objectively, collect data, and analyze it to inductively proposed theory (Brown, 1977). This position is based upon objective truth existing in the world, waiting to be discovered. Brown (1977) set forth a new epistemology challenging the empiricist view proposing that theories play a significant role in determining what the scientist observes and how it is interpreted. The following story illustrates Brown's premise that observations are concept laden; that is, an observation is influenced by values and ideas in the mind of the observer:

"An elderly patient has been in a trauma and appears to be crying. The nurse on admission observes that the patient has marks on her body and believes that she has been abused; the orthopedist has viewed an x-ray and believes that the crying patient is in pain due to a fractured femur that will not require surgery only a closed reduction; the chaplain observes the patient crying and believes the patient needs spiritual support. Each observation is concept laden."

Brown (1977) presented the example of a chemist and a child walking together past a steel mill. The chemist perceived the odor of sulfur dioxide and the child smelled rotten eggs. Both observers in the examples responded to the same observation but with distinctly different interpretations. Concepts and theories set up boundaries and specify pertinent phenomena for reasoning about specific observed patterns. These examples represent different ideas that emerge for each person.

If scientists perceive patterns in the empirical world based on their presupposed theories, how can new patterns ever be perceived or new discoveries become formulated? Gale (1979) answered by proposing that the scientist is able to perceive forceful intrusions from the environment that challenge his or her a priori mental set, thereby raising questions regarding the current theoretical perspective. Brown (1977) maintained that a presupposed theoretical framework influences perception, however theories are not the single determining factor of the scientist's perception. He identified the following three different views of the relationship between theories and observation:

1. Scientists are merely passive observers of occurrences in the empirical world. Observable data are objective truth waiting to be discovered.
2. Theories structure what the scientist perceives in the empirical world.
3. Presupposed theories and observable data interact in the process of scientific investigation (Brown, 1977, p. 298).

Brown's argument for an interactionist's perspective coincides with the scientific consensus in the study of pattern recognition in how humans process information. The following distinct mini-theories have directed research efforts in this area: (1) the data-driven, or bottom-up, theory and (2) the conceptually driven, or top-down, theory (Norman, 1976). In the former, cognitive expectations (what is known or ways of organizing meaning) are used to select input and process incoming information from the environment. The second theory asserts that incoming data are perceived as unlabeled input and analyzed as raw data with increasing levels of complexity until all the data are classified. Current research evidence suggests that human pattern recognition progresses through an interaction of both data-driven and conceptually driven processes, and it uses sources of information in both currently organized, cognitive categories and in stimuli from the sensory environment. The interactionist's perspective also is clearly reflected in Piaget's theory of human cognitive functioning:

"Piagetian man actively selects and interprets environmental information in the construction of his own knowledge, rather than passively copying the information just as it is presented to his senses. While paying attention to and taking account of the structure of the environment during knowledge seeking, Piagetian man reconstrues and reinterprets that environment [according to] his own mental framework... The mind neither copies the world... nor does it ignore the world [by] creating a private mental conception of it out of whole cloth. The mind meets the environment in an extremely active, self-directed way."

(Flavell, 1977, p. 6)
If the thesis is accepted that objective truth does not exist and science is an interactive process between invented theories and empirical observations, how are scientists to determine truth and scientific knowledge? In the new epistemology, science is viewed as an ongoing process. Much importance is given to the idea of consensus among scientists. As Brown (1977) concluded, it is a myth that science can establish final truths. Tentative consensus based on reasoned judgments about the available evidence is what can be expected. In this view, scientific knowledge is what the consensus of scientists in any given historical era regard as scientific knowledge. At any point in time, the current consensus among scientists determines the truth of a given theoretical statement by concluding whether or not it presents a plausible description of reality (Brown, 1977). This consensus is possible through the collaboration of many scientists as they make their work available for public review and debate and as they build upon previous scientific discoveries (Randall, 1964).

In any given era and in any given discipline, science is structured by an accepted set of presuppositions that define the phenomena for study and define the appropriate methods for data collection and interpretation (Brown, 1977; Foucault, 1973; Kuhn, 1962). These presuppositions set the boundaries for the scientific enterprise in a particular field. In Brown’s view of the transactions between theory and empirical observation:

“Theory determines what observations are worth making and how they are to be understood, and observation provides challenges to accepted theoretical structures. The continuing attempt to produce a coherently organized body of theory and observation is the driving force of research, and the prolonged failure of specific research projects leads to scientific revolutions.”

(Brown 1977, p. 167)

The presentation and acceptance of a revolutionary theory may alter the existing presuppositions and theories, thereby creating a different set of boundaries and procedures. The result is a new set of problems or a new way to interpret observations; that is, a new picture of the world (Kuhn, 1962). In this view of science, the emphasis must be placed on ongoing research rather than established findings. According to Kuhn, science progresses from a pre-science, then to a normal science, then to a crisis, then to a revolution, and then to a new normal science. Once normal science develops, the process begins again when a crisis erupts and leads to revolution, and a new normal science emerges once again (Kuhn, 1970; Nyatanga, 2005). This is what Kuhn refers to as paradigm shift in the scientific development within a discipline. For example, recent research supports that early mobilization of critically ill patients shows better patient outcomes (Schweickert & Kress, 2011). Theory-based nursing practice has demonstrated the capacity to restructure professional care, improving outcomes and satisfaction (Alligood, 2011).

### Interdependence of Theory and Research

Traditionally, theory building and research have been presented to students in separate courses. Often, this separation has caused problems for students in understanding the nature of theories and in comprehending the relevance of research efforts (Winston, 1974). The acceptance of the positivist view of science may have influenced the sharp distinction between theory and research methods (Gale, 1979). Although theory and research can be viewed as distinct operations, they are regarded more appropriately as interdependent components of the scientific process (Dubin, 1978). In constructing a theory, the theorist must be knowledgeable about available empirical findings and be able to take these into account because theory is, in part, concerned with organizing and formalizing available knowledge of a given phenomenon. The theory is subject to revision if hypotheses fail to correspond with empirical findings, or the theory may be abandoned in favor of an alternative explanation that accounts for the new information (Brown, 1977; Dubin, 1978; Kuhn, 1962).

In contemporary theories of science, the scientific enterprise has been described as a series of phases with an emphasis on the discovery and verification (or acceptance) phases (Gale, 1979; Giere, 1979). These phases are concerned primarily with the presentation and testing of new ideas. New ways of thinking about phenomena or new data are introduced to the scientific community during the discovery phase. During this time, the focus is on presenting a persuasive argument to show that the new conceptions represent an
improvement over previous conceptions (Gale, 1979). Verification is characterized by the scientific community’s efforts to critically analyze and test the new conceptions in an attempt to refute them. The new views are then subjected to testing and analyses (Gale, 1979). However, Brown (1977) argued that discovery and verification could not be viewed as distinct phases, because the scientific community does not usually accept a new conception until it has been subjected to significant testing. Only then can it be accepted as a new discovery.

In any scientific discipline, it is not appropriate to judge a theory on the basis of authority, faith, or intuition; it should be judged on the basis of scientific consensus (Randall, 1964). For example, if a specific nursing theory is deemed acceptable, this judgment should not be made because a respected nursing leader advocates the theory. Personal feelings, such as “I like this theory” or “I don’t like this theory,” do not provide a valid basis for judgment. The theory should be judged acceptable on the basis of logical and conceptual or empirical grounds. The scientific community makes these judgments (Gale, 1979).

The advancement of science is thus a collaborative endeavor in which many researchers evaluate and build on the work of others. Theories, procedures, and findings from empirical studies must be made available for critical review by scientists for evidence to be cumulative. The same procedures can be used to support or refute a given analysis or finding. A theory is accepted when scientists agree that it provides a description of reality that captures the phenomenon based on current research findings (Brown, 1977). The acceptance of a scientific hypothesis depends on the appraisal of the coherence of theory, which involves questions of logic, and the correspondence of the theory, which involves efforts to relate the theory to observable phenomena through research (Steiner, 1978). Gale (1979) labeled these criteria as epistemological and metaphysical concerns.

The consensus regarding the correspondence of the theory is, therefore, not based on a single study. Repeated testing is crucial. The study must be replicated under the same conditions, and the theoretical assertions must be explored under different conditions or with different measures. Consensus is, therefore, based on accumulated evidence (Giere, 1979). When the theory does not appear to be supported by research, the scientific community does not necessarily reject it. Rather than agreeing that a problem exists with the theory itself, the community may make judgments about the validity or the reliability of the measures used in testing the theory or about the appropriateness of the research design. These possibilities are considered in critically evaluating all attempts to test a given theory.

Scientific consensus is necessary in three key areas for any given theory as follows: (1) agreement on the boundaries of the theory; that is, the phenomenon it addresses and the phenomena it excludes (criterion of coherence), (2) agreement on the logic used in constructing the theory to further understanding from a similar perspective (criterion of coherence), and (3) agreement that the theory fits the data collected and analyzed through research (criterion of correspondence) (Brown, 1977; Dubin, 1978; Steiner, 1977, 1978). Essentially, consensus in these three areas constitutes an agreement among scientists to “look at the same ‘things,’ to do so in the same way, and to have a level of confidence certified by an empirical test” (Dubin, 1978, p. 13). Therefore, the theory must be capable of being operationalized to test it against reality.

Scientific inquiry in normal science involves testing a given theory, developing new applications of a theory, or extending a given theory. Occasionally, a new theory with different assumptions is developed that could replace previous theories. Kuhn (1962) described this as revolutionary science and described the theory with different presuppositions as a revolutionary theory. A change in the accepted presuppositions creates a set of boundaries and procedures that suggest a new set of problems or a new way to interpret observations (Kuhn, 1962). One previously accepted theory is abandoned for another theory if it fails to correspond with empirical findings or if it does not present clear directions for further research. The scientific community judges the selected alternative theory to account for available data and to suggest further lines of inquiry (Brown, 1977). Hence, a new worldview is formed.

In the social and behavioral sciences, there is some challenge to the assumptions underlying the accepted methods of experimental design, measurement, and statistical analysis that emphasizes the search for universal laws and the use of procedures for the random assignment of subjects across contexts. Mishler (1979)
argued that, in studying behavior, scientists should develop methods and procedures that are dependent on context for meaning rather than eliminate context by searching for laws that hold across contexts. This critique of the methods and assumptions of research is emerging from phenomenological and ethnomethodological theorists who view the scientific process from a very different paradigm (Bowers, 1992; Hudson, 1972; Mishler, 1979; Pallikkathayil & Morgan, 1991). Phenomenology is a science that describes how we experience the objects of the external world and provides an explanation of how we construct objects of experience. In phenomenology, the investigator posits that all objects exist because people perceive and construct them as such. Ethnomethodology focuses on the world of “social facts” as accomplished or co-created through people’s interpretive work. When examining phenomena from this perspective, social reality and social facts are constructed, produced, and organized through the mundane actions and circumstances of everyday life.

There is neither a single science nor a single scientific method. There are several sciences, each with unique phenomena and structure and methods for inquiry (Springagesh & Springagesh, 1986). However, the commonality among sciences concerns the scientists’ efforts to separate truth from speculation to advance knowledge (Snelbecker, 1974). In questions regarding the structure of knowledge in a given science, the consensus of scientists in the discipline decides what is to be regarded as scientific knowledge and the methods of inquiry (Brown, 1977; Gale, 1979).

Consensus has emerged in the field of nursing that the knowledge base for nursing practice is incomplete, and the development of a scientific base for nursing practice is a high priority for the discipline. The postpositivist and interpretive paradigms have achieved a degree of acceptance in nursing as paradigms to guide knowledge development (Ford-Gilboe, Campbell, & Berman, 1995). Postpositivism focuses on discovering patterns that may describe, explain, and predict phenomena. It rejects the older, traditional positivist views of an ultimate objective knowledge that is observable only through the senses (Ford-Gilboe, et al., 1995; Weiss, 1995). The interpretive paradigm tends to promote understanding by addressing the meanings of the participants’ social interaction that emphasize situation, context, and the multiple cognitive constructions individuals create from everyday events (Ford-Gilboe, et al., 1995). A critical paradigm for knowledge development in nursing also has been described as an emergent, postmodern paradigm that provides the framework for inquiring about the interaction between social, political, economic, gender, and cultural factors and the experiences of health and illness (Ford-Gilboe, et al., 1995). A broad conception of postmodernism includes the particular philosophies that challenge the “objectification of knowledge,” such as phenomenology, hermeneutics, feminism, critical theory, and poststructuralism (Omery, Kasper, & Page, 1995).

The philosophy of nursing has been developing over a 150-year period. The philosophy of caring, naturalism, and holism are themes that can be found in the literature. Numerous authors have written about caring. Caring is the wholeness of the patient’s situation, which implies that nursing care requires interpretation, understanding, and hermeneutic experience. The philosophy of caring involves knowledge, skills, patient trust, and the ability to manage all elements simultaneously in the context of care (Austgard, 2008).

Wholism is another philosophy in understanding the patient (Hennessey, 2011). Wholistic nursing views the biophysical, psychological, and sociological subsystems as related but separate, thus the whole is equal to the sum of the parts. Holistic nursing recognizes that multiple subsystems are in continuous interaction and that mind-body relationships do exist (Kinney & Erickson, 1990).

Naturalism has a metaphysical component that implicates that the natural world exists; there is no non-natural or supranatural realm. The natural world is open, because it depends upon what method the enquiry requires. Naturalism insists that knowledge and beliefs are gained by one’s senses guided by reason, and by the various methods of science (Hussey, 2011). While these philosophies are proposed in the literature, nursing science is in the early stages of scientific development.

As the discipline of nursing moves forward, there is abundant evidence that a greater number of nurse scholars are actively engaged in the advancement of knowledge for the discipline of nursing through
research and scholarly dialogue. This can be seen with the emergence of middle-range theories that utilize inductive, deductive, and synthesis theories from nursing and other disciplines (Peterson & Bredow, 2008; Sieloff & Frey, 2007; Smith & Liehr, 2008). This new century of nursing scholarship by nurse scientists and scholars explores nursing phenomena of interest and provides evidence for quality advanced practice.

**Science as a Social Enterprise**

The process of scientific inquiry may be viewed as a social enterprise (Mishler, 1979). In Gale’s words, “Human beings do science” (Gale, 1979, p. 290). Therefore, it might be anticipated that social, economic, or political factors may influence the scientific enterprise (Brown, 1977). For example, the popularity of certain ideologies may influence how phenomena are viewed and what problems are selected for study (Hudson, 1972). In addition, the availability of funds for research in a specified area may increase research activity in that area. However, science does not depend on the personal characteristics or persuasions of any given scientist or group of scientists, but it is powerfully self-correcting within the community of scientists (Randall, 1964). Science progresses by the diversity of dialogue within the discipline of nursing. The use of a single paradigm, multiple paradigms, or the creation of a merged paradigm from many paradigms is debated in relationship to the advancement in the epistemology of nursing.

**POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY**

- 100 Basic Philosophical Terms: [http://www.str.org/site/News2?page=NewsArticle&id=5493](http://www.str.org/site/News2?page=NewsArticle&id=5493)
- Kant’s Philosophy of Science: [http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/kant-science/](http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/kant-science/)
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Theory Development Process
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“Nursing’s potential for meaningful human service rests on the union of theory and practice for its fulfillment.”
(Rogers, 1970, p. viii)

Theory development in nursing is an essential component in nursing scholarship to advance the knowledge of the discipline. The legitimacy of any profession is built on its ability to generate and apply theory (McCrae, 2011, p. 222). Nursing theories that clearly set forth understanding of nursing phenomena (i.e., self care, therapeutic communication, chronic sorrow) guide scholarly development of the science of nursing through research. Once a nursing theory is proposed addressing a phenomenon of interest, several considerations follow, such as its completeness and logic, internal consistency, correspondence with empirical findings, and whether it has been operationally defined for testing. Analyses of these lead logically to the further development of the theory. Scientific evidence accumulates through repeated rigorous research that supports or refutes theoretical assertions and guides modifications or extensions of the theory. Nursing theory development is not a mysterious activity, but a scholarly endeavor pursued systematically. Rigorous development of nursing theories, then, is a high priority for the future of the discipline and the practice of the profession of nursing.

Deductive reasoning is narrow and goes from general to specific. In the clinical area, nurses often have experience with a general rule and apply it to a patient. Inductive reasoning is much broader and exploratory in nature as one goes from specific to general. Abductive reasoning begins with an incomplete set of observations and proceeds to the likeliest possible explanation for the set. A medical diagnosis is an application of abductive reasoning: given this set of symptoms, what is the diagnosis that would best explain most of them? One aspect they have in common is to approach theory development in a precise, systematic manner, making the stages of development explicit. The nurse who systematically devises a theory of nursing and publishes it for the nursing community to review and debate engages in a process that is essential to advancing theory development. As scholarly work is published in the literature, nurse theoreticians and researchers review and critique the adequacy of the logical processes used in the development of the theory with fresh eyes in relation to practice and available research findings.

Theory Components

Development of theory requires understanding of selected scholarly terms, definitions, and assumptions.
so that scholarly review and analysis may occur. Attention is given to terms and defined meanings to understand the theory development process that was used. Therefore, the clarity of terms, their scientific utility, and their value to the discipline are important considerations in the process.

Hage (1972) identified six theory components and specified the contributions they make to theory (Table 3-1). Three categories of theory components are presented as a basis for understanding the function of each element in the theory-building process.

**Concepts and Definitions**

Concepts, the building blocks of theories, classify the phenomena of interest (Kaplan, 1964). It is crucial that concepts are considered within the theoretical system in which they are embedded and from which they derive their meaning, since concepts may have different meanings in various theoretical systems. Scientific progress is based on critical review and testing of a researcher’s work by the scientific community.

Concepts may be abstract or concrete. Abstract concepts are mentally constructed independent of a specific time or place, whereas concrete concepts are directly experienced and relate to a particular time or place (Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Hage, 1972; Reynolds, 1971) (Table 3-2).

The stretcher, stroke, wheelchair, and hospital bed are examples of concrete concepts of the abstract concept, transport and the other examples illustrate the concrete to abstract difference. In a given theoretical system, the definition, characteristics, and functioning of a nurse competency clarify more specific instances, such as medication administration nurse competency.

Concepts may be classified as discrete or continuous concepts. This system of labels differentiates types of concept that specify categories of phenomena. A discrete concept identifies categories or classes of phenomena, such as patient, nurse, health, or environment. A student can become a nurse or choose another profession, but he or she cannot become a partial nurse. Phenomena identified as belonging to, or not belonging to, a given class or category may be called nonvariable concepts. Sorting phenomena into nonvariable discrete categories carries the assumption that the associated reality is captured by the classification (Hage, 1972). The amount or degree of the variable is not an issue.

**Table 3-1** Theory Components and Their Contributions to the Theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory Components</th>
<th>Contributions to the Theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concepts and Definitions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concepts</td>
<td>Describe and classify phenomena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical definitions of concept</td>
<td>Establish meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational definitions of concept</td>
<td>Provide measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relational Statements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical statements</td>
<td>Relate concepts to one another; permit analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational statements</td>
<td>Relate concepts to measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linkages and Ordering</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linkages of theoretical statements</td>
<td>Provide rationale of why theoretical statements are linked; add plausibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linkages of operational statements</td>
<td>Provide rationale for how measurement variables are linked; permit testability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization of concepts and definitions into primitive and derived terms</td>
<td>Eliminates overlap (tautology)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization of statements and linkages into premises and derived hypotheses and equations</td>
<td>Eliminates inconsistency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Theories may be used as a series of nonvariable discrete concepts (and subconcepts) to build typologies. Typologies are systematic arrangements of concepts within a given category. For example, a typology on marital status could be partitioned into marital statuses in which a population is classified as married, divorced, widowed, or single. These discrete categories could be partitioned further to permit the classification of an additional variable in this typology. A typology of marital status and gender is shown in Table 3-3. The participants are either one gender or the other since there are no degrees of how much they are in this discrete category. Taking the illustration further, the typology could be partitioned adding the discrete concept of children. Participants would be classified for gender, marital status, and as having or not having children.

A continuous concept, on the other hand, permits classification of dimensions or gradations of a phenomenon, indicating degree of marital conflict. Marital couples may be classified with a range representing degrees of marital conflict in their relationships from low to high.

### Degree of Marital Conflict

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other continuous concepts that may be used to classify couples might include amount of communication, number of shared activities, or number of children. Examples of continuous concepts used to classify patients are degree of temperature, level of anxiety, or age. Another example is how nurses conceptualize pain as a continuous concept when they ask patients to rate their pain on a scale from 0 to 10 to better understand their pain threshold or pain experience.

### Degree of Pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous concepts are not expressed in either/or terms but in degrees on a continuum. The use of variable concepts on a continuum tends to focus on one dimension but does so without assuming that a single dimension captures all of the reality of the phenomenon. Additional dimensions may be devised to measure further aspects of the phenomenon. Instruments may measure a concept and have subscales that measure discrete concepts related to the overall concept. Variable concepts such as ratio of professional to nonprofessional staff, communication flow, or ratio of registered nurses to patients, is used to characterize health care organizations. Although nonvariable concepts are useful in classifying phenomena in theory development, Hage (1972) notes several major breakthroughs in disciplines as the focus shifts from nonvariable to variable concepts, because variable concepts permit the scoring of the phenomenon’s full range of variation.

The development of concepts, then, permits description and classification of phenomena (Hage, 1972). The labeled concept specifies boundaries for selecting phenomena to observe and for reasoning about the phenomena of interest. New concepts may focus attention on new
phenomena or facilitate thinking about phenomena in a different way (Hage, 1972). Scholarly analysis of the concepts in nursing theories is a critical beginning step in the process of theoretical inquiry. The concept process continues to flourish with many examples in the nursing literature. See Table 3-4 for references to analyses carried out using different approaches.

Concept analysis is an important beginning step in the process of theory development to develop a conceptual definition. It is crucial that concepts are clearly defined to reduce ambiguity in the given concept or set of concepts. To eliminate perceived differences in meaning, explicit definitions are necessary. As the theory develops, theoretical and operational definitions provide the theorist’s meaning of the concept and the basis for the empirical indicators. For example, McMahon and Fleury (2012) published a concept analysis on wellness in older adults. Wellness in older adults was theoretically defined as wellness is a purposeful process of individual growth, integration of experience, and meaningful connection with others, reflecting personally valued goals and strengths, and resulting in being well and living values. The concept of wellness in older adults was operationalized as an ever changing process of becoming, integrating, and relating.

Theories are tested in reality; therefore, the concepts must be linked to operational definitions that relate the concepts to observable phenomena specifying empirical indicators. Table 3-5 provides examples of concepts with their theoretical and operational definitions. These linkages are vital to the logic of the theory, its observation, and its measurement.

The concept-building process emerges from practice, incorporating the literature and research findings from multiple disciplines. Concepts are built into a conceptual framework and are further refined. A 10-phase process for concept building is described in the literature (Smith & Liehr, 2008; Smith & Liehr, 2012). The process of concept building is guided by patient stories. The 10 phases are as follows: (1) write a meaningful practice story; (2) name the central phenomenon in the practice story; (3) identify a theoretical lens for viewing the phenomenon; (4) link the phenomenon to existing literature; (5) gather a story from someone who has lived the phenomenon; (6) reconstruct the shared story (from Phase 5) and create a mini-saga that captures its message; (7) identify the core qualities of the phenomenon; (8) use the core qualities to create a definition; (9) create a model of the phenomenon; and (10) write a mini-synthesis that integrates the phenomenon with a population to suggest a research direction. The process, which provides the scaffolding for beginning scholars to move from the familiarity of practice to the unfamiliarity of phenomena for research, will be shared with brief examples that demonstrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>Chinn &amp; Kramer</td>
<td>Buck (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readiness to change</td>
<td>Chinn &amp; Kramer</td>
<td>Dalton &amp; Gottlieb (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acculturation</td>
<td>Morse</td>
<td>Baker (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical sensitivity</td>
<td>Morse</td>
<td>Weaver, Morse, &amp; Mitcham (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability and aging</td>
<td>Rodgers</td>
<td>Greco &amp; Vincent (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moral distress in neuroscience nursing</td>
<td>Rodgers</td>
<td>Russell (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work engagement in nursing</td>
<td>Walker &amp; Avant</td>
<td>Bargagliotti (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>Walker &amp; Avant</td>
<td>Freeman, Baumann, Blythe, Fisher, &amp; Akhtar-Danesh (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant distress</td>
<td>Wilson method</td>
<td>Hatfield &amp; Polomano (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relational Statements

Statements in a theory may state definitions or relationships among concepts. Whereas definitions provide descriptions of the concept, relational statements propose relationships between and among two or more concepts. Concepts are the building blocks of theory, and theoretical statements are the chains that link the blocks to build theory. Concepts must be connected with one another in a series of theoretical statements to devise a nursing theory.

In the connections between variables, one variable may be proposed to influence a second. In this case, the first variable may be viewed as the antecedent or determinate (independent) variable and the second as the consequent or resultant (dependent) variable (Giere, 1997). Zetterberg (1966) concluded that the development of two-variate theoretical statements could be an important intermediate step in the development of a theory. These statements can be reformulated later as the theory evolves or as new information becomes available. An example of an antecedent and a consequent variable is explained looking at the concept of well in older adults, where the antecedents were identified as connecting with others, imagining opportunities, recognizing strengths, and seeking meaning. The consequences identified were living values and being well. These antecedents and consequences were developed from the literature (McMahon & Fleury, 2012).

Theoretical assertions are either a necessary or sufficient condition, or both. These labels characterize conditions that help explain the nature of the relationship between two variables in theoretical statements. For example, a relational statement expressed as a sufficient condition could be: If nurses react with approval of patients’ self-care behaviors (NA), patients increase their efforts in self-care activities (PSC). This is a type of compound statement linking antecedent and consequent variables. The statement does not assert

### Table 3-5 Examples of Theoretical and Operational Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Theoretical Definition</th>
<th>Operational Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body temperature</td>
<td>Homeothermic range of one’s internal environment maintained by the thermoregulatory system of the human body</td>
<td>Degree of temperature measured by oral thermometer taken for 1 minute under the tongue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td>Perceptions of the effects of heart failure and its treatment on daily life*</td>
<td>The physical, emotional, social, and mental dimensions of daily life when diagnosed with heart failure as measured with the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>A pandimensional awareness of the mutual human/environmental field process (integrality) as a manifestation of higher-frequency patterning (resonancy) associated with innovative, increasingly creative and diverse (helicy) experiences‡</td>
<td>Score on the Spiritual Inventory Belief Scale (SIBS), an instrument that measures a person’s spirituality as the search for meaning and purpose§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hardin, Hussey, & Steele, 2003.

potential and lessons learned in nearly a decade of use (Smith & Liehr, 2012, p. 65).
the truth of the antecedent. Rather, the assertion is made that if the antecedent is true, then the consequent is true (Giere, 1979). In addition, no assertion appears in the statement explaining why the antecedent is related to the consequent. In symbolic notation form, the statements may be expressed as:

\[ NA \quad PSC \]
(Antecedent/determinant \quad Consequent/resultant)

A sufficient condition asserts that one variable results in the occurrence of another variable. It does not claim it is the only variable that can result in the occurrence of the other variable. This statement asserts that nurse approval of a patient’s self-care behaviors is sufficient for the occurrence of the patient’s self-care activities. However, patient assumption of self-care activities resulting from other factors, such as the patient’s health status and personality variables, is not ruled out. There may be other antecedent conditions sufficient for the patient’s assumption of self-care activities.

A statement in the form of a necessary condition asserts that one variable is required for the occurrence of another variable. For example: If patients are motivated to get well (\( WM = \) wellness motivation) then they adhere to their prescribed treatment regimen (\( AR \)).

\[ WM \quad AR \]

This means that adherence to a treatment regimen (\( AR \)) never occurs unless wellness motivation (\( WM \)) occurs. It is not asserted that the patients’ adherence to the treatment regimen stems from their wellness motivation. However, it is asserted that if the wellness motivation is absent, patients will not assume strict adherence to their treatment regimens. The wellness motivation is a necessary, but not a sufficient, condition for the occurrence of this consequent.

The term if is generally used to introduce a sufficient condition, whereas only if and if \( \ldots \) then are used to introduce necessary conditions (Giere, 1979). Usually conditional statements are not both necessary and sufficient. However, it is possible for a statement to express both conditions. In such instances, the term if and only if is used to imply that conditions are both necessary and sufficient for one another. In this case, (1) the consequent never occurs in the absence of the antecedent and (2) the consequent always occurs when the antecedent occurs (Giere, 1979). It should be noted that not all conditional statements are causal. For example, “If this month is November, then the next month is December,” does not assert that November causes December to occur; rather, the sequence of months suggests that December follows November (Dubin, 1978; Giere, 1979).

Giere (1997) further differentiates deterministic models from probabilistic models in his discussion of causal statements. Theoretical statements from a deterministic model assert that the presence or absence of one variable determines the presence or absence of a second variable. The probabilistic model is another approach that views humans as complex social and environmental phenomena best conceptualized from a probability framework. Probabilistic statements generally are based on statistical data and assert relationships between variables that do not occur in every instance, but are likely to occur based on some estimate of probability. As an example, it has been asserted that a lack of exercise may lead to obesity, a growing national health problem. It is clear that a lack of exercise (\( LE \)) does not always lead to obesity, because not all couch potatoes become medically obese (\( MO \)). However, the probability of developing medical obesity (\( P MO \)) may be increased for persons who routinely avoid exercise at least to some degree of probability. In symbolic notation:

\[ IF LE \quad P MO \]

Relational statements that assert connections between variables provide for analysis and establish a basis for explanation and prediction (Hage, 1972).

**Linkages and Ordering**

Specification of linkages is a vital part of the development of theory (Hage, 1972). Although the theoretical statements assert connections between concepts, the rationale for the stated connections must be developed and clearly presented. Development of theoretical linkages provides an explanation of why the variables are connected in a certain manner; that is, the theoretical reason for particular relationships (Hage, 1972). Operational linkages contribute testability to the theory by specifying how measurement variables are connected (Hage, 1972). Operational definitions
specify the measurability of the concepts, and operational linkages provide the testability of the assertions. It is the operational linkages that contribute a perspective for understanding the nature of the relationship between concepts, to know whether the relationship between the concepts is negative or positive, linear, or curvilinear (Hage, 1972). A theory may be considered fairly complete if it presents the concepts, definitions, relational statements, and linkages. Complete development of a theory, however, requires organizing the concepts, definitions, relational statements, and linkages into premises and hypotheses (Hage, 1972). A premise is a proposition upon which an argument is based or from which a conclusion is drawn. A hypothesis is a proposed explanation made on the basis of limited evidence as a starting point for further investigation. As the theory evolves, concepts and theoretical statements are developed establishing a logical organization of the theory components. The conceptual arrangement of statements and linkages into premises reveals any areas of inconsistency (Hage, 1972). Premises (or axioms) are the more general assertions from which the hypotheses are derived. It is generally agreed that conceptual ordering of theoretical statements and their linkages is indicated when the theory contains a logical list of theoretical statements.

Reynolds (1971) describes three forms for organizing theory: laws, theory, and causal process (prediction). Each is a different conceptual approach to organization with different limitations. Establishing a set of laws organizes findings from available research in an area of particular interest from the literature for evaluation. Findings are evaluated and sorted into the categories of laws and hypotheses based on the degree of research evidence supporting each assertion (Reynolds, 1971). Limitations to the set-of-laws approach to theory building have been noted.

First, the nature of research requires focusing on the relationships between a limited set of variables, therefore attempts to develop a set-of-laws theory from statements of findings may result in a lengthy number of statements asserting relationships between but limited to two or more variables. The lengthy set of generalizations may be difficult to organize and interrelate. Second, for research to be conducted, concepts must be operationally defined so they can be measurable. Therefore, the reported empirical findings may eliminate the abstract or theoretical concepts that are necessary to understand the phenomenon of interest (Foster, 1997).

Reynolds (1971) concluded that the set-of-laws approach provides for classification of phenomena or prediction of relationships between selected variables, however it does not further understanding or advance science since it is based on what is already known. Finally, Reynolds (1971) notes that each statement or law is considered to be independent, since the various statements have not been interrelated into a system of description and explanation or evolved from an organized conceptual model or framework.

Table 3-6 describes the principles of theory development: laws, hypotheses, and theory. Therefore, each statement must be tested since the statements are not interrelated, and one statement does not provide support for another statement. This set of laws may be useful to begin theory development; however, research efforts must be more extensive.

The organization of a theory is an interrelated, logical system. Specifically, a theory consists of explicit definitions, a set of concepts, a set of existence statements, and a set of relationship statements arranged in hierarchical order (Reynolds, 1971). The concepts may include abstract, intermediate concepts, and concrete concepts. The set-of-existence statements describe situations in which the theory is applicable. Statements that delineate the boundaries describe the scope of the theory (Dubin, 1978; Hage, 1972;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3-6 Theory Development Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Principle</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relational statements consist of axioms and propositions. Abstract, theoretical statements, or axioms, are at the top of the hierarchy of relational statements. The other propositions are developed through logical deduction from the axioms or from research findings in the literature (Table 3-7). This results in a highly interrelated, explanatory system.

Theorists avoid the problem of contradictory axioms by using a conceptual system with a few broad axioms from which a set of propositions are derived. The seven nursing conceptual models (Unit III, Chapters 12 to 18) in this text are examples of frameworks with broad axioms from which theory may be developed. As science progresses and new empirical data are known, the general axioms may be modified or extended. Examples of this type of extension are some of the nursing theories and middle-range theories that were developed using a nursing conceptual model as their broad axioms. However, these additions must be consistent with the logical system of the model and not include contradictions in the theory, or the theory will be rejected (Schlotfeldt, 1992). New theories may also subsume portions of previous theories as special cases (Brown, 1977). Einstein’s theory of relativity incorporating Newton’s law of gravitation is a classic example. Axiomatic theories (theories with equations) are less common in the social and behavioral sciences, but they are quite evident in the fields of physics and mathematics.

Developing theories in axiomatic form has several advantages (Reynolds, 1971; Salmon, 1973). First, because theory is a set of interrelated statements in which some statements derive from others, only concepts to be measured need to be operationally defined (Reynolds, 1971). This allows the theorist to incorporate highly abstract less measurable concepts to provide explanation. The theoretical system also may be more efficient for explanation than a lengthy number of theoretical statements in the form of laws. In addition, empirical support for one theoretical statement may be based on findings of support from earlier research, thereby permitting less extensive research than the requirement to test each statement in the laws form. In certain instances, the theory may be organized in a causal process form to increase understanding and substantiate findings.

The distinguishing feature of the causal process form of theory development is the theoretical statements that specify causal mechanisms between independent and dependent variables. Hence, the states

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation: Start with an observation that evokes a question.</td>
<td>Autotransfusion is time-consuming for nurses caring for total knee replacement patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical hypothesis: Using abductive, inductive, or deductive logic, state a possible answer (hypothesis).</td>
<td>Autotransfusion patients have a higher hemoglobin level at discharge than allogenic blood recipients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing: Perform an experiment or test.</td>
<td>Autotransfusion use results in an increased hemoglobin level at discharge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory: If experiments from other researchers support your hypothesis, it will become a theory.</td>
<td>No theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are to some degree attempting to predict. This form of theory organization consists of a set of concepts, a set of definitions, a set of existence statements, and a set of theoretical statements specifying a causal process (Reynolds, 1971). Concepts include abstract and concrete ideas. Existence statements function as they do in axiomatic theories to describe the scope conditions of the theory; that is, the assumed situations where the theory applies (Dubin, 1978; Hage, 1972; Reynolds, 1971). Causal statements specify the hypothesized effects of one variable upon one or more other variables for testing. In complex causal processes, feedback loops and paths of influence through several variables are hypothesized in a set of interrelated causal statements (Mullins, 1971; Nowak, 1975). Reynolds (1971) concludes that the causal process form of theory provides for testing an explanation of the process of how events happen. He identified several advantages of the causal process form of organization. First, like axiomatic theory, it provides for highly abstract, theoretical concepts. Second, like axiomatic theory, this form permits more efficient research testing with its interrelated theoretical statements. Finally, the causal process statements provide a sense of understanding in the phenomenon of interest that is not possible with other forms. This is a highly developed form of theory development that builds successively on previous research findings in the researchers’ area of research with extensive theory building and testing over time. Figure 3-1 displays a causal model for testing a theory of active coping. The broken lines show direction of expected linkage. The dotted lines indicate potential new relationships. The arrows indicate the direction of cause that is predicted in the hypotheses of the study. The numbers along the lines identify previous studies that lend support for the relationships being proposed.

Contemporary Issues in Nursing Theory Development

Theoretical Boundaries and Levels to Advance Nursing Science

Since Fawcett’s (1984) seminal proposal of the four metaparadigm concepts: person, environment, health, and nursing, general agreement has emerged among nursing scholars such that the proposed framework is now used without reference to the author for the development of nursing science. In general, a metaparadigm should specify the broad boundaries of the phenomenon of concern in a discipline, for example, to set nursing apart from other disciplines, such as

medicine, clinical exercise physiology, or sociology. Fawcett (2005) proposed that a metaparadigm defines the totality of phenomena inherent in the discipline in a parsimonious way, as well as being perspective-neutral and international in scope. Her definition of perspective-neutral is that the metaparadigm concepts reflect nursing but not any particular nursing conceptual model or paradigm. This criterion is clearly illustrated as the nursing models and paradigms include the metaparadigm concepts but define each in distinctly different ways. This supports their generic nature as broad metaparadigm concepts but with specificity within each conceptual theory or paradigm. It is important to grasp the significance of Fawcett’s point. Since the metaparadigm is the highly philosophical level in the structure of knowledge, models and theories define the terms specifically within each of their works, and differences among them is anticipated. Thorne and colleagues (1998) proposed that it was not productive to continue metaparadigm debates about which conceptual system should define these concepts, and that each conceptual model is labeled as a nursing conceptual model because it clearly addresses each metaparadigm concept, though from different philosophical perspectives. Scholarly debates are expected to continue among doctoral students and communities of scholars engaged in scholarship and inquiry. Discussions in the nursing discipline and approaches to nursing knowledge are anticipated as nurses address dynamic social obligations, tentativeness of theory, and new developments as the discipline advances (Monti & Tingen, 1999).

Viewing the metaparadigm from different cultural perspectives enhances our understanding and expands our ideas as the discipline develops globally. For example, the work conducted by Kao, Reeder, Hsu, & Cheng (2006) proposes a Chinese view of the western nursing paradigm through the lens of Confucianism and Taoism. The concept of person is more than a biopsychosocial spiritual being, but also encompasses being responsibility bound. Health includes the flow of qi, yin-yang, and the five phases: wood, water, fire, metal, and earth. The challenge in knowledge development is to learn how to consider nursing phenomena through many lenses and to enhance the development of knowledge and improve nursing of people around the globe.

In the discipline of nursing, the earlier focus on theory development has evolved to an emphasis on theory utilization with development and use of middle-range theories focused at the practice level (Acton, Irvin, Jensen, Hopkins, & Miller, 1997; Good, 1998; Im & Meleis, 1999; Lawson, 2003; Liehr & Smith, 1999; Smith & Liehr, 2008; Smith & Liehr, 2002). Situation-specific theories (the term preferred by Meleis, 2007) are applicable to a nursing problem or specific group of patients. An integrative approach to situation-specific theories is summarized as involving four broad interrelated steps: checking assumptions for theory development, exploring the phenomenon through multiple sources, theorizing, and reporting/validating (Im, 2005, 2006).

Middle-range theory was described very early in the nursing literature by a sociologist (Merton, 1967). He proposed that it focused on specific phenomena (rather than attempting to address a broader range of phenomena) and was comprised of hypotheses with two or more concepts that are linked together in a conceptual system. Today in the nursing literature, many middle-range theories are developed qualitatively from practice observations and interviews and quantitatively from nursing conceptual models or theories. Middle-range theory is pragmatic at the practice level and contains specific aspects about the practice situation as follows:

- The situation or health condition involved
- Client population or age-group
- Location or area of practice (such as community)
- Action of the nurse or the intervention

It is these specifics that make middle-range theory so applicable to nursing practice (Alligood, 2010, p. 482). Therefore, the development of middle-range theory facilitates conceptions of relationships between theory, nursing practice, and patient outcomes in focused areas. In 1996, Lenz (in Liehr & Smith, 1999) identified the following six approaches for devising middle-range theories:

1. Inductive approach through research
2. Deductive approach from grand nursing theories
3. Integration of nursing and non-nursing theories
4. Derivative (retroductive) approach from non-nursing theories
5. Theories devised from guidelines for clinical practice
6. Synthesis approach from research findings
Liehr and Smith (1999) reviewed 10 years of nursing literature on middle-range theories from 1985 and 1995 and located 22 middle-range theories that could be categorized in five approaches to theory building. They did not identify any theories devised by simply synthesizing research findings.

The nursing literature abounds with a range of different approaches to middle-range theory building and development. The recent nursing literature emphasizes the importance of relating middle-range theories to broader nursing theories and paradigms and continuing to pursue empirical testing and the replication of studies to advance nursing knowledge. Fahs, Morgan, and Kalman (2003) have called for the replication of research studies to ensure that nursing scholars can provide “a (reliable) research-to-practice link . . . that (provides) “safe, effective, quality care to consumers” (p. 70). Middle-range theories have essentially grown over the last 10 years with textbooks into their second editions (Peterson, 2008; Sieloff & Frey, 2007; Smith & Liehr, 2008) and being taught in graduate education for theory-based practice.

Numerous authors have proposed criteria to evaluate theories (Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett, 2005; Meleis, 2007; Parker, 2006). They reflect the importance of nursing knowledge to the future of the discipline and some diversity in approaches. Is the theory relevant, significant, or functional to the discipline of nursing? Chapter 1 presents the criteria used for analysis of theory in this text (Chinn & Kramer, 2011).

**Nursing Theory, Practice, and Research**

Theory-testing research may lead one nursing theory to fall aside as new theory is developed that explains nursing phenomena more adequately. Therefore, it is critical that theory-testing research continues to advance the discipline. Nursing scholars have presented criteria for evaluating theory-testing research in nursing (Silva, 1986; Acton, Irvin, & Hopkins, 1991). These criteria emphasize the importance of using a nursing framework to design the purpose and focus of the study, to derive hypotheses, and to relate the significance of the findings back to nursing. In addition to the call for more rigorous theory-testing research in nursing, nursing scholars and practitioners call for increased attention to the relationships among theory, research, and practice. Their recommendations include the following:

- Continued development of nursing theories that are relevant to nurses' specialty practice
- Increased collaboration between scientists and practitioners (Lorentzon, 1998)
- Encouraging nurse researchers to communicate research findings to practitioners
- Increased efforts to relate middle-range theories to nursing paradigms
- Increased emphasis on clinical research
- Increased use of nursing theories for theory-based practice and clinical decision making

(See Chinn and Kramer, 2011; Cody, 1999; Hoffman and Bertus, 1991; Liehr and Smith, 1999; Lutz, Jones, & Kendall, 1997; Reed, 2000; and Sparacino, 1991.)

Within education, some use one theory guiding nursing curricula, however others utilize a framework of the metaparadigm. Malinski (2000) and others have urged increased attention to nursing theory–based research and strengthening of nursing theory–based curricula, especially in master's and doctoral programs.

Regarding the use of nursing knowledge in clinical practice, Cody asserted, “It is a professional nurse's ethical responsibility to utilize the knowledge base of her or his discipline” (1997, p. 4). In 1992, in the first issue of the journal *Clinical Nursing Research*, Schlotfeldt stated the following:

“It will be nursing's clinical scholars . . . that will identify the human phenomena that are central to nurses’ practice . . . and that provoke consideration of the practice problems about which knowledge is needed but is not yet available. It is nursing's clinical scholarship that must be depended on to generate promising theories for testing that will advance nursing knowledge and ensure nursing's continued essential services to humankind.”

*(Schlotfeldt, 1992, p. 9)*

In summary, contemporary nursing scholars are emphasizing the following in theory-building processes:

- Continued development of theoretical inquiry in nursing
- Continued scholarship with middle-range theories and situation-specific theories, including efforts to relate to nursing theories and paradigms
• Greater attention to synthesizing nursing knowledge
• Development of stronger nursing theory-research-practice linkages

The discipline of nursing has evolved to an understanding of the relationships among theory, practice, and research that no longer separates them into distinct categories. Rather, their complementary interrelationships foster the development of new understanding about practice as theory is used to guide practice and practice innovations drive new-middle range theory. Similarly, nurse scientists have reached a new understanding of the relationship of theory to research as quantitative study reports include explicit descriptions of their frameworks and qualitative researchers interpret their findings in the context of nursing frameworks. The complementary nature of these relationships is fostering nursing science growth in this theory utilization era. So the chapter concludes as it began. Emphasis on theory is important because theory development in nursing is an essential component in nursing scholarship to advance the knowledge of the discipline.

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITY

1. Show a photograph from the John A. Hartford Foundation website, available at http://www.bandwidthonline.org/images.asp. Look at the photograph for a minute, and ask yourself, What do I see? Make a list. Come back to the photo a second time, and ask yourself if this list is accurate. Then ask yourself what question comes to mind when looking at the photo. What is missing from the photo? What is missing from the situation? How did the situation in the photo occur and why? Each type of question will lead to different types of thinking.∗

2. Move thinking from dualist to contextual with this exercise. Use the analogy of a building. Take a piece of paper and draw a building. At the foundation of the building, write paradigm. Label the walls conceptual models. Conceptual models are the structure supported by the foundational paradigm. Then color the interior walls inside the building and label this theory. Theories are similar to interior wall configuration. Some configurations have a clear purpose, and others do not. All interior walls are bound by outside walls (conceptual models) and supported by the foundation (paradigm). Draw the inside of a room with all of its décor. The unique concepts of theories are similar to the unique aspects of the décor. The décor are observable as are the concepts of a conceptual model.$


POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- Classic References
REFERENCES


This chapter presents the structure for specialized nursing knowledge used for the organization of the units of this text. As presented in Chapter 1, the requirement for a body of specialized knowledge for recognition of nursing as a profession was a driving force in the twentieth century. Because of the importance of nurses to the nation’s health, early in the twentieth century, studies of nursing were legislated and conducted by sociologists who recommended that nursing be developed as a profession. The criteria for a profession provided guidance in this process (Bixler & Bixler, 1959; Kalish & Kalish, 2003). The criterion that called for specialized nursing knowledge and knowledge structure was a particularly important driving force in recognition of nursing as a profession (Bixler & Bixler, 1959). The criterion reads:

*Utilizes in its practice a well-defined and well-organized body of specialized knowledge [that] is on the intellectual level of the higher learning* (p. 1143).

The types of knowledge, levels, and examples of each are included in Table 4-1. The theoretical works presented in Chapters 6 to 36 are nursing frameworks organized into four types. Box 4-1 lists the theorists included in each type. The placement of works within the four types reflects a level of abstraction or the preference of the theorist.

The first type is nursing philosophy. Philosophy is the most abstract type and sets forth the meaning of nursing phenomena through analysis, reasoning, and logical presentation. Early works that predate the nursing theory era, such as Nightingale (1969/1859), contributed to knowledge development by providing direction or a basis for subsequent developments. Later works reflect contemporary human science and its methods (Alligood, 2010a; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Meleis, 2007). Selected works classified as nursing philosophies are presented in Unit II, Chapters 6 to 11.

A second type, nursing conceptual models, comprises nursing works by theorists referred to by some as pioneers in nursing (Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett, 2005; Meleis, 2007). Fawcett (2005) explains, “A conceptual model provides a distinct frame of reference for its

---

### Table 4-1 Knowledge Structure Levels with Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure Level</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metaparadigm</td>
<td>Person, environment, health, and nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy</td>
<td>Nightingale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conceptual models</td>
<td>Neuman’s systems model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>Neuman’s theory of optimal client stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle-range theory</td>
<td>Maintaining optimal client stability with structured activity (body recall) in a community setting for healthy aging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

adherents . . . that tells them how to observe and interpret the phenomena of interest to the discipline” (p. 16). The nursing models are comprehensive, and each addresses the metaparadigm concepts of person, environment, health, and nursing (Fawcett, 1984; 2000; 2005). The nursing conceptual models have explicit theories derived from them by the theorist or other nurse scholars and implicit theories within them yet to be developed (Alligood, 2010b; Wood, 2010). Works classified as nursing models are in Unit III, Chapters 12 to 18.

The third type, nursing theory, comprises works derived from nursing philosophies, conceptual models, abstract nursing theories, or works in other disciplines (Alligood, 2010a; Wood, 2010). A work classified as a nursing theory is developed from some conceptual framework and is generally not as specific as a middle-range theory. Although some use the terms model and theory interchangeably, theories differ from models in that they propose a testable action (Alligood 2010a; 2010b; Wood, 2010). An example of theory derived from a nursing model is in Roy’s work, where she derives a theory of the person as an adaptive system from her Adaptation model. The abstract level of Roy’s theory in this example facilitates derivation of many middle-range theories specific to nursing practice from it (Alligood 2010b; 2010c). Theories may be specific to a particular aspect or setting of nursing practice. Another example is Meleis’s transition theory (Chapter 20) that is specific to changes in a person’s life process in health and illness. Nursing theories are presented in Unit IV, Chapters 19 to 26.

The fourth type, middle-range theory, has the most specific focus and is concrete in its level of abstraction (Alligood 2010b, 2010c; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett, 2005). Middle-range theories are precise and answer specific nursing practice questions. They address the specifics of nursing situations within the perspective of the model or theory from which they are derived (Alligood, 2010a, 2006b; Fawcett, 2005; Wood, 2010). The specifics are such things as the age group of the patient, the family situation, the patient’s health condition, the location of the patient, and, most importantly,
the action of the nurse (Alligood, 2010a; Wood, 2010). There are many examples of middle-range theories in the nursing literature that have been developed inductively as well as deductively. Selected middle-range theories are presented in Unit V, Chapters 27 to 36.

Over the years since the first edition of Nursing Theorists and Their Work (1986), the volume of theoretical works has expanded considerably. There are nurses who made significant contributions during the pre-paradigm period of nursing knowledge development (Hardy, 1978). References to early works in the literature became increasingly limited in spite of their important contributions to the development of specialized nursing knowledge. Therefore, in the 6th edition of this text (2006), exemplars from that early development began to be recognized for their significant contributions to nursing knowledge development. This unit on the Evolution of Nursing Theoretical Works concludes with ten exemplars of early theoretical work of historical significance presented in Chapter 5 (Box 4-2). Those who are interested in learning more about these early nursing pioneers or any theorist’s work included in this text are referred to the their original publications.

**BOX 4-2 Early Theorists of Historical Significance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theorist</th>
<th>Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hildegard E. Peplau</td>
<td>1909 to 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Henderson</td>
<td>1897 to 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faye Glenn Abdellah</td>
<td>1919 to present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnestine Wiedenbach</td>
<td>1900 to 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lydia Hall</td>
<td>1906 to 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce Travelbee</td>
<td>1926 to 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathryn E. Barnard</td>
<td>1938 to present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evelyn Adam</td>
<td>1929 to present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Roper*</td>
<td>1918 to 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winifred Logan*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison J. Tierney*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ida Jean Orlando Pelletier</td>
<td>1926 to 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 5

Nursing Theorists of Historical Significance

Marie E. Pokorny

“The idea of nursing, historically rooted in the care of the sick and in the provision of nurturance for those vulnerable to ill health, is foundational to the profession.”

(Wolf, 2006, p. 301)

This chapter presents selected theorists who are noted for their development of nursing theory during the pre-paradigm period. They each represent an important contribution to the development of specialized nursing knowledge.

Hildegard E. Peplau

Theory of Interpersonal Relations

Hildegard E. Peplau has been described as the mother of psychiatric nursing because her theoretical and clinical work led to the development of the distinct specialty field of psychiatric nursing. Her scope of influence in nursing includes her contributions as a psychiatric nursing expert, educator, author, and nursing leader and theorist.

Peplau provided major leadership in the professionalization of nursing. She served as executive director and president of the American Nurses Association (ANA). She was instrumental in the ANA (1980) definition of nursing that was nursing’s declaration of a social contract with society in Nursing: A Social Policy Statement (Butts and Rich, 2011). She promoted professional standards and regulation through credentialing. Peplau taught the first classes for graduate psychiatric nursing students at Teachers College, Columbia University, and she stressed the importance of nurses’ ability to understand their own behavior to help others identify perceived difficulties. Her seminal book, Interpersonal Relations in Nursing (1952), describes the importance of the nurse-patient relationship as a “significant, therapeutic interpersonal...
process” (p. 16) and is recognized as the first nursing theory textbook since Nightingale’s work in the 1850s. She discussed four psychobiological experiences that compel destructive or constructive patient responses, as follows: needs, frustrations, conflicts, and anxieties. Peplau identified four phases of the nurse-patient relationship: orientation, identification, exploitation, and resolution (Figure 5-1), diagrammed changing aspects of nurse-patient relationships (Figure 5-2), and proposed and described six nursing roles: stranger, resource person, teacher, leader, surrogate, and counselor (Figure 5-3).

Peplau had professional relationships with others in psychiatry, medicine, education, and sociology that influenced her view of what a profession is and does and what it should be (Sills, 1998). Her work was influenced by Freud, Maslow, and Sullivan’s interpersonal relationship theories, and by the contemporaneous psychoanalytical model. She borrowed the psychological model to synthesize her Theory of Interpersonal Relations (Haber, 2000). Her work on nurse-patient relationships is known well internationally and continues to influence nursing practice and research. Recent publications using her model include research in staff-student relationships (Aghamohammadi-Kalkhoran, Karimollahi & Abdi, 2011), psychiatric workforce development (Hanrahan, Delaney, & Stuart 2012), care of patients with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (Keoghan, 2011), subject recruitment, retention and participation in research (Penckofer, Byrn, Mumby, & Ferrans, 2011), the practice environment of nurses working in inpatient mental health (Roche, Duffield & White, 2011), and therapeutic relationships between women with anorexia and health care professionals (Wright & Hacking, 2012). Peplau’s work is specific to the nurse-patient relationship and is a theory for the practice of nursing.
Virginia Henderson

Definition of Nursing

Virginia Henderson viewed the patient as an individual who requires help toward achieving independence and completeness or wholeness of mind and body. She clarified the practice of nursing as independent from the practice of physicians and acknowledged her interpretation of the nurse’s role as a synthesis of many influences. Her work is based on (1) Thorndike, an American psychologist, (2) her experiences with the Henry House Visiting Nurse Agency, (3) experience in rehabilitation nursing, and (4) Orlando’s conceptualization of deliberate nursing action (Henderson, 1964; Orlando, 1961).

Henderson emphasized the art of nursing and proposed 14 basic human needs on which nursing care is based. Her contributions include defining nursing, delineating autonomous nursing functions, stressing goals of interdependence for the patient, and creating self-help concepts. Her self-help concepts influenced the works of Abdellah and Adam (Abdellah, Beland, Martin, & Matheney, 1960; Adam, 1980, 1991).

Henderson made extraordinary contributions to nursing during her 60 years of service as a nurse, teacher, author, and researcher, and she published extensively throughout those years. Henderson wrote three books that have become nursing classics: *Textbook of the Principles and Practice of Nursing* (1955), *Basic Principles of Nursing Care* (1960), and *The Nature of Nursing* (1966). Her major contribution to nursing research was an 11-year Yale-sponsored Nursing Studies Index Project published as a four-volume-annotated index of nursing’s biographical, analytical, and historical literature from 1900 to 1959.

In 1958, the nursing service committee of the International Council of Nurses (ICN) asked Henderson to describe her concept of nursing. This now historical definition, published by ICN in 1961, represented her final crystallization on the subject:

“The unique function of the nurse is to assist the individual, sick or well, in the performance of those activities contributing to health or its recovery (or to peaceful death) that he would perform unaided if he had the necessary strength, will, or knowledge; and to do this in such a way as to help him gain independence as rapidly as possible”

(Henderson, 1964, p. 63).

Henderson’s definition of nursing was adopted subsequently by the ICN and disseminated widely; it continues to be used worldwide. In *The Nature of Nursing: A Definition and Its Implications for Practice, Research, and Education*, Henderson (1966) proposed 14 basic needs upon which nursing care is based (Box 5-1).

Henderson identified three levels of nurse-patient relationships in which the nurse acts as: (1) a substitute for the patient, (2) a helper to the patient, and (3) a partner with the patient. Through the interpersonal process, the nurse must get “inside the skin” of each of her patients in order to know what help
Henderson's work is viewed as a nursing philosophy of purpose and function.

**Faye Glenn Abdellah**

**Twenty-One Nursing Problems**

Faye Glenn Abdellah is recognized as a leader in the development of nursing research and nursing as a profession within the Public Health Service (PHS) and as an international expert on health problems. She was named a “living legend” by the American Academy of Nursing in 1994 and was inducted into the National Women's Hall of Fame in 2000 for a lifetime spent establishing and leading essential health care programs for the United States. In 2012, Abdellah was inducted into the American Nurses Association Hall of Fame for a lifetime of contributions to nursing (ANA News Release, 2012).

Abdellah has been active in professional nursing associations and is a prolific author, with more than 150 publications. During her 40-year career as a Commissioned Officer in the U.S. Public Health Service (1949 to 1989), she served as Chief Nurse Officer (1970 to 1987) and was the first nurse to achieve the rank of a two-star Flag Officer (Abdellah, 2004) and the first woman and nurse Deputy Surgeon General (1982 to 1989). After retirement, Abdellah founded and served as the first dean in the Graduate School of Nursing, GSN, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS).

Abdellah considers her greatest accomplishment being able to “play a role in establishing a foundation for nursing research as a science” (p. iii). Her book, *Patient-Centered Approaches to Nursing*, emphasizes the science of nursing and has elicited changes throughout nursing curricula. Her work, which is based on the problem-solving method, serves as a vehicle for delineating nursing (patient) problems as the patient moves toward a healthy outcome.

Abdellah views nursing as an art and a science that mold the attitude, intellectual competencies, and technical skills of the individual nurse into the desire and ability to help individuals cope with their health needs, whether they are ill or well. She formulated 21 nursing problems based on a review of nursing research studies (Box 5-2). She used Henderson's 14 basic human needs (see Box 5-1) and nursing

---

**BOX 5-1  Henderson’s 14 Needs**

1. Breathe normally.
2. Eat and drink adequately.
3. Eliminate body wastes.
4. Move and maintain desirable postures.
5. Sleep and rest.
6. Select suitable clothes; dress and undress.
7. Maintain body temperature within a normal range by adjusting clothing and modifying the environment.
8. Keep the body clean and well groomed and protect the integument.
9. Avoid dangers in the environment and avoid injuring others.
10. Communicate with others in expressing emotions, needs, fears, or opinions.
11. Worship according to one's faith.
12. Work in such a way that there is a sense of accomplishment.
13. Play or participate in various forms of recreation.
14. Learn, discover, or satisfy the curiosity that leads to normal development and health, and use the available health facilities.

Abdellah’s work is a set of problems formulated in terms of nursing-centered services, which are used to determine the patient’s needs. Her contribution to nursing theory development is the systematic analysis of research reports and creation of 21 nursing problems that guide comprehensive nursing care. The typology of her 21 nursing problems first appeared in *Patient-Centered Approaches to Nursing* (Abdellah, Beland, Martin, & Matheney, 1960). It evolved into *Preparing for Nursing Research in the 21st Century: Evolution, Methodologies, and Challenges* (Abdellah & Levine, 1994). The 21 nursing problems progressed to a second-generation development referred to as *patient problems and patient outcomes*. Abdellah educated the public on AIDS, drug addiction, violence, smoking, and alcoholism. Her work is a problem-centered approach or philosophy of nursing. Abdellah’s papers are available at: http://www.nlm.nih.gov/hmd/manuscripts/msc.html.

**Ernestine Wiedenbach**

**The Helping Art of Clinical Nursing**

Ernestine Wiedenbach is known for her work in theory development and maternal infant nursing developed while teaching maternity nursing at the School of Nursing, Yale University. Wiedenbach taught with Ida Orlando at Yale University and wrote with philosophers Dickoff and James a classic work on theory in a practice discipline that is used by those studying the evolution of nursing theory (Dickoff, James, & Wiedenbach, 1968). She directed

**BOX 5-2 Abdellah’s Typology of 21 Nursing Problems**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>To maintain good hygiene and physical comfort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>To promote optimal activity: exercise, rest, sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>To promote safety through prevention of accident, injury, or other trauma and through prevention of the spread of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>To maintain good body mechanics and prevent and correct deformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>To facilitate the maintenance of a supply of oxygen to all body cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>To facilitate the maintenance of nutrition for all body cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>To facilitate the maintenance of elimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>To facilitate the maintenance of fluid and electrolyte balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>To recognize the physiologic responses of the body to disease conditions—pathologic, physiologic, and compensatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>To facilitate the maintenance of regulatory mechanisms and functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>To facilitate the maintenance of sensory function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>To identify and accept positive and negative expressions, feelings, and reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>To identify and accept interrelatedness of emotions and organic illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>To facilitate the maintenance of effective verbal and nonverbal communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>To promote the development of productive interpersonal relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>To facilitate progress toward achievement and personal spiritual goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>To create or maintain a therapeutic environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>To facilitate awareness of self as an individual with varying physical, emotional, and developmental needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>To accept the optimum possible goals in the light of limitations, physical and emotional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>To use community resources as an aid in resolving problems that arise from illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>To understand the role of social problems as influencing factors in the cause of illness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the major curriculum in maternal and newborn health nursing when the Yale School of Nursing established a master’s degree program (Kaplan & King, 2000) and authored books used widely in nursing education. Her definition of nursing reflects her nurse-midwife background as follows: “People may differ in their concept of nursing, but few would disagree that nursing is nurturing or caring for someone in a motherly fashion” (Wiedenbach, 1964, p. 1).

Wiedenbach’s orientation is a philosophy of nursing that guides the nurse’s action in the art of nursing. She specified four elements of clinical nursing: philosophy, purpose, practice, and art. She postulated that clinical nursing is directed toward meeting the patient’s perceived need for help in a vision of nursing that reflects considerable emphasis on the art of nursing. She followed Orlando’s theory of deliberate rather than automatic nursing and incorporated the steps of the nursing process. In her book (1964), Clinical Nursing: A Helping Art, Wiedenbach outlines nursing steps in sequence.

Wiedenbach proposes that nurses identify patients’ need for help in the following ways:
1. Observing behaviors consistent or inconsistent with their comfort
2. Exploring the meaning of their behavior
3. Determining the cause of their discomfort or incapability
4. Determining whether they can resolve their problems or have a need for help

Following this, the nurse administers the help needed (Figure 5-4) and validates that the need for help was met (Figure 5-5) (Wiedenbach, 1964). Wiedenbach proposed that prescriptive theory would guide and improve nursing practice. Her work is considered a philosophy of the art of nursing.

**Lydia Hall**

**Core, Care, and Cure Model**

Lydia Hall was a rehabilitation nurse who used her philosophy of nursing to establish the Loeb Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation at Montefiore Hospital in New York. She served as administrative director of the Loeb Center from the time of its opening in 1963 until her death in 1969. In the 1960s, she published more than 20 articles about the Loeb Center and her theories of long-term care and chronic disease control. In 1964, Hall’s work was presented in “Nursing: What Is It?” in The Canadian Nurse. In 1969, the Loeb Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation was discussed in the International Journal of Nursing Studies.

Hall argued for the provision of hospital beds grouped into units that focus on the delivery of therapeutic nursing. The Loeb plan has been seen as similar to what later emerged as “primary nursing” (Wiggins, 1980). An evaluation study of the Loeb Center for Nursing published in 1975 revealed that those admitted to the nursing unit when compared with those in a traditional unit were readmitted less often, were more independent, had higher postdischarge quality of life, and were more satisfied with their hospital experience (Hall, Alfano, Rifkin, & Levine, 1975).

Hall used three interlocking circles to represent aspects of the patient and nursing functions. The care circle represents the patient’s body, the cure circle represents the disease that affects the patient’s physical system, and the core circle represents the inner feelings and management of the person (Figure 5-6). The three circles change in size and overlap in relation to the patient’s phase in the disease process. A nurse functions in all three circles but to different degrees. For example, in the care phase, the nurse gives hands-on bodily care to the patient in relation to activities of daily living such as toileting and bathing. In the cure phase, the nurse applies medical knowledge to treatment of the person, and in the core phase, the nurse addresses the social and emotional needs of the patient for effective communication and a comfortable environment (Touhy & Birnbach, 2001). Nurses also share the circles with other providers. Lydia Hall’s theory was used to show improvement in patient-nurse communication, self-growth, and self-awareness in patients whose heart failure was managed in the home setting (McCoy, Davidhizar, & Gillum, 2007) and for the nursing process and critical thinking linked to disaster preparedness (Bulson, & Bulson, 2011).

Hall believed that professional nursing care hastened recovery, and as less medical care was needed, more professional nursing care and teaching were necessary. She stressed the autonomous function of nursing. Her contribution to nursing theory was the development and use of her philosophy of nursing.
Nurse formulates plan for meeting patient’s need-for-help based on available resources: what patient thinks, knows, can do, has done + what nurse thinks, knows, can do, has done

Nurse presents plan to patient → Patient responds to presentation of plan

Nurse perceives patient’s behavior as consistent or inconsistent with her concept of acceptance of the plan

Nurse explores, for purpose of clarification, meaning to patient of perceived behavior following presentation of plan

Patient concurs with plan

Nurse suggests to patient way of implementing plan

Patient accepts suggestion

Nurse implements plan: Ministration of help needed

Patient indicates ability to resolve problem

Patient has no need-for-help

Patient indicates inability to resolve problem

Patient has need-for-help

Nurse explores patient’s ability to resolve problem

Nurse explores for cause of patient’s nonacceptance

Patient reveals cause of nonacceptance: interfering problem

Patient’s immediate need: to resolve problem

Nurse may seek help in effort to elicit definitive response

Patient does not reveal cause of nonacceptance

Nurse may seek help in effort to establish cause of patient’s nonacceptance

Patient does not concur with plan

Nurse suggests to patient way of implementing plan

Patient does not accept suggestion

Nurse may seek help in effort to establish cause of patient’s nonacceptance

care at the Loeb Center for Nursing and Rehabilitation in New York. She recognized professional nurses and encouraged them to contribute to patient outcomes. Hall's work is viewed as a philosophy of nursing.

### Joyce Travelbee

#### Human-to-Human Relationship Model

Joyce Travelbee presented her Human-to-Human Relationship Theory in her book, *Interpersonal Aspects of Nursing* (1966, 1971). She published predominantly in the mid-1960s and died at a young age in 1973. Travelbee proposed that the goal of nursing was to assist an individual, family, or community to prevent or cope with the experiences of illness and suffering and, if necessary, to find meaning in these experiences, with the ultimate goal being the presence of hope (Travelbee, 1966, 1971). She discussed her theory with Victor Frankel (1963), whom she credits along with Rollo May (1953) for influencing her thinking (Meleis, 2007). Travelbee's work was conceptual, and she wrote about illness, suffering, pain, hope, communication, interaction, empathy, sympathy, rapport, and therapeutic use of self. She proposed that nursing was accomplished through human-to-human relationships that began with (1) the original encounter and progressed through stages of (2) emerging identities, (3) developing feelings of empathy and, later, (4) sympathy, until (5) the nurse and the patient attained rapport in the final stage (Figure 5-7). Travelbee believed that it was as important to sympathize as it was to empathize if the nurse and the patient were to develop a *human-to-human* relationship (Travelbee, 1964). She was explicit about the patient's and the nurse's spirituality, observing the following:

> “It is believed the spiritual values a person holds will determine, to a great extent, his perception of illness. The spiritual values of the nurse or her philosophical beliefs about illness and suffering will determine the degree to which he or she will be able to help ill persons find meaning, or no meaning, in these situations”

(Travelbee, 1971, p. 16).

Travelbee's theory extended the interpersonal relationship theories of Peplau and Orlando, and her unique synthesis of their ideas differentiated her work in terms of the therapeutic human relationship.

**Kathryn E. Barnard**

**Child Health Assessment**

Kathryn E. Barnard is an active researcher, educator, and consultant. She has published extensively since the mid-1960s about improving the health of infants and their families. She is Professor Emeritus of Nursing and the founder and director of the Center on Infant Mental Health and Development at the University of Washington. Her pioneering work to improve the physical and mental health outcomes of infants and young children earned her numerous honors, including the Gustav O. Leinhard Award from the Institute of Medicine, and the Episteme Award and the Living Legend Award in 2006 from the American Academy of Nursing. Barnard began by studying mentally and physically handicapped children and adults, moved into the activities of the well child, and expanded to methods of evaluating the growth and development of children and mother-infant relationships, and finally how environment influences development for children and families (Barnard, 2004). She is the founder of the Nursing Child Assessment Satellite Training Project (NCAST), providing health care workers around the globe with guidelines for assessing infant development and parent-child interactions.
Although Barnard never intended to develop the theory, her longitudinal nursing child assessment study provided the basis for a Child Health Assessment Interaction Theory (Figure 5-8). Barnard (1978) proposed that individual characteristics of members influence the parent-infant system, and adaptive behavior modifies those characteristics to meet the needs of the system. Her theory borrows from psychology and human development and focuses on mother-infant interaction with the environment. Barnard's theory is based on scales designed to measure the effects of feeding, teaching, and environment (Kelly & Barnard, 2000). Her theory remains population specific; it was originally designed to be applicable to interactions between the caregiver and the child in the first year and has been expanded to three years of life (Masters, 2012). With continual research, Barnard has refined the theory and has provided a close link to practice that has transformed the way health care providers evaluate children in light of the parent-child relationship. She models the role of researcher in clinical practice and engages in theory development in practice for the advancement of nursing science. Her sleep-activity record of the infant's sleep-wake cycle was used in research on infant and mother circadian rhythm (Tsai, Barnard, Lentz, & Thomas 2011; Tsai, Thomas, Lentz, & Barnard, 2012). Barnard's work is a theory of nursing.

Evelyn Adam

Conceptual Model for Nursing

Evelyn Adam is a Canadian nurse who started publishing in the mid-1970s. Her work focuses on the development of models and theories on the concept of nursing (1983, 1987, 1999). She uses a model that she learned from Dorothy Johnson. In her book, To Be a Nurse (1980), she applies Virginia Henderson's definition of nursing to Johnson's model and identifies the assumptions, beliefs, and values, as well as major units. In the latter category, Adam includes the goal of the profession, the beneficiary of the professional service, the role of the professional, the source of the beneficiary's difficulty, the intervention of the professional, and the consequences. She expanded her work in a 1991 second edition. Her classic paper entitled simply “Modèles conceptuels” argues their importance in shaping a way of thinking and providing a framework for practice (Adam, 1999). Adam's work is a good example of using a unique basis of nursing for further expansion. Adam's argument for an ideological framework in nursing was described in a health telematics education conference (Tallberg, 1997). She contributed to theory development with clear explanation and use of earlier works. Adam's work is a theory of nursing.

Nancy Roper, Winifred W. Logan, and Alison J. Tierney

A Model for Nursing Based on a Model of Living

Nancy Roper is described as a practical theorist who produced a simple nursing theory, “which actually helped bedside nurses” (Dopson, 2004; Scott, 2004). After 15 years as a principal tutor in a school of nursing in England, Roper began her career as a full-time book writer during the 1960s and published several popular textbooks, including Principles of Nursing (1967). She investigated the concept of an identifiable “core” of nursing for her MPhil research study, published in a monograph titled Clinical Experience in Nurse Education (1976). This work served as the basis for her work with theorists Winifred Logan and Alison Tierney. Roper worked with the European and
Nursing and Midwifery Unit, where she was influential in developing European Standards for Nursing (Hallett & Wagner, 2011; Roper, 1977). She authored *The Elements of Nursing* in 1980, 1985, and 1990. The trio collaborated in the fourth and most recent edition of *The Elements of Nursing: A Model for Nursing Based on a Model of Living* (1996). During the 1970s, they conducted research to discover the core of nursing, based on a Model of Living (Figure 5-9). Three decades of study of the elements of nursing by Roper evolved into a model for nursing with five main factors that influenced activities of living (ALs) (Figure 5-10 and Table 5-1).

Rather than revising the fourth edition of their textbook, these theorists prepared a monograph (*Roper, Logan, & Tierney, 2000*) about the model titled *The Roper-Logan-Tierney Model of Nursing: Based on Activities of Living*, without application of the model. *Holland, Jenkins, Solomon, and Whittam (2003)* explored the use of the Roper-Logan-Tierney Model of Nursing. They used case studies and exercises about adult patients with a variety of health problems in acute care and community-based settings to help students develop problem-solving skills.

In the Model of Nursing, the ALs include maintaining a safe environment, communicating, breathing, eating and drinking, eliminating, personal cleansing and dressing, controlling body temperature, mobilizing, working and playing, expressing sexuality, sleeping, and dying. Life span ranges from birth to death, and the dependence-independence continuum ranges from total dependence to total independence. The five groups of factors that influence the ALs are biological, psychological, sociocultural, environmental, and politicoeconomic. Individuality of living is the way in which the individual attends to the ALs in regard to the

![Diagram of the Model of Living](image-url)

The individual's place in the life span, on the dependence-independence continuum, and as influenced by biological, psychological, sociocultural, environmental, and politico-economic factors. The five components can be used to describe the individual in relation to maintaining health, preventing disease, coping during periods of sickness and rehabilitation, coping positively during periods of chronic ill health, and coping when dying. Individualizing nursing is accomplished by using the process of nursing, which involves four phases: (1) assessing, (2) planning, (3) implementing, and (4) evaluating. Nursing process is a method of logical thinking that should be used with an explicit nursing model, and the patient's individuality in living must be borne in mind during all four phases of the process. This model has been used as a guide for nursing practice, research, and education.
Ida Jean (Orlando) Pelletier

Nursing Process Theory

Ida Jean Orlando developed her theory from a study conducted at the Yale University School of Nursing, integrating mental health concepts into a basic nursing curriculum. The study was carried out by observing and participating in experiences with patients, students, nurses, and instructors and was derived inductively from field notes for this study. Orlando analyzed the content of 2000 nurse-patient contacts and created her theory based on analysis of these data (Schmieding, 1993). Meleis (2007) has noted, “. . . Orlando was one of the early thinkers in nursing who proposed that patients have their own meanings and interpretations of situations and therefore nurses must validate their inferences and analyses with patients before drawing conclusions . . .” (p. 347). The theory was published in The Dynamic Nurse-Patient Relationship (1961), which was an outcome of the project. Her book proposed a contribution to concern about the nurse-patient relationship, the nurse’s professional role and identity, and the knowledge development distinct to nursing (Schmieding, 1993). In 1990, the National League for Nursing (NLN) reprinted Orlando’s 1961 publication. In the preface to the NLN edition, Orlando states: “If I had been more courageous in 1961, when this book was first written, I would have proposed it as ‘nursing process theory’ instead of as a ‘theory of effective nursing practice’” (Orlando, 1990, p. vii). Orlando continued to develop and refine her work, and in her second book, The Discipline and Teaching of Nursing Process: An Evaluative Study (1972), she redefined and renamed deliberative nursing process as nursing process discipline.

Orlando’s nursing theory stresses the reciprocal relationship between patient and nurse. What the nurse and the patient say and do affects them both. Orlando (1961) views the professional function of nursing as finding out and meeting the patient’s immediate need for help. She was one of the first nursing leaders to identify and emphasize the elements of nursing process and the critical importance of the patient’s participation in the nursing process. Orlando’s theory focuses on how to produce improvement in the patient’s behavior. Evidence of relieving the patient’s distress is seen as positive changes in the patient’s observable behavior. Orlando may have facilitated the development of nurses as logical thinkers (Nursing Theories Conference Group & George, 1980).

According to Orlando (1961), persons become patients who require nursing care when they have needs for help that cannot be met independently because they have physical limitations, have negative reactions to an environment, or have an experience that prevents them from communicating their needs. Patients experience distress or feelings of helplessness as the result of unmet needs for help (Orlando, 1961). Orlando proposed a positive correlation between the length of time the patient experiences unmet needs and the degree of distress. Therefore, immediacy is emphasized throughout her theory. In Orlando’s view, when individuals are able to meet their own needs, they do not feel distress and do not require care from a professional nurse. Practice guided by Orlando’s theory employs a reflexive principle for inference testing (May, 2010; Schmieding, 2006). Orlando emphasizes that it is crucial for nurses to share their perceptions, thoughts, and feelings so they can determine whether their inferences are congruent with the patient’s need (Schmieding, 2006). Abraham (2011) used Orlando’s theory to help nurses achieve more successful patient outcomes such as fall reduction. Orlando’s theory remains a most effective practice theory that is especially helpful to new nurses as they begin their practice.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY
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Nursing Philosophies

- Nursing philosophy sets forth the meaning of nursing phenomena through analysis, reasoning, and logical argument.

- Philosophies contributed to nursing knowledge by providing direction for the discipline, forming a basis for professional scholarship and leading to new theoretical understandings.

- Nursing philosophies represent early works predating the theory era, as well as contemporary works of a philosophical nature.

- Philosophies are works that provide broad understanding that advances the discipline and its professional application.
Recognition of nursing as a professional endeavor distinct from medicine began with Nightingale (Chinn & Kramer, 2011, p. 26).

Modern Nursing
Susan A. Pfettscher

“Recognition of nursing as a professional endeavor distinct from medicine began with Nightingale” (Chinn & Kramer, 2011, p. 26).

Florence Nightingale, the founder of modern nursing, was born on May 12, 1820, in Florence, Italy, while her parents were on an extended European tour; she was named after her birthplace. The Nightingales were a well-educated, affluent, aristocratic Victorian family with residences in Derbyshire (Lea Hurst their primary home) and Hampshire (Embley Park). This latter residence was near London, allowing the family to participate in London’s social seasons.

Although the extended Nightingale family was large, the immediate family included only Florence Nightingale and her older sister, Parthenope. During her childhood, Nightingale’s father educated her more broadly than other girls of the time. Her father and others tutored her in mathematics, languages, religion, and philosophy (influences on her lifework). Although she participated in the usual Victorian aristocratic activities and social events during her adolescence, Nightingale developed the sense that her life should become more useful. In 1837, Nightingale wrote about her “calling” in her diary: “God spoke to me and called me to his service” (Holliday & Parker, 1997, p. 491). The nature of her calling was unclear to her for some time. After she understood that she was called to become a nurse, she was able to complete her nursing training in 1851 at Kaiserwerth, Germany, a Protestant religious community with a hospital facility. She was there for approximately 3 months, and at the end, her teachers declared her trained as a nurse.

After her return to England, Nightingale was employed to examine hospital facilities, reformatories,
and charitable institutions. Only 2 years after completing her training (in 1853), she became the superintendent of the Hospital for Invalid Gentlewomen in London.

During the Crimean War, Nightingale received a request from Sidney Herbert (a family friend and the Secretary of War) to travel to Scutari, Turkey, with a group of nurses to care for wounded British soldiers. She arrived there in November 1854, accompanied by 34 newly recruited nurses who met her criteria for professional nursing—young, middle-class women with a basic general education. To achieve her mission of providing nursing care, she needed to address the environmental problems that existed, including the lack of sanitation and the presence of filth (few chamber pots, contaminated water, contaminated bed linens, and overflowing cesspools). In addition, the soldiers were faced with exposure, frostbite, louse infestations, wound infections, and opportunistic diseases as they recovered from their battle wounds.

Nightingale's work in improving these deplorable conditions made her a popular and revered person to the soldiers, but the support of physicians and military officers was less enthusiastic. She was called The Lady of the Lamp, as immortalized in the poem “Santa Filomena” (Longfellow, 1857), because she made ward rounds during the night, providing emotional comfort to the soldiers. In Scutari, Nightingale became critically ill with Crimean fever, which might have been typhus or brucellosis and which may have affected her physical condition for years afterward.

After the war, Nightingale returned to England to great accolades, particularly from the royal family (Queen Victoria), the soldiers who had survived the Crimean War, their families, and the families of those who died at Scutari. She was awarded funds in recognition of this work, which she used to establish schools for nursing training at St. Thomas's Hospital and King's College Hospital in London. Within a few years, the Nightingale School began to receive requests to establish new schools at hospitals worldwide, and Florence Nightingale's reputation as the founder of modern nursing was established.

Nightingale devoted her energies not only to the development of nursing as a vocation (profession), but even more to local, national, and international societal issues, in an attempt to improve the living environment of the poor and to create social change. She continued to concentrate on army sanitation reform, the functions of army hospitals, sanitation in India, and sanitation and health care for the poor in England. Her writings, Notes on Matters Affecting the Health, Efficiency, and Hospital Administration of the British Army Founded Chiefly on the Experience of the Late War (Nightingale, 1858a), Notes on Hospitals (Nightingale, 1858b), and Report on Measures Adopted for Sanitary Improvements in India, from June 1869 to June 1870 (Nightingale, 1871), reflect her continuing concern about these issues.

Shortly after her return to England, Nightingale confined herself to her residence in London, citing her continued ill health. Until 80 years of age, she wrote between 15,000 and 20,000 letters to friends, acquaintances, allies, and opponents. Her strong, clear written word conveyed her beliefs, observations, and desire for change in health care and in society. Through these writings, she was able to influence issues in the world that concerned her. When necessary and when her health allowed, Nightingale received powerful persons as visitors in her home to maintain dialogue, plot strategies to support causes, and carry out her work.

During her lifetime, Nightingale's work was recognized through the many awards she received from her own country and from many others. She was able to work into her 80s until she lost her vision; she died in her sleep on August 13, 1910, at 90 years of age.

Modern biographers and essayists have attempted to analyze Nightingale's lifework through her family relationships, notably with her parents and sister. Film dramatizations have focused frequently and inaccurately on her personal relationships with family and friends. Although her personal and public life holds great intrigue for many, these retrospective analyses often are very negative and harshly critical or overly positive in their descriptions of this Victorian leader and founder of modern nursing. Many biographies have been written to describe Nightingale's life and work. Cook (1913) wrote the first original and comprehensive biography of Nightingale, which was based on her written papers, but it may have been biased by her family's involvement in and oversight of the project. It remains the most positive biography written. Shortly thereafter, Strachey (1918) described her negatively as arrogant and manipulative in his book, Eminent Victorians. O'Malley (1931) wrote a more positive biography that focused on her life.
from 1820 to 1856; however, the second volume, which would have described the rest of her life and activities, was never published. Woodham-Smith's book (1951) chronicled her entire life and was drawn primarily from original documents made available by her family. This is the biography with which most Americans are familiar; it has endured as the definitive biography of Nightingale's life, and although it is more balanced, it maintains a positive tone. F. B. Smith (1982) wrote *Florence Nightingale: Reputation and Power*, which is critical of Nightingale's character and her work. Small (1998) published yet another Nightingale biography titled *Florence Nightingale: Avenging Angel*. Although he is critical of specific aspects of her character and work, Small is more balanced in his presentation. He notes that Nightingale's life “is better documented than perhaps any previous life in history” because of the vast quantity of family and personal papers that remain available today (Small, 2000). His concerns and disagreements with other biographers have been noted in reviews (Small, 2008). Small continues to study Nightingale and updates his website with additional information about the Crimean War and Nightingale. The controversy and intrigue about Nightingale's role, her status, and her confined lifestyle continue; a London newspaper recently reported on newly found letters related to the conflicts Nightingale had with Sir John Hall (chief British army medical officer in the Crimea) (Kennedy, 2007). An Internet search reveals thousands of sites that provide various articles, resources, and commentaries about Nightingale. Clearly, the world still is fascinated by this unique woman.

The nursing community in the United States remains similarly fascinated by the life and work of Nightingale. During their professional careers, Kalisch and Kalisch (1983a, 1983b, 1987) published several critiques of media portrayals that provide a better understanding of the many histories of Florence Nightingale; their techniques may provide methods of analyzing more recent publications and events for persons interested in studying Nightingale's life and work. Dossey's (2000) comprehensive book, *Florence Nightingale: Mystic, Visionary, Healer*, provides another in-depth history and interpretation of Nightingale's personal life and work. Using quotes from Nightingale's own writings (diaries and letters) and from people with whom she interacted and corresponded during her lifetime, Dossey focused on interpreting the spiritual nature of her being and her lifework, creating yet another way of looking at Nightingale. In an introduction/prelude to her descriptions of spirituality for nurses' lives based on Nightingale's writings, Macrae (2001) explores Nightingale's personal spirituality as she interprets it after review of writings and documents. Lorentzon (2003) more recently has provided a review and analysis of letters written between Nightingale and one of her former students that clearly demonstrate her role as mentor.

Finally, all of Nightingale's surviving writings are in the process of being published as *The Collected Works of Florence Nightingale*. To date, fourteen of the sixteen volumes have been published under the leadership of sociologist and Nightingale scholar Lynn McDonald (McDonald, 2001-present). This large project and other newly discovered/released documents continue to spawn articles and books that explore, interpret, and speculate on Nightingale's life and work. In addition, she has published a new biography of Nightingale (McDonald, 2010a).

### Theoretical Sources for Theory Development

Many factors influenced the development of Nightingale's philosophy of nursing. Her personal, societal, and professional values and concerns all were integral to the development of her beliefs. She combined her individual resources with societal and professional resources available to her to produce immediate and long-term change throughout the world.

As noted, Nightingale's education was an unusual one for a Victorian girl. Her tutelage by her well-educated, intellectual father in subjects such as mathematics and philosophy provided her with knowledge and conceptual thinking abilities that were unique for women of her time. Although her parents initially opposed her desire to study mathematics, they relented and allowed her to receive additional tutoring from well-respected mathematicians. Her aunt Mai, a devoted relative and companion, described her as having a great mind; this is not a description that was used at the time for Victorian women, but it is one that was accepted for Nightingale. It remains unknown whether or not Nightingale was a genius who would
have been a great leader and thinker under any circumstance, or whether her unique, formal education and social status were necessary for this to occur at the time. Would Nightingale become such a leader if born today? What would nursing be today if she had not been born at that time and in that place?

The Nightingale family's aristocratic social status provided her with easy access to people of power and influence. Many were family friends, such as Stanley Herbert, who remained an ally and staunch supporter until his death. Nightingale learned to understand the political processes of Victorian England through the experiences of her father during his short-lived political career and through his continuing role as an aristocrat involved in the political and social activities of his community. She most likely relied on this foundation and on her own experiences as she waged political battles for her causes.

Nightingale also recognized the societal changes of her time and their impact on the health status of individuals. The industrial age had descended upon England, creating new social classes, new diseases, and new social problems. Dickens’ social commentaries and novels provided English society with scathing commentaries on health care and the need for health and social reform in England. In the serialized novel (1843 to 1844), *Martin Chuzzlewit* (Dickens, 1987), Dickens’ portrayal of Sairey Gamp as a drunken, untrained nurse provided society with an image of the horrors of Victorian nursing practice. Nightingale’s alliance with Dickens undoubtedly influenced her definitions of nursing and health care and her theory for nursing; that relationship also provided her with a forum for expressing her views about social and health care issues (Dossey, 2000; Kalisch & Kalisch, 1983a; Woodham-Smith, 1951).

Similar dialogues with political leaders, intellectuals, and social reformers of the day (John Stuart Mill, Benjamin Jowett, Edwin Chadwick, and Harriet Marineau) advanced Nightingale’s philosophical and logical thinking, which is evident in her philosophy and theory of nursing (Dossey, 2000; Kalisch & Kalisch, 1983a; Woodham-Smith, 1951). These dialogues likely inspired her to strive to change the things she viewed as unacceptable in the society in which she lived.

Finally, Nightingale’s religious affiliation and beliefs were especially strong sources for her nursing theory. Reared as a Unitarian, her belief that action for the benefit of others is a primary way of serving God served as the foundation for defining her nursing work as a religious calling. In addition, the Unitarian community strongly supported education as a means of developing divine potential and helping people move toward perfection in their lives and in their service to God. Nightingale’s faith provided her with personal strength throughout her life and with the belief that education was a critical factor in establishing the profession of nursing. Also, religious conflicts of the time, particularly between the Anglican and Catholic Churches in the British Empire, may have led to her strongly held belief that nursing could and should be a secular profession (Dossey, 2000; Helmsadder, 1997; Nelson, 1997; Woodham-Smith, 1951). Despite her strong religious beliefs and her acknowledgment of her calling, this was not a requirement for her nurses. Indeed, her opposition to the work of the nuns in Crimea (she reported that they were proselytizing) escalated the conflict to the level of involvement of the Vatican (Dossey, 2000; Woodham-Smith, 1951). Nelson’s review of pastoral care in the nineteenth century provides an interesting historical view of the role of religious service in nursing (Nelson, 1997).

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

Nightingale’s theory focused on environment, however Nightingale used the term *surroundings* in her writing. She defined and described the concepts of *ventilation, warmth, light, diet, cleanliness,* and *noise*—components of surroundings usually referred to as *environment* in discussions of her work. When reading *Notes on Nursing* (Nightingale, 1969) one can easily identify an emphasis on the physical environment. In the context of issues Nightingale identified and struggled to improve (war-torn environments and workhouses), this emphasis appears to be most appropriate (Gropper, 1990). Her concern about healthy surroundings involved hospital settings in Crimea and England, and also extended to the public in their private homes and to the physical living conditions of the poor. She believed...
that healthy surroundings were necessary for proper nursing care and restoration/maintenance of health. Her theoretical work on five essential components of environmental health (pure air, pure water, efficient drainage, cleanliness, and light) is as relevant today as it was 150 years ago.

Proper ventilation for the patient seemed to be of greatest concern to Nightingale; her charge to nurses was to “keep the air he breathes as pure as the external air, without chilling him” (Nightingale, 1969, p. 12). Nightingale’s emphasis on proper ventilation indicates that she recognized the surroundings as a source of disease and recovery. In addition to discussing ventilation in the room or home, Nightingale provided a description for measuring the patient’s body temperature through palpation of extremities to check for heat loss (Nightingale, 1969). The nurse was instructed to manipulate the surroundings to maintain ventilation and patient warmth by using a good fire, opening windows, and properly positioning the patient in the room.

The concept of light was also of importance in Nightingale’s theory. In particular, she identified direct sunlight as a particular need of patients. She noted that “light has quite as real and tangible effects upon the human body . . . Who has not observed the purifying effect of light, and especially of direct sunlight, upon the air of a room?” (Nightingale, 1969, pp. 84-85). To achieve the beneficial effects of sunlight, nurses were instructed to move and position patients to expose them to sunlight.

Cleanliness is another critical component of Nightingale’s environmental theory (Nightingale, 1969). In this regard, she specifically addressed the patient, the nurse, and the physical environment. She noted that a dirty environment (floors, carpets, walls, and bed linens) was a source of infection through the organic matter it contained. Even if the environment was well ventilated, the presence of organic material created a dirty area; therefore, appropriate handling and disposal of bodily excretions and sewage were required to prevent contamination of the environment. Finally, Nightingale advocated bathing patients on a frequent, even daily, basis at a time when this practice was not the norm. She required that nurses also bathe daily, that their clothing be clean, and that they wash their hands frequently (Nightingale, 1969). This concept held special significance for individual patient care, and it was critically important in improving the health status of the poor who were living in crowded, environmentally inferior conditions with inadequate sewage and limited access to pure water (Nightingale, 1969).

Nightingale included the concepts of quiet and diet in her theory. The nurse was required to assess the need for quiet and to intervene as needed to maintain it (Nightingale, 1969). Noise created by physical activities in the areas around a patient’s room was to be avoided because it could harm the patient. Nightingale was also concerned about the patient’s diet (Nightingale, 1969). She instructed nurses to assess not only dietary intake, but also the meal schedule and its effect on the patient. She believed that patients with chronic illness could be starved to death unintentionally, and that intelligent nurses successfully met patients’ nutritional needs.

Another component of Nightingale’s writing was a description of petty management (nursing administration) (Nightingale, 1969). She pointed out that the nurse was in control of the environment both physically and administratively. The nurse was to protect the patient from receiving of upsetting news, seeing visitors who could negatively affect recovery, and experiencing sudden disruptions of sleep. In addition, Nightingale recognized that pet visits (small animals) might be of comfort to the patient. Nightingale believed that the nurse remained in charge of the environment, even when she was not physically present, because she should oversee others who worked in her absence.

**Use of Empirical Evidence**

Nightingale’s reports describing health and sanitary conditions in the Crimea and in England identify her as an outstanding scientist and empirical researcher. Her expertise as a statistician is evident in the reports that she generated throughout her lifetime on the varied subjects of health care, nursing, and social reform.
Nightingale’s carefully collected information that illustrated the efficacy of her hospital nursing system and organization during the Crimean War is perhaps her best-known work. Her report of her experiences and collected data was submitted to the British Royal Sanitary Commission in *Notes on Matters Affecting the Health, Efficiency, and Hospital Administration of the British Army Founded Chiefly on the Experience of the Late War* (Nightingale, 1858a). This Commission had been organized in response to Nightingale’s charges of poor sanitary conditions. The data in this report provided a strong argument in favor of her proposed reforms in the Crimean hospital barracks. According to Cohen (1984), she created the polar area diagram to represent dramatically the extent of needless death in British military hospitals in the Crimea. In this article, Cohen summarized the work of Nightingale as both a researcher and a statistician by noting that “she helped to pioneer the revolutionary notion that social phenomena could be objectively measured and subjected to mathematical analysis” (1984, p. 128). Palmer (1977) described Nightingale’s research skills as including recording, communicating, ordering, coding, conceptualizing, inferring, analyzing, and synthesizing. The observation of social phenomena at both individual and systems level was especially important to Nightingale and served as the basis of her writings. Nightingale emphasized the concurrent use of observation and performance of tasks in the education of nurses and expected them to continue to use both of these activities in their work.

### Major Assumptions

#### Nursing

Nightingale believed that every woman, at one time in her life, would be a nurse in the sense that nursing is being responsible for someone else’s health. Nightingale’s book *Notes on Nursing* was published originally in 1859, to provide women with guidelines for caring for their loved ones at home and to give advice on how to “think like a nurse” (Nightingale, 1969, p. 4). Trained nurses, however, were to learn additional scientific principles to be applied in their work and were to be more skilled in observing and reporting patients’ health status while providing care as the patient recovered.

#### Person

In most of her writings, Nightingale referred to the person as a *patient*. Nurses performed tasks to and for the patient and controlled the patient’s environment to enhance recovery. For the most part, Nightingale described a passive patient in this relationship. However, specific references are made to the patient performing self-care when possible and, in particular, being involved in the timing and substance of meals. The nurse was to ask the patient about his or her preferences, which reveals the belief that Nightingale saw each patient as an individual. However, Nightingale (1969) emphasized that the nurse was in control of and responsible for the patient’s environmental surroundings. Nightingale had respect for persons of various backgrounds and was not judgmental about social worth.

#### Health

Nightingale defined health as being well and using every power (resource) to the fullest extent in living life. Additionally, she saw disease and illness as a reparative process that nature instituted when a person did not attend to health concerns. Nightingale envisioned the maintenance of health through prevention of disease via environmental control and social responsibility. What she described led to public health nursing and the more modern concept of health promotion. She distinguished the concept of health nursing as different from nursing a sick patient to enhance recovery, and from living better until peaceful death. Her concept of health nursing exists today in the role of district nurses and health workers in England and in other countries where lay health care workers are used to maintain health and teach people how to prevent disease and illness. Her concept of health nursing is a model employed by many public health agencies and departments in the United States.

#### Environment

Nightingale’s concept of environment emphasized that nursing was “to assist nature in healing the patient. Little, if anything, in the patient’s world is excluded from her definition of environment. Her admonition to nurses, both those providing care in the home and trained nurses in hospitals, was to create and maintain a therapeutic environment that would enhance the comfort and recovery of the
patient. Her treatise on rural hygiene includes an incredibly specific description of environmental problems and their results, as well as practical solutions to these problems for households and communities (Halsall, 1997).

Nightingale’s assumptions and understanding about the environmental conditions of the day were most relevant to her philosophy. She believed that sick poor people would benefit from environmental improvements that would affect both their bodies and their minds. She believed that nurses could be instrumental in changing the social status of the poor by improving their physical living conditions.

Many aristocrats of the time were unaware of the living conditions of the poor. Nightingale’s mother, however, had visited and provided care to poor families in the communities surrounding their estates; Nightingale accompanied her on these visits as a child and continued them when she was older. Thus Nightingale’s understandings of physical surroundings and their effect on health was acquired through first-hand observation and experience beyond her own comfortable living situation.

**Theoretical Assertions**

Nightingale believed that disease was a reparative process; disease was nature's effort to remedy a process of poisoning or decay, or it was a reaction against the conditions in which a person was placed. Although these concepts seem ridiculous today, they were more scientific than the prevailing ones of the time (e.g., disease as punishment). She often capitalized the word *nature* in her writings, thereby suggesting that it was synonymous with God. Her Unitarian religious beliefs would support this view of God as nature. However, when she used the word *nature* without capitalization, it is unclear whether or not the intended meaning is different and perhaps synonymous with an organic pathological process. Nightingale believed that the role of nursing was to prevent an interruption of the reparative process and to provide optimal conditions for its enhancement, thus ensuring the patient’s recovery.

Nightingale was totally committed to nursing education (training). She wrote *Notes on Nursing* (1969) for women caregivers, making a distinction between the role of household servants and those trained specifically as nurses to provide care for the sick person. Nightingale (1969) believed that nurses needed to be excellent observers of patients and the environment; observation was an ongoing activity for trained nurses. In addition, she believed that nurses should use common sense in practice, coupled with observation, perseverance, and ingenuity. Finally, Nightingale believed that people desired good health, that they would cooperate with the nurse and nature to allow the reparative process to occur, and that they would alter their environment to prevent disease.

Although Nightingale has been ridiculed for saying she didn’t embrace the germ theory, she very clearly understood the concept of contagion and contamination through organic materials from the patient and the environment. Many of her observations are consistent with the concepts of infection and the germ theory; for example, she embraced the concept of vaccination against various diseases. Small (2008) argues that Nightingale did indeed believe in a germ theory but not in the one that suggests that disease germs cause inevitable infection. Such a theory was antithetical to her belief that sanitation and good hygiene could prevent infection. Her belief that appropriate manipulation of the environment could prevent disease underlies modern sanitation activities.

Nightingale did not explicitly discuss the caring behaviors of nurses. She wrote very little about interpersonal relationships, except as they influence the patient’s reparative processes. She did describe the phenomenon of being called to nursing and the need for commitment to nursing work. Her own example of nursing practice in the Crimea provides evidence of caring behaviors. These include her commitment to observing patients at night, a new concept and practice; sitting with them during the dying process; standing beside them during surgical procedures; writing letters for them; and providing a reading room and materials during their recuperation. Finally, she wrote letters to their families following soldiers’ deaths. Watson defines Nightingale’s descriptions/behaviors as a “blueprint for transpersonal meanings and models of caring” (Watson, 2010, p. 107). Neils (2010) describes a nursing role of caring as a liaison nurse based on Nightingale’s description of rounding. She interprets this activity as a way of
expressing caring and spiritual support while also achieving other nursing observations. Straughair (2012) reports that a loss of compassion in nursing (as a component of caring) was identified by patients in the National Health Service in England and pleads for nursing attention to this aspect of Nightingale’s Christian ideal of professional nursing.

Similarly, both Burkhart and Hogan (2008) and Wu and Lin (2011) have conducted research to identify the spiritual care in nursing practice as first described by Nightingale. The settings of these studies (U.S. and Taiwan) reflect the universality of Nightingale’s work. Straughair (2012) makes the case that there needs to be a rediscovery of compassion that appears to be diminishing in modern nursing. Finally, Wagner and White (2010) explore and analyze “caring relationships” in Nightingale’s own writings. This historical study contributes to our understanding of how Nightingale described the modern concept of caring.

Nightingale believed that nurses should be moral agents. She addressed their professional relationship with their patients; she instructed them on the principle of confidentiality and advocated for care of the poor to improve their health and social situations. In addition, she commented on patient decision making, a component of a relevant modern ethical concept. Nightingale (1969) called for concise and clear decision making by the nurse and physician regarding the patient, noting that indecision (irresolution) or changing the mind is more harmful to the patient than the patient having to make a decision. Hoyt (2010) analyzed how Nightingale defined nursing as an ethical profession and the ethical practices embedded in nursing.

**Logical Form**

Nightingale used inductive reasoning to extract laws of health, disease, and nursing from her observations and experiences. Her childhood education, particularly in philosophy and mathematics, may have contributed to her logical thinking and inductive reasoning abilities. For example, her observations of the conditions in the Scutari hospital led her to conclude that the contaminated, dirty, dark environment led to disease. Not only did she prevent disease from flourishing in such an environment, but also validated the outcome by careful record keeping. From her own training, her brief experience as a superintendent in London, and her experiences in the Crimea, she made observations and established principles for nurse training and patient care (Nightingale, 1969).

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

Nightingale’s nursing principles remain the foundation of nursing practice today. The environmental aspects of her theory (i.e., ventilation, warmth, quiet, diet, and cleanliness) remain integral components of nursing care. As nurses practice in the twenty-first century, the relevance of her concepts continues; in fact, they have increased relevance as a global society faces new issues of disease control. Although modern sanitation and water treatment have controlled traditional sources of disease fairly successfully in the United States, contaminated water due to environmental changes or to the introduction of uncommon contaminants remains a health issue in many communities. Global travel has altered dramatically the actual and potential spread of disease. Modern sanitation, adequate water treatment, and recognition and control of other methods of disease transmission remain challenges for nurses worldwide.

New environmental concerns have been created by modern architecture (e.g., sick-building syndrome); nurses need to ask whether modern, environmentally controlled buildings meet Nightingale’s principle of good ventilation. On the other hand, controlled environments increasingly protect the public from second-hand cigarette smoke, toxic gases, auto emissions, and other environmental hazards. Disposal of these wastes, including toxic waste, and the use of chemicals in this modern society challenge professional nurses and other health care professionals to reassess the concept of a healthy environment (Butterfield, 1999; Gropper, 1990; Michigan Nurses Association (MNA), 1999; Sessler, 1999). Shaner-McRae, McRae, and Jas (2007) described environmental conditions of our hospitals that affect not only the individual patient environment but also the larger environment incorporating multiple environmental concepts identified by Nightingale. While they focus on Western hospitals, it is evident that this is a global challenge for nurses.
In health care facilities, the ability to control room temperature for an individual patient often is increasingly difficult. This same environment may create great noise through activities and the technology (equipment) used to assist the patient’s reparative process. Nurses have looked in a scholarly way at these problems as they continue to affect patients and the health care system (McCarthy, Ouimet, & Daun, 1991; McLaughlin, McLaughlin, Elliott, & Campalani, 1996; MNA, 1999; Pope, 1995).

Monteiro (1985) provided the American public health community with a comprehensive review of Nightingale’s work as a sanitarian and a social reformer, reminding them of the extent of her impact on health care in various settings and her concern about poverty and sanitation issues. Although other disciplines in the United States have increasingly addressed such issues, it is clear that nurses and nursing have an active role in providing direct patient care and in becoming involved in the social and political arenas to ensure healthy environments for all citizens.

McPhaul and Lipscomb (2005) have applied Nightingale’s environmental principles to practice in occupational health nursing. These nurse specialists have increasingly recognized current environmental health problems at local, regional, and global levels. Modern changes in travel, migration, and the physical environment are causing health problems for many. Infectious diseases (e.g., HIV, TB, West Nile virus) are examples of these changes. In addition, nurses are confronted by an epidemic of toxic substances and nosocomial infections and the development of resistant organisms (e.g., MRSA) in their patient care environments; first-line prevention measures of handwashing and environmental cleanliness harken back to Nightingale’s original environmental theory and principles. Other problems created by environmental changes and pollution might astound Nightingale, but she would probably approach them in a typically aggressive fashion for control. As health care systems and providers struggle to promote patient safety through prevention of infection in health care facilities, this work can be framed in these words of Florence Nightingale: “It seems a strange principle to enunciate, as the very first requirement, in a hospital that it should Do the Sick No Harm” (Vincent, 2005).

Although some of Nightingale’s rationales have been modified or disproved by medical advances and scientific discovery, many of her concepts have endured the tests of time and technological advances. It is clear that much of her theory remains relevant for nursing today. Concepts from Nightingale’s writings, from political commentary to scholarly research, continue to be cited in the nursing literature.

Several authors have analyzed Nightingale’s petty management concepts and actions, identifying some of the timelessness and universality of her management style (Decker & Farley, 1991; Henry, Woods, & Nagelkerk, 1990; Monteiro, 1985). More recently, Lorentzon (2003) focused specifically on Nightingale’s role as a mentor to a former student in her review and analysis of letters written between her and her former student Rachel Williams. This analysis provides a review of mentoring approaches based on Nightingale’s theories; her comments on management as offered to Rachel Williams would stimulate good discussion about the needs of nurses today for mentoring and professional development. Lannon (2007) and Narayanasamy and Narayanasamy (2007) based their examinations of nursing staff and leadership development on Nightingale’s statements about the essential need for continued learning in nursing practice.

Finally, several writers have analyzed Nightingale’s role in the suffrage movement, especially in the context of feminist theory development. Although she has been criticized for not actively participating in this movement, Nightingale indicated in a letter to John Stuart Mill that she could do work for women in other ways (Woodham-Smith, 1951). Her essay titled Cassandra (Nightingale 1852) reflects support for the concept that is now known as feminism. Scholars continue to assess and analyze her role in the feminist movement of this modern era (Dossey, 2000; Hektor, 1994; Holliday & Parker, 1997; Selanders, 2010; Welch, 1990). Selanders (2010) argues powerfully that Nightingale was a feminist and that her beliefs as a feminist were integral to the development of modern professional nursing.

**Education**

Nightingale’s principles of nurse training (instruction in scientific principles and practical experience for the mastery of skills) provided a universal template for early nurse training schools, beginning with St. Thomas’s
Hospital and King's College Hospital in London. Using the Nightingale model of nurse training, the following three experimental schools were established in the United States in 1873 (Ashley, 1976):
1. Bellevue Hospital in New York
2. New Haven Hospital in Connecticut
3. Massachusetts Hospital in Boston

The influence of this training system and of many of its principles is still evident in today's nursing programs. Although Nightingale advocated independence of the nursing school from a hospital to ensure that students would not become involved in the hospital's labor pool as part of their training, American nursing schools were unable to achieve such independence for many years (Ashley, 1976). Nightingale (Decker & Farley, 1991) believed that the art of nursing could not be measured by licensing examinations, but she used testing methods, including case studies (notes), for nursing probationers at St. Thomas's Hospital. Clearly, Nightingale understood that good practice could result only from good education. This message resounds throughout her writings on nursing. Nightingale historian Joanne Farley responded to a modern nursing student by noting that “Training is to teach a nurse to know her business... Training is to enable the nurse to act for the best... like an intelligent and responsible being” (Decker & Farley, 1991, pp. 12–13). It is difficult to imagine what the care of sick human beings would be like if Nightingale had not defined the educational needs of nurses and established these first schools.

Research

Nightingale's interest in scientific inquiry and statistics continues to define the scientific inquiry used in nursing research. She was exceptionally efficient and resourceful in her ability to gather and analyze data; her ability to represent data graphically was first identified in the polar diagrams, the graphical illustration style that she invented (Agnew, 1958; Cohen, 1984; McDonald, 2010b). Her empirical approach to solving problems of health care delivery is obvious in the data that she included in her numerous reports and letters.

When Nightingale's writings are defined and analyzed as theory, they are seen to present a philosophical approach that is applicable in modern nursing. Concepts that Nightingale identified serve as the basis for research adding to modern nursing science and practice throughout the world. Most notable is her focus on surroundings (environment) and their importance to nursing. Finally, it is interesting to note that Nightingale used brief case studies, possible exemplars, to illustrate a number of the concepts that she discussed in Notes on Nursing (1969).

Further Development

Nightingale's philosophy and theory of nursing are stated clearly and concisely in Notes on Nursing (1969), Nightingale's most widely known work. In this writing, she provides guidance for care of the sick and in so doing clarifies what nursing is and what it is not. The content of the text seems most amenable to theory analysis. Hardy (1978) proposed that Nightingale formulated a grand theory that explains the totality of behavior. As knowledge of nursing theory has developed, Nightingale's work has come to be recognized as a philosophy of nursing. Although some formulations have been tested, most often principles are derived from anecdotal situations to illustrate their meaning and support their claims. Her work is often discussed as a theory, and it is clear that Nightingale's premises provide a foundation for the development of both nursing practice and current nursing theories. Tourville and Ingalls (2003) described Nightingale as the trunk of the living tree of nursing theories.

Critique

Clarity

Nightingale's work is clear and easily understood. It contains the following three major relationships:
1. Environment to patient
2. Nurse to environment
3. Nurse to patient

Nightingale believed that the environment was the main factor that created illness in a patient and regarded disease as “the reactions of kindly nature against the conditions in which we have placed ourselves” (Nightingale, 1969, p. 56). Nightingale recognized the potential harmfulness of an environment, and she emphasized the benefit of a good environment in preventing disease.

The nurse's practice includes manipulation of the environment in a number of ways to enhance patient
recovery. Elimination of contamination and contagion and exposure to fresh air, light, warmth, and quiet were identified as elements to be controlled or manipulated in the environment. Nightingale began to develop relationships between some of these elements in her discussions of contamination and ventilation, light and patient position in the room, cleanliness and darkness, and noise and patient stimulation. She also described the relationship between the sickroom and the rest of the house and the relationship between the house and the surrounding neighborhood.

The nurse-patient relationship may be the least well defined in Nightingale's writings. Yet cooperation and collaboration between the nurse and patient is suggested in her discussions of a patient's eating patterns and preferences, the comfort of a beloved pet to the patient, protection of the patient from emotional distress, and conservation of energy while the patient is allowed to participate in self-care. Finally, it is interesting to note that Nightingale discussed the concept of observation extensively, including its use to guide the care of patients and to measure improvement or lack of response to nursing interventions.

**Simplicity**

Nightingale provides a descriptive, explanatory theory. Its environmental focus along with its epidemiological components has predictive potential. Nightingale could be said to have tested her theory in an informal manner by collecting data and verifying improvements. She intended to provide general rules and explanations that would result in good nursing care for patients. Thus her objective of setting forth general rules for the practice and development of nursing was met through this simple theory.

**Generality**

Nightingale's theories have been used to provide general guidelines for all nurses since she introduced them more than 150 years ago. Although some activities that she described are no longer relevant, the universality and timelessness of her concepts remain pertinent. Nurses are increasingly recognizing the role of observation and measurement of outcomes as an essential component of nursing practice. Burnes Bolton and Goodenough (2003), Erlen (2007), Robb, Mackie, & Elcock (2007), and Weir-Hughes (2007) all have written about measurement of patient outcomes and methods of quality improvement based on Nightingale's notions of observation. The relation concepts (nurse, patient, and environment) remain applicable in all nursing settings today. Therefore they meet the criterion of generality.

**Empirical Precision**

Concepts and relationships within Nightingale's theory frequently are stated implicitly and are presented as truths rather than as tentative, testable statements. In contrast to her quantitative research on mortality performed in the Crimea, Nightingale advised the nurses of her day that their practice should be based on their observations and experiences. Her concepts are amenable to studies with the qualitative approaches of today as well as quantitative methods.

**Derivable Consequences**

To an extraordinary degree, Nightingale's writings direct the nurse to take action on behalf of the patient and the nurse. These directives encompass the areas of practice, research, and education. Her principles to shape nursing practice are the most specific. She urges nurses to provide physicians with “not your opinion, however respectfully given, but your facts” (Nightingale, 1969, p. 122). Similarly, she advises that “if you cannot get the habit of observation one way or other, you had better give up being a nurse, for it is not your calling, however kind and anxious you may be” (Nightingale, 1969, p. 113).

Nightingale's view of humanity was consistent with her theory of nursing. She believed in a creative, universal humanity with the potential and ability for growth and change (Dossey, 2000; Hektor, 1994; Palmer, 1977). Deeply religious, she viewed nursing as a means of doing the will of her God. The zeal and self-righteousness that come from being a reformer might explain some of her beliefs and the practices that she advocated. Finally, the period and place in which she lived, Victorian England, must be considered if one is to understand and interpret her views.

Nightingale's basic principles of environmental manipulation and care of the patient can be applied in contemporary nursing settings. Although subjected to some criticisms, her theory and her principles are
relevant to the professional identity and practice of nursing.

As one reads *Notes on Nursing*, sentences and observations made by Nightingale can have great significance for the world of nursing today. Vidrine, Owen-Smith, and Faulkner (2002) have identified one of these observations as the guiding theory for their work with equine-facilitated group psychotherapy: “a small pet animal is often an excellent companion for the sick, for long chronic cases especially” (Nightingale, 1969, p. 102). Although a horse may not qualify as a “small animal in the sickroom,” these authors have found that their therapy is successful with their patients. Indeed, Nightingale is a testament to her own theory; it is reported that she had 60 cats over her lifetime (she was chronically ill for much of her adult life and lived to 90 years of age).

**Summary**

Florence Nightingale is a unique figure in the history of the world. Her picture appeared on the English 10-pound note for 100 years. No other woman has been and still is revered as an icon by so many people in so many diverse geographical locations. Few other figures continue to stimulate such interest in, controversy about, and interpretation of their lives and work. The nursing profession embraces her as the founder of modern nursing.

Nightingale defined the skills, behaviors, and knowledge required for professional nursing. Remnants of these descriptions serve the nursing profession well today, although their origins probably are not known by today's nurses.

Because of scientific and social changes that have occurred in the world, some of Nightingale's observations have been rejected, only to find after closer analysis that her underlying beliefs, philosophy, and observations continue to be valid. Nightingale did not consciously attempt to develop what is considered a theory of nursing; she provided the first definitions from which nurses could develop theory and the conceptual models and frameworks that inform professional nursing today. Professionals increasingly identify her as their matriarch. Mathematicians revere her for her work as an outstanding statistician. Epidemiologists, public health professionals, and lay health care workers trace the origins of their disciplines to Nightingale's descriptions of people who perform health promotion and disease prevention. Sociologists acknowledge her leadership role in defining communities and their social ills, and in working to correct problems of society as a way of improving the health of its members.

A century after Nightingale's death, nursing communities throughout the world gave special attention to her life and work. In particular, the *Journal of Holistic Nursing* published multiple articles (cited in this chapter). Of special note is Beck's (2010) article identifying Seven Recommendations for 21st Century Nursing Practice based on Nightingale's philosophy offering a clarion call for nurses throughout the world to emulate the work of Nightingale.

Nurses, both students and practitioners, would be wise to become familiar with Nightingale's original writings and to review the many books and documents that are increasingly available (McDonald, 2001 to present). If you have read *Notes on Nursing*, rereading it will reveal new and inspirational ideas and provide a brief look at her wry sense of humor. The logic and common sense that are embodied in Nightingale's writings serve to stimulate productive thinking for the individual nurse and the nursing profession. To emulate the life of Nightingale is to become a good citizen and leader in the community, the country, and the world. It is only right that Nightingale should continue to be recognized as the brilliant and creative founder of modern nursing and its first nursing theorist. What would Nightingale say about nursing today? Whatever she would say, she probably would provide an objective, logical, and revealing analysis and critique.

**CASE STUDY**

You are caring for an 82-year-old woman who has been hospitalized for several weeks for burns that she sustained on her lower legs during a cooking accident. Before the time of her admission, she lived alone in a small apartment. The patient reported on admission that she has no surviving family. Her support system appears to be other
elders who live in her neighborhood. Because of transportation difficulties, most of them are unable to visit frequently. One of her neighbors has reported that she is caring for the patient’s dog, a Yorkshire terrier. As you care for this woman, she begs you to let her friend bring her dog to the hospital. She says that none of the other nurses have listened to her about such a visit. As she asks you about this, she begins to cry and tells you that they have never been separated. You recall that the staff discussed their concern about this woman’s well-being during report that morning. They said that she has been eating very little and seems to be depressed. Based on Nightingale’s work, identify specific interventions that you would provide in caring for this patient.

1. Describe what action, if any, you would take regarding the patient’s request to see her dog. Discuss the theoretical basis of your decision and action based on your understanding of Nightingale’s work.

2. Describe and discuss what nursing diagnoses you would make and what interventions you would initiate to address the patient’s nutritional status and emotional well-being.

3. As the patient’s primary nurse, identify and discuss the planning you would undertake regarding her discharge from the hospital. Identify members of the discharge team and their roles in this process. Describe how you would advocate for the patient based on Nightingale’s observations and descriptions of the role of the nurse.

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Your community is at risk for a specific type of natural disaster (e.g., tornado, flood, hurricane, earthquake). Use Nightingale’s principles and observations to develop an emergency plan for one of these events. Outline the items you would include in the plan.

2. Using Nightingale’s concepts of ventilation, light, noise, and cleanliness, analyze the setting in which you are practicing nursing as an employee or student.

3. You are participating in a quality improvement project in your work setting. Share how you would develop ideas to present to the group based on a Nightingale approach.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY
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Watson’s Philosophy and Theory of Transpersonal Caring

D. Elizabeth Jesse and Martha R. Alligood

“We are the light in institutional darkness, and in this model we get to return to the light of our humanity”
(Jean Watson, 7/9/2012.)

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Margaret Jean Harman Watson, PhD, RN, AHN-BC, FAAN, was born and grew up in the small town of Welch, West Virginia, in the Appalachian Mountains. As the youngest of eight children, she was surrounded by an extended family–community environment.

Watson attended high school in West Virginia and then the Lewis Gale School of Nursing in Roanoke, Virginia. After graduation in 1961, she married her husband, Douglas, and moved west to his native state of Colorado. Douglas, whom Watson describes as her physical and spiritual partner, and her best friend, died in 1998. She has two grown daughters, Jennifer and Julie, and five grandchildren. Jean lives in Boulder, Colorado.

After moving to Colorado, Watson continued her nursing education and graduate studies at the University of Colorado. She earned a baccalaureate degree in nursing in 1964 at the Boulder campus, a master’s degree in psychiatric–mental health nursing in 1966 at the Health Sciences campus, and a doctorate in educational psychology and counseling in 1973 at the Graduate School, Boulder campus. After Watson...
completed her doctoral degree, she joined the School of Nursing faculty, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center in Denver, where she has served in both faculty and administrative positions. In 1981 and 1982, she pursued international sabbatical studies in New Zealand, Australia, India, Thailand, and Taiwan; in 2005, she took a sabbatical for a walking pilgrimage in the Spanish El Camino.

In the 1980s, Watson and colleagues established the Center for Human Caring at the University of Colorado, the nation’s first interdisciplinary center committed to using human caring knowledge for clinical practice, scholarship, and administration and leadership (Watson, 1986). At the center, Watson and others sponsor clinical, educational, and community scholarship activities and projects in human caring. These activities involve national and international scholars in residence, as well as international connections with colleagues around the world, such as Australia, Brazil, Canada, Korea, Japan, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, Scandinavia, Thailand, and Venezuela, among others. Activities such as these continue at the University of Colorado’s International Certificate Program in Caring-Healing, where Watson offers her theory courses for doctoral students.

At University of Colorado School of Nursing, Watson served as chairperson and assistant dean of the undergraduate program. She was involved in planning and implementation of the nursing PhD program and served as coordinator and director of the PhD program between 1978 and 1981. Watson was Dean of University of Colorado School of Nursing and Associate Director of Nursing Practice at University Hospital from 1983 to 1990. During her deanship, she was instrumental in the development of a post-baccalaureate nursing curriculum in human caring, health, and healing that led to a Nursing Doctorate (ND), a professional clinical doctoral degree that in 2005 became the Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) degree.

During her career, Watson has been active in many community programs, such as founder and member of the Board of Boulder County Hospice, and numerous other collaborations with area health care facilities. Watson has received several research and advanced education federal grants and awards and numerous university and private grants and extramural funding for her faculty and administrative projects and scholarships in human caring.

The University of Colorado School of Nursing honored Watson as a distinguished professor of nursing in 1992. She received six honorary doctoral degrees from universities in the United States and three Honorary Doctorates in international universities, including Göteborg University in Sweden, Luton University in London, and the University of Montreal in Quebec, Canada. In 1993, she received the National League for Nursing (NLN) Martha E. Rogers Award, which recognizes nurse scholars’ significant contributions to advancing nursing knowledge and knowledge in other health sciences. Between 1993 and 1996, Watson served as a member of the Executive Committee and the Governing Board, and as an officer for the NLN, and she was elected president from 1995 to 1996. In 1997, the NLN awarded her an honorary lifetime certificate as a holistic nurse. Finally, in 1999, Watson assumed the nation’s first Murchison-Scoville Endowed Chair of Caring Science and currently is a distinguished professor of nursing.

In 1998, Watson was recognized as a Distinguished Nurse Scholar by New York University, and in 1999, she received the Fetzer Institute’s national Norman Cousins Award in recognition of her commitment to developing, maintaining, and exemplifying relationship-centered care practices (Watson, personal communication, August 14, 2000).

Watson is a Distinguished and/or Endowed Lecturer at national universities, including Boston College, Catholic University, Adelphi University, Columbia University-Teachers College, State University of New York, and at universities and scholarly meetings in numerous foreign countries. Her international activities also include an International Kellogg Fellowship in Australia (1982), a Fulbright Research and Lecture Award to Sweden and other parts of Scandinavia (1991), and a lecture tour in the United Kingdom (1993). Watson has been involved in international projects and has received invitations to New Zealand, India, Thailand, Taiwan, Israel, Japan, Venezuela, Korea, and other places. She is featured in at least 20 nationally distributed audiotapes, videotapes, and/or CDs on nursing theory, a few of which are listed in Points for Further Study at the end of the chapter.

Jean Watson has authored 11 books, shared in authorship of six books, and has written countless articles in nursing journals. The following publications reflect
the evolution of her theory of caring from her ideas about the philosophy and science of caring.

Her first book, *Nursing: The Philosophy and Science of Caring* (1979), was developed from her notes for an undergraduate course taught at the University of Colorado. Yalom’s 11 curative factors stimulated Watson’s thinking about 10 carative factors, described as the organizing framework for her book (Watson, 1979), “central to nursing” (p. 9), and a moral ideal. Watson’s early work embraced the 10 carative factors but evolved to include “caritas,” making explicit connections between caring and love (Watson, personal correspondence, 2004). Her first book was reprinted in 1985 and translated into Korean and French.


Her third book, *Postmodern Nursing and Beyond* (1999), was presented as a model to bring nursing practice into the twenty-first century. Watson describes two personal life-altering events that contributed to her writing. In 1997, she experienced an accidental injury that resulted in the loss of her left eye and soon after, in 1998, her husband died. Watson states that she is “attempting to integrate these wounds into my life and work. One of the gifts through the suffering was the privilege of experiencing and receiving my own theory through the care from my husband and loving nurse friends and colleagues” (Watson, personal communication, August 31, 2000). This third book has been translated into Portuguese and Japanese. *Instruments for Assessing and Measuring Caring in Nursing and Health Sciences* (2002), a collection of 21 instruments to assess and measure caring, received the *American Journal of Nursing* Book of the Year Award.

Her fifth book, *Caring Science as Sacred Science* (2005), describes her personal journey to enhance understanding about caring science, spiritual practice, the concept and practice of care, and caring-healing work. In this book, she leads the reader through thought-provoking experiences and the sacredness of nursing by emphasizing deep inner reflection and personal growth, communication skills, use of self-transpersonal growth, and attention to both caring science and healing through forgiveness, gratitude, and surrender. It received the *American Journal of Nursing* 2005 Book of the Year Award.


### Theoretical Sources

Watson’s work has been called a philosophy, blueprint, ethic, paradigm, worldview, treatise, conceptual model, framework, and theory (Watson, 1996). This chapter uses the terms *theory* and *framework* interchangeably. To develop her theory, Watson (1988) defines theory as “an imaginative grouping of knowledge, ideas, and experience that are represented symbolically and seek to illuminate a given phenomenon” (p. 1). She draws on the Latin meaning of theory “to see” and concludes, “It (Human Science) is a theory because it helps me ‘to see’ more broadly (clearly)” (p. 1). Watson acknowledges a phenomenological, existential, and spiritual orientation from the sciences and humanities as well as philosophical and intellectual guidance from feminist theory, metaphysics, phenomenology, quantum physics, wisdom traditions, perennial philosophy, and Buddhism (Watson, 1995, 1997, 1999, 2005, 2012). She cites background for her theory nursing philosophies and theorists, including Nightingale, Henderson, Leininger, Peplau, Rogers, and Newman, and also the work of Gadow, a nursing philosopher and health care ethicist (Watson, 1985, 1997, 2005, 2012). She connects Nightingale’s sense of deep commitment and calling to an ethic of human service.

Watson attributes her emphasis on the interpersonal and transpersonal qualities of congruence, empathy, and warmth to the views of Carl Rogers and more recent writers of transpersonal psychology. Watson points out that Carl Rogers’ phenomenological approach, with his view that nurses are not here to manipulate and control others but rather to understand, was profoundly influential at a time when “clinicalization” (therapeutic control and manipulation of the patient) was considered the norm (Watson, personal communication, August 31, 2000). In her book, *Caring Science as Sacred Science*, Watson (2005) describes the wisdom of French philosopher
Emmanuuel Levinas (1969) and Danish philosopher Knud Logstrup (1995) as foundational to her work.

Watson’s main concepts include the 10 carative factors (see Major Concepts & Definitions box and Table 7-1) and transpersonal healing and transpersonal caring relationship, caring moment, caring occasion, caring healing modalities, caring consciousness, caring consciousness energy, and phenomenal file/unitary consciousness. Watson expanded the carative factors to a closely related concept, *caritas*, a Latin word that means “to cherish, to appreciate, to give special attention, if not loving attention.” As carative factors evolved within an expanding perspective, and as her ideas and values evolved, Watson offered a translation of the original carative factors into clinical caritas processes that suggested open ways in which they could be considered (Table 7-1).

Watson (1999) describes a “Transpersonal Caring Relationship” as foundational to her theory; it is a “special kind of human care relationship—a union with another person—high regard for the whole person and their being-in-the-world” (p. 63).

### TABLE 7-1  Carative Factors and Caritas Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carative Factors</th>
<th>Caritas Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. “The formation of a humanistic-altruistic system of values”</td>
<td>“Practice of loving-kindness and equanimity within the context of caring consciousness”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. “The instillation of faith-hope”</td>
<td>“Being authentically present and enabling and sustaining the deep belief system and subjective life-world of self and one being cared for”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. “The cultivation of sensitivity to one’s self and to others”</td>
<td>“Cultivation of one’s own spiritual practices and transpersonal self going beyond the ego self”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. “The promotion and acceptance of the expression of positive and negative feelings”</td>
<td>“Being present to, and supportive of, the expression of positive and negative feelings as a connection with deeper spirit and self and the one-being-cared for”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. “The systematic use of the scientific problem solving method for decision making” became “systematic use of a creative problem solving caring process” (in 2004 Watson website)</td>
<td>“Creative use of self and all ways of knowing as part of the caring process; to engage in the artistry of caring-healing practices”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. “The promotion of transpersonal teaching-learning”</td>
<td>“Engaging in genuine teaching-learning experience that attends to unity of being and meaning, attempting to stay within others’ frame of reference”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. “The provision of supportive, protective, and (or) corrective mental, physical, societal, and spiritual environment”</td>
<td>“Creating healing environment at all levels (physical as well as nonphysical, subtle environment of energy and consciousness, whereby wholeness, beauty, comfort, dignity, and peace are potentiated)”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. “The assistance with gratification of human needs”</td>
<td>“Assisting with basic needs, with an intentional caring consciousness, administering ‘human care essentials,’ which potentiate alignment of mind body spirit, wholeness, and unity of being in all aspects of care”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. “The allowance for existential-phenomenological forces” became “allowance for existential-phenomenological-spiritual forces” (in 2004 Watson website)</td>
<td>“Opening and attending to spiritual-mysterious and existential dimensions of one’s own life-death; soul care for self and the one-being-cared for”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jean Watson

Original 10 Carative Factors
Watson bases her theory for nursing practice on the following 10 carative factors. Each has a dynamic phenomenological component that is relative to the individuals involved in the relationship as encompassed by nursing. The first three interdependent factors serve as the “philosophical foundation for the science of caring” (Watson, 1979, pp. 9-10). As Watson’s ideas and values have evolved, she has translated the 10 carative factors into caritas processes. Caritas processes included a decidedly spiritual dimension and overt evocation of love and caring. (See Table 7-1 for the original carative factors and for caritas process interpretation.)

1. Formation of a Humanistic Altruistic System of Values
   Humanistic and altruistic values are learned early in life but can be influenced greatly by nurse educators. This factor can be defined as satisfaction through giving and extension of the sense of self (Watson, 1979).

2. Instillation of Faith-Hope
   This factor, incorporating humanistic and altruistic values, facilitates the promotion of holistic nursing care and positive health within the patient population. It also describes the nurse’s role in developing effective nurse-patient interrelationships and in promoting wellness by helping the patient adopt health-seeking behaviors (Watson, 1979).

3. Cultivation of Sensitivity to Self and Others
   The recognition of feelings leads to self-actualization through self-acceptance for both the nurse and patient. As nurses acknowledge their sensitivity and feelings, they become more genuine, authentic, and sensitive to others (Watson, 1979).

4. Development of a Helping-Trust Relationship
   The development of a helping-trust relationship between the nurse and patient is crucial for transpersonal caring. A trusting relationship promotes and accepts the expression of both positive and negative feelings. It involves congruence, empathy, nonpossessive warmth, and effective communication. Congruence involves being real, honest, genuine, and authentic. Empathy is the ability to experience and thereby understand the other person’s perceptions and feelings and to communicate those understandings. Nonpossessive warmth is demonstrated by: a moderate speaking volume, a relaxed open posture, and facial expressions that are congruent with other communications. Effective communication has cognitive, affective, and behavior response components (Watson, 1979).

5. Promotion and Acceptance of the Expression of Positive and Negative Feelings
   The sharing of feelings is a risk-taking experience for both nurse and patient. The nurse must be prepared for either positive or negative feelings. The nurse must recognize that intellectual and emotional understandings of a situation differ (Watson, 1979).

6. Systematic Use of the Scientific Problem-Solving Method for Decision Making
   Use of the nursing process brings a scientific problem-solving approach to nursing care, dispelling the traditional image of a nurse as the doctor’s handmaiden. The nursing process is similar to the research process in that it is systematic and organized (Watson, 1979).

7. Promotion of Interpersonal Teaching-Learning
   This factor is an important concept for nursing in that it separates caring from curing. It allows the patient to be informed and shifts the responsibility for wellness and health to the patient. The nurse facilitates this process with teaching-learning techniques that are designed to enable patients to provide self-care, determine personal needs, and provide opportunities for their personal growth (Watson, 1979).

8. Provision for a Supportive, Protective, and Corrective Mental, Physical, Sociocultural, and Spiritual Environment
   Nurses must recognize the influence that internal and external environments have on the health
and illness of individuals. Concepts relevant to the internal environment include the mental and spiritual well-being and sociocultural beliefs of an individual. In addition to epidemiological variables, other external variables include comfort, privacy, safety, and clean, aesthetic surroundings (Watson, 1979).

9. Assistance with Gratification of Human Needs

The nurse recognizes the biophysical, psychophysical, psychosocial, and intrapersonal needs of self and patient. Patients must satisfy lower-order needs before attempting to attain higher-order needs. Food, elimination, and ventilation are examples of lower-order biophysical needs, whereas activity, inactivity, and sexuality are considered lower-order psychophysical needs. Achievement and affiliation are higher-order psychosocial needs. Self-actualization is a higher-order intrapersonal-interpersonal need (Watson, 1979).

10. Allowance for Existential-Phenomenological Forces

Phenomenology describes data of the immediate situation that help people understand the phenomena in question. Existential psychology is a science of human existence that uses phenomenological analysis. Watson considers this factor difficult to understand. It is included to provide a thought-provoking experience, leading to a better understanding of the self and others.

Watson believes that nurses have the responsibility to go beyond the 10 carative factors and to facilitate patients’ development in the area of health promotion through preventive health actions. This goal is accomplished by teaching patients personal changes to promote health, providing situational support, teaching problem-solving methods, and recognizing coping skills and adaptation to loss (Watson, 1979).

Use of Empirical Evidence

Watson’s research into caring incorporates empiricism but emphasizes approaches that begin with nursing phenomena rather than with the natural sciences (Leininger, 1979). For example, she has used human science, empirical phenomenology, and transcendent phenomenology in her work. She has investigated metaphor and poetry to communicate, convey, and elucidate human caring and healing (Watson, 1987, 2005). In her inquiry and writing, she increasingly incorporated her conviction that a sacred relationship exists between humankind and the universe (Watson, 1997, 2005).

Major Assumptions

Watson calls for joining of science with humanities so that nurses have a strong liberal arts background and understand other cultures as a requisite for using Caring Science and a mind-body-spiritual framework. She believes that study of the humanities expands the mind and enhances thinking skills and personal growth. Watson has compared the status of nursing with the mythological Danaides, who attempted to fill a broken jar with water, only to see water flow through the cracks. She believed the study of sciences and humanities was required to seal similar cracks in the scientific basis of nursing knowledge (Watson, 1981, 1997).

Watson describes assumptions for a Transpersonal Caring Relationship extending to multidisciplinary practitioners:

- Moral commitment, intentionality, and caritas consciousness by the nurse protect, enhance, and potentiate human dignity, wholeness, and healing, thereby allowing a person to create or co-create his or her own meaning for existence.
- The conscious will of the nurse affirms the subjective and spiritual significance of the patient while seeking to sustain caring in the midst of threat and despair—biological, institutional, or otherwise. The result is honoring of an I-Thou Relationship rather than an I-It Relationship.
- The nurse seeks to recognize, accurately detect, and connect with the inner condition of spirit of another through genuine presence and by being centered in the caring moment; actions, words,
behaviors, cognition, body language, feelings, intuition, thoughts, senses, the energy field, and so forth, all contribute to the transpersonal caring connection.

- The nurse’s ability to connect with another at this transpersonal spirit-to-spirit level is translated via movements, gestures, facial expressions, procedures, information, touch, sound, verbal expressions, and other scientific, technical, aesthetic, and human means of communication, into nursing human art/acts or intentional caring-healing modalities.

- The caring-healing modalities within the context of transpersonal caring/caritas consciousness potentiate harmony, wholeness, and unity of being by releasing some of the disharmony, that is, the blocked energy that interferes with natural healing processes; thus the nurse helps another through this process to access the healer within, in the fullest sense of Nightingale’s view of nursing.

- Ongoing personal and professional development and spiritual growth, as well as personal spiritual practice, assist the nurse in entering into this deeper level of professional healing practice, allowing for awakening to a transpersonal condition of the world and fuller actualization of the “ontological competencies” necessary at this level of advanced practice of nursing.

- The nurse’s own life history, previous experiences, opportunities for focused study, having lived through or experienced various human conditions, and having imagined others’ feelings in various circumstances are valuable teachers for this work; to some degree, the nurse can gain the knowledge and consciousness needed through work with other cultures and study of the humanities (e.g., art; drama; literature; personal story; or narratives of illness or journeys), along with exploration of one’s own values, deep beliefs, and relationship with self, others, and one’s world.

- Other facilitators are personal growth experiences such as psychotherapy, transpersonal psychology, meditation, bioenergetics work, and other models for spiritual awakening.

- Continuous growth for developing and maturing within a transpersonal caring model is ongoing. The notion of health professionals as wounded healers is acknowledged as part of the necessary growth and compassion called forth within this theory/philosophy (Watson, 2006b).

**Theoretical Assertions**

**Nursing**

According to Watson (1988), the word *nurse* is both noun and verb. To her, nursing consists of “knowledge, thought, values, philosophy, commitment, and action, with some degree of passion” (p. 53). Nurses are interested in understanding health, illness, and the human experience; promoting and restoring health; and preventing illness. Watson’s theory calls upon nurses to go beyond procedures, tasks, and techniques used in practice settings, coined as the *trim* of nursing, in contrast to the *core* of nursing, meaning those aspects of the nurse-patient relationship resulting in a therapeutic outcome that are included in the transpersonal caring process (Watson, 2005; 2012). Using the original and evolving 10 carative factors, the nurse provides care to various patients. Each carative factor and the clinical caritas processes describe the caring process of how a patient attains or maintains health or dies a peaceful death. Conversely, Watson has described *curing* as a medical term that refers to the elimination of disease (Watson, 1979). As Watson’s work evolved, she increased her focus on the human care process and the transpersonal aspects of caring-healing in a Transpersonal Caring Relationship (1999, 2005).

Watson’s evolving work continues to make explicit that humans cannot be treated as objects and that humans cannot be separated from self, other, nature, and the larger universe. The caring-healing paradigm is located within a cosmology that is both metaphysical and transcendent with the co-evolving human in the universe. She asks others to be open to possibility and to put away assumptions of self and others, to learn again, and to “see” using all of one’s senses.

**Personhood (Human Being)**

Watson uses interchangeably the terms *human being, person, life, personhood, and self*. She views the person as “a unity of mind/body/spirit/nature” (1996, p. 147), and she says that “personhood is tied to notions that one’s soul possess a body that is not confined by objective time and space . . .” (Watson, 1988, p. 45).
Watson states, “I make the point to use mind, body, soul or unity within an evolving emergent world view-connectedness of all, sometimes referred to as Unitary Transformative Paradigm-Holographic thinking. It is often considered dualistic because I use the three words ‘mind, body, soul.’ I do it intentionally to connote and make explicit spirit/metaphysical—which is silent in other models” (Watson, personal communication, April 12, 1994).

**Health**

Originally, Watson’s (1979) definition of health was derived from the World Health Organization as, “The positive state of physical, mental, and social well-being with the inclusion of three elements: (1) a high level of overall physical, mental, and social functioning; (2) a general adaptive-maintenance level of daily functioning; (3) the absence of illness (or the presence of efforts that lead to its absence)” (p. 220). Later, she defined health as “unity and harmony within the mind, body, and soul”; associated with the “degree of congruence between the self as perceived and the self as experienced” (Watson, 1988, p. 48). Watson (1988) stated further, “illness is not necessarily disease; [instead it is a] subjective turmoil or disharmony within a person’s inner self or soul at some level of disharmony within the spheres of the person, for example, in the mind, body, and soul, either consciously or unconsciously” (p. 47). “While illness can lead to disease, illness and health are [a] phenomenon that is not necessarily viewed on a continuum. Disease processes can also result from genetic, constitutional vulnerabilities and manifest themselves when disharmony is present. Disease in turn creates more disharmony” (Watson, 1985, 1988, p. 48).

**Environment**

In the original ten carative factors, Watson speaks to the nurse’s role in the environment as “attending to supportive, protective, and or corrective mental, physical, societal, and spiritual environments” (Watson, 1979, p. 10). In later work, she has a much broader view of environment: “the caring science is not only for sustaining humanity, but also for sustaining the planet . . . Belonging is to an infinite universal spirit world of nature and all living things; it is the primordial link of humanity and life itself, across time and space, boundaries and nationalities” (Watson, 2003, p. 305). She says that “healing spaces can be used to help others transcend illness, pain, and suffering,” emphasizing the environment and person connection: “when the nurse enters the patient’s room, a magnetic field of expectation is created” (Watson, 2003, p. 305).

**Logical Form**

The framework is presented in a logical form. It contains broad ideas that address health-illness phenomena. Watson’s definition of caring as opposed to curing is to delineate nursing from medicine and classify the body of nursing knowledge as a separate science. Since 1979, the development of the theory has been toward clarifying the person of the nurse and the person of the patient. Another emphasis has been on existential-phenomenological and spiritual factors. Her works (2005) remind us of the “spirit-filled dimensions of caring work and caring knowledge” (p. x).

Watson’s theory has foundational support from theorists in other disciplines, such as Rogers, Erikson, and Maslow. She is adamant that nursing education incorporate holistic knowledge from many disciplines integrating the humanities, arts, and sciences and that the increasingly complex health care systems and patient needs require nurses to have a broad, liberal education (Sakalys & Watson, 1986).

Watson incorporated dimensions of a postmodern paradigm shift throughout her theory of transpersonal caring. Her theoretical underpinnings have been associated with concepts such as steady-state maintenance, adaptation, linear interaction, and problem-based nursing practice. The postmodern approach moves beyond this point; the redefining of such a nursing paradigm leads to a more holistic, humanistic, open system, wherein harmony, interpretation, and self-transcendence emerge reflecting a epistemological shift.

**Application by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

Watson’s theory has been validated in outpatient, inpatient, and community health clinical settings and with various populations, including recent applications with attention to patient care essentials.
(Pipe, Connolly, Spahr, et al., 2012), living on a ventilator (Lindahl, 2011), and simulating care (Diener & Hobbs, 2012). Watson and Foster (2003) described an exemplary application of theory to practice; the Attending Nurse Caring Model (ANCM) is a unique pilot project in a Denver children's hospital that is modeled after the “Attending” Physician Model. However, unlike a medical/cure model, the ANCM is concerned with the nursing care model. “It is constructed as a Nursing-Caring Science, theory-guided, evidence based, collaborative practice model for applying it to the conduct and oversight of pain management on a 37-bed, post surgical unit” (Watson & Foster, 2003, p. 363). Nurses who participate in the project learn about Watson’s caring theory, carative factors, caring consciousness, intentionality, and caring-healing practices. The mission of the ANCM is to have a continuous caring relationship with children in pain and their families. The ANCM is made visible in a caring-healing presence throughout the hospital. (See Watson’s website [http://www.watsoncaringscience.org] for examples of her theory in practice and further information about the many clinical agencies that use Watson’s work, such as Miami Baptist Hospital, Resurrection Health System [Chicago], Denver Veterans Administration Hospital and Children’s Hospital [Denver], Inova Health System [Virginia], Baptist Central Hospital [Kentucky], Elmhurst Hospital [New York], Pascak Valley Hospital [New Jersey], Sarasota Memorial Hospital and Tampa Memorial Hospital [Florida], and Scripps Memorial Hospital [California], among others.)

**Administration/Leadership**

Watson’s theory calls for administrative practices and business models to embrace caring (Watson, 2006c), even in a health care environment of increased acuity levels of hospitalized individuals, short hospital stays, increasing complexity of technology, and rising expectations in the “task” of nursing. These challenges call for solutions that address health care system reform at a deep and ethical level, and that enable nurses to follow their own professional practice model rather than short-term solutions, such as increasing numbers of beds, sign-on bonuses, and/or relocation incentives for nurses. Many hospitals seeking Magnet status, such as Central Baptist Hospital in Lexington, Kentucky, are meeting these challenges by using Watson’s Theory of Human Caring for administrative change. Others call for sustaining a professional environment based on the definition of patient care essentials (Pipe, Connolly, Spahr, et al., 2012). This and other examples of caring administrative practices are described at her website and in her recent article, “Caring Theory as an Ethical Guide to Administrative and Clinical Practices” (Watson, 2006c).

**Education**

Watson’s writings focus on educating graduate nursing students and providing them with ontological, ethical, and epistemological bases for their practice, along with research directions (Hills & Watson, 2011). Watson’s caring framework has been taught in numerous baccalaureate nursing curricula, including Bellarmine College in Louisville, Kentucky; Assumption College in Worcester, Massachusetts; Indiana State University in Terre Haute; Oklahoma City University; and Florida Atlantic University. In addition, the concepts are used in nursing programs in Australia, Japan, Brazil, Finland, Saudi Arabia, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, to name a few.

**Research**

Qualitative, naturalistic, and phenomenological methods are relevant to the study of caring and to the development of nursing as a human science (Nelson & Watson, 2011; Watson, 2012). Watson suggests that a combination of qualitative-quantitative inquiry may be useful. There is a growing body of national and international research that tests, expands, and evaluates the theory (DiNapoli, Nelson, Turkel, & Watson, 2010; Nelson & Watson, 2011). Smith (2004) published a review of 40 research studies that specifically used Watson’s theory. Persky, Nelson, Watson, and Bent’s (2008) study used a quantitative approach to determine the attributes of a “Caritas nurse” as part of an effort to initiate Relationship-Based Care (RBC) at New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center. More recently, Nelson and Watson (2011) report on studies carried out in seven countries. Nelson and Watson (2011) present eight caring surveys and other research tools for caritas research, such as differences among international perceptions of caring, nurse and patient relationships, and guidelines for hospitals seeking Magnet status.
Watson's recent writings update her theory (Watson, 2012), review caring measurement (Nelson & Watson, 2011), and guide the creation of a caring science curriculum (Hills & Watson, 2011).

**Clarity**
Watson uses nontechnical, sophisticated, fluid, and evolutionary language to artfully describe her concepts, such as caring-love, carative factors, and caritas. Paradoxically, abstract and simple concepts such as caring-love are difficult to practice, yet practicing and experiencing these concepts leads to greater understanding. At times, lengthy phrases and sentences are best understood if read more than once. Watson's inclusion of metaphors, personal reflections, artwork, and poetry make her concepts more tangible and more aesthetically appealing. She has continued to refine her theory and has revised the original carative factors as caritas processes. Critics of Watson's work have concentrated on her use of undefined or changing/shifting definitions and terms and her focus on the psychosocial rather than the pathophysiological aspects of nursing. Watson (1985) has addressed the critiques of her work in the preface of *Nursing: The Philosophy and Science of Caring* (1979, 1988); in the preface of *Nursing: Human Science and Human Care—A Theory of Nursing* (1985), and in *Caring Science as Sacred Science* (Watson, 2005). Table 7-1 outlines the evolution of Watson's thinking.

**Simplicity**
Watson draws on a number of disciplines to formulate her theory. The theory is more about being than about doing, and the nurse must internalize it thoroughly if it is to be actualized in practice. To understand the theory as it is presented, the reader does best by being familiar with the broad subject matter. This theory is viewed as complex when the existential-phenomenological nature of her work is considered, particularly for nurses who have a limited liberal arts background. Although some consider her theory complex, many find it easy to understand and to apply in practice.

Watson's theory is best understood as a moral and philosophical basis for nursing. The scope of the framework encompasses broad aspects of health-illness phenomena. In addition, the theory addresses aspects of health promotion, preventing illness and experiencing peaceful death, thereby increasing its generality. The carative factors provide guidelines for nurse-patient interactions, an important aspect of patient care.

The theory does not furnish explicit direction about what to do to achieve authentic caring-healing relationships. Nurses who want concrete guidelines may not feel secure when trying to use this theory alone. Some have suggested that it takes too much time to incorporate the caritas into practice, and some note that Watson's personal growth emphasis is a quality "that while appealing to some may not appeal to others" (Drummond, 2005, p. 218).

**Empirical Precision**
Watson describes her theory as descriptive; she acknowledges the evolving nature of the theory and welcomes input from others (Watson, 2012). Although the theory does not lend itself easily to research conducted through traditional scientific methods, recent qualitative nursing approaches are appropriate. Recent work on measurement reviews a broad array of international studies and provides research guidelines, design recommendations, and instruments for caring research (Nelson & Watson, 2011).

Watson's theory continues to provide a useful and important metaphysical orientation for the delivery of nursing care (Watson, 2007). Watson's theoretical concepts, such as use of self, patient-identified needs, the caring process, and the spiritual sense of being human, may help nurses and their patients to find meaning and harmony during a period of increasing complexity. Watson's rich and varied knowledge of philosophy, the arts, the human sciences, and traditional science and traditions, joined with her prolific ability to communicate, has enabled professionals in many disciplines to share and recognize her work.
Summary

Jean Watson began developing her theory while she was assistant dean of the undergraduate program at the University of Colorado, and it evolved into planning and implementation of its nursing PhD program. Her first book started as class notes that emerged from teaching in an innovative, integrated curriculum. She became coordinator and director of the PhD program when it began 1978 and served until 1981. While serving as Dean of the University of Colorado, School of Nursing, a post-baccalaureate nursing curriculum in human caring was developed that led to a professional clinical doctoral degree (ND). This curriculum was implemented in 1990 and was later merged into the Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) degree. Watson initiated the Center for Human Caring, the nation's first interdisciplinary center with a commitment to develop and use knowledge of human caring for practice and scholarship. She worked from Yalom’s 11 curative factors to formulate her 10 carative factors. She modified the 10 factors slightly over time and developed the caritas processes, which have a spiritual dimension and use a more fluid and evolutionary language.

CASE STUDY

The following case study was adapted from Valerie Taylor's (2008) clinical example for a presentation in Advanced Nursing Synthesis for the Nurse-Midwifery Concentration, East Carolina University College of Nursing (reprinted with permission).

You are a recently graduated master’s-prepared nurse-midwife working in a small 100-bed hospital, and you are committed to applying Watson’s theory to practice by building a nurse-midwife-patient relationship resulting in therapeutic outcomes. Because you are new, you are slowly promoting the theory with staff, co-midwives and physicians. Today you are excited and challenged to integrate Watson’s theory into your midwifery care of Maria, a 23-year-old Hispanic female, gravida 4 para, TPAL 4004 (meaning term, preterm, abortion, and live births in her pregnancy history), who presents in labor at 39 weeks gestation. She transfers into your group's practice from the health department at 36 weeks, is self-pay, and receives Maternity Medicaid when she presents in labor. She cannot speak English and uses her husband, Daniel, as an interpreter, who states that he could read and write but that she cannot. She and Daniel have moved to the area for factory work, so they have little social support from family and friends, and Maria stays at home to care for their three children. Maria's sister-in-law is caring for their three children while Maria is in the hospital. Although they are Catholic, they do not presently belong to a church. Her medical history is unremarkable, and her prenatal history is normal. Her first two children were delivered in Mexico, and her last child was delivered 1 year ago at another hospital in the United States.

As the nurse-midwife caring for Maria, Watson’s theory leads me to view Maria and her family holistically, wherein the body, mind, and soul are interrelated. I remember to incorporate the carative factors, caring consciousness, intentionality, and caring-healing practices, and to go beyond procedures, tasks, and techniques to create a mentally, physically, and spiritually healing environment, while assisting with basic needs. Watson’s theory helps me realize the importance of being authentically present and developing and sustaining a helping, trusting, caring relationship with Maria and her husband. At 0045 today, I attend Maria for her spontaneous vaginal delivery of a healthy infant girl, Lilia, who has an Apgar score of 8 and 9. Maria’s labor is uneventful, although she is treated for group B infection. After the delivery, I place Lilia on Maria’s abdomen for skin-to-skin touch and help Maria with positioning for breastfeeding. Maria and Daniel gaze at Lilia as she latches on for the first breastfeeding. After initial bonding, infant Lilia is transported to the newborn nursery; her exam is normal and without problems. When the nurses note that Lilia has not wet a diaper in over 6 hours, the neonatologist determines that Lilia has a kidney problem, and she has to be transported to the Level III regional hospital for additional tests and evaluation.

From your initial plan of care, you know how important it is to maintain a reciprocal dialogue among the interpreter, obstetrician, neonatologist,
nursing staff, and social worker. You stand close as the neonatologist explains to Maria and her husband, through the interpreter, that Lilia will receive exemplary care at the tertiary hospital. Maria is tearful, and her husband appears stressed as the interpreter translates that their newborn is being prepared for immediate transport to the regional hospital for specialized assessment and care. Maria is stable and her postpartum course is normal, with the exception of her anxiety related to the unknowns of Lilia’s condition, separation from her newborn, delayed breastfeeding, and language barriers that prevent a better understanding of events pertaining to her and Lilia’s care.

You let the theory guide you as you assess Maria’s stress/anxiety related to her separation from her newborn, fear of her newborn’s prognosis, inability to breastfeed, language barriers, and financial concerns. You know that if Maria does not have skin-to-skin touch, impairment of bonding may lead to oxytocin suppression and delays in milk production. Her stress and lack of rest also can hinder her normal recovery from a spontaneous vaginal delivery and may lead to blood loss and delayed involution. Engorgement or decreased lactogenesis may occur as the result of infrequent or interrupted breastfeeding. Maria has limited family support, with the exception of her sister-in-law, who lives 3 hours away; she lacks a friend network because of her immigration from Mexico, and she has no support group to support coping. Although Maria has a Christian belief system, she has no church affiliation at this time for spiritual guidance/support or fellowship of members. You know that Watson’s caring theory and carative factors/caritas can potentiate successful outcomes and an optimum state of health for Maria, her husband, and their newborn daughter.

After the routine postpartum exam, you address Maria’s biophysical needs for rest and her emotional concerns. You encourage the neonatologist and nursery staff to let the parents bond with Lilia before her transport. Then you consult the hospital chaplain for visitation and request a Spanish-speaking priest and a hospital interpreter to be available for patient teaching for instructions and early discharge after her 24-hour stay. You speak with the social worker since she can be a liaison between mother and newborn during Lilia’s transport. Throughout the care of Maria, Daniel, and Lilia, you facilitate a practice of loving kindness among the caregiving staff to achieve continuous culturally sensitive care, as that guides your practice. You know that the nurse-midwife–patient relationship has resulted in a therapeutic outcome because Maria and Daniel report feeling some comfort after speaking to the priest and the nurses at the tertiary care hospital. Maria is able to rest the previous night, and her postpartum examination is normal. Maria now has a breast pump, and the staff nurses explain its use. The social workers have arranged transportation for Maria and Daniel to visit their newborn at the Level III hospital after they are discharged today. Maria has spoken to her sister-in-law, and she will continue to care for the children for several more days. Maria and Daniel tell you how grateful they feel that you have been their nurse-midwife throughout their experience.

Valerie G. Taylor, MSN, CNM
Hickory, North Carolina

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Review the values and beliefs in your own philosophy of person, environment, health, and nursing to discover if your beliefs fit with Watson’s 10 carative/caritas assumptions.
2. Think of a time in your life when you felt that someone truly cared for you. Identify the major characteristics of these interactions, and describe how you might incorporate the characteristics into your style of nursing practice.
3. Create a list of caring behaviors in your own nursing practice. Review Measuring Caring: International research on caritas as healing (Nelson & Watson, 2011), and compare with...
the caring behaviors from instruments designed to measure caring included in that text.

4. Plan a time and place to meditate for 10 minutes each week, closing your eyes, and listening to quiet music. Reflect on ways to feel compassionate, intentional, calm, and peaceful. Consider ways to incorporate ideas from your reflection into your nursing practice.
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CHAPTER 8

Theory of Bureaucratic Caring
Sherrilyn Coffman

“Improved patient safety, infection control, reduction in medication errors, and overall quality of care in complex bureaucratic health care systems cannot occur without knowledge and understanding of complex organizations, such as the political and economic systems, and spiritual-ethical caring, compassion and right action for all patients and professionals.”


Credentials of the Theorist

Marilyn Anne (Dee) Ray was born in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, and grew up in a family of six children. When Ray was 15, her father became seriously ill, was hospitalized, and almost died. A nurse saved his life. Marilyn decided that she would become a nurse so that she could help others and perhaps save lives, too.

In 1958, Marilyn Ray graduated from St. Joseph Hospital School of Nursing, Hamilton, and left for Los Angeles, California. She worked at the University of California, Los Angeles Medical Center on a number of units, including obstetrics and gynecology, emergency department, and cardiac and critical care with adults and children from vulnerable populations. While working with African Americans and Latinos, Ray began to see how important cultures were in the development of people's views about nursing and the world.

In 1965, Ray returned to school for her BSN and MSN in maternal-child nursing at the University of Colorado School of Nursing. There she met Dr. Madeleine Leininger, who was the first nurse anthropologist and the Director of the Federal Nurse-Scientist program. Through her mentorship, Leininger influenced Ray’s life. Ray took a special interest in nursing, anthropology, childhood, and culture. She studied organizations as small cultures, and her graduate school project involved the study of a children’s hospital as a small culture. While at the University of Colorado, Ray practiced with children and adults in critical care and renal dialysis,
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From 1973 to 1977, Ray returned to Canada to be with her family. She joined the nursing faculty at McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario, and taught in the family nurse practitioner program. This was an exciting time, because the McMaster University Health Sciences Center was initiating evidence-based teaching, education, and practice. Ray completed a Master of Arts in Cultural Anthropology at McMaster University and studied human relationships, decision making and conflict, and the hospital as an organizational culture. She then received a letter from Dr. Leininger asking her to apply for the first transcultural nursing doctoral program at the University of Utah. At the university, Ray's doctoral dissertation (1981a) was a study on caring in the complex hospital organizational culture. From this research, the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring, the focus of this chapter, was developed.

During her doctoral studies, Ray married James L. Droesbeke, her inspiration and friend, and the love of her life. He was a constant source of support and help to her over the course of her career until his untimely death from cancer in 2001. After completing her doctorate in 1981, Ray rejoined the University of Colorado School of Nursing. At the University of Colorado, Ray worked with Dr. Jean Watson, who developed the theory and practice of human caring in nursing. With Watson and other scholars, Ray founded the International Association for Human Caring, which awarded her its Lifetime Achievement Award in 2008. In the 1980s, At the University of Colorado, Ray continued her study of phenomenology and qualitative research approaches and directed research in the emergency department.

In 1989, Ray accepted an appointment by Dean Anne Boykin as the Christine E. Lynn Eminent Scholar at Florida Atlantic University, College of Nursing, a position held until 1994. Florida Atlantic University developed the Center for Caring, which has been housing caring archives since the inception of the International Association for Human Caring in 1977. Ray held the position of Yingling Visiting Scholar Chair at Virginia Commonwealth University School of Nursing from 1994 to 1995, and she was a visiting professor at the University of Colorado from 1989 to 1999. Ray has been visiting professor at universities in Australia, New Zealand, and Thailand, advancing the teaching and research of human caring (Ray 1994b, 2000, 2010a, 2010b; Ray & Turkel, 2000,
Ray continues as Professor Emeritus at the Florida Atlantic University Christine E. Lynn College of Nursing as a part-time faculty member in the PhD program and faculty mentor. Ray's interest in transcultural nursing remains a theme in her research, teaching, and practice. With Dr. Sherrilyn Coffman, she completed a grounded theory research study of high-risk pregnant African-American women (Coffman & Ray, 1999, 2002). Learning about vulnerable populations gave Ray a deeper understanding of their needs, particularly the importance of access to health care and caring communities. Ray was vice president of Floridians for Health Care (universal health care) from 1998 to 2000. She is a Certified Transcultural Nurse and a member of the International Transcultural Nursing Society. She has made international presentations in China, Saudi Arabia, Sweden, Finland, England, Switzerland, Thailand, and Viet Nam. In 1984, Ray received the Leininger Transcultural Nursing Award for excellence in transcultural nursing. In 2005, she was named a Transcultural Nursing Scholar by the International Transcultural Nursing Society. Ray is listed in Who’s Who in America and Who’s Who in the World and gave a paper in 2010 on caring organizations at the World Universities Forum in Davos, Switzerland (Ray, 2010c). She attended a program of study at the United Nations related to implementation of the 2015 Millennium goals. Ray serves on review boards of the Journal of Transcultural Nursing and Qualitative Health Research. She also published Transcultural Caring Dynamics in Nursing and Health Care (Ray, 2010a) and, with co-editors, Nursing, Caring, and Complexity Science: For Human-Environment Well-Being, which received a 2011 American Journal of Nursing Book of the Year award.

Ray's research interests continue to focus on nurses, nurse administrators, and patients in critical care and intermediate care, and in nursing administration in complex hospital organizational cultures. She developed research with Dr. Marian Turkel to study the nurse-patient relationship as an economic resource, funded by the TriService Nursing Research Program (Turkel & Ray, 2000, 2001, 2003). With Turkel, Ray has published about complex caring relational theory, organizational transformation through caring and ethical choice making, instrument development on organizational caring, economic and political caring, and caring organization creation. They recently proposed renaming the nursing process to the language of caring in Nursing Science Quarterly (Turkel, Ray, & Kornblatt, 2012). Continued involvement at Florida Atlantic University has given Ray opportunities to influence complex organizations and caring organizations and environments in local, national, and global contexts. Her contributions to nursing education were recognized in 2005 with an honorary degree from Nevada State College and in 2007 with the Distinguished Alumna Award from University of Utah College of Nursing.

Theoretical Sources

Ray's interest in caring as a topic of nursing scholarship was stimulated by her work with Leininger beginning in 1968, which focused on transcultural nursing and ethnographic-ethnonursing research methods. She used ethnographic methods in combination with phenomenology and grounded theory to generate substantive and formal grounded theories, resulting in the overarching Theory of Bureaucratic Caring (Ray, 1981a, 1984, 1989, 1994b, 2010 b, 2011), which focuses on nursing in complex organizations such as hospitals. She distinguishes organizations as cultures based on anthropological study of how people behave in communities and the significance or meaning of work life (Louis, 1985). Organizational cultures, viewed as social constructions, are formed symbolically through meaning in interaction (Smircich, 1985).

Ray’s work (1981b, 1989, 2010b; Moccia, 1986) was influenced by Hegel, who posited the interrelationship among thesis, antithesis, and synthesis. In Ray's theory, the thesis of caring (humanistic, spiritual, and ethical) and the antithesis of bureaucracy (technological, economic, political, and legal) are reconciled and synthesized into the unitive force, bureaucratic caring. The synthesis, as a process of becoming, is a transformation that continues to repeat itself always changing, emerging, and transforming.

As she revisited and continued to develop her formal theory, Ray (2001, 2006; Ray & Turkel, 2010) discovered that her study findings fit well with explanations from chaos theory. Chaos theory describes
simultaneous order and disorder, and order within disorder. An underlying order or interconnectedness exists in apparently random events (Peat, 2002). Mathematical studies have shown that what may seem random is actually part of a larger pattern. Application of this theory to organizations demonstrates that within a state of chaos, the system is held within boundaries that are well ordered (Wheatley, 2006). Furthermore, chaos is necessary for new creative ordering. The creative process as described by Briggs & Peat is as follows:

“. . . when we enter the vital turbulence of life, we realize that, at bottom, everything is always new. Often we have simply failed to notice this fact. When we’re being creative, we take notice.” (Briggs & Peat, 1999, p. 30)

Ray compares change in complex organizations with this creative process and challenges nurses to step back and renew their perceptions of everyday events, to discover the embedded meanings. This is particularly important during organizational change. Complexity is a broader concept than chaos and focuses on wholeness or holonomy. Complex systems, such as organizations, have many agents that interact with each other in multiple ways. As a result, these systems are dynamic and always changing. Systems behave in nonlinear fashion because they do not react proportionately to inputs. For example, a simple intervention such as asking a colleague for help may be accommodated easily or may be seen as unreasonable on a busy day, making the behavior of complex systems impossible to predict (Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011; Vicenzi, White, & Begun, 1997). Nevertheless, chaos exists only because the entire system is holistic. Briggs and Peat (1999, pp. 156-157) describe this “chaotic wholeness” as “full of particulars, active and interactive, animated by nonlinear feedback and capable of producing everything from self-organized systems to fractal self-similarity to unpredictable chaotic disorder.” Their ideas influenced Ray’s ongoing development of bureaucratic caring theory, which suggests that multiple system inputs are interconnected with caring in the organizational culture (Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011; Ray, Turkel, & Cohn, 2011). Ray’s idea of the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring as holographic was influenced by the revolution taking place in science based on the holographic worldview (Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011; Ray, 2001, 2006; 2010a; Ray & Turkel, 2010). The discovery of interconnectedness among apparently unrelated sub-atomic events has intrigued scientists. Scientists concluded that systems possess the capacity to self-organize; therefore, attention is shifting away from describing parts and instead is focusing on the totality as an actual process (Wheatley, 2006). The conceptualization of the hologram portrays how every structure interpenetrates and is interpenetrated by other structures—so the part is the whole, and the whole is reflected in every part (Talbot, 1991).

The hologram has provided scientists with a new way of understanding order. Bohm has conceptualized the universe as a kind of giant, flowing hologram (Talbot, 1991; Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011). He asserted that our day-to-day reality is really an illusion, like a holographic image. Bohm termed our conscious level of existence explicate, or unfolded order, and the deeper layer of reality of which humans are usually unaware implicate, or enfolded order. In the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring, Ray compares the health care structures of political, legal, economic, educational, physiological, social-cultural, and technological with the explicate order and spiritual-ethical caring with the implicate order. An example might be a case manager’s decisions about obtaining resources for a client’s care in the home. At first, explicate structures such as the legal managed care contract or the physical needs of the client might appear to provide enough information. However, through the case manager’s caring relationship with the client, implicate issues may emerge, such as the client’s values and desires. In truth, nursing situations involve an endless enfolding and unfolding of information that may be viewed as explicate and implicate order, and important to consider in the decision-making process.

Making things work in a health care organizational system requires knowledge and understanding of bureaucracy, which is rigid, and the complexity of change. Bureaucracy and complexity may seem like the antithesis of each other, but, in reality, the structure of bureaucracy (illuminating the political, economic, legal, and technological systems in organizations) works in conjunction with the complex relational process of networks to co-create patterns of human behavior and patterns of caring. Both bureaucracy and complexity influence the ways in which diverse participants describe and intuitively live out their life
world experience in the system. No one thing or person in a system is independent; rather, they are interdependent. The system is holographic as the whole and the part are intertwined. Thus, bureaucracy and complexity co-create and transform each other. The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring is a representation of the relatedness of system and caring factors.

Use of Empirical Evidence
The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring was generated from qualitative research involving health professionals and clients in the hospital setting. This research focused on caring in the organizational culture and first appeared in the doctoral dissertation in 1981, and in other literature in 1984 and 1989. The purpose of the dissertation research was to generate a theory of the dynamic structure of caring in a complex organization. Methods used were grounded theory, phenomenology, and ethnography to elicit the meaning of caring to study participants.

The grounded theory approach is a qualitative research method that uses a systematic set of procedures to develop an inductive theory of a social process (Strauss & Corbin, 1990). The process results in the evolution of substantive theory (caring data generated from experience) and formal theory (integrated synthesis of caring and bureaucratic structures).

Ray studied caring in all areas of a hospital, from nursing practice to materials management to administration, world experience in the system. No one thing or person in a system is independent; rather, they are interdependent. The system is holographic as the whole and the part are intertwined. Thus, bureaucracy and complexity co-create and transform each other. The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring is a representation of the relatedness of system and caring factors.

Use of Empirical Evidence
The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring was generated from qualitative research involving health professionals and clients in the hospital setting. This research focused on caring in the organizational culture and first appeared in the doctoral dissertation in 1981, and in other literature in 1984 and 1989. The purpose of the dissertation research was to generate a theory of the dynamic structure of caring in a complex organization. Methods used were grounded theory, phenomenology, and ethnography to elicit the meaning of caring to study participants.

The grounded theory approach is a qualitative research method that uses a systematic set of procedures to develop an inductive theory of a social process (Strauss & Corbin, 1990). The process results in the evolution of substantive theory (caring data generated from experience) and formal theory (integrated synthesis of caring and bureaucratic structures).

Ray studied caring in all areas of a hospital, from nursing practice to materials management to administration,
MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS—cont’d

Social-Cultural
Examples of social and cultural factors are ethnicity and family structures; intimacy with friends and family; communication; social interaction and support; understanding interrelationships, involvement, and intimacy; and structures of cultural groups, community, and society (Ray, 1981a, 1989, 2001, 2006, 2010a).

Legal
Legal factors related to the meaning of caring include responsibility and accountability; rules and principles to guide behaviors, such as policies and procedures; informed consent; rights to privacy; malpractice and liability issues; client, family, and professional rights; and the practice of defensive medicine and nursing (Gibson, 2008; Ray, 1981a, 1989, 2010a, 2010b).

Technological
Technological factors include nonhuman resources, such as the use of machinery to maintain the physiological well-being of the patient, diagnostic tests, pharmaceutical agents, and the knowledge and skill needed to utilize these resources (Davidson, Ray & Turkel, 2011; Ray, 1987, 1989). Also included with technology are computer-assisted practice and documentation (Campling, Ray, & Lopez-Devine, 2011; Swinderman, 2011).

Economic
Factors related to the meaning of caring include money, budget, insurance systems, limitations, and guidelines imposed by managed care organizations, and, in general, allocation of scarce human and material resources to maintain the economic viability of the organization (Ray, 1981a, 1989). Caring as an interpersonal resource should be considered, as well as goods, money, and services (Turkel & Ray, 2000, 2001, 2003; Ray, Turkel & Cohn, 2011.

Political
Political factors and the power structure within health care administration influence how nursing is viewed in health care and include patterns of communication and decision making in the organization; role and gender stratification among nurses, physicians, and administrators; union activities, including negotiation and confrontation; government and insurance company influences; uses of power, prestige, and privilege; and, in general, competition for scarce human and material resources (Ray, 1989, 2010a, 2010b).

including nursing administration. More than 200 respondents participated in the purposive and convenience sample. The principal question asked was “What is the meaning of caring to you?” Through dialogue, caring evolved from in-depth interviews, participant observation, caregiving observation, and documentation (Ray, 1989).

Ray’s discovery of bureaucratic caring began as a substantive theory and evolved to a formal theory. The substantive theory emerged as Differential Caring, that the meaning of caring differentiates itself by its context. Dominant caring dimensions vary in terms of areas of practice or hospital units. For example, an intensive care unit has a dominant value of technological caring (e.g., monitors, ventilators, treatments, and pharmacotherapeutics), and an oncology unit has a value of a more intimate, spiritual caring (e.g., family focused, comforting, compassionate). Staff nurses valued caring in relation to patients, and administrators valued caring in relation to the system, such as the economic well-being of the hospital.

The formal Theory of Bureaucratic Caring symbolized a dynamic structure of caring. This structure emerged from the dialectic between the thesis of caring as humanistic (i.e., social, education, ethical, and religious-spiritual structures) and the antithesis of caring as bureaucratic (i.e., economic, political, legal, and technological structures). The dialectic of caring illustrates that everything is interconnected and that the organization is a macrocosm of the culture.

The evolution of Ray’s theory is illustrated in Figure 8-1, with diagrams of the bureaucratic caring structure published in 1981 and 1989. In the original grounded theory (see Figure 8-1, A). political
and economic structures occupied a larger dimension to illustrate their increasing influence on the nature of institutional caring (Ray, 1981a). Subsequent research conducted in intensive care and intermediate care units (Ray, 1989) emphasized the differential nature of caring, as seen through its competing structures of political, legal, economic, technological-physiological, spiritual-religious, ethical, and educational-social elements (see Figure 8-1, B).

In her 1987 article on technological caring, Ray noted that “critical care nursing is intensely human, moral, and technocratic” (p. 172). Ray encouraged other researchers to study this area to enhance nursing’s understanding of the advantages and limitations of technology in critical care. The Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing journal recognized Ray as Researcher of the Year for her groundbreaking work.

With continued reflection and analysis, combined with research on the economics of the nurse-patient relationship, Ray began to illuminate the ethical-spiritual realm of nursing (Figure 8-2) (Ray, 2001). Spiritual-ethical caring became a dominant modality because of discoveries that focused on the nurse-patient relationship. Qualitatively different systems, such as political, economic, social-cultural, and physiological, when viewed as open and interactive, are whole and operate through the choice making of nurses (Davidson & Ray, 1991; Ray, 1994a). Spiritual-ethical caring suggests how choice making for the good of others can be accomplished in nursing practice.

Ray’s research reveals that in complex organizations, nursing as caring is practiced and lived out at the margin between the humanistic-spiritual dimension and the systemic dimension. These findings are consistent with worldviews from the science of complexity, which propose that antithetical phenomena coexist (Briggs & Peat, 1999; Ray, 1998). Thus, technological and humanistic systems exist together. Complexity theory explains the resolution of the paradox between differing systems (thesis and antithesis) represented in the synthesis or the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring.

In summary, the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring emerged using a grounded theory methodology, blended with phenomenology and ethnography.

The initial theory was examined using the philosophy of Hegel. The theory was revisited in 2001 after continuing research, and examination in light of the science of complexity and chaos theory, resulting in the holographic Theory of Bureaucratic Caring (see Figure 8-2).

**Major Assumptions**

**Nursing**

Nursing is holistic, relational, spiritual, and ethical caring that seeks the good of self and others in complex community, organizational, and bureaucratic cultures. Dwelling with the nature of caring reveals that love is the foundation of spiritual caring. Through knowledge of the inner mystery of the inspirational life within, love calls forth a responsible ethical life that enables the expression of concrete actions of caring in the lives of nurses. As such, caring is cultural and social. Transcultural caring encompasses beliefs and values of compassion or love and justice or fairness, which has significance in the social realm, where relationships are formed and transformed. Transcultural caring serves as a unique lens through which human choices are seen, and understanding in health and healing emerges.

Thus, through compassion and justice, nursing strives toward excellence in the activities of caring through the dynamics of complex cultural contexts of relationships, organizations, and communities (Ray, 2010a; Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011).

**Person**

A person is a spiritual and cultural being. Persons are created by God, the Mystery of Being, and they engage co-creatively in human organizational and transcultural relationships to find meaning and value (M. Ray, personal communication, May 25, 2004).

**Health**

Health provides a pattern of meaning for individuals, families, and communities. In all human societies, beliefs and caring practices about illness and health are central features of culture. Health is not simply the consequence of a physical state of being. People construct their reality of health in terms of biology; mental patterns; characteristics of their image of the body, mind, and soul; ethnicity and family structures; structures of society and community (political, economic, legal, and technological); and experiences of caring that give meaning to lives in complex ways. The social organization of health and illness in society (the health care system) determines the way that people are recognized as sick or well. It determines how health professionals and individuals view health and illness. Health is related to the way people in a cultural group or organizational culture or bureaucratic system construct reality and give or find meaning (Helman, 1997; Ray, 2010a).

**Environment**

Environment is a complex spiritual, ethical, ecological, and cultural phenomenon. This conceptualization of environment embodies knowledge and conscience about the beauty of life forms and symbolic (representational) systems or patterns of meaning. These patterns are transmitted historically and are preserved or changed through caring values, attitudes, and communication. Functional forms identified in the social structure or bureaucracy (e.g., political, legal, technological, and economic) play a role in facilitating understanding of the meaning of caring, cooperation, and conflict in human cultural groups and complex organizational environments. Nursing practice in environments embodies the elements of
the social structure and spiritual and ethical caring patterns of meaning (Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011; Ray, 2010a).

### Theoretical Assertions

Person, nursing, environment, and health are integrated into the structure of the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring. The theory implies a dialectical relationship (thesis, antithesis, synthesis) among humans (person and nurse), the dimension of spiritual-ethical caring, and the structural (nursing, environment) dimensions of the bureaucracy or organizational culture (technological, economic, political, legal, and social). For Ray, the dialectic of caring and bureaucracy is synthesized into a theory of bureaucratic caring. Bureaucratic caring, the synthetic margin between the human and structural dimensions, is where nurses, patients, and administrators integrate person, nursing, health, and environment.

Theoretical assertions within the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring are as follows:

1. The meaning of caring is highly differential, depending on its structures (social-cultural, educational, political, economic, physical, technological, legal). The substantive theory of Differential Caring discovered that caring in nursing is contextual and is influenced by organizational structure or culture. Thus the meaning of caring is varied in the emergency department, intensive care unit, oncology unit, and other areas of the hospital and is influenced by the role and position that a person holds. The meaning of caring emerged as differential because no one definition or meaning of caring was identified (Ray, 1984, 1989; Ray, 2010b). The theoretical statement that describes the substantive theory of Differential Caring is formulated as:

   "In a hospital, differential caring is a dynamic social process that emerges as a result of the various values, beliefs, and behaviors expressed about the meaning of caring. Differential Caring relates to competing [cooperating] educational, social, humanistic, religious/spiritual, and ethical forces as well as political, economic, legal, and technological forces within the organizational culture that are influenced by the social forces within the dominant American [world] culture"


2. Caring is bureaucratic as well as spiritual/ethical, given the extent to which its meaning can be understood in relation to the organizational structure (Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011; Ray, 1989, 2001, 2006; Ray & Turkel, 2010). In the theoretical model (see Figure 8-2), everything is infused with spiritual-ethical caring by its integrative and relational connection to the structures of organizational life (e.g., political, educational). Spiritual-ethical caring is both a part and a whole, just as each of the organizational structures is both a part and a whole. Every part secures its purpose and meaning from the other parts. Understanding of spiritual-ethical caring in the bureaucratic organizational system, as a holographic formation, facilitates improvement in patient outcomes and transformation of human environmental well-being (M. Ray, personal communication, April 13, 2008; Ray, 2010a).

3. Caring is the primordial construct and consciousness of nursing. Spiritual-ethical caring and the organizational structures in Figure 8-2, when integrated, open, and interactive, are whole and operate by conscious choice. Nurses’ choice making occurs with the interest of humanity at heart, utilizing ethical principles as the compass in deliberations. Ray (2001) states, “Spiritual-ethical caring for nursing does not question whether or not to care in complex systems, but intimates how sincere deliberations and ultimately the facilitation of choices for the good of others can or should be accomplished” (p. 429).

### Logical Form

The formal Theory of Bureaucratic Caring was induced primarily by comparative analysis and insight into the whole of the experience. Review of the literature on nursing, philosophy, social processes, and organizations was combined with the substantive theory, Differential Caring, that Ray discovered with ethnography, phenomenology, and grounded theory research. These ideas were analyzed and integrated through a process that was inductive and logical—inductively building on the substantive theory and logically drawing upon the philosophical argument of Hegel’s dialectic (Moccia, 1986; Ray, 1989, 2006, 2010b) and complexity science to synthesize caring and bureaucracy to a new theoretical formulation (Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011; Ray, 2001).
Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring has direct application for nursing. In the clinical setting, staff nurses are challenged to integrate knowledge, skills, and caring (Turkel, 2001). This synthesis of behaviors and knowledge reflects the holistic nature of the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring. At the edge of chaos, contemporary issues such as inflation of health care costs serve as the catalyst for change within corporate health care organizations. The ethical component embedded in spiritual-ethical caring (see Figure 8-2) addresses nurses’ moral obligations to others. Ray (2001) emphasizes that “transformation can occur even in the businesslike atmosphere of today if nurses reintroduce the spiritual and ethical dimensions of caring. The deep values that underlie choice to do good will be felt both inside and outside organizations” (p. 429).

Deborah McCray-Stewart, a correction health service administrator at Telfair State Prison in Helena, Georgia, described how nurses in correctional health care settings integrate the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring into the framework of their practice (D. McCray-Stewart, personal communication, April 5, 2008). Nurses in corrections have the responsibility of caring for a complex special population. They must understand the culture, see prisoners as human beings, and have the ability to communicate, educate, and rehabilitate in this area of health care. Their effectiveness results from incorporating the sociocultural, physical, educational, legal, and ethical dimensions of caring theory into daily practice. In the economic and political areas of the correctional system, nurses struggle with the same issues as nurses in a hospital system, such as decreasing health care costs while providing quality care. Economic strategies include conducting health services at the facility level as opposed to transporting patients to a hospital. Radiology, laboratory, and telemedicine are introduced into the system requiring nurses to work in all areas. The government provides a constitution of care for this special population.

Ray (2010a) has addressed the interface of diverse cultures within the health care system. The Transcultural Communicative Caring Tool provides guidelines to help nurses understand the needs, adversity, problems, and questions that arise in culturally dynamic health care situations (Ray & Turkel, 2000; Ray, 2010a). The dimensions of this tool are as follows:

1. Compassion
2. Advocacy
3. Respect
4. Interaction
5. Negotiation
6. Guidance

Administration

Ray’s research has shown that nurses, patients, and administrators value the caring intentionality that is co-created in the nurse-patient or administrator-nurse relationship. By creating ethical caring relationships, administrators and staff can transform the work environment (Ray, Turkel, & Marino, 2002; Ray, Turkel, & Cohn, 2011). The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring suggests that organizations fostering ethical choices, respect, and trust will become the successful organizations of the future.

Miller (1995) summarized the work of Ray and other theorists and encouraged nurse executives to examine their daily caring skills and to use these skills in administrative practice. Nyberg studied with Ray and acknowledged the impact of Ray’s ideas in her book, A Caring Approach in Nursing Administration (Nyberg, 1998). Nyberg urged nurse administrators to create a caring and compassionate system, while being accountable for organizational management, costs, and economic forces. Turkel and Ray (2003) conducted a study with U.S Air Force personnel that led to increased awareness of issues between civilian and military policy makers.

Karen O’Brien, Director of Public Health Nursing in Denver, Colorado, described how public health nurse consultants developed an orientation for new nurses by incorporating the core principles of Ray’s Theory of Bureaucratic Caring (O’Brien, personal communication, April 12, 2008). The orientation curriculum includes the components of legal, technological, economic, and spiritual/ethical influences on caring for whole populations. Nurses are encouraged to use the political and economic dimensions of the theory to guide their practice. The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring provides a framework by which a nurse can view the whole population and its components to understand ways they can influence health outcomes.
At the National University of Colombia in Bogota, Colombia, Professor Olga J. Gomez and her nursing students studied Ray's Theory of Bureaucratic Caring, focusing on the hospital nursing administration role (Gomez, personal communication, April 5, 2008). As they studied the paradox between the concepts of human caring and economics, the students developed a framework for phenomenological research and explored the perceptions of executive nurses about the relationships among human care, economics, and control of health costs. An outcome of the study was recognition of the importance of working together in university and practice settings for empowerment and satisfaction of clients in the hospital environment. Finally, the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring was adopted in 2012 by Iowa Health, Des Moines (three hospitals) for implementation as a theory guide for professional nursing practice at their hospitals in preparation for application for Magnet Recognition Status as centers for excellence (Turkel, 2004).

Education

The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring is useful in nursing education in terms of its broad focus on caring in nursing and its conceptualization of the health care system. The holographic theory combines differentiation of structures within a holistic framework. Discussion of the structures or forces within complex organizations (e.g., legal, economic, social-cultural) provides an overview of factors involved in nursing situations. Infusion of these structures with spiritual-ethical caring emphasizes the moral imperatives and the choice making of nurses.

When developing a new baccalaureate nursing program at Nevada State College, the faculty was particularly drawn to the theory because of its description of the dimensions relevant to nursing within a philosophy of caring. The conceptual framework of the new nursing program combined Ray's Theory of Bureaucratic Caring with theoretical ideas from Watson (1985) and Johns (2000). Figure 8-3 depicts the ways nurses and clients interact in the health care system and how reflection on practice influences this process. A description of the conceptual framework for the curriculum, illustrated in Figure 8-3, is as follows:

“... the holographic theory of caring recognizes the interconnectedness of all things, and that everything is a whole in one context and a part of the whole in another context. Spiritual-ethical caring, the focus for communication, infuses all nursing phenomena, including physical, social-cultural, legal, technological, economic, political, and educational forces. The arrows reflect the dynamic nature of spiritual-ethical caring by the nurse and the forces that influence the changing structure of the health care system. These forces impact both the client/patient and the nurse.”

(Nevada State College, 2010, p. 2)

In the health care system, the client-patient and the nurse come together in a dynamic transpersonal caring relationship (Watson, 1985). The nurse, through communication, views the person as having the capacity to make choices. Through reflection on experience, the nurse assesses which force has the most influence on the nursing situation (Johns, 2000). The nurse draws upon empirical, ethical, and personal knowledge to inform and influence the aesthetic response to the patient. Through the nurse's caring activities within the transpersonal relationship, the goal of nursing can be achieved—the promotion of well-being through caring (Nevada State College, 2010).

The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring is being used to guide curriculum development in the master's program in nursing administration and in the master's and doctoral programs in theory courses at Florida Atlantic University. Structures from the theory, including ethical, spiritual, economic, technological, legal, political, and social, serve as a framework for exploration of current health care issues. Students are challenged to analyze the contemporary economic structure of health care from the perspective of caring. Caring within the health care delivery system is a key concept in nursing courses (Turkel, 2001; Ray, personal communication, May 2012).

Research

From her research that resulted in the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring, Ray developed a phenomenological-hermeneutic approach and a caring inquiry approach that has continued to guide her studies (Ray, 1985, 1991, 1994b, 2011). This research approach is particularly significant because it is grounded in the philosophy of humanism and caring, and it encourages nurses to utilize phenomenological hermeneutics through the
lens of caring. The evolution of Ray’s research methods began with ethnography-ethnonursing, grounded theory, and phenomenology, culminating in Caring Inquiry and Complex Caring Dynamics approaches (Ray, 2011). These approaches consist of the generation of data by inquiry into the meaning of participants’ life-world and relational experiences. Interviews and narrative discourse are the primary methods of data generation in these approaches. In Caring Inquiry, an ontology of caring is a part of the approach, in that Complex Caring Dynamics includes qualitative data generation and analysis, as well as complex quantitative research data collection and analysis techniques. The researcher dwells on the essential meanings of phenomena and through further reflection facilitates the interpretation of interview data, transforming data into interpretative themes and meta-themes. The ultimate goals are to capture the unity of meaning and to synthesize meanings into a theory.

Based on the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring, Ray and Turkel have developed a program of research that focuses on nursing in complex organizations (Davidson, Ray, & Turkel, 2011; Ray, Turkel, & Cohn, 2011). These studies further explored the meaning of caring and the nature of nursing among hospital nurses, administrators, and clients-patients. A TriService Nursing Research Program grant supported extensive research on nursing as an economic resource. Table 8-1 outlines publications that describe this ongoing program.

**Further Development**

Development of the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring is ongoing in Ray’s program of research and scholarship.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Research Focus and Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Ray, M. A. Study of caring within an institutional culture. <em>Dissertation Abstracts International</em>, 42(06). (University Microfilm No. 8127787.)</td>
<td>The dissertation analyzed the meaning of caring expressions and behaviors among 192 participants in a hospital culture. The substantive theory of Differential Caring and the formal Theory of Bureaucratic Caring were abstracted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Ray, M. Technological caring: A new model in critical care. <em>Dimensions in Critical Care Nursing</em>, 166-173.</td>
<td>This phenomenological study examined the meaning of caring to critical care unit nurses. The study showed that ethical decisions, moral reasoning, and choice undergo a process of growth and maturation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Ray, M. A. The theory of bureaucratic caring for nursing practice in the organizational culture. <em>Nursing Administration Quarterly</em>, 13(2), 31-42.</td>
<td>Caring within the organizational culture was the focus of the study. It describes the substantive Theory of Differential Caring and the formal Theory of Bureaucratic Caring. With caring at the center of the model, the study included ethical, spiritual-religious, economic, technological-physiological, legal, political, and educational-social structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Valentine, K. Caring is more than kindness: Modeling its complexities. <em>Journal of Nursing Administration</em>, 19(11), 28-34.</td>
<td>Nurses, patients, and corporate health managers provided quantitative and qualitative data to define caring. Data were organized using the categorization schema developed by Ray (1984).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Ray, M. A. A study of care processes using total quality management as a framework in a USAF regional hospital emergency service and related services. <em>Military Medicine</em>, 158(6), 396-403.</td>
<td>This descriptive study investigated access to care processes in a military regional hospital emergency service using a total quality management framework. The study lends support to the need for a decentralized, coordinated health care system with greater authority and control given to local commands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Ray, M. The ethical theory of existential authenticity: The lived experience of the art of caring in nursing administration. <em>Canadian Journal of Nursing Research</em>, 29(1), 111-126.</td>
<td>Existential authenticity was uncovered as the unity of meaning of caring by nurse administrators. This was described as an ethic of living and caring for the good of nursing staff members and the good of the organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Ray, M. A. A phenomenologic study of the interface of caring and technology in intermediate care: Toward a reflexive ethics for clinical practice. <em>Holistic Nursing Practice</em>, 12(4), 69-77.</td>
<td>This phenomenological study examined the meaning of caring for technologically dependent patients. Results revealed that vulnerability, suffering, and the ethical situations of moral blurring and moral blindness were the dynamics of caring for these patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Turkel, M., &amp; Ray, M. Relational complexity: A theory of the nurse-patient relationship within an economic context. <em>Nursing Science Quarterly</em>, 13(4), 307-313.</td>
<td>The formal Theory of Relational Complexity illuminated that the caring relationship is complex and dynamic, is both process and outcome, and is a function of both economic and caring variables; that, as a mutual process, is lived all at once as relational and system self-organization.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8-1  Research Publications Related to the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring—cont’d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Research Focus and Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Ray, M., &amp; Turkel, M. Impact of TRICARE/managed care on total force readiness. <em>Military Medicine</em>, 166(4), 281-289.</td>
<td>A phenomenological study was conducted to illuminate the life world descriptions of experiences of USAF active duty and reserve personnel with managed care in the military and civilian health care systems. The research illuminated the need for policy change to better meet the health care needs of these personnel and their families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Turkel, M., &amp; Ray, M. Relational complexity: From grounded theory to instrument theoretical testing. <em>Nursing Science Quarterly</em>, 14(4), 281-287.</td>
<td>The article describes a series of studies that examined the relationships among caring, economics, cost, quality, and the nurse-patient relationship. The results of theory testing revealed relational caring as a process and the strongest predictor of the outcome—relational self-organization that is aimed at well-being.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Ray, M., Turkel, M., &amp; Marino, F. The transformative process for nursing in workforce redevelopment. <em>Nursing Administration Quarterly</em>, 26(2), 1-14.</td>
<td>Relational self-organization is a shared, creative response to a continuously changing and interconnected work environment. Strategies of respecting, communicating, maintaining visibility, and engaging in participative decision making are the transformative processes leading to growth and transformation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Turkel, M. A journey into caring as experienced by nurse managers. <em>International Journal for Human Caring</em>, 7(1), 20-26.</td>
<td>The purpose of this phenomenological study was to capture the meaning of caring as experienced by nurse managers. Essential themes that emerged were growth, listening, support, intuition, receiving gifts, and frustration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Turkel, M., &amp; Ray, M. A process model for policy analysis within the context of political caring. <em>International Journal for Human Caring</em>, 7(3), 17-25.</td>
<td>This phenomenological study illuminated the experiences of USAF personnel with managed care in the military and civilian health care systems. A model outlining the process of policy analysis was generated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*USAF, U.S. Air Force.*

Her work is a synthesis of nursing science, ethics, philosophy, complexity science, economics, and organizational management. Ray described her most recent program of research as sponsored by the TriService Nursing Research program (*Turkel & Ray, 2001*). It included instrument development and psychometric testing of the original Nurse-Patient Relationship Resource Analysis Tool, now referred to as the Relational Caring Questionnaire (Professional Form) and the Relational Caring Questionnaire (Patient Form) (*Watson, 2009*; Watson Caring Science Institute, [www.wcsi.org](http://www.wcsi.org)). These tools are Likert-type questionnaires for health care professionals (nurses and non-nurses and nurse-administrators) and patients that measure the nurse-patient relationship as an administrative and interpersonal resource. These tools will help researchers link the non-economic (interpersonal) resources of caring with the administrative system resources (including economic/budgetary procedures). The tools are being translated into Swedish and are being tested. This interdisciplinary research is at the cutting edge and will lead to enhanced understanding of the concepts and relationships outlined in the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring.

### Critique

#### Clarity

The major structures—spiritual-religious, ethical, technological-physiological, social, legal, economic, political, and educational—are defined clearly in Ray’s 1989 publication. These definitions are consistent with definitions commonly used by practicing nurses. They have semantic consistency in that concepts are used in ways consistent with their definitions (*Chinn & Kramer, 2011*).
Most terms did not change from the 1989 article to the 2001 and 2006 publications; however, some concepts combined or separated as Ray’s development of the theory evolved (Ray, 2010a; Ray & Turkel, 2010). Therefore, for this chapter, currently used terms were clarified with the theorist. Furthermore, the formal definitions of the terms spiritual-ethical caring, social-cultural, physical, and technological, as they relate to the theory, are published for the first time in this chapter.

The diagram presented in Figure 8-2 enhances clarity. The interrelationship of spiritual-ethical caring with the other structures and the openness of the system are depicted by the organization of concepts and the dynamic arrows. Ray’s description of the theory (2001, pp. 428-429; assists the reader in imaging the theory relationships as holographic.

Simplicity
Ray’s theory simplifies the dynamics of complex bureaucratic organizations. From numerous descriptions of the inductive grounded theory study, Ray derived the integrative concept of spiritual-ethical caring and the seven interrelated concepts of physical, social-cultural, legal, technological, economic, political, and educational structures. Given the complexity of bureaucratic organizations, the number of concepts is minimal.

Generality
The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring is a philosophy that addresses the nature of nursing as caring. Alligood (2010) notes, “Nursing philosophy sets forth the meaning of nursing phenomena through analysis, reasoning, and logical argument” (p. 69). Ray’s theory addresses questions such as “What is the nature of caring in nursing?” and “What is the nature of nursing practice as caring?” Philosophies are broad and provide direction for the discipline (Alligood, 2010, p. 69). The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring proposes that nurses are choice makers guided by spiritual-ethical caring, in relation to legal, economic, technological, and other structures.

The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring provides a unique view of health care organizations and how nursing phenomena interrelate as wholes and parts of the system. Concepts are derived logically with inductive research. Ray’s analysis incorporates ideas from complexity science. The conceptualization of the health care system as holographic emphasizes the holistic nature of concepts and relationships. As nurses in all areas of practice study these new conceptualizations, they may be led to question the cause-and-effect stance of older linear ideas. Therefore, the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring has the potential to change the paradigm or way of thinking of practicing nurses.

Accessibility
Because the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring is generated using grounded theory and has undergone continued revisions based largely on research, empirical precision is high with concepts grounded in observable reality. The theory corresponds directly to the research data that are summarized in published reports (Ray, 1981a, 1981b, 1984, 1987, 1989, 1997b, 1998).


Importance
The issues that confront nurses today include economic constraints in the managed care environment and the effects of these constraints (e.g., staffing ratios) on the nurse-patient relationship. These are the very issues that the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring addresses. Nurses in administrative, research, and clinical roles can use the political and economic dimensions of the theory as a framework to inform their practice. This theory is relevant to the contemporary work world of nurses.

Ray and Turkel have generated middle-range theories through their program of research based on the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring. Ray uncovered the Theory of Existential Authenticity (1997b) as the unity of meaning for nurse-administrator caring art, and Sorbello adapted it more recently (2008). Nurse administrators described an ethic of living, caring for the good of their staff nurses and for the good of the organization. Relational (Caring) Complexity focuses on the nurse-patient relationship within an economic context.
Study data show that relational caring between administrators, nurses, and patients are the strongest predictor of relational self-organization aimed at well-being. Relational self-organization is a shared, creative response that involves growth and transformation (Ray, Turkel, & Marino, 2002). Transformative processes that can lead to relational self-organization include respecting, communicating, maintaining visibility, and engaging in participative decision making in the workplace. Finally, Ray’s work emphasizes the need for reflexive ethics for clinical practice, to enhance understanding of how deep values and moral interactions shape ethical decisions (Ray, 1998, 2010a).

**Summary**

The Theory of Bureaucratic Caring challenges participants in nursing to think beyond their usual frame of reference and envision the world holistically, while considering the universe as a hologram. Appreciation of the interrelatedness of persons, environments, and events is key to understanding this theory. The theory provides a unique view of how health care organizations and nursing phenomena interrelate as wholes and parts in the system. Unique constructs within Ray’s theory include technological and economic caring. Theory development by Ray’s colleagues and other scholars continues. Ray challenges nurses to envision the spiritual and ethical dimensions of caring and complex organizational health care systems so the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring may inform nurse creativity and transform the work world.

**CASE STUDY**

Mrs. Smith was a 73-year-old widow who lived alone with no significant social support. She had been suffering from emphysema for several years and had had frequent hospitalizations for respiratory problems. On the last hospital admission, her pneumonia quickly progressed to organ failure. Death appeared to be imminent, as she went in and out of consciousness, alone in her hospital room. The Medical-Surgical nursing staff and the Nurse Manager focused on making Mrs. Smith’s end-of-life period as comfortable as possible. Upon consultation with the Vice President for Nursing, the Nurse Manager and the unit staff nurses decided against moving Mrs. Smith to the Palliative Care Unit, although considered more economical, because of the need to protect and nurture her as she was already experiencing signs and symptoms of the dying process. Nurses were prompted by an article they read on human caring as the “language of nursing practice” (Turkel, Ray, & Kornblatt, 2012) in their weekly caring practice meetings.

The Nurse Manager reorganized patient assignments. She felt that the newly assigned Clinical Nurse Leader who was working between both the Medical and Surgical Units could provide direct nurse caring and coordination at the point of care (Sherman, 2010). Over the next few hours, the Clinical Nurse Leader as well as a staff member who had volunteered her assistance provided personal care for Mrs. Smith. The Clinical Nurse Leader asked the Nurse Manager to see if there was a possibility that Mrs. Smith had any close friends who could “be there” for her in her final moments. One friend was discovered and came to say goodbye to Mrs. Smith. With help from her team, the Clinical Nurse Leader turned, bathed, and suctioned Mrs. Smith. She spoke quietly, prayed, and sang hymns softly in Mrs. Smith’s room, creating a peaceful environment that expressed compassion and a deep sense of caring for her. The Nurse Manager and nursing unit staff were calmed and their “hearts awakened” by the personal caring that the Clinical Nurse Leader and the volunteer nurse provided. Mrs. Smith died with caring persons at her bedside, and all members of the unit staff felt comforted that she had not died alone.

Davidson, Ray, & Turkel (2011) note that caring is complex, and caring science includes the art of practice, “an aesthetic which illuminates the beauty of the dynamic nurse-patient relationship, that makes possible authentic spiritual-ethical choices for transformation—healing, health, well-being, and a peaceful death” (p. xxiv). As the Clinical Nurse Leader and the nursing staff in this situation engaged in caring practice that focused

Continued
nursing staff in partnership with the Vice President for Nursing. Nursing administration, Clinical Nurse Leaders, and staff’s actions reflected values and beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors about the nursing care they would provide, how they would use technology, and how they would deal with human relationships. The ethical and spiritual choice making of the whole staff and the way they communicated their values both reflected and created a caring community in the workplace culture of the hospital unit.

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

Based on the case study above, consider the following questions.

1. What caring behaviors prompted the Nurse Manager to assign the Clinical Nurse Leader to engage in direct caring for Mrs. Smith? Describe and explain the new Clinical Nurse Leader role established by the American Association of College of Nursing in 2004.

2. What issues (ethical, spiritual, legal, social-cultural, economic, and physical) from the structure of the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring influenced this situation? Discuss “end of life” issues in relation to the theory.

3. How did the Nurse Manager balance these issues? What considerations went into her decision making?

Discuss the role and the value of the Clinical Nurse Leader on nursing units. What is the difference between the Nurse Manager and the Clinical Nurse Leader in terms of caring practice in complex hospital care settings? How does a CNL fit into the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring for implementation of a caring practice?

4. What interrelationships are evident between persons in this environment, that is, how were the Vice President for Nursing, Nurse Manager, Clinical Nurse Leader, staff, and patient connected in this situation? Compare and contrast the traditional nursing process with Turkel, Ray, and Kornblatt’s (2012) language of caring practice within the Theory of Bureaucratic Caring.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- Florida Atlantic University, Christine E. Lynn College of Nursing, Boca Raton, FL, at: www.fau.edu
- International Association for Human Caring, at: www.humanacaring.org
- New England Complex Systems Institute, Cambridge, MA, at: www.necsi.edu
- Plexus Institute, Allentown, NJ, at: www.plexusinstitute.org

- Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM, at: www.santafe.edu
- Watson Caring Science Institute, at www.wcsi.org
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The nurse-patient relationship is not a uniform, professionalized blueprint but rather a kaleidoscope of intimacy and distance in some of the most dramatic, poignant, and mundane moments of life. 

(Benner, 1984a)

Caring, Clinical Wisdom, and Ethics in Nursing Practice

Karen A. Brykczynski

“The nurse-patient relationship is not a uniform, professionalized blueprint but rather a kaleidoscope of intimacy and distance in some of the most dramatic, poignant, and mundane moments of life.”

(Benner, 1984a)

Credentials and Background of the Philosopher

Patricia Benner was born in Hampton, Virginia, and spent her childhood in California, where she received her early and professional education. Majoring in nursing, she obtained a baccalaureate of arts degree from Pasadena College in 1964. In 1970, she earned a master’s degree in nursing, with major emphasis in medical-surgical nursing, from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) School of Nursing. Her PhD in stress, coping, and health was conferred in 1982 at the University of California, Berkeley, and her dissertation was published in 1984 (Benner, 1984b). Benner has a wide range of clinical experience, including positions in acute medical-surgical, critical care, and home health care.

Benner has a rich background in research and began this part of her career in 1970 as a postgraduate nurse researcher in the School of Nursing at UCSF. Upon completion of her doctorate in 1982, Benner achieved the position of associate professor at the Department of Physiological Nursing at UCSF and tenured professor in 1989. In 2002, she moved to the Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences at UCSF, where she was the first occupant of the Thelma Shobe Cook Endowed Chair in Ethics and Spirituality. She taught at the doctoral and master’s levels and served on three to four dissertation committees per
year. Benner retired from full-time teaching in 2008 as professor emerita from UCSF, but continues to be involved in presentations and consultation, as well as writing and research projects. She is currently a Distinguished Visiting Professor at Seattle University School of Nursing, assisting them with a transformation of their undergraduate and graduate curricula.


In 1985, Benner was inducted into the American Academy of Nursing. She received the National League for Nursing’s Linda Richards Award for leadership in education in 1989 and both the NLN Excellence in Leadership Award for Nursing Education and the NLN President’s Award for Creativity and Innovation in Nursing Education in 2010. In 1990, she received the Excellence in Nursing Research and Excellence in Nursing Education Award from the California Organization of Nurse Executives. She also received the Alumnus of the Year Award from Point Loma Nazarene College (formerly Pasadena College) in 1993. In 1994, Benner became an Honorary Fellow in the Royal College of Nursing, United Kingdom. In 1995, she received the Helen Nahm Research Lecture Award from the faculty at UCSF for her contribution to nursing science and research. Benner received an award for outstanding contributions to the profession from the National Council of State Boards of Nursing in 2002, for developing an instrument, Taxonomy of Error, Root Cause and Practice (TERCAP) an electronic data collection tool to capture the sources and nature of nursing errors (Benner, Sheets, Uris, et al., 2002).

In 2002, The Institute for Nursing Healthcare Leadership commemorated the impact of the landmark book *From Novice to Expert* (1984a) with an award acknowledging 20 years of collecting and extending clinical wisdom, experiential learning, and caring practices and a celebration at the conference “Charting the Course: The Power of Expert Nurses to Define the Future.” Benner received the American Association of Critical Care Nurses Pioneering Spirit Award in May 2004 for her work on skill acquisition and articulating nursing knowledge in critical care. In 2007, she was selected for the UCSF School of Nursing’s Centennial Wall of Fame and was a visiting professor at the University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing in 2009. Along with her husband and colleague, Richard Benner, Patricia Benner consults around the world regarding clinical practice development models (CPDMs) (Benner & Benner, 1999). Benner was appointed Nursing Education Study Director for the Carnegie Foundation’s Preparation for the Professions Program (PPP) in March 2004. The book published from The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching National Nursing Education Study, *Educating Nurses: A Call for Radical Transformation* was awarded the *American Journal of Nursing* Book of the Year Award for 2010, and the Prose Award for Scholarly Writing. This nationwide study was a study of professional education and the shift from technical professionalism to civic professionalism. In 2011, the American Academy of Nursing honored Patricia Benner as a Living Legend.

### Philosophical Sources

Benner acknowledges that her thinking in nursing has been influenced greatly by Virginia Henderson. Benner studies clinical nursing practice in an attempt to discover and describe the knowledge embedded in nursing practice. She maintains that knowledge accrues over time in a practice discipline and is developed through...
experiential learning and situated thinking and reflection on practice in particular practice situations. She refers to this work as articulation research, defined as: “describing, illustrating, and giving language to taken-for-granted areas of practical wisdom, skilled know-how, and notions of good practice” (Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999, p. 5). One of Benner’s first philosophical distinctions was to differentiate between practical and theoretical knowledge. She stated that knowledge development in a practice discipline “consists of extending practical knowledge (know-how) through theory-based scientific investigations and through the charting of the existent ‘know-how’ developed through clinical experience in the practice of that discipline” (1984a, p. 3). Benner believes that nurses have been delinquent in documenting their clinical learning, and “this lack of charting of our practices and clinical observations deprives nursing theory of the uniqueness and richness of the knowledge embedded in expert clinical practice” (Benner, 1983, p. 36). She has contributed to the description of the know-how of nursing practice.

Citing Kuhn (1970) and Polanyi (1958), philosophers of science, Benner (1984a) emphasizes the difference between “knowing how,” a practical knowledge that may elude precise abstract formulations, and “knowing that,” which lends itself to theoretical explanations. Knowing that is the way an individual comes to know by establishing causal relationships between events. Clinical situations are always more varied and complicated than theoretical accounts; therefore, clinical practice is an area of inquiry and a source of knowledge development. By studying practice, nurses can uncover new knowledge. Nurses must develop the knowledge base of practice (know-how), and, through investigation and observation, begin to record and develop the know-how of clinical expertise. Ideally, practice and theory dialog creates new possibilities. Theory is derived from practice, and practice is extended by theory.


Benner (1984a) adapted the Dreyfus model to clinical nursing practice. The Dreyfus brothers developed the skill acquisition model by studying the performance of chess masters and pilots in emergency situations (Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 1980; Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 1986). Benner’s model is situational and describes five levels of skill acquisition and development: (1) novice, (2) advanced beginner, (3) competent, (4) proficient, and (5) expert. The model posits that changes in four aspects of performance occur in movement through the levels of skill acquisition: (1) movement from a reliance on abstract principles and rules to the use of past, concrete experience, (2) shift from reliance on analytical, rule-based thinking to intuition, (3) change in the learner’s perception of the situation from viewing it as a compilation of equally relevant bits to viewing it as an increasingly complex whole, in which certain parts stand out as more or less relevant, and (4) passage from a detached observer, standing outside the situation, to one of a position of involvement, fully engaged in the situation (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1992).

Because the model is situation-based and is not trait-based, the level of performance is not an individual characteristic of an individual performer, but instead is a function of a given nurse’s familiarity with a particular situation in combination with her or his educational background. The performance level can be determined only by consensual validation of expert judges and by assessment of the outcomes of the situation (Benner, 1984a). In applying the model to nursing, Benner noted that “experience-based skill acquisition is safer and quicker when it rests upon a sound educational base” (1984a, p. xix). Benner (1984a) defines skill and skilled practice to mean implementing skilled nursing interventions and clinical judgment skills in actual clinical situations. In no case
does this refer to context-free psychomotor skills or other demonstrable enabling skills outside the context of nursing practice.

In subsequent research undertaken to further explicate the Dreyfus model, Benner identified two interrelated aspects of practice that also distinguish the levels of practice from advanced beginner to expert (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1992; 1996). First, clinicians at different levels of practice live in different clinical worlds, recognizing and responding to different situated needs for action. Second, clinicians develop what Benner terms agency, or the sense of responsibility toward the patient, and evolve into fully participating members of the health care team. The skills acquired through nursing experience and the perceptual awareness that expert nurses develop as decision makers from the “gestalt of the situation” lead them to follow their hunches as they search for evidence to confirm the subtle changes they observe in patients (1984a, p. xviii).

The concept that experience is defined as the outcome when preconceived notions are challenged, refined, or refuted in actual situations is based on the works of Heidegger (1962) and Gadamer (1970). As the nurse gains experience, clinical knowledge becomes a blend of practical and theoretical knowledge. Expertise develops as the clinician tests and modifies principle-based expectations in the actual situation. Heidegger’s influence is evident in this and in Benner’s subsequent writings on the primacy of caring. Benner refutes the dualistic Cartesian descriptions of mind-body person and espouses Heidegger’s phenomenological description of person as a self-interpreting being who is defined by concerns, practices, and life experiences. Persons are always situated, that is, they are engaged meaningfully in the context of where they are. Heidegger (1962) termed practical knowledge as the kind of knowing that occurs when an individual is involved in the situation. By virtue of being humans, we have embodied intelligence, meaning that we come to know things by being in situations. When a familiar situation is encountered, there is embodied recognition of its meaning. For example, having previously witnessed someone developing a pulmonary embolus, a nurse notices qualitative nuances and has recognition ability for observing it before those nurses who have never seen it. Benner and Wrubel (1989) state, “Skilled activity, which is made possible by our embodied intelligence, has been long regarded as ‘lower’ than intellectual, reflective activity” but argue that intellectual, reflective capacities are dependent on embodied knowing (p. 43). Embodied knowing and the meaning of being are premises for the capacity to care; things matter and “cause us to be involved in and defined by our concerns” (p. 42).

While doing her doctoral studies at Berkeley, Benner was a research assistant to Richard S. Lazarus (Lazarus, 1985; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984), who is known for his stress and coping theory. As part of Lazarus’ larger study, Benner studied midcareer males’ meaning of work and coping that was published as Stress and Satisfaction on the Job: Work Meanings and Coping of Mid-Career Men (1984b). Lazarus’ Theory of Stress and Coping is described as phenomenological, that is, the person is understood to constitute and be constituted by meanings. Stress is the disruption of meanings, and coping is what the person does about the disruption. Both doing something and refraining from doing something are ways of coping. Coping is bound by the meanings inherent in what the person interprets as stressful. Different possibilities arise from the way the person is in the situation. Benner used this concept to describe clinical nursing practice in terms of nurses making a difference by being in a situation in a caring way.

Benner’s approach to knowledge development that began with From Novice to Expert (1984a) began a growing, living tradition for learning from clinical nursing practice through collection and interpretation of exemplars (Benner, 1994; Benner & Benner, 1999; Benner, Tanner & Chesla, 1996; Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999). Benner and Benner (1999) stated the following:

Effective delivery of patient/family care requires collective attentiveness and mutual support of good practice embedded in a moral community of practitioners seeking to create and sustain good practice... This vision of practice is taken from the Aristotelian tradition in ethics (Aristotle, 1985) and the more recent articulation of this tradition by Alasdair MacIntyre (1981), where practice is defined as a collective endeavor that has notions of good internal to the practice... However, such collective endeavors must be comprised of individual practitioners who have skilled know how, craft, science, and moral imagination, who continue to create and instantiate good practice (pp. 23-24).
Novice
In the novice stage of skill acquisition in the Dreyfus model, the person has no background experience of the situation in which he or she is involved. Context-free rules and objective attributes must be given to guide performance. There is difficulty discerning between relevant and irrelevant aspects of a situation. Generally, this level applies to students of nursing, but Benner has suggested that nurses at higher levels of skill in one area of practice could be classified at the novice level if placed in an area or situation completely foreign to them such as moving from general medical-surgical adult care to neonatal intensive care units (Benner, 1984a).

Advanced beginner
The advanced beginner stage in the Dreyfus model develops when the person can demonstrate marginally acceptable performance, having coped with enough real situations to note, or to have pointed out by a mentor, the recurring meaningful components of the situation. The advanced beginner has enough experience to grasp aspects of the situation (Benner, 1984a). Unlike attributes and features, aspects cannot be objectified completely because they require experience based on recognition in the context of the situation.

Nurses functioning at this level are guided by rules and are oriented by task completion. They have difficulty grasping the current patient situation in terms of the larger perspective. However, Dreyfus and Dreyfus (1996) state the following:

“Through practical experience in concrete situations with meaningful elements which neither the instructor nor student can define in terms of objective features, the advanced beginner starts intuitively to recognize these elements when they are present. We call these newly recognized elements “situational” to distinguish them from the objective elements of the skill domain that the beginner can recognize prior to seeing concrete examples (p. 38).”

Clinical situations are viewed by nurses who are in the advanced beginner stage as a test of their abilities and the demands of the situation placed on them rather than in terms of patient needs and responses (Benner et al., 1992). Advanced beginners feel highly responsible for managing patient care, yet they still rely on the help of those who are more experienced (Benner et al., 1992). Benner places most newly graduated nurses at this level.

Competent
Through learning from actual practice situations and by following the actions of others, the advanced beginner moves to the competent level (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1992). The competent stage of the Dreyfus model is typified by considerable conscious and deliberate planning that determines which aspects of current and future situations are important and which can be ignored (Benner, 1984a).

Consistency, predictability, and time management are important in competent performance. A sense of mastery is acquired through planning and predictability (Benner Tanner, & Chesla, 1992). The level of efficiency is increased, but “the focus is on time management and the nurse’s organization of the task world rather than on timing in relation to the patient’s needs” (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1992, p. 20). The competent nurse may display hyperresponsibility for the patient, often more than is realistic, and may exhibit an ever-present and critical view of the self (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1992).

The competent stage is most pivotal in clinical learning, because the learner must begin to recognize patterns and determine which elements of the situation warrant attention and which can be ignored. The competent nurse devises new rules and reasoning procedures for a plan, while applying learned rules for action on the basis of relevant facts of that situation. To become proficient, the competent performer must allow the situation to guide responses (Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 1996). Studies point to the importance of active teaching and learning in the competent stage for nurses making the transition from competency to proficiency (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1996; Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999; Benner, 2005; Benner, Malloch, & Sheets, 2010). The competent stage of learning is pivotal in the formation of
the everyday ethical comportment of the nurse (Benner, 2005).

Anxiety is now more tailored to the situation than it was at the novice or advanced beginner stage, when a general anxiety exists over learning and performing well without making mistakes. Coaching at this point should encourage competent-level nurses to follow through on a sense that things are not as usual, or even on vague feelings of foreboding or anxiety, because they have to learn to decide what is relevant with no rules to guide them... Nurses at this stage feel exhilarated when they perform well and feel remorse when they recognize that their performance could have been more effective or more prescient because they had paid attention to the wrong things or had missed relevant subtle signs and symptoms. These emotional responses are the formative stages of aesthetic appreciation of good practice. These feelings of satisfaction and uneasiness with performance act as a moral compass that guides experiential ethical and clinical learning.

There is a built-in tension between the deliberate rule- and maxim-based strategies of organizing, planning, and prediction and developing a more response-based practice, as pointed out in our study of critical-care nurses (Benner, 2005. p.195).

**Proficient**

At the *proficient* stage of the Dreyfus model, the performer perceives the situation as a whole (the total picture) rather than in terms of aspects, and the performance is guided by maxims. The proficient level is a qualitative leap beyond the competent. Now the performer recognizes the most salient aspects and has an intuitive grasp of the situation based on background understanding (Benner, 1984a).

Nurses at this level demonstrate a new ability to see changing relevance in a situation, including recognition and implementation of skilled responses to the situation as it evolves. They no longer rely on preset goals for organization, and they demonstrate increased confidence in their knowledge and abilities (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1992). At the proficient stage, there is much more involvement with the patient and family. The proficient stage is a transition into expertise (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1996).

**Expert**

The fifth stage of the Dreyfus model is achieved when “the expert performer no longer relies on analytical principle (i.e., rule, guideline, maxim) to connect an understanding of the situation to an appropriate action” (Benner, 1984a, p. 31). Benner described the expert nurse as having an intuitive grasp of the situation and as being able to identify the region of the problem without losing time considering a range of alternative diagnoses and solutions. There is a qualitative change as the expert performer “knows the patient,” meaning knowing typical patterns of responses and knowing the patient as a person. Key aspects of expert practice include the following (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1996):

- Demonstrating a clinical grasp and resource-based practice
- Possessing embodied know-how
- Seeing the big picture
- Seeing the unexpected

The expert nurse has the ability to recognize patterns on the basis of deep experiential background. For the expert nurse, meeting the patient's actual concerns and needs is of utmost importance, even if it means planning and negotiating for a change in the plan of care. There is almost a transparent view of the self (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1992).

**Aspects of a situation**

The *aspects* are the recurring meaningful situational components recognized and understood in context because the nurse has previous experience (Benner, 1984a).

**Attributes of a situation**

The *attributes* are measurable properties of a situation that can be explained without previous experience in the situation (Benner, 1984a).

**Competency**

*Competency* is “an interpretively defined area of skilled performance identified and described by its intent,
functions, and meanings” (Benner, 1984a, p. 292). This term is unrelated to the competent stage of the Dreyfus model.

**Domain**
The domain is an area of practice having a number of competencies with similar intents, functions, and meanings (Benner, 1984a).

**Exemplar**
An exemplar is an example of a clinical situation that conveys one or more intents, meanings, functions, or outcomes easily translated to other clinical situations (Benner, 1984a).

**Experience**
Experience is not a mere passage of time, but an active process of refining and changing preconceived theories, notions, and ideas when confronted with actual situations; it implies there is a dialog between what is found in practice and what is expected (Benner & Wrubel, 1982).

**Maxim**
Maxim is a cryptic description of skilled performance that requires a certain level of experience to recognize the implications of the instructions (Benner, 1984a).

**Paradigm case**
A paradigm case is a clinical experience that stands out and alters the way the nurse will perceive and understand future clinical situations (Benner, 1984a). Paradigm cases create new clinical understanding and open new clinical perspectives and alternatives.

**Salience**
Salience describes a perceptual stance or embodied knowledge whereby aspects of a situation stand out as more or less important (Benner, 1984a).

**Ethical Comportment**
Ethical comportment is good conduct born out of an individualized relationship with the patient. It involves engagement in a particular situation and entails a sense of membership in the relevant professional group. It is socially embedded, lived, and embodied in practices, ways of being, and responses to a clinical situation that promote the well being of the patient (Day & Benner, 2002). “Clinical and ethical judgments are inseparable and must be guided by being with and understanding the human concerns and possibilities in concrete situations” (Benner, 2000, p. 305).

**Hermeneutics**
Hermeneutics means “interpretive.” The term derives from biblical and judicial exegesis. As used in research, hermeneutics refers to describing and studying “meaningful human phenomena in a careful and detailed manner as free as possible from prior theoretical assumptions, based instead on practical understanding” (Packer, 1985, pp. 1081–1082).

---

**Use of Empirical Evidence**
From 1978 to 1981, Benner was the author and project director of a federally funded grant, Achieving Methods of Intraprofessional Consensus, Assessment and Evaluation, known as the AMICAE project. This research led to the publication of *From Novice to Expert* (1984a). Benner directed the AMICAE project to develop evaluation methods for participating schools of nursing and hospitals in the San Francisco area. It was an interpretive, descriptive study that led to the use of Dreyfus’ five levels of competency to describe skill acquisition in clinical nursing practice. Benner (1984a) explains that the interpretive approach seeks a rich description of nursing practice from observation and narrative accounts of actual nursing practice to provide text for interpretation (hermeneutics).

Nurses’ descriptions of patient care situations in which they made a positive difference “present the uniqueness of nursing as a discipline and an art” (Benner, 1984a, p. xxvi). More than 1200 nurse participants completed questionnaires and interviews.
as part of the AMICAE project. Paired interviews with preceptors and preceptees were “aimed at discovering if there were distinguishable, characteristic differences in the novice's and expert's descriptions of the same clinical incident” (Benner, 1984a, p. 14). Additional interviews and participant observations were conducted with 51 nurse-clinicians and other newly graduated nurses and senior nursing students to “describe characteristics of nurse performance at different stages of skill acquisition” (Benner, 1984a, p. 15). The purpose “of the inquiry has been to uncover meanings and knowledge embedded in skilled practice. By bringing these meanings, skills, and knowledge into public discourse, new knowledge and understandings are constituted” (Benner, 1984a, p. 218).

Thirty-one competencies emerged from the analysis of transcripts of interviews about nurses’ detailed descriptions of patient care episodes that included their intentions and interpretations of events. From these competencies, which were identified from actual practice situations, the following seven domains were derived inductively on the basis of similarity of function and intent (Benner, 1984a):

1. The helping role
2. The teaching-coaching function
3. The diagnostic and patient monitoring function
4. Effective management of rapidly changing situations
5. Administering and monitoring therapeutic interventions and regimens
6. Monitoring and ensuring the quality of health care practices
7. Organizational work role competencies

Each domain was developed using the related competencies from actual practice situation descriptions. Benner presented the domains and competencies of nursing practice as an open-ended interpretive framework for enhancing the understanding of the knowledge embedded in nursing practice. As a result of the socially embedded, relational, and dialogical nature of clinical knowledge, domains and competencies should be adapted for use in each institution through the study of clinical practice at each specific locale (Benner & Benner, 1999). Such adaptations have been implemented in many institutions for nursing staff in hospitals around the world (Alberti, 1991; Balasco & Black, 1988; Brykczynski, 1998; Dolan, 1984; Gaston, 1989; Gordon, 1986; Hamric, Whitworth, & Greenfield, 1993; Lock & Gordon, 1989; Nuccio, Lingen, Burke, et al., 1996; Silver, 1986a, 1986b). The domains and competencies have also been useful for ongoing articulation of the knowledge embedded in advanced practice nursing (Brykczynski, 1999; Fenton, 1985; Fenton & Brykczynski, 1993; Lindeke, Canedy, & Kay, 1997; Martin, 1996).

Benner and Wrubel (1989) have further explained and developed the background to the ongoing study of the knowledge embedded in nursing practice in The Primacy of Caring: Stress and Coping in Health and Illness. They note that the primacy of caring is three-pronged “as the producer of both stress and coping in the lived experience of health and illness . . . as the enabling condition of nursing practice (indeed any practice), and the ways that nursing practice based in such caring can positively affect the outcome of an illness” (1989, p. 7).

Benner extended the research presented in From Novice to Expert (1984a) and features this work in Expertise in Nursing Practice: Caring, Clinical Judgment, and Ethics (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1996; 2009). This book is based on a 6-year study of 130 hospital nurses, primarily critical care nurses, examining the acquisition of clinical expertise and the nature of clinical knowledge, clinical inquiry, clinical judgment, and expert ethical comportment. The key aims of the extension of this research were as follows:

- Delineate the practical knowledge embedded in expert practice.
- Describe the nature of skill acquisition in critical care nursing practice.
- Identify institutional impediments and resources for the development of expertise in nursing practice.
- Begin to identify educational strategies that encourage the development of expertise.

In the introduction to the 1996 work, Benner stated, “In the study we found that examining the nature of the nurse's agency, by which we mean the sense and possibilities for acting in particular clinical situations, gave new insights about how perception and action are both shaped by a practice community” (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1996, p. xiii). This study resulted in a clearer understanding of the distinctions between engagement with a problem or situation and the requisite nursing skills of interpersonal involvement. It appears that these nursing skills are learned...
over time experientially. The skill of involvement seems central in gaining nursing expertise. Understanding of the interlinkage of clinical and ethical decision making (i.e., how an individual’s notions of good and poor outcomes and visions of excellence shape clinical judgments and actions) was enhanced by this research. This study represents phase one of the articulation project designed to describe the nature of critical care nursing practice.

Phase two took place from 1996 to 1997 and included 76 nurses (32 of them advanced practice nurses) from six different hospitals. This work is presented in Clinical Wisdom and Interventions in Acute and Critical Care: A Thinking-in-Action Approach, which was published in 1999 and updated and enlarged in 2011 by Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, and Stannard. The following nine domains of critical care nursing practice were identified as broad themes in this work:

1. Diagnosing and managing life-sustaining physiological functions in acute and unstable patients
2. Using the skilled know-how of managing a crisis
3. Providing comfort measures for the acute critically ill
4. Caring for patients’ families
5. Preventing hazards in a technological environment
6. Facing death: end-of-life care and decision making
7. Communicating and negotiating multiple perspectives
8. Monitoring quality and managing breakdown
9. Using the skilled know-how of clinical leadership and the coaching and mentoring of others

These nine domains of critical care nursing practice were used as broad themes to interpret the data and incorporate descriptions of the following nine aspects of clinical judgment and skillful comportment:

1. Developing a sense of salience
2. Situated learning and integration of knowledge acquisition and knowledge use
3. Engaged reasoning-in-transition
4. Skilled know-how
5. Response-based practice
6. Agency
7. Perceptual acuity and interpersonal engagement with patients
8. Integrating clinical and ethical reasoning
9. Developing clinical imagination

Identification of clinical grasp and clinical forethought (two pervasive habits of thought linked with action in nursing practice in phase two of this articulation project) enriched the understanding of clinical judgment (Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999). Benner explained that clinical grasp is as follows:

“...clinical inquiry in action that includes problem identification and clinical judgment across time about the particular transitions of particular patients and families. It has four components: making qualitative distinctions, engaging in detective work, recognizing changing clinical relevance, and developing clinical knowledge in specific patient populations.”

(Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999, p. 317)

Benner added that clinical forethought, although it plays a role in clinical grasp, “also plays an essential role in structuring the practical logic of clinicians. Clinical forethought refers to at least four habits of thought and action: future think, clinical forethought about specific diagnoses and injuries, anticipation of risks for particular patients, and seeing the unexpected” (Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999, p. 317).

**Major Assumptions**

Benner incorporates the following assumptions (as delineated in Bryczynski’s 1985 dissertation; see also Benner 1984a) in her ongoing articulation research:

- There are no interpretation-free data. This abandons the assumption from natural science that there is an independent reality whose meaning can be represented by abstract terms or concepts (Taylor, 1982).
- There are no nonreactive data. This abandons the false belief from natural science that one can neutrally observe brute data (Taylor, 1982).
- Meanings are embedded in skills, practices, intentions, expectations, and outcomes. They are taken for granted and often are not recognized as knowledge. According to Polanyi (1958), a context possesses existential meaning, and this distinguishes it from “denotative or, more generally, representative meaning” (p. 58). He claims that transposing a
significant whole in terms of its constituent parts deprives it of any purpose or meaning.

- People who share a common cultural and language history have a background of common meanings that allow for understanding and interpretation. **Heidegger (1962)** refers to this as *primordial understanding*, after the writings of Dilthey (1976) in the late 1800s and early 1900s, asserting that cultural organization and meanings precede and influence individual understanding.

- The meanings embedded in skills, practices, intentions, expectations, and outcomes cannot be made completely explicit; however, they can be interpreted by someone who shares a similar language and cultural background and can be validated consensually by participants and relevant practitioners. Humans are self-interpreting beings (Heidegger, 1962). Hermeneutics is the interpretation of cultural contexts and meaningful human action.

- Humans are integrated, holistic beings. The mind-body split is abandoned. Embodied intelligence enables skilled activity that is transformed through experience and mastery (Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 1980; Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 1986). Benner stated, “This model assumes that all practical situations are far more complex than can be described by formal models, theories and textbook descriptions” (1984a, p. 178). The hierarchical elevation of intellectual, reflective activity above embodied skilled activity ignores the point that skilled action is a way of knowing and that the skilled body may be essential for the more highly esteemed levels of human intelligence (Dreyfus, 1979).

Benner and her collaborators explicated the themes of nursing, person, situation, and health in their publications.

**Nursing**

Nursing is described as a caring relationship, an “enabling condition of connection and concern” (Benner & Wrubel, 1989, p. 4). “Caring is primary because caring sets up the possibility of giving help and receiving help” (Benner & Wrubel, 1989, p. 4). “Nursing is viewed as a caring practice whose science is guided by the moral art and ethics of care and responsibility” (Benner & Wrubel, 1989, p. xi). Benner and Wrubel (1989) understand nursing practice as the care and study of the lived experience of health, illness, and disease and the relationships among these three elements.

**Person**

Benner and Wrubel (1989) use Heidegger’s phenomenological description of person, which they describe as “A person is a self-interpreting being, that is, the person does not come into the world predefined but gets defined in the course of living a life. A person also has . . . an effortless and nonreflective understanding of the self in the world” (p. 41). “The person is viewed as a participant in common meanings” (Benner & Wrubel, 1989, p. 23).

Finally, the person is embodied. Benner and Wrubel (1989) conceptualized the following four major aspects of understanding that the person must deal with:

1. The role of the situation
2. The role of the body
3. The role of personal concerns
4. The role of temporality

Together, these aspects of the person make up the person in the world. This view of the person is based on the works of Heidegger (1962), Merleau-Ponty (1962), and Dreyfus (1979, 1991). Their goal is to overcome Cartesian dualism, the view that the mind and body are distinct, separate entities (Visintainer, 1988).

Benner and Wrubel (1989) define embodiment as the capacity of the body to respond to meaningful situations. Based on the work of Merleau-Ponty (1962), Dreyfus (1979, 1991), and Dreyfus and Dreyfus (1986), they outline the following five dimensions of the body (Benner & Wrubel, 1989):

1. The unborn complex, unacculturated body of the fetus and newborn baby
2. The habitual skilled body complete with socially learned postures, gestures, customs, and skills evident in bodily skills such as sense perception and “body language” that are “learned over time through identification, imitation, and trial and error” (Benner & Wrubel, 1989, p. 71)
3. The projective body that is set (predisposed) to act in specific situations (e.g., opening a door or walking)
4. The actual projected body indicating an individual’s current bodily orientation or projection in a situation that is flexible and varied to fit the situation, such as when an individual is skillful in using a computer
5. The phenomenal body, the body aware of itself with the ability to imagine and describe kinesthetic sensations

Benner and Wrubel (1989) point out that nurses attend to all of these dimensions of the body and seek to understand the role of embodiment in particular situations of health, illness, and recovery.

**Health**

On the basis of the work of Heidegger (1962) and Merleau-Ponty (1962), Benner and Wrubel focus “on the lived experience of being healthy and being ill” (1989, p. 7). *Health* is defined as what can be assessed, whereas *well-being* is the human experience of health or wholeness. Well-being and being ill are understood as distinct ways of being in the world. Health is described as not just the absence of disease and illness. Also, on the basis of the work of Kleinman, Eisenberg, and Good (1978), a person may have a disease and not experience illness, because illness is the human experience of loss or dysfunction, whereas disease is what can be assessed at the physical level (Benner & Wrubel, 1989).

**Situation**

Benner and Wrubel (1989) use the term situation rather than environment, because situation conveys a social environment with social definition and meaningfulness. They use the phenomenological terms being situated and situated meaning, which are defined by the person’s engaged interaction, interpretation, and understanding of the situation. “Personal interpretation of the situation is bounded by the way the individual is in it” (Benner & Wrubel, 1989, p. 84). This means that each person’s past, present, and future, which include her or his own personal meanings, habits, and perspectives, influence the current situation.

**Theoretical Assertions**

Benner (1984a) stated that there is always more to any situation than theory predicts. The skilled practice of nursing exceeds the bounds of formal theory. Concrete experience facilitates learning about the exceptions and shades of meaning in a situation. The knowledge embedded in practice can lead to discovering and interpreting theory, precedes and extends theory, and synthesizes and adapts theory in caring nursing practice. Benner has taken a hermeneutical approach to uncover the knowledge in clinical nursing practice. Dunlop (1986) stated, “As she does this, she is also uncovering the nursing-caring with which it is deeply intertwined” (p. 668). Dunlop also noted that Benner’s approach “does not provide us with any universal truths about caring in general or about nursing-caring in particular—indeed it does not make any such pretension” (p. 668).

As such, the competencies within each domain are in no way intended as an exhaustive list. Instead, the situation-based interpretive approach to describing nursing practice seeks to overcome some of the problems of reductionism and the problem of global and overly general descriptions based on nursing process categories (Benner, 1984a). In a further description of this approach, Benner (1992) examined the role of narrative accounts for understanding the notion of good or ethical caring in expert clinical nursing practice. “The narrative memory of the actual concrete event is taken up in embodied know-how and comportment, complete with emotional responses to situations. The narrative memory can evoke perceptual or sensory memories that enhance pattern recognition” (p. 16). Some of the relationship statements included in Benner’s work follow:

- “Discovering assumptions, expectations, and sets can uncover an unexamined area of practical knowledge that can then be systematically studied and extended or refuted” (Benner, 1984a, p. 8).
- Clinical knowledge is embedded in perceptions rather than precepts.
- “Perceptual awareness is central to good nursing judgment and . . . [for the expert] begins with vague hunches and global assessments that initially bypass critical analysis; conceptual clarity follows more often than it precedes” (Benner, 1984a, p. xvii).
- Formal rules are limited and discretionary judgment is needed in actual clinical situations.
- Clinical knowledge develops over time, and each clinician develops a personal repertoire of practice knowledge that can be shared in dialog with other clinicians.
“Expertise develops when the clinician tests and refines propositions, hypotheses, and principle based expectations in actual practice situations” (Benner, 1984a, p. 3).

**Logical Form**

Through qualitative descriptive research, Benner used the Dreyfus Model of Skill Acquisition to better understand skill acquisition in clinical nursing practice. By following the model’s logical sequence, Benner was able to identify the performance characteristics and teaching-learning needs inherent at each skill level. In reporting her research, Benner used exemplars taken directly from interviews and observation of expert practice to help the reader form a clear picture of such practice. Guidelines for describing exemplars or clinical narratives, first termed “critical incidents” were presented in *From Novice to Expert* (1984a) and are developed further in *Clinical Wisdom and Interventions in Acute and Critical Care: A Thinking-in-Action Approach* (Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 2011). The approach for describing clinical narratives is consistent throughout the body of Benner’s work whether the narratives are used in research, practice, or education. The goal of Benner’s research is to bring meanings and knowledge embedded in skilled practice into public discourse. Benner (1984a) claims that new knowledge and understanding are constituted by articulating meanings, skills, and knowledge that previously were taken for granted and embedded in clinical practice.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

Benner describes clinical nursing practice by using an interpretive phenomenological approach. *From Novice to Expert* (1984a) includes several examples of the application of her work in practice settings as follows: Dolan (1984) describes its usefulness for preceptor development, orientation programs, and career development; Huntsman, Lederer, and Peterman (1984) detail their implementation of a clinical ladder to recognize and retain experienced staff nurses; Ullery (1984) presents its usefulness for conducting annual excellence symposia where nurses present their clinical narratives to recognize and further develop clinical knowledge; and Fenton (1984) reported the use of Benner’s approach in an ethnographic study of the performance of clinical nurse-specialists.

Balasco and Black (1988) and Silver (1986a, 1986b) used Benner’s work as a basis for differentiating clinical knowledge development and career progression in nursing. Neerveld (1990) used Benner’s rationale and format in her development of basic and advanced preceptor workshops. Farrell and Bramadat (1990) used Benner’s paradigm case analysis in a collaborative educational project between a university school of nursing and a tertiary care teaching hospital to better understand the development of clinical reasoning skills in actual practice situations. Crissman and Jelsma (1990) applied Benner’s findings in developing a cross-training program to address staffing imbalances. They delineated specific cross-training performance objectives for novice nurses, but also provided support for the experiential judgment needed to function in unfamiliar settings by designating a preceptor in the clinical area. The aim is for the novice to be able to perform more like an advanced beginner, with an experienced nurse available as a resource.


Benner has been cited in nursing literature regarding nursing practice concerns and the role of caring in such practice. She continues to advance understanding of the knowledge embedded in clinical situations through her publications (Benner 1985a, 1985b, 1987;
Benner & Tanner, 1987; Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 1996, 2009; Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999, 2011). Benner edited a clinical exemplar series in the American Journal of Nursing during the 1980s. In 2001, she began editing a series called “Current Controversies in Critical Care” in the American Journal of Critical Care. Benner’s work with the National Council of State Boards of Nursing constitutes a major contribution to error recognition and enhancement of the safety of nursing practice (Benner, Sheets, Uris, et al., 2002). This research examines practice breakdowns from a systems perspective, with the goal of transforming the culture of blame in the health care system to dramatically reduce health care errors (Benner, Malloch, & Sheets, 2010).

Education

Benner (1982) critiqued the concept of competency-based testing by contrasting it with the complexity of the proficient and expert stages described in the Dreyfus Model of Skill Acquisition and the 31 competencies described in the AMICAE project (Benner, 1984a). In summary, she stated, “Competency-based testing seems limited to the less situational, less interactional areas of patient care where the behavior can be well defined and patient and nurse variations do not alter the performance criteria” (1982, p. 309).

Fenton (1984, 1985) applied the domains of clinical nursing practice as the basis for studying the skilled performance of clinical nurse specialists (CNSs). Her analysis validated that the CNSs studied demonstrated competencies in common with those skills of expert nurses reported in the AMICAE project. She also identified additional areas of skilled performance for CNSs, including the consulting role, and she delineated five preliminary categories relevant for curriculum evaluation in the graduate program. Ethical, clinical, and political dilemmas, positions, or stances that promote success or failure, and new knowledge that blends the empirical and the theoretical were among these categories.

According to Barnum (1990), it was not Benner’s development of the seven domains of nursing practice that has had the greatest impact on nursing education, but the “appreciation of the utility of the Dreyfus model in describing learning and thinking in our discipline” (p. 170). As a result of Benner’s application of the Dreyfus model, nursing educators have realized that learning needs at the early stages of clinical knowledge development are different from those required at later stages. These differences need to be acknowledged and valued to develop nursing education programs appropriate for the background experience of the students.

In Expertise in Nursing Practice, Benner, Tanner, and Chesla (1996) emphasized the importance of learning the skills of involvement and caring through practical experience, the articulation of knowledge with practice, and the use of narratives in undergraduate education. This work provides further support for the thesis that it may be better to place a new graduate with a competent nurse preceptor who can explain nursing practice in ways that the beginner comprehends, rather than with the expert, whose intuitive knowledge may elude beginners who do not have the experienced know-how to grasp the situation. This work, now in its second edition (Benner, Tanner, & Chesla, 2009), led to the development of internship and orientation programs for newly graduated nurses and to clinical development programs for more experienced nurses.


A national study of nursing education was designed to identify and describe “signature pedagogies” that maximize the nurse’s ability to cope with the challenges of nursing that have developed during the 30 years since the last national study of nursing education (Schwartz, 2005). The book Educating Nurses (Benner, Malloch, & Sheets 2010) reports details of this national study of nursing education, and it
concludes that nursing education is in need of a major transformation to close the practice—that is, an education gap. An education gap is developed from the difficulty of addressing competing demands and keeping pace with the increasing complexity of practice driven by research and new technologies. The authors recommend that nurse educators make four major shifts in their focus: (1) from covering abstract knowledge to emphasizing teaching for particular situations; (2) from separations between clinical and classroom teaching to integration of these components; (3) from critical thinking to clinical reasoning; and (4) from emphasizing socialization and role-taking to professional identity formation. These findings and recommendations have been presented at national and international conferences, and to faculty at many schools of nursing.

McNiesh, Benner, and Chesla (2011) studied how students in an accelerated master’s degree entry program experientially learned the practice of nursing. They found that independent care of a patient was pivotal in the development of students’ identity and agency as nurses. Crider and McNiesh (2011) incorporated a three-pronged apprenticeship approach (Benner, Sutphen, Leonard, & Day, 2010) that integrates intellectual, practical, and ethical aspects of the professional role in teaching students in psychiatric nursing to develop practical reasoning skills.

Research

Benner maintains that there is excellence and power in clinical nursing practice that can be made visible through articulation research. Intricate nuanced descriptions of situational contexts (clinical narratives) are the essence of this research approach, which dictates that data be collected through situation-based dialogue and observation of actual practice. The situational context guides interpretation of meanings such that there is agreement among interpreters. This is a holistic approach that emphasizes identification and description of meanings embedded in clinical practice. The holistic approach is maintained throughout the research process. The situational context is maintained as narratives are interpreted through dialog among researchers and clinicians.

Benner’s numerous research studies and projects with research colleagues and graduate students have created a community of interpretive phenomenological scholars. Benner (1994) edited and contributed to Interpretive Phenomenology: Embodiment, Caring, and Ethics in Health and Illness, a collection of essays and studies selected from the community of interpretive phenomenological researchers that she has inspired and taught during her career. The book offers a philosophical introduction to interpretive phenomenology as a qualitative research method, a guide to understanding the strategies and processes of this approach, and a varied selection of studies that convey its resemblances and variations. Interpretive phenomenology cannot be explained as a set of procedures and techniques. Instead: “each interpreter enters the interpretive circle by examining preunderstandings and confronting otherness, silence, similarities, and commonalities from his or her own particular historical, cultural, and personal stance” (Benner, 1994, p. xviii).

A second volume of interpretive phenomenological readings and studies edited by Chan, Bryckczynski, Malone, and Benner (2010) arose from a Festschrift (retirement celebration for a scholar) honoring the impact and significance of the research tradition Benner established. This book presents the interpretive phenomenology philosophy and research approach that continues to evolve. The first section explores theoretical and philosophical discourses and issues within the interpretive phenomenological tradition, while the second section is a collection of studies that exemplify the similarities and variations in the approaches across studies.

Further Development

Benner’s current research involves a large-scale collaborative study with The Tri-Service Military Nursing Research group (De Jong, Benner, Benner, et al., 2010). They are investigating knowledge development and experiential learning from nursing practice during the Iraq and Afghanistan Wars.

Benner (2012a) discussed the progress to date in implementing recommendations from the Educating Nurses study, reporting that several states have started to implement suggested changes in nursing education and that many hospitals and health science campuses have instituted nurse residency programs. Two websites have been created to facilitate the dissemination and implementation of the study recommendations as follows: Educating Nurses.com
(http://www.educatingnurses.com) provides videotaped teaching resources, curriculum development, and teacher training resources, and NovicetoExpert.org (http://www.NovicetoExpert.org) offers online evidence-based learning and applies the recommendations of the Educating Nurses study. In addition, an educational newsletter was initiated to share study recommendations and create ongoing dialog with nurse educators (Benner, 2011; 2012b, 2012c; 2012d).

Critique

Clarity

The clarity of Benner’s Novice to Expert model has led to its utilization among nurses around the world. An identification with the idea of clinical wisdom and varying levels of clinical expertise development progressed very quickly. Benner’s work not only contributed to appreciative understanding of clinical practice but also revealed nursing knowledge embedded in practice.

Simplicity

Benner has developed interpretive descriptive accounts of clinical nursing practice. The concepts are the levels of skilled practice from the Dreyfus model, including novice, advanced beginner, competent, proficient, and expert. She used these five concepts to describe nursing practice based on interviews, observations, and the analysis of transcripts of exemplars that nurses provided. From these descriptions, competencies were identified, and these were grouped inductively into seven domains of nursing practice on the basis of common intentions and meanings (Benner, 1984a). Benner and colleagues’ (1996) study of critical care nursing explored the differentiation of levels of practice in depth and suggested that nurses at different levels live in different worlds. Benner’s ongoing articulation research has produced nine domains of critical care nursing practice (Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 1999). The model is relatively simple with regard to the five stages of skill acquisition, and it provides a comparative guide for identifying levels of nursing practice from individual nurse descriptions and observations and interpretations validated by consensus.

A degree of complexity is encountered in the subconcepts for differentiation among the levels of competency and the need to identify meanings and intentions. This interpretive approach is designed to overcome the constraints of the rational-technical approach to the study and description of practice. Although a de-contextualized (object) description of the novice level of performance is possible, such a description of expert performance would be difficult, if not impossible, and is of limited usefulness because of the limits of objectification. In other words, the philosophical problem of infinite regress would be encountered in attempts to specify all the aspects of expert practice. Rather, a holistic understanding of the particular situation is required for expert performance.

Generality

The Novice to Expert skill acquisition model has universal characteristics, that is, it is not restricted by age, illness, health, or location of nursing practice. However, the characteristics of theoretical universality imply properties of operationalization for prediction that are not a part of this perspective. Indeed, this phenomenological perspective critiques the limits of universality in studies of human practices. The interpretive model of nursing practice has the potential for universal application as a framework, but the descriptions are limited by dependence on the actual clinical nursing situations from which they must be derived. Its use depends on an understanding of the five levels of competency and the ability to identify the characteristic intentions and meanings inherent at each level of practice.

Although clinical knowledge is relational and contextual and involves local, specific, historical issues, it is generalizable in terms of the translation of meanings to similar situations (Guba & Lincoln, 1982). To capture the contextual and relational aspects of practice, Benner uses narrative accounts of actual clinical situations and maintains that this approach enables the reader to recognize similar intents and meanings, although the objective circumstances may be quite different. An example of generalizability or transferability as used here follows: Upon reading or hearing a narrative about a nurse connecting with a family whose child is dying, other nurses can relate the knowledge and meanings
conveyed to the experiences they may have had with families of patients of any age who were dying.

**Accessibility**

The model was tested empirically using qualitative methods; 31 competencies, 7 domains of nursing practice, and 9 domains of critical care nursing practice were derived inductively. Subsequent research suggests that the framework is applicable and useful for continued development of knowledge embedded in nursing practice. This approach to knowledge development honors the primacy of caring and the central ethic of care and responsibility embedded in expert nursing practice (Benner, 1999).

The use of a qualitative process of discovering nursing knowledge is more difficult to address the body of Benner’s work for critique. The qualitative interpretive approach describes expert nursing practice with exemplars. Benner’s work can be considered as hypothesis generating rather than hypothesis testing. Benner provides a methodology for uncovering and entering into the situated meaning of expert nursing care. Altmann (2007) pointed out that criticism of Benner’s work has often developed from misinterpretation of her philosophy as theory and evaluation of her qualitative research with quantitative parameters.

**Importance**

Although clinical nurses around the world enthusiastically received *From Novice to Expert* (1984a), some academicians and administrators initially interpreted it as promoting traditionalism and devaluing education and theory for nursing practice (Christman, 1985). Benner’s qualitative interpretive approach to interpretation of the meaning and level of nursing practice has generated questions among some researchers. An ongoing debate has developed over cognitive interpretations of Benner’s concepts of expertise and intuition (Benner, 1996b; Cash, 1995; Darbyshire, 1994; English, 1993; Paley, 1996). Scholarly debate around these phenomenological concepts contributed to clarification of the nature of the research approach.

Benner’s perspective is phenomenological, not cognitive. She stated, “Clinical judgment and caring practices require attendance to the particular patient across time, taking into account changes and what has been learned. In this vision of clinical judgment, skilled know-how and action are linked” (Benner, 1999, p. 316). The significance of Benner’s research findings lies in her conclusion that “a nurse’s clinical knowledge is relevant to the extent to which its manifestation in nursing skills makes a difference in patient care and patient outcomes” (Benner & Wrubel, 1982, p. 11).

Generalization is approached through an understanding of common meanings, skills, practices, and embodied capacities rather than through general abstract laws that explain and predict. Such common meanings, skills, and practices are socially embedded in nurse schooling and in the practice and tradition of nursing. The knowledge embedded in clinical nursing practice should be brought forth as public knowledge to further a greater understanding of nursing practice. Benner (1984a) believes that the scope and complexity of nursing practice are too extensive for nurses to rely on idealized, de-contextualized views of practice or experiments. Benner (1992) stated, “The platonic quest to get to the general so that we can get beyond the vagaries of experience was a misguided turn . . . We can redeem the turn if we subject our theories to our unedited, concrete, moral experience and acknowledge that skillful ethical comportment calls us not to be beyond experience but tempered and taught by it” (p. 19).

The generalizations possible with the interpretive approach are depicted through exemplars that demonstrate relational and contextually relevant intents and aspects of clinical knowledge. The applicability and relevance of the common approaches used for universality or generalization in physics and the natural sciences are questioned by the interpretive approach, which claims that the basis for generalization in clinical knowledge cannot be structural or mechanistic, but must be based on common meanings and practices. Preferred strategies for generalization in clinical practice are based on the skilled knowledge, intent, content, and notion of good in clinical knowledge depicted by exemplars that illustrate the role of the situation.

Benner claims that nurses need to overcome the limits of subject-object descriptions. Her call is to “increase public storytelling” to validate nursing as an ethical caring practice, and “to extend, alter, and preserve ethical distinctions and concerns” (Benner, 1992, pp. 19-20). Benner (1996a) stated, “We have
overlooked practitioner stories that demonstrate that compassion can be wise and, in the long run, less costly than ‘defensive’ adversarial commodified technocures” (pp. 35-36). Benner’s work is useful in that it frames nursing practice in the context of what nursing actually is and does.

**Summary**

Benner seeks to affirm and restore nurses’ caring practices during a time when nurses are rewarded more for efficiency, technical skills, and measurable outcomes. She maintains that caring practices are imbued with knowledge and skill about everyday human needs, and that in order to be experienced as caring, these practices must be attuned to the particular person who is being cared for and to the particular situation as it unfolds. Benner’s philosophy of nursing practice is a dynamic, emerging holistic perspective that holds philosophy, practice, research, and theory as interdependent, interrelated, and hermeneutic. Her hope voiced in the preface of *From Novice to Expert* (1984a) saying that domains and competencies would not be deified by system builders seems to have been largely realized, as those who have sought to apply these concepts have honored the contextual background on which they are based. Benner’s work exemplifies the interrelationship of philosophy, practice, research, theory, and education.

**CASE STUDY**

A case study from the peer-identified nurse expert project that this author (Brykczynski, 1993-1995; 1998) conducted as part of a nursing service clinical enhancement process is selected here to illustrate Benner’s approach to knowledge development in clinical nursing practice. This project was undertaken to identify and describe expert staff nursing practices at our institution. Exemplars were obtained and participant observations were conducted to yield narrative text that then was interpreted through Benner’s multiphase interpretive phenomenological process (Benner, 1984a; 1994). In the final phase of data analysis, Benner’s domains and competencies of nursing practice (Benner, 1984a) were incorporated as an interpretive framework. A critical aspect of using Benner’s approach is the realization that the domains and competencies form a dynamic evolving interpretive framework that is used in interpreting the narrative and observational data collected. The nurse who described this situation had approximately 8 years of experience in critical care, and she noted that this was significant to her practice because it taught her how to integrate taking care of a family in crisis along with taking care of a critically ill patient. Thus, this was a paradigm case for the nurse, who learned many things from it that affected her future practice.

Mrs. Walsh is a pseudonym for a woman in her seventies who was in critical condition following repeat coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. Her family lived nearby when Mrs. Walsh had her first CABG surgery. They had moved out of town but returned to our institution, where the first surgery had been performed successfully. Mrs. Walsh remained critically ill and unstable for several weeks before her death. Her family was very anxious because of Mrs. Walsh’s unstable and deteriorating condition, and a family member was always with her 24 hours a day for the first few weeks.

The nurse became involved with this family while Mrs. Walsh was still in surgery, because family members were very anxious that the procedure was taking longer than it had the first time and made repeated calls to the critical care unit to ask about the patient. The nurse met with the family and offered to go into the operating room to talk with the cardiac surgeon so as to better inform the family of their mother’s status.

One of the helpful things the nurse did to assist this family was to establish a consistent group of nurses to work with Mrs. Walsh, so that family members could establish trust and feel more confident about the care their mother was receiving. This eventually enabled family members to leave the hospital for intervals to get some rest. The nurse related that this was a family whose members were affluent, educated, and well informed, and that they came in prepared with lists of questions. A consistent group of nurses who were familiar with Mrs. Walsh’s...
particular situation helped both family members and nurses to be more satisfied and less anxious. The family developed a close relationship with the three nurses who consistently cared for Mrs. Walsh and shared with them details about Mrs. Walsh and her life.

The nurse related that there was a tradition in this particular critical care unit not to involve family members in care. She broke that tradition when she responded to the son’s and the daughter’s helpless feelings by teaching them some simple things that they could do for their mother. They learned to give some basic care, such as bathing her. The nurse acknowledged that involving family members in direct patient care with a critically ill patient is complex and requires knowledge and sensitivity. She believes that a developmental process is involved when nurses learn to work with families.

She noted that after a nurse has lots of experience and feels very comfortable with highly technical skills, it becomes okay for family members to be in the room when care is provided. She pointed out that direct observation by anxious family members can be disconcerting to those who are insecure with their skills when family members ask things like, “Why are you doing this? Nurse ‘So and So’ does it differently.” She commented that nurses learn to be flexible and to reset priorities. They should be able to let some things wait that do not need to be done right away to give the family some time with the patient. One of the things that the nurse did to coordinate care was to meet with the family to see what times worked best for them; then she posted family time on the patient’s activity schedule outside her cubicle to communicate the plan to others involved in Mrs. Walsh’s care.

When Mrs. Walsh died, the son and daughter wanted to participate in preparing her body. This had never been done in this unit, but after checking to see that there was no policy forbidding it, the nurse invited them to participate. They turned down the lights, closed the doors, and put music on; the nurse, the patient’s daughter, and the patient’s son all cried together while they prepared Mrs. Walsh to be taken to the morgue. The nurse took care of all intravenous lines and tubes while the children bathed her. The nurse provided evidence of how finely tuned her skill of involvement was with this family when she explained that she felt uncomfortable at first because she thought that the son and daughter should be sharing this time alone with their mother. Then she realized that they really wanted her to be there with them. This situation taught her that families of critically ill patients need care as well. The nurse explained that this was a paradigm case that motivated her to move into a CNS role, with expansion of her sphere of influence from her patients during her shift to other shifts, other patients and their families, and other disciplines.

**Domain: The Helping Role of the Nurse**

This narrative exemplifies the meaning and intent of several competencies in this domain, in particular creating a climate for healing and providing emotional and informational support to patients’ families (Benner, 1984a). Incorporating the family as participants in the care of a critically ill patient requires a high level of skill that cannot be developed until the nurse feels competent and confident in technical critical care skills. This nurse had many years of experience in this unit, and she felt that providing care for their mother was so important to these children that she broke tradition in her unit and taught them how to do some basic comfort and hygiene measures. The nurse related that the other nurses in this critical care unit held the belief that active family involvement in care was intrusive and totally out of line. A belief such as this is based on concerns for patient safety and efficiency of care, yet it cuts the family off from being fully involved in the caring relationship. This nurse demonstrated moral courage, commitment to care, and advocacy in going against the tradition in her unit of excluding family members from direct care. She had 8 years of experience in this unit, and her peers respected her, so she was able to change practice by starting with this one patient-family situation and involving the other two nurses who were working with them.
Chesla’s (1996) research points to a gap between theory and practice with respect to including families in patient care. Eckle (1996) studied family presence with children in emergency situations and concluded that in times of crisis, the needs of families must be addressed to provide effective and compassionate care. The skilled practice of including the family in care emerged as significantly meaningful in the narrative text from the peer-identified nurse expert study. This was defined as an additional competency in the domain called the helping role of the nurse and was named maximizing the family’s role in care (Brykczynski, 1998). The intent of this competency is to assess each situation as it arises and develops over time, so that family involvement in care can adequately address specific patient-family needs, and so they are not excluded from involvement nor do they have participation thrust upon them.

This narrative illustrates how Benner’s approach is dynamic and specific for each institution. The belief that being attuned to family involvement in care is in part a developmental process is supported by Nuccio and colleagues’ (1996) description of this aspect of care at their institution. They observed that novice nurses begin by recognizing their feelings associated with family-centered care, while expert nurses develop creative approaches to include patients and families in care. The intricate process of finely tuning the nurse’s collaboration with families in critical care is delineated further by Levy (2004) in her interpretive phenomenological study that articulates the practices of nurses with critically burned children and their families.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. Describe clinical situations from your own experience that illustrate how nurses at various levels of skill development from novice to expert involve patients and families in care.

2. Discuss the clinical narrative provided above following the unfolding case study format to promote situated learning of clinical reasoning (Benner, Hooper-Kyriakidis, & Stannard, 2011). Regarding the various aspects of the case as they unfold over time, consider questions that encourage thinking, increase understanding, and promote dialog such as: What are your concerns in this situation? What aspects stand out as salient? What would you say to the family at given points in time? How would you respond to your nursing colleagues who may question your inclusion of the family in care?

3. Using Benner’s approach, describe what is meant by the statement that caring practices, intervention skills, clinical judgment, and collaboration skills increase the visibility of nursing practice in the following three senses: (1) to the individual nurse, (2) to nursing colleagues, and (3) to the health care system.

**POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY**


- Hubert Dreyfus home page at: [http://philosophy.berkeley.edu/](http://philosophy.berkeley.edu/)

- Patricia Benner home page at: [http://home.earthlink.net/~bennerassoc/](http://home.earthlink.net/~bennerassoc/)

- The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, Professional and Graduate Education at: [http://www.carnegiefoundation.org](http://www.carnegiefoundation.org)

**Videotapes**

Trust Fund. Available from Springer Publishing Company (see Benner home page).
- EducatingNurses.com: See Video Previews of Expert teachers.
- NovicetoExpert.org: See demonstration of online clinical simulation of unfolding case studies.
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Philosophy of Caring
Herdis Alvsvåg

“Nursing is founded on caring for life, on neighbourly love, . . . At the same time it is necessary that the nurse is professionally educated” (Martinsen, 2006, p. 78).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Kari Marie Martinsen, a nurse and philosopher, was born in Oslo, Norway, in 1943, during the World War II German occupation of Norway. Her parents were engaged in the Resistance Movement. After the war, moral and sociopolitical discussions dominated home life, a home that consisted of three generations: a younger sister, parents, and a grandmother. Both parents were economists who had been educated at the University of Oslo. Her mother worked all of her adult life outside the home.

After high school, Martinsen began her studies at Ullevål College of Nursing in Oslo, graduating in 1964. She worked in clinical practice at Ullevål hospital for 1 year, while doing preparatory studies for university entry. Before embarking upon a university degree, she specialized as a psychiatric nurse in 1966 and worked for two years at Dikemark Psychiatric Hospital near Oslo.

While practicing as a nurse, she became concerned about social inequalities in general and in the health service in particular. Health, illness, care, and treatment were obviously distributed unequally. She also became disturbed over perceived discrepancies between health care theories, ideals, and goals on the one hand, and practical results of nursing, medicine, and the health service on the other. She began to pose questions about how a society and a profession must be constituted to support and aid the ill and the unemployed. One particularly poignant question was
how the nursing profession must operate if it is not to let down its weakest patients and those that need care the most. The obvious follow-up question was how the nurse might be able to care for the patient when medical science first and foremost relates to patient’s diseases? In other words, Martinsen wanted to know how we who represent the health services provide adequate nursing for the subjects of our care, when we are so closely allied with a science that objectifies the patient. She posed questions about whether that same objectification would increase with emphasis on a scientific base for the discipline of nursing.

These fundamental questions urged Martinsen to take up additional studies, this time for a bachelor’s degree in psychology at the University of Oslo in 1968, with the goal of obtaining a master’s degree in psychology. As a prerequisite, she needed an intermediate examination in physiology and another free credit at the intermediate level; here she chose philosophy. This encounter with philosophy and phenomenology changed her thinking drastically. She realized that philosophy rather than psychology might better illuminate the existential questions with which she was concerned. The study of phenomenology attracted her to the University of Bergen, Norway’s second largest city.

From 1972 to 1974, she attended the Department of Philosophy at the University of Bergen. In her work for the graduate degree in philosophy (Magister artium), Martinsen grappled philosophically with questions that had disturbed her as a citizen, a professional, and a health care worker. The dissertation Philosophy and Nursing: A Marxist and Phenomenological Contribution (Martinsen, 1975) created an instant debate and received much critical attention. The dissertation directed a critical gaze toward the nursing profession for its refusal to take seriously the consequences of the nursing discipline uncritically adopting characteristics of a profession, and uncritically embracing only a scientific basis for nursing. Such a development might contribute to distancing nurses from the patients who need them most. This dissertation, the first written by a nurse in Norway, analyzed the discipline of nursing from a critical philosophical and social perspective.

During the mid-1970s, Norway experienced a marked shortage of nursing teachers. The rectors of three nursing colleges in Bergen took the initiative to establish a temporary nursing teacher–training course to address this problem. The course was established jointly by the University of Bergen, the county authorities, and three nursing colleges. A nurse with university level qualifications was needed to head the program. Martinsen was asked to be Dean of the Faculty of Nursing Teachers’ Training in Bergen, which she accepted from 1976 to 1977.

Through her philosophical studies and the sociological issues she encountered in practical nursing and in nursing education, Martinsen developed an interest in nursing history. How did education of nurses in Norway begin, who was responsible for its inception, and what did they wish to achieve? In order to look more closely at some of these issues, Martinsen applied for and received a grant from the Norwegian Nurses’ Association in 1976. She was affiliated with the Department of Hygiene and Social Medicine at the University of Bergen, where she lectured to students in the nursing teachers’ training program and also students in social medicine.

At that time, an intense debate over nursing education was raging in Norway. A public commission proposed retention of the traditional 3-year degree but eventually agreed to alter this to a system of stage-based qualification. This meant that after completion of 1 year, a student became a qualified care assistant, and after 2 additional years, a qualified nurse. This implied the end of the principle of a comprehensive 3-year degree. Nurses throughout the country, with the Norwegian Nurses’ Association at the forefront, marched in protest to save the 3-year nursing degree. Sides in this debate remained rigidly opposed, and the tone of the political discourse on the issue of nursing education was heated. Martinsen threw herself into this debate. She suggested that nursing education be changed to a 4-year program, but also gave her approval to the principle of stage-based education. She sketched an educational model in which one is qualified as a care assistant after 2 years and as a nurse after 4 years (Martinsen, 1976). With the comprehensive 3-year degree as the stated goal for the nursing association, her suggestion was viewed as a provocation.

In 1978, Martinsen received a grant from Norway’s General Science Research Council. At this time, she was attached to the history department at the University of Oslo, where she worked on her new project on the social history of nursing, while lecturing master’s degree students in sociopolitical history. From 1981
to 1985, she was a scientific assistant at the history department at the University of Bergen. In addition to conducting her own research, Martinsen lectured and supervised master’s degree students in feminist history and developed a database of Norwegian feminist history.

The period from 1976 to 1986 can be described as a historical phase in Martinsen’s work (Kirkevold, 2000). She published several historical articles (Martinsen, 1977, 1978, 1979a, 1979b). Close collaborators during this phase were Anne Lise Seip, professor of social history; Ida Blom, professor of feminist history; and Kari Wærness, professor of sociology. In 1979, Martinsen and Wærness published a book with the provocative title, Caring Without Care? (Martinsen & Wærness, 1979). In this book, the authors raised important questions:

- Were nurses “moving away” from the sickbed?
- Was caring for the ill and infirm disappearing with the advent of increasingly technical care and treatment?
- Were nurses becoming administrators and researchers who increasingly relinquished the concrete execution of care to other occupational groups?

Aiding ill and care-dependent people was considered women’s work, and this view has long historical roots. However, the existence of the professionally trained nurse is not very old in Norway, originating in the late 1800s. The deaconesses (Christian lay sisters), who were educated at different deaconess houses in Germany, were the first trained health workers in Norway. Martinsen described how these first trained nurses built up a nursing education in Norway, and how they expanded and wrote textbooks and practiced nursing both in institutions and in homes. They were the forerunners of Norway’s public health system. This pioneer period was described by Martinsen in her book, History of Nursing: Frank and Engaged Deaconesses: A Caring Profession Emerges 1860-1905 (Martinsen, 1984). Based on this work, Martinsen attained her doctor of philosophy degree from the University of Bergen in 1984.

In defense of her dissertation, Martinsen had to prepare two lectures: “Health Policy Problems and Health Policy Thinking behind the Hospital Law of 1969” (Martinsen, 1989a), and “The Doctors’ Interest in Pregnancy—Part of Perinatal Care: The Period ca. 1890-1940” (Martinsen, 1989b). This work emerged from her 10-year historical phase, beginning in the mid-70s, when she wrote about nursing’s social history and feminist history, and the social history of medicine.

From 1986, Martinsen worked for 2 years as Associate Professor at the Department of Health and Social Medicine at the University of Bergen. She lectured and supervised master’s degree students, in addition to writing a series of philosophical and historical papers, published in 1989 under the title Caring, Nursing and Medicine: Historical-Philosophical Essays (Martinsen, 1989c). With this book, the threads of Martinsen’s historical phase were drawn together, marking the beginning of a more philosophical period (Kirkevold, 2000). The book has several editions, and the 2003 publication includes an interview with the author (Karlsson & Martinsen, 2003). Fundamental problems in caring and interpretations of the meaning of discernment are what preoccupied Martinsen from 1985 to 1990. In a Danish anthology published in 1990, she contributed a paper entitled “Moral Practice and Documentation in Practical Nursing.” Here she writes:

Moral practice is based upon caring. Caring does not merely form the value foundation of nursing; it is a fundamental precondition of our life . . . Discernment demands emotional involvement and the capacity for situational analysis in order to assess alternatives for action . . . To learn moral practice in nursing is to learn how the moral is founded in concrete situations. It is accounted for through experiential objectivity or through discretion, in action or in speech. In both cases learning good nursing is of the essence (Martinsen, 1990, pp. 60, 64-65).

In 1990, Martinsen moved to Denmark for a 5-year period. She was employed at the University of Århus to establish master’s degree and PhD programs in nursing. Her philosophical foundation was further developed during these years mainly through encounters with Danish life philosophy (Martinsen, 2002a) and theological tradition. In Caring, Nursing and Medicine: Historical-Philosophical Essays, Martinsen (1989c, 2003b) had connected the concept of caring to the German philosopher Martin Heidegger (1889-1976). While she was living in Denmark, Heidegger’s role as a Nazi sympathizer during World War II became public knowledge. At that time, a series of academic articles
were published, which proved that Heidegger was a member of the national Socialist Party in Germany and that he had betrayed his Jewish colleagues and friends such as Edmund Husserl (1859-1938) and Hannah Arendt (1906-1975). Heidegger was banned from teaching for several years after the war because of his involvement with the Nazis (Lubcke, 1983).

Martinsen confronted Heidegger and her own thinking about his philosophy in From Marx to Løgstrup: On Morality, Social Criticism and Sensuousness in Nursing (Martinsen, 1993b). Precisely because life and learning cannot be separated, it became important for Martinsen to go to sources other than Heidegger to illustrate the fundamental aspects of caring. Knud E. Løgstrup (1905-1981) was the Danish theologian and philosopher who became her alternative source, although the two never met. Martinsen knew him through his books and via his wife Rosemarie Løgstrup, who was originally German. She met her husband in Germany, where both were studying philosophy. She later translated his books into German.

While Martinsen lived and worked in Denmark, she met with Patricia Benner on several occasions for public dialogues in Norway and Denmark, and again in 1996 in California. One of these dialogues was later published with the title, “Ethics and Vocation, Culture and the Body” (Martinsen, 1997b); it took place at a conference at the University of Tromsø.

Martinsen also had important dialogues with Katie Eriksson, the Finnish professor of nursing. They met in Norway, Denmark, Sweden, and Finland. In the beginning, their discussions were tense and strained, but over time, they developed into fruitful and enlightening conversations that later were published as Phenomenology and Caring: Three Dialogues (Martinsen, 1996). Martinsen’s first chapter in this book is titled “Caring and Metaphysics—Has Nursing Science Got Room for This?” the second, “The Body and Spirit in Practical Nursing,” and the third, “The Phenomenology of Creation—Ethics and Power: Løgstrup’s Philosophy of Religion Meets Nursing Practice.” These headings employ impressive language, similar to that of the dialogues that Martinsen conducted with Benner; in her preface to the book, she elaborates:

The words about which we speak and write are compassion, hope, suffering, pain, sacrifice, shame, violation, doubt. These are “big words.” But they are no bigger than their location in life, our everyday nursing situation. Mercy, writes the Danish theologian and philosopher Løgstrup, is the renewal of life, it is to afford others life. . . . What else is nursing but to release the patient’s possibilities for living a meaningful life within the life cycle we inhabit between life and death? We must venture into life amongst our fellow humans in order to experience the actual meaning of these big words (Martinsen, 1996, p. 7).

While Martinsen was teaching in Århus, she became Adjunct Professor at the Department of Nursing Science at the University of Tromsø in 1994. In 1997, she moved north and become a full-time professor. However, needing more time for her research and writings, she left after only 1 year in this position to become a freelancer in 1998.

In 2002 and for a 5-year period, Martinsen made her way back to the University of Bergen as professor at the Department of Public Health and Primary Health Care section for nursing science. Teaching master’s and doctoral students was central. She arranged doctoral courses and was much in demand in the Nordic countries as supervisor and lecturer.

The period from 1990 is characterized by philosophical research. Fundamental philosophical and ontological questions and their meaning for nursing dominated Martinsen’s thought. During this period, in addition to her own books, she worked on a variety of projects and published in several journals and anthologies. Books from this period have already been mentioned (Martinsen, 1993b, 1996). In 2000, The Eye and the Call (Martinsen, 2000b) was published. The chapter titles in this book ring more poetically than before: “To See with the Eye of the Heart,” “Ethics, Culture and the Vulnerability of the Flesh,” “The Calling—Can We Be Without It?” and “The Act of Love and the Call.”

Martinsen also worked with ideas about space and architecture. According to her, space and architecture influence human dignity. She first wrote about this idea in an article with the poetic title, “The House and the Song, the Tears and the Shame: Space and Architecture as Caretakers of Human Dignity” (Martinsen, 2001).

Martinsen has held positions at three nursing colleges. From 1989 to 1990, she was employed as
researcher at Bergen Deaconess University College, Bergen, and from 2006 as an Adjunct Professor. From 1999 to 2004, she was Adjunct Professor at Lovisenberg Deaconess University College in Oslo. In 2007, she became a full-time professor at Harstad University College in northern Norway.

Ideas and academic ventures sprouted and flourished easily around Martinsen, and she drew others into academic projects. She edited a collection of articles which several nursing college teachers contributed to, called *The Thoughtful Nurse* (Martinsen, 1993a). Lovisenberg Deaconess University College in Oslo, with Martinsen’s assistance, took the initiative to publish a new edition of the first Norwegian nursing textbook, which was originally published in 1877 (Nissen, 2000). In this edition, Martinsen (2000a) wrote an afterword, placing the text within a context of academic nursing. With a colleague in Oslo, Martinsen edited another collection of articles by the editors and college lecturers for a book, published as *Ethics, Discipline and Refinement: Elizabeth Hagemann’s Ethics Book—New Readings* (Martinsen & Wyller, 2003). This book provides an analysis of a text on ethics for nurses published in 1930 and used as a textbook until 1965. When the ethics text was republished in 2003, it was interpreted in the light of two French philosophers, Pierre Bourdieu (1930 to 2002) and Michel Foucault (1926 to 1984), as well as the German sociologist Max Weber (1864 to 1920). In 2012, together with colleagues at Harstad University College, Martinsen published a book about narratives and ethics in nursing (Thorsen, Mæhre, & Martinsen, 2012).

Thus historical and philosophical threads are each present in different phases of Martinsen’s thought, and they color her work differently during the different periods. In 2011, Martinsen was made Knight, First Class, of the Royal Norwegian Order of St. Olav for her very significant work, thought, and authorship in nursing science.

### Theoretical Sources

What is Martinsen’s theoretical background? In her analysis of the profession of nursing in the early 1970s, Martinsen looked to three philosophers in particular: German philosopher, politician, and social theorist Karl Marx (1818 to 1883); German philosopher and founder of phenomenology Edmund Husserl (1859 to 1938); and French philosopher and phenomenologist of the body Merleau-Ponty (1908 to 1961). Later, she broadened her theoretical sources to include other philosophers, theologians, and sociologists.

**Karl Marx: Critical Analysis—A Transformative Practice**

Marxist philosophy gave Martinsen some analytical tools to describe the reality of the discipline of nursing and the social crisis in which it found itself. The crisis consisted of the failure of the discipline to examine and recognize its nature as fragmented, specialized, and technically calculating, as it pretends a holistic perspective on care. She found that the discipline was part of positivism and the capitalist system, without praxis of liberation. A “reversed care–law” rules in such a way that those who need care most receive the least. Karl Marx criticized individualism and the satisfaction of the needs of the rich at the expense of the poor. Martinsen’s view is that it is important to expose this phenomenon when it occurs in health service. Such exposure of this reality can be a force for change. She maintains that we must question the nature of nursing, its content and inner structure, its historical origins, and the genesis of the profession. This questioning results in a critical nursing practice as the practitioner views her occupation and profession in a historical and social context. Martinsen’s historical interest has a critical and transformative intention.

**Edmund Husserl: Phenomenology as the Natural Attitude**

Edmund Husserl’s phenomenology is important for Martinsen’s critiques of science and positivism. Positivism’s view of the self lies in its attitude of objectification and a dehumanizing and calculating attitude toward the person. Husserl viewed phenomenology as a strict science. The strict methodological processes of phenomenology produce an attitude of composed reflection over our scientific reality, so that we may uncover structures and contexts within which we otherwise perform taken-for-granted and unconscious work. This practice is about making the taken-for-granted problematic. By problematizing taken-for-granted self-understanding, we find opportunities to grasp “the thing itself,” which will always reveal itself perspectively. Phenomenology works with the
prescientific, what we encounter in the natural attitude, when we are directed toward something with the intent to recognize and understand it meaningfully. Phenomenology insists upon context, wholeness, involvement, engagement, the body, and the lived life. We live in contexts, in time and space, and we live historically. The body cannot be divided into body and soul; it is a wholeness that relates to other bodies, to things in the world, and to nature.

**Merleau-Ponty: The Body as the Natural Attitude**

Maurice Merleau-Ponty (1908 to 1961) builds upon Husserl’s thought, but focuses more than any other thinker on the human body in the world. Both Husserl and Merleau-Ponty criticized Descartes (1596 to 1650), who separates the person from the world in which one lives with other persons. The body is representing the natural attitude in the world. The nursing profession relates to the body in all of its aspects. We use our own bodies in the performance of caring, and we relate to other bodies who are in need of nursing, treatment, and care. Our bodies and those of our patients express themselves through actions, attitudes, words, tone of voice, and gestures. Phenomenology involves acts of interpretation, description, and recognition of lived life, the everyday life that people live together with others in a mutual natural world, including the professional contexts in which caring is performed.

**Martin Heidegger: Existential Being as Caring**

Martin Heidegger (1889-1976) was a German phenomenologist and a student of Husserl, among others. He investigated existential being, that is to say, that which is and how it is. Martinsen connects the concept of caring to Heidegger because he “has caring as a central concept in his thought. . . . The point is to try to elicit the fundamental qualities of caring, or what caring is and encompasses” (Martinsen, 1989c, p. 68). She continues: “An analysis of our practical life and an analysis of what caring is, are inseparable. To investigate the one is at the same time to investigate the other. Together, they form an inseparable unit. Caring is a fundamental concept in understanding the person” (Martinsen, 1989c, p. 69). With phenomenology and Heidegger as a backdrop, Martinsen gives content and substance to caring: caring will always have at least two parts as a precondition. One is concerned and anxious for the other. Caring involves how we relate to each other, and how we show concern for each other in our daily life. Caring is the most natural and the most fundamental aspect of human existence.

As mentioned earlier, Martinsen revised her perspective on Heidegger (Martinsen, 1993b). At the same time, she did not reject “Heidegger’s original and acute thought” (Martinsen, 1993b, p. 17). She turns back to Heidegger when she explains what it means to dwell. Heidegger had examined precisely the concept that to dwell is always to live among things (Martinsen, 2001). Here we may note that Heidegger reinforces an idea also maintained by Merleau-Ponty: that the things we surround ourselves with are not merely things for us, objectively speaking, but they actually participate in shaping our lives. We leave something of ourselves within these things when we dwell amidst them. It is the body that dwells, surrounded by an environment.

**Knud Eiler Løgstrup: Ethics as a Primary Condition of Human Existence**

Knud Eiler Løgstrup (1905 to 1981), the Danish philosopher and theologian, became important for Martinsen in the “void” left by Heidegger. Løgstrup can be summarized through two intellectual strands: phenomenology and creation theology, the latter containing his philosophy of religion (creation theology should not be confused with the more recent “creationism” in the United States). As a phenomenologist, he sought to reveal and analyze the essential phenomena of human existence. Through his phenomenological investigations, Løgstrup arrived at what he termed sovereign or spontaneous life utterances: trust, hope, compassion, and the openness of speech. That these are essential is to say that they are precultural characteristics of our existence. As characteristics, they provide conditions for our culture, conditions for our existence; they make human community possible (Lubcke, 1983). According to Heidegger, caring is such a characteristic. In Løgstrup’s opinion, the sovereign life utterances were the necessary characteristics for human coexistence.

Martinsen maintains that for Løgstrup, metaphysics and ethics are interwoven in the concept of creation:

*They are characteristic phenomena which sustain us in such a way that caring for the other arises...*
out of the condition of our having been created. Caring for the other reveals itself in human relationship through trust, open speech, hope and compassion. These phenomena, which Løgstrup also calls sovereign life utterances, are “born ethical” which means that they are essentially ethical. Trust, open speech, hope and compassion are fundamentally good in themselves without requiring our justification. If we try to gain dominance over them, they will be destroyed. Metaphysics and ethics, or rather metaphysical ethics, is practical. It is linked to questions of life in which the person is stripped of omnipotence


We must care for that which exists, not seek to control it: “Western culture is singular in its need to understand and control. It has moved away from the cradle of our culture and our religion in the narrative of creation from the Old Testament. In The Old Testament ‘guarding,’ ‘watching,’ and ‘caring’ on one side, and cultivating and using on the other, formed a unified opposition” (Martinsen, 1996, p. 79). That these are unified opposites is to say that they singularly and in themselves are opposites that separate and are insurmountable, but when they are adjusted to one another, they enter into an opposition that unifies and creates a sound whole. To care for, guide and guard, cultivate, and make use of, that is to say, cultivate and use in a caring manner as a unified opposition, means that we do not become domineering and exploitative, but restrained and considerate in our dealings with one another and with nature.

The ethical question is how a society combats suffering and takes care of those who need help. In a nursing context, Martinsen formulates this very question like this: “How do we as nurses take care of the person’s eternal meaning, the individual’s unending worth—independent of what the individual is capable of, can be useful for or can achieve? Can I bear to see the other as the other, and yet not as fundamentally different from myself?” (Martinsen, 1996, p. 91).

Klim, the Danish publishing house, issues works by and about Løgstrup under the label The Løgstrup Library. Here Martinsen has contributed the monograph Løgstrup og sygepleien (Martinsen, 2012b) (Løgstup and Nursing), subsequently published in Norwegian (Martinsen 2012c).

Max Weber: Vocation as the Duty to Serve One’s Neighbor through One’s Work

Max Weber (1864 to 1920) was a German sociologist who made a major impact on the philosophy of social science. Weber sought to understand the meaning of human action. He was also a critic of the society he saw emerging with the advent of industrialization. In Weber, Martinsen found a new alliance, in addition to Marx, in the criticism of both capitalism and science. While Løgstrup was a philosopher of religion, Weber was a sociologist of religion. Weber also criticized the West for its boundless intervention and its boundless consumption. Science disenchants the created world precisely because it relates to what was created as objects in its objectification of all that exists (Martinsen, 2000b, 2001, 2002b).

To a great extent, Martinsen joins Weber in her explication of vocation (Martinsen, 2000b). Weber looked to Martin Luther (1483 to 1546), who discussed vocation in the secular sense, as follows:

*Vocation is work in the sense of a life’s occupation or a restricted field of work, in which the individual will endow his fellow person... The young Luther linked vocation to work, and understood it as an act of neighbourly love. Vocation is understood on the basis of the notion of creation, that we are created in order to care for one another through work*

(Martinsen 2000b, pp. 94-95).

In other words, vocation is in the service of creation. With reference to the young Luther, Martinsen wrote that vocation “means that we are placed in life contexts which demand something of us. It is a challenge that I, in this my vocation, meet and attend to my neighbour. It lies in Existence as a law of life” (Martinsen, 1996, p. 91).

Michel Foucault: The Effect of His Method

Phenomenologists underscore the importance of history for our experience. Martinsen (1975) referred to
Foucault in her dissertation in philosophy, but was especially concerned with this philosopher in connection with her historical works from 1976 (Martinsen 1978, 1989a, 2001, 2002b, 2003a). Foucault (1926 to 1984) was a French philosopher and historian of ideas. He was concerned with the notions of fracture and difference, rather than continuity and context. He claimed that some shared common structures, systems of terms, and forms of thought that shape societies reside within each historical epoch and within the different cultures. In this way, Foucault confronted subjective philosophy, which emphasizes the person as a private and independent individual. For example, Foucault asked which fundamental conditions were present during the historical epoch in which institutions for the insane were created. In later epochs, he defined the insane as mentally ill. Something new had happened; what did it depend on? Why did it happen and what was to be achieved in society? What actions were undertaken; were there alliances of power and did they involve establishing order and discipline? To question in this way is to dig through several layers of understanding, getting beyond the general conception in order to understand the meaning of history in a new and different way. Foucault elicits the basic social distinctions that make it possible to characterize people. They are dug out of tacit preconditions (Lubcke, 1983). In this way, Foucault’s method intensified the phenomenological process. He asked us to think anew and differently from the existing mode of thinking within the epoch and within the contexts in which we live. The gaze became not only descriptive, but also critical.

Martinsen stated that, in caring for the other, we relate to the other in a different way and look for things different from those that are looked for within natural science and objectify medicine using their “classification gaze” and “examining gaze” (Martinsen, 1989b, pp. 142-168; Martinsen, 2000a). Such gazes require special space; caring requires different types of space in order to develop different types of knowledge. The questions we must bring with us into caring in the health service are these: Which disciplinary characteristics or structures are found in our practice today, in nursing practice and its spatial arrangements? What will it mean to think differently from those of our particular epoch? Do we find critical nursing here, and, if so, what are the implications for today’s health service and research?

Paul Ricoeur: The Bridge-Border

Paul Ricoeur (1913 to 2005) is a French philosopher. His position is often designated as critical hermeneutics or hermeneutic phenomenology. He seeks to build a bridge between natural science and human science, between phenomenology and structuralism and other opposing positions. He focuses on topics such as time and narrative, language and history, discernment and science. Ricoeur is concerned with human communication, on what it is to understand one another. He points to everyday language and its many meanings, in contrast to the language of science. Martinsen refers to parallels in the philosophy of language of Løgstrup and Ricoeur. Martinsen states:

*The culture of medicine is dominated by an abstract conceptual language in which words are embedded in different classifications, and in which they are not always in accordance with actual practical and concrete situations . . . In everyday language of the caring tradition on the other hand, words are followed by the manner in which they unfold in different contexts of meaning within concrete caring—in the company of the patient and the professional community. When spoken in everyday language, the words are distinguished by their power of expression. They strike a tone.*

(Martinsen, 1996, p. 103).

Empirical Evidence

In Martinsen’s philosophy of caring, language and reflection involved in professional judgment and narrative are ways of accounting convincingly for case conditions, situations, and phenomena (Martinsen, 1997a, 2002c, 2003c, 2004b, 2005). She states that obvious perceptions must be accounted for convincingly. With reference to Husserl, she points to different forms of evidence: the undoubtable (apodictic), the exhaustive, and the partial. Each type represents different evidential requirements. Facts, themes, and situations provide different forms of evidence. For example, we cannot accept mathematical evidence that is undoubtable and transfer this to physical objects and persons. In the field of caring, it is discernment and narrative that can clarify the empirical facts of a case in an evidentiary, enlightening, or convincing
Martinsen is reluctant to provide definitions of terms, since definitions have a tendency to close off concepts. Rather, she maintains, the content of concepts should be presented. It is important to circumscribe the meaningful content of a term, explain what the term means, but avoid having terms locked up in definitions.

**Care**

Care “forms not only the value base of nursing, but is a fundamental precondition for our lives. Care is the positive development of the person through the Good” (Martinsen, 1990, p. 60). Care is a trinity: relational, practical, and moral simultaneously (Alvsvåg, 2003; Martinsen, 2003b, 2012b). Caring is directed outward toward the situation of the other. In professional contexts, caring requires education and training. “Without professional knowledge, concern for the patient becomes mere sentimentality” (Martinsen, 1990, p. 63). She is clear that guardianship negligence and sentimentality are not expressions of care.

**Professional Judgment and Discernment**

These qualities are linked to the concrete. It is through the exercise of professional judgment in practical, living contexts that we learn clinical observation. It is “training not only to see, listen and touch clinically, but to see, listen and touch clinically in a good way” (Martinsen, 1993b, p. 147). The patient makes an impression on us, we are moved bodily, and the impression is sensuous. “Because perception has an analogue character, it evokes variation and context in the situation” (Martinsen, 1993b, p. 146). One thing is reminiscent of another, and this recollection creates a connection between the impressions in the situation, professional knowledge, and previous experience. Discretion expresses professional knowledge through the natural senses and everyday language (Martinsen, 2005, 2006).

**Moral Practice Is Founded on Care**

“Moral practice is when empathy and reflection work together in such a way that caring can be expressed in nursing” (Martinsen, 1990, p. 60). Morality is present in concrete situations and must be accounted for. Our actions need to be accounted for; they are learned and justified through the objectivity of empathy, which consists of empathy and reflection. This means in concrete terms to discover how the other will best be helped, and the basic conditions are recognition and empathy. Sincerity and judgment enter into moral practice (Martinsen, 1990).

**Person-Oriented Professionalism**

Person-oriented professionalism is “to demand professional knowledge which affords the view of the patient as a suffering person, and which protects his integrity. It challenges professional competence and humanity in a benevolent reciprocation, gathered in a communal basic experience of the protection and care for life . . . It demands an engagement in what we do, so that one wants to invest something of oneself in encounters with the other, and so that one is obligated to do one's best for the person one is to care for, watch over or nurse. It is about having an understanding of one's position within a life context that demands something from us, and about placing the other at the centre, about the caring encounter's orientation toward the other” (Martinsen, 2000b, pp. 12, 14).

**Sovereign Life Utterances**

Sovereign life utterances are phenomena that accompany the Creation itself. They exist as precultural phenomena in all societies; they are present as potentials. They are beyond human control and influence, and are therefore sovereign. Sovereign life utterances are openness, mercy, trust, hope, and love. These are phenomena that we are given in the same way that we are given time, space, air, water, and food (Alvsvåg, 2003). Unless we receive them, life disintegrates. Life is self-preservation through reception (Martinsen, 2000b; 2012b). Sovereign life utterances are preconditions for care, simultaneously as caring actions are necessary conditions for the realization of sovereign life utterances in the concrete life. We can act in such a way that openness, trust, hope, mercy, and love are realized through our interactions, or we can shut them out. Without their presence in our actions,
To exercise discretion is to interpret the impressions we get of the patient. The professional knowledge and experience one has built up give one a horizon of understanding that is flexible in encounters with the patient’s situation (Martinsen, 1990, 2002c). The narrative can both describe and prescribe action (Kjær, 2000; Martinsen, 1997a, 2012). “A good narrative tells existential morality into being, and makes practical action unavoidable” (Martinsen, 1993b, p. 161).

**The Untouchable Zone**

This term refers to a zone that we must not interfere with in encounters with the other and encounters with nature. It refers to boundaries for which we must have respect. The untouchable zone creates a certain protective distance in the relation; it ensures impartiality and demands argumentation, theory, and professionalism. In caring, the untouchable zone is united with its opposite, which is openness, in which closeness, vulnerability, and motive have their correct place. Openness and the untouchable zone constitute a unifying contradiction in caring (Martinsen, 1990, 2006).

**Vocation**

Vocation “is a demand life makes to me in a completely human way to encounter and care for one’s fellow person. Vocation is given as a law of life concerning neighborly love which is foundationally human” (Martinsen, 2000b, p. 87). It is an ethical demand to take care of one’s neighbor. For this reason, nursing requires a personal refinement, in addition to professional knowledge (Malchau, 2000).

**The Eye of the Heart**

This concept stems from the parable of the Good Samaritan. The heart says something about the existence of the whole person, about being touched or moved by the suffering of the other and the situation the other experiences. In sensuousness and perception, we are moved before we understand, but we are also challenged by the afterthought of understanding. To see and be seen with the eye of the heart is a form of participatory attention based on a reciprocation that unifies perception and understanding, in which the eye’s understanding is led by the senses (Martinsen, 2000b, 2006).

**The Registering Eye**

The registering eye is objectifying, and the perspective is that of the observer. It is concerned with finding connections, systematizing, ranking, classifying, and placing in a system. The registering eye represents an alliance between modern natural science, technology, and industrialization. If one as a patient is exposed to, or if one as a professional employs, this gaze in a one-sided manner, compassion is lifted out of the situation, and the will to life is reduced (Martinsen, 2000b).

**Major Assumptions**

**Nursing**

Although care goes beyond nursing, caring is fundamental to nursing and to other work of a caring nature. Caring involves having consideration for, taking care of, and being concerned about the other. When we speak about caring, three things must be simultaneously present; we could call them the “trinity of caring”: caring must be relational, practical, and moral (Alvsvåg, 2011).
• Relational means that caring requires at least two people. Martinsen describes it thus:

The one has concern for the other. When the one suffers, the other will “grieve” (in the sense of suffer with) and provide for the alleviation of pain. . . . Caring is the most natural and the most fundamental aspect of the person’s existence. In caring, the relationship between people is the most essential element. . . . The essence of the person is that one is created for the sake of others—for one’s own sake. . . . The point here is that caring always presupposes others. Further, that I can never understand myself or realise myself alone or independent of others (Martinsen, 1989c, p. 69).

• Caring is practical. It is about concrete and practical action. Caring is trained and learned through its practice.

• Caring is also moral: “If caring is to be genuine, I must relate to the other from an attitude (mood, ‘befindlichkeit’) which acknowledges the other in light of his situation. . . . [We must] neither overestimate nor underestimate his ability to help himself” (Martinsen, 1989c, p. 71).

Caring requires a correct understanding of the situation, which presupposes a good evaluation of the goals inherent in the caring situation: “Performing nursing is essentially directed towards persons not capable of self-help, who are ill and in need of care. To encounter the ill person with caring through nursing involves a set of preconditions such as knowledge, skills, and organization” (Martinsen, 1989c, p. 75). We need training in all types of caring work. We must practice and reflect alone and with others in order to develop professional judgment. Caring and professional judgment are integrated in nursing (Martinsen, 1990, 1997a, 2003c, 2004b, 2005, 2006, 2012b).

**Person**

It is the meaning-bearing fellowship of tradition that turns the individual into a person. The person cannot be torn away from the social milieu and the community of persons (Martinsen, 1975). In one way, there is a parallel between the person and the body. It is as bodies that we relate to ourselves, to others, and to the world (Alvsvåg, 2000; Martinsen, 1997a). The body is a unit of soul and flesh, or spirit and flesh. The person is bodily, and as bodies we both perceive and understand.

**Health**

Health is discussed from a sociohistorical perspective. Two rival historical health ideals, the classical Greek and the modern one of intervention and expansion, form the background when Martinsen writes: “Health does not only reflect the condition of the organism, it is also an expression of the current level of competence in medicine. To put it pointedly, the tendencies of the modern concept of health are such that if one has an unnecessary ‘defect’ or an organ which ‘could’ be better, one is not completely healthy” (Martinsen, 1989c, p. 146). The modern reductionist health ideal on which modern medicine is built is both analytical and individualistic; it is oriented toward all that is not “good enough.” Combined with medicine’s autonomy and resources, it has yielded success in terms of treatment. Martinsen is concerned with the point that this ideology does not withstand critical examination. Medicine’s sometimes damaging effects and insufficient service for people with chronic diseases and illnesses bring Martinsen to turn toward the conservative, classical health ideal. What is important is to cure sometimes, help often, and comfort always. This requires society to offer people the opportunity to live the best life possible and the individual to live sensibly; both requirements have environmental implications. We must not change the environment at such a speed and to such an extent that the change exceeds our knowledge base; restraint and caution are required (Martinsen, 1989c, 2003b).

**Environment: Space and Situation**

The person is always in a particular situation in a particular space. In space are found time, ambience, and power (Martinsen, 2001, 2002b, 2002c). Martinsen asks what time, architecture, and knowledge do to the ambience of a space. Architecture, our interaction with each other, use of objects, words, knowledge, our being-in-the-room—all set the tone and color the situation and the space. The person enters into universal space, natural space, but through dwelling creates cultural space. We build houses with rooms, and the activities of the health service take place in different rooms. “The sick-room is important as a physical,
material and constructed place, but it is also a place we share with other people. . . . The room with its interior and objects makes visible the patient's and the nurse's interpretation of it” (Martinsen, 2001, pp. 175-176). Our challenge is to give patients and each other dignity in these spaces. What is needed then is deliberate knowledge gathered in slowed down, deliberate spaces, “space in which to perceive—smell, listen, see and care” (Martinsen, 2001, p. 176).

Theoretical Assertions

People are created dependent and relational. Care is fundamental to human life. As humans, we live not merely in fellowship with one another, but we also enter into relationships with animals and with nature, and we relate to a creative force that sustains the whole. The person is fundamentally dependent upon community and the creation. To the created belong the sovereign life utterances, “These are firstly given to us, and secondly they are sovereign. That is to say it is impossible for the person to avoid their power. . . . These are phenomena which are present in the service of life. They create life, they release life's possibilities” (Martinsen, 1996, p. 80).

The body is created as a whole, that is to say that need and spirit, or body and spirit, enter into a benevolent interaction, in which sensing cannot be avoided. Martinsen (1996) writes the following:

Sensing initiates interaction and maintains it. Care of the body becomes central. In this respect, nursing is secular vocational work which through professional care of the body protects and provides space for the life possibilities of the patient. The vocation is seen as a demand life makes on us to care for our neighbour, in this case the patient, through our work. It is work in the service of life processes. Vocation, the body and work are seen as a counterweight to the new (bodiless) spirituality in nursing (p. 72).

Love of one's neighbor is coupled with a concrete, practical, professional, and moral discernment. Sensuous and experience-based knowledge is the most fundamental and essential for the practice of nursing. Caring is learned through practical experience in concrete situations under the supervision of expert and experienced nurses (Martinsen, 1993b, 2003b).

Metaphysics is not speculation about that of which we cannot know anything. It is an interpretation of phenomena we all recognize through our senses and can experience. These phenomena are prescientific and foundational.

Logical Form

Martinsen's logical form can be described as inductive and analogous. The inductive aspect of her thought has its source in that experiences in life and in health service are the starting point for her theoretical works. She turns toward philosophy and history in the hope of gaining greater insight and understanding of the concrete work of nursing and the lived life. In her meeting with the philosophy of life and the phenomenology of creation, she encounters the ontological and metaphysical in a different way than that of traditional philosophy. Life utterances, the creation, time, and space are ontological and metaphysical facts. Analogy would say that we think these facts and recognize them in our concrete experiences in our practical life. They come to expression in meetings between persons, in narratives, and in the exercise of discernment. “In this way, metaphysics pries at the empirical,” writes Martinsen with reference to Løgstrup (Martinsen, 1996). Further, she states, “The narrative takes time, it is slow. It provides context through analogous forms of recognition, that is to say, it is relevant to us when we can recognize ourselves in the life phenomena it relates” (Martinsen, 2002b, p. 267).

Kirkevold (1998) writes the following:

Martinsen does not mean to present a logically constructed theory. On the contrary, she distances herself from that view of knowledge that insists theory have a logical structure of terms, principles and rules. Martinsen’s theory is an interpretive analysis of caring, upon which the author tries to shed light from several perspectives. Her treatment of this phenomenon must be said to be both extensive and thorough (p. 180).

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

Martinsen herself is reluctant to provide concrete directions for practical nursing. However, she recommends
that nurses “think along” and assess what she writes and speaks about in their own lives, their own practice and experience, and, against this background, imagine their own way to alternatives for action. This is how Kirkevold (1998) puts it:

Martinsen’s theory of caring is practically relevant as an overarching/general philosophy of nursing. It is clearly articulated and encompasses a precise formulation of how (one ought) to understand and approach patients and nursing. Its strength is the ability to promote reflection upon nursing practice in different contexts, in that it gives a clear picture of what the author believes must be present so that nursing may be considered caring or moral practice (p. 181).

Many of these texts have, she maintains:

... a normative character, and are intended to mobilize a counter-culture in nursing, which does not only revolutionize the discipline of nursing and its practice, but which also stands as a resisting force against the societal tendency in opposition to the concept of care. ... In recent years the personal, inspiring and poetic style has become more pronounced. It communicates Martinsen’s normatively founded philosophy of caring in a gripping way, and has therefore had great impact on nurses and students (Kirkevold, 1998, p. 204).

Martinsen herself addresses practicing nurses through their professional journal, Sykepleien. Kirkevold writes: “In choosing the journal Nursing as a main vehicle for communicating her academic work, she has underscored her roots in practical nursing rather than in science” (Kirkevold, 1998, p. 203).

**Research**

In the same way as one in practical nursing can “think along” and assess what she writes, her writings can also be applied in research. Countless dissertations based on practical, concrete, and more theoretical issues discuss the relationship between empirical experience in light of Martinsen’s terminology and philosophy. In one doctoral dissertation from 2006, the Norwegian pedagogue Pål Henning Walstad addresses Kari Martinsen’s Grundtvig-Løgstrupian influence, calling it Care for Life, and discusses this in relation to practical work and professional education (Walstad, 2006). Moreover, nursing teacher Betty-Ann Solvoll has in her 2007 doctoral dissertation done a field study of nursing education and is discussing the data in relation to Martinsen’s reflections on care (Solvoll, 2007). Two Danish doctoral dissertations (Dahlgard, 2007; Mark 2008) reflect Martinsen’s theory applied to empirical material dealing with care for the dying, and with anorectic and diabetic patients, respectively. Similar applications are made with reference to bathing of patients (Jeanne Boge, 2008), dignified encounters in the final phase of life (Kari Gran Bøe, 2008), and the importance of space and architecture for psychiatric patients (Inger Beate Larsen, 2009). Else Foss is a preschool teacher who analyzes children’s crying in kindergartens in her doctoral dissertation (Foss, 2009). These examples of applications of Martinsen’s thought in research are even beyond those of nursing proper.
Further Development

Caring can be understood on several levels: ontological, concrete, and practical, or at the level of system or organization. In nursing, we are encouraged to act in a professional and moral manner, so that caring and life utterances are given the space they need to emerge in nurse-patient encounters. We are continuously challenged to reflect critically over whether this happens or not. It would involve the manifestation of a person-oriented professionalism, the manifestation of loving deeds in the profession, over and over (Martinsen, 1993b, 2000b).

It is important, moreover, to develop a mode of thinking about caring in nursing research. Science in nursing might face certain boundaries. The challenge is to develop a type of research that does not impoverish practice, but that upgrades the available knowledge and wisdom developed through practice, in other words to develop or create a practice-oriented research, a cooperation between researcher and practitioner (Martinsen, 1989c, 1993b). Kirkevold writes as follows:

*Martinsen's theory is especially important because it is one of the few existing Norwegian nursing theories, and because it is one of the first Nordic nursing theories that gives expression to a new understanding of reality and the need for new nursing theories based upon this* (Kirkevold, 1998, p. 182).

At the organizational and social levels, the concept of care is also highly relevant. It is important to develop social systems and organizations, such as the health service, so that a person-oriented professionalism can be facilitated. Martinsen writes about both a merciful and a political Samaritan (Martinsen, 1993b, 2000b, 2003b). What is important at both organizational and social levels is how the political Samaritans facilitate the work of the merciful Samaritans.

Critique

Clarity

Martinsen’s theory clearly states that life has been created and given to us. We have been created in dependence on each other and on nature. Caring for each other and for nature is fundamental. Our challenge as nurses is to meet patients and their families with person-oriented professionalism, and that (patient encounter) is at the heart of person-oriented professionalism.

Simplicity

At first glance, Martinsen's theory seems complex. At the same time, the question must be asked whether this is because she turns so many of our familiar assumptions on their heads, for example, that we as human beings are free, independent, and boundless in our capacity for activity and interference with creation. Western societies live in a culture of individualism. Her view of humanity can be described as collectivist. She uses a poetic and philosophical rather than a scientific mode of speaking, which might also seem alien in a scientized society. She writes about general phenomena that affect us all, and that we can easily recognize in our personal lives, either occupationally or in daily life. Seen this way, the theory of caring is not hard to understand. Martinsen asks that we read slowly while imagining our own experiences in light of what she writes (Martinsen, 2000b).

Generality

Because Martinsen's nursing theory deals with essential phenomena of life and nursing, phenomena present in all human situations, it can be seen as relevant to patients in general (Martinsen, 2006). Her theory of care “seems to be relevant for all patients who, because of illness or other reasons, need help and assistance” (Kirkevold, 1998, p. 181).

Accessibility

The patient’s and the nurse’s worlds of experience are diverse, nuanced, and multifaceted. A nuanced and varied language is required to deal with a multifaceted reality, one that is on par with what is to be described. This language is close to philosophy and also to everyday language; it is a poetic language. We may say that the poetic language is the most precise in describing manifold phenomena and situations open to interpretation. Reflection on professional judgment and professional narratives creates the contexts of a community of nursing and the tradition of nursing; we recognize situations and thus find professional and moral insight. This enables us to perform situation-dependent, good nursing—a professional moral practice.
Importance

Martinsen’s theory of caring is a critique of the prevailing system and at the same time an inspiration to individuals in concrete caring situations (Gjengedal, 2000). Gjengedal writes that Martinsen’s motivation for theoretical work “has precisely a practical point of departure, a wish to understand and protect against devaluation of the aspect of care in nursing” (Gjengedal, 2000, p. 38). Devaluation of caring might occur if one uncritically accepts “a scientific perspective blind to the lived life and all that gives meaning to being” (Gjengedal, 2000, p. 54).

As persons and as nurses, we are challenged to live in a way that allows positive meaning to be expressed in our human relations, for example, in relations between patients and their family members. How we express this in a concrete way in a nursing context is for us as professionals to decide, and the philosophy on which Martinsen bases her thinking provides ideas for our own reflection in specific situations. Specific situations present themselves with both possibilities and limitations. Socially created structural arrangements such as lack of personnel, financial resources, and lack of institutional beds present serious limitations on a daily basis. Opportunities for caring become more accessible within a caring community and are shaped by politically aware people:

A caring community is not dictatorial, nor is it society’s passive extended arm. The caring community exists only to the extent that we struggle for its existence. We must form it ourselves: through solidarity, through morally responsible action, through the fight for greater equality and for community and social integration. Caring is an active and radical concept.

(Martinsen, 1989c, p. 62).

It is important to create conditions for good and equitable health care and living standards for all, but in the fight over limited budgetary resources, to take as our starting point those who are weakest, who most need help, it is about turning the inverted law of care around such that those who have least receive most.

Summary

Martinsen has both personal and sociopolitical interest in the ill and in those who, for other reasons, fall outside of society. Her theoretical stance can be called critical and phenomenological. She takes as her starting point the idea that human beings are created and are beings for whom we may have administrative responsibility. We are relational and dependent on each other and on the creation. Therefore, caring, solidarity, and moral practice are unavoidable realities for us.

In her thought on the subject of caring, Martinsen challenges society, the politics of health care, and health care workers themselves to realize the values inherent in caring through concrete policies and practical nursing. She deliberately gives few directives for action. Rather, she asks us to think ourselves into the situations of patients and family members and to arrive at the best choices for action based on a rich situational understanding, professional insight, and a caring attitude.

Martinsen’s thought has provoked, engaged, and created debate and professional development in nursing in the Nordic countries over the past 30 years. Her thought challenges us to both think and act well and correctly, critically, and differently in nursing, in education, and in research. Martinsen’s “caring thought” contributes to the enlightenment of nursing and nursing research through its perspectives, concepts, and insights based on historical and philosophical scholarship and research.

CASE STUDY

As nurses, we meet patients and their family members in many different life situations. Patients may be of all age groups, acutely or chronically ill, might return to life and health, or are coming to the end of their lives and must face death as a reality. Nurses meet patients and family members in their homes, the hospital, the nursing home, the school health service, at the local clinic, and so forth. Some meetings with patients and family members make a greater impression on us than others, and all meetings represent situations of learning. Against this background, write a brief case study from your personal clinical experience and discuss how caring was expressed in that particular case situation.
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Center your thinking on a concrete nursing situation with which you had personal experience as an active participant or as an observer.

2. Consider the human caring aspects of the situation in the first item.

3. From the starting point of the situation in the first item, discuss what is meant by person-oriented professionalism and moral practice.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY


REFERENCES*


*BNorwegian titles are provided with approximate translation into English.


Solvoll, B.A. (2007). Omsorgsferdigheter som pedagogisk projekt—en feltstudie i sykepleieutdanningen. Oslo: Universitetet i Oslo, Det medisinske fakultet, nr. 540. [Caring skills as pedagogical project—a field study in nursing education. Oslo: University of Oslo, Faculty of Medicine, Doctoral Dissertation No.540.]


**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

**Primary Sources**

**Books**


Journal Articles


Publications in Press


Secondary Sources


Theory of Caritative Caring

Unni Å. Lindström, Lisbet Lindholm Nyström, and Joan E. Zetterlund

“Caritative caring means that we take “caritas” into use when caring for the human being in health and suffering . . . Caritative caring is a manifestation of the love that ‘just exists’ . . . Caring communion, true caring, occurs when the one caring in a spirit of caritas alleviates the suffering of the patient” (Eriksson, 1992c, pp. 204, 207).

Credentials of the Theorist

Katie Eriksson is one of the pioneers of caring science in the Nordic countries. When she started her career 30 years ago, she had to open the way for a new science. We who followed her work and progress in Finland have noticed her ability from the beginning to design caring science as a discipline, while bringing to life the abstract substance of caring.

Eriksson was born on November 18, 1943, in Jakobstad, Finland. She belongs to the Finland-Swedish minority in Finland, and her native language is Swedish. She is a 1965 graduate of the Helsinki Swedish School of Nursing, and in 1967, she completed her public health nursing specialty education at the same institution. She graduated in 1970 from the nursing teacher education program at Helsinki Finnish School of Nursing. She continued her academic studies at University of Helsinki, where she received her MA degree in philosophy in 1974 and her licentiate degree in 1976; she defended her doctoral dissertation in pedagogy (The Patient Care Process—An Approach to Curriculum Construction within Nursing Education: The Development of a Model for the Patient Care Process and an Approach for Curriculum Development Based on the Process of Patient Care) in 1982 (Eriksson, 1974, 1976, 1981). In 1984, Eriksson was appointed Docent of Caring Science (part time) at University of Kuopio, the first docentship in caring science in the Nordic countries. She was appointed Professor of Caring Science at Åbo Akademi University in 1992. Between 1993 and 1999, she held a professorship in caring science at University of Helsinki, Faculty of Medicine, where she has been a docent since 2001. Since 1996, she has also served as Director of Nursing at Helsinki University Central Hospital, with responsibilities for research and
development of caring science in connection with her professorship at Åbo Akademi University.

In the late 1960s and early 1970s, Eriksson worked in various fields of nursing practice and continued her studies at the same time. Her main area of work has been in teaching and research. Since the 1970s, Eriksson has systematically deepened her thoughts about caring, partly through development of an ideal model for caring that formed the basis for the caritative caring theory, and partly through the development of an autonomous, humanistically oriented caring science. Eriksson, one of the few caring science researchers in the Nordic countries, developed a caring theory and is a forerunner of basic research in caring science.

Eriksson’s scientific career and professional experience comprise two periods: the years 1970 to 1986 at Helsinki Swedish School of Nursing, and the period from 1986, when she founded the Department of Caring Science at Åbo Akademi University, which she has directed since 1987.

In 1972, after teaching for 2 years at the nursing education unit at Helsinki Swedish School of Nursing, Eriksson was assigned to start and develop an educational program to prepare nurse educators at that institution. Such a program taught in the Swedish language had not existed in Finland. This education program, in collaboration with University of Helsinki, was the beginning of caring science didactics. Under Eriksson’s leadership, Helsinki Swedish School of Nursing developed a leading educational program in caring science and nursing in the Nordic countries. It was the forerunner of education based on caring science and integration of research in education. Eriksson was in charge of the program for 2 years, until she became dean at Helsinki Swedish School of Nursing in 1974. She remained the dean until 1986, when she was nominated to start academic education and research at Åbo Akademi University.

Toward the end of the 1980s, nursing science became a university subject in Finland, and professorial chairs were established at four Finnish universities and at Åbo Akademi University, the Finland-Swedish university. In 1986, Eriksson was called to plan an education and research program within the subject of caring science at Åbo Akademi University’s Faculty of Education in Vaasa, Finland. A fully developed education program for health care, with three focus options and a research program for caring science, was created. The result of her planning was the Department of Caring Science in 1987. It became an autonomous department within the Faculty of Education of Åbo Akademi University until 1992, when a Faculty of Social and Caring Sciences was founded. Eriksson developed an academic education for Masters and Doctoral degrees in Caring Science. The doctoral program started in 1987 under Eriksson’s direction, and 44 doctoral dissertations have been published.

With her staff and researchers, Eriksson has further developed the caritative theory of caring and caring science as an academic discipline. The department has a leading position in the Nordic countries with students and researchers. In addition to her work with teaching, research, and supervision, Eriksson has been the dean of the Department of Caring Science. One of her central tasks has been to develop Nordic and international contacts within caring science.

Eriksson has been a very popular guest and keynote speaker, not only in Finland, but in all the Nordic countries and at various international congresses. In 1977, she was a guest speaker at the Symposium of Medical and Nursing Education in Istanbul, Turkey; in 1978, she participated in the Foundation of Medical Care teacher education in Reykjavik, Iceland; in 1982, she presented her nursing care didactic model at the First Open Conference of the Workgroup of European Nurse-Researchers in Uppsala, Sweden; and for several years, she participated in education and advanced education of nurses at the Statens Utdanningscenter for Helsopersonell in Oslo, Norway. In 1988, Eriksson taught “Basic Research in Nursing Care Science” at the University in Bergen, Norway, and “Nursing Care Science’s Theory of Science and Research” at Umeå University in Sweden. She consulted at many educational institutions in Sweden; she has been a regular lecturer at Nordiska Hälsovårdsföretaget in Gothenburg, Sweden. In 1991, she was a guest speaker at the 13th International Association for Human Caring (IAHC) Conference in Rochester, New York; in 1992, she presented her theory at the 14th IAHC Conference in Melbourne, Australia; and in 1993, she was the keynote speaker at the 15th IAHC Conference, Caring as Healing: Renewal Through Hope, in Portland, Oregon (Eriksson, 1994b).

Eriksson has been a yearly keynote speaker at the annual congresses for nurse managers and, since 1996,
Katie Eriksson

At the annual caring science symposia in Helsinki, Finland. In many public dialogues with Kari Martinsen from Norway, Eriksson has discussed basic questions about caring and caring science. Some dialogues have been published (Martinsen, 1996; Martinsen & Eriksson, 2009).

Eriksson worked as a leader of many symposia: the 1975 Nordic Symposium about the Nursing Care Process (the first Nordic Nursing Care Science Symposium in Finland); the 1982 Symposium in Basic Research in Nursing Care Science; the 1985 Nordic Symposium in Nursing Care Science; the 1989 Nordic Humanistic Caring Symposium; the 1991 Nordic Caring Science Conference, “Caritas & Passio in Vaasa, Finland”; and the 1993 Nordic Caring Science Conference, “To Care or Not to Care—The Key Question” in Nursing in Vaasa, Finland.

Eriksson’s caritative theory of caring came into clearer focus internationally in 1997, when the IAHC for the first time arranged its conference in a European country. The Department of Caring Science served as the host of this conference, which was arranged in Helsinki, Finland, with the topic, “Human Caring: The Primacy of Love and Existential Suffering.”

Eriksson is a member of several editorial committees for international journals in nursing and caring science. She has been invited to many universities in Finland and other Nordic countries as a faculty opponent for doctoral students and an expert consultant in her field. She is an advisor for her own research students and for research students at Kuopio and Helsinki Universities, where she is an associate professor (docent). Eriksson served as chairperson of the Nordic Academy of Caring Science from 1999 to 2002.

Eriksson has produced an extensive list of textbooks, scientific reports, professional journal articles, and short papers. Her publications started in the 1970s and include about 400 titles. Some of her publications have been translated into other languages, mainly into Finnish. Vårdandets Idé [The Idea of Caring] has been published in Braille. Her first English translation, The Suffering Human Being [Den Lidande Människan], was published in 2006 by Nordic Studies Press in Chicago.

Eriksson has received many awards and honors for her professional and academic accomplishments. In 1975, she was nominated to receive the 3M-ICN (International Council of Nurses) Nursing Fellowship Award in Finland; in 1987, she received the Sophie Mannerheim Medal of the Swedish Nursing Association in Finland; and in 1998, she received the Caring Science Gold Mark for academic nursing care at Helsinki University Central Hospital. Also in 1998, she received an Honorary Doctorate in Public Health from the Nordic School of Public Health in Gothenburg, Sweden. Other awards include the 2001 Åland Islands Medal for caring science and the 2003 Topelius Medal, instituted by Åbo Akademi University for excellent research. In 2003, she was honored nationally as a Knight, First Class, of the Order of the White Rose of Finland.

Theoretical Sources

Ever since the mid 1970s, Eriksson’s leading thoughts have been not only to develop the substance of caring, but also to develop caring science as an independent discipline (Eriksson, 1988). From the beginning, Eriksson wanted to go back to the Greek classics by Plato, Socrates, and Aristotle, from whom she found her inspiration for the development of both the substance and the discipline of caring science (Eriksson, 1987a). From her basic idea of caring science as a humanistic science, she developed a meta-theory that she refers to as “the theory of science for caring science” (Eriksson, 1988, 2001).

When developing caring science as an academic discipline, Eriksson’s most important sources of inspiration besides Plato and Aristotle were Swedish theologian Anders Nygren (1972) and Hans-Georg Gadamer (1960/1994). Nygren and later Tage Kurtén (1987) provided her with support for her division of caring science into systematic and clinical caring science. Eriksson introduces Nygren’s concepts of motive research, context of meaning, and basic motive, which give the discipline structure. The aim of motive research is to find the essential context, the leading idea of caring. The idea of motive research applied to caring science is to show the characteristics of caring (Eriksson, 1992c).

The basic motive in caring science and caring for Eriksson is caritas, which constitutes the leading idea and keeps the various elements together. It gives both the substance and the discipline of caring science a distinctive character. In development of the basic motive, St. Augustine (1957) and Soren Kierkegaard (1843/1943) became important sources. In further
development of the discipline, Eriksson’s thinking was influenced by sources such as Thomas Kuhn (1971) and Karl Popper (1997), and later by American philosopher Susan Langer (1942) and Finnish philosophers Eino Kaila (1939) and Georg von Wright (1986), all of whom support the human science idea that science cannot exist without values.

For many years, Eriksson collaborated with Håkan Törnebohm (1978), holder of the first Nordic professorial chair in the theory of science at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden. It is especially Törnebohm’s research in and development of paradigms related to various scientific cultures that inspired Eriksson (Eriksson, 1989; Lindström, 1992).

The thought that concepts have both meaning and substance has been prominent in Eriksson’s scientific work. This appears through a systematic analysis of fundamental concepts with the help of a semantic method of analysis rooted in the idea of hermeneutics, which professor Peep Koort (1975) developed. Koort was Eriksson’s mentor and unmistakably the most important source of inspiration in her scientific work. Building on the foundation of his methodology, Eriksson subsequently developed a model for concept development that has been of great importance to many researchers in their scientific work.

In her formulation of the caritas-based caring ethic, which Eriksson conceives as an ontological ethic, Emmanuel Lévinas’ (1988) idea that ethics precedes ontology has been a guiding principle. Eriksson agrees especially with Lévinas’ thought that the call to serve precedes dialogue, that ethics is always more important in relations with other human beings. The fundamental substance of ethics—caritas, love, and charity—is supported further by Aristotle’s (1993), Nygren’s (1972), Kierkegaard’s (1843/1943), and St. Augustine’s (1957) ideas. In the formulation of caritative ethics, Eriksson has been inspired by Kierkegaard’s ideas of the innermost spirit of a human being as a synthesis of the eternal and temporal, and that acting ethically is to will absolutely or to will the eternal (Kierkegaard, 1843/1943). She stresses the importance of knowledge of history of ideas for the preservation of the whole of spiritual culture and finds support for this in Nikolaj Berdâev (1990), the Russian philosopher and historian. In intensifying the basic conception of the human being as body, soul, and spirit, Eriksson carries on an interesting dialogue with several theologians such as Gustaf Wingren (1960/1996), António Barbosa da Silva (1993), and Tage Kurtén (1987), while developing the subdiscipline she refers to as caring theology. Perhaps the most prominent feature of Eriksson’s thinking has been her clear formulation of the ontological, epistemological, and ethical basic assumptions with regard to the discipline of caring science.

MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

**Caritas**

Caritas means love and charity. In caritas, eros and agapé are united, and caritas is by nature unconditional love. Caritas, which is the fundamental motive of caring science, also constitutes the motive for all caring. It means that caring is an endeavor to mediate faith, hope, and love through tending, playing, and learning.

**Caring Communion**

*Caring communion* constitutes the context of the meaning of caring and is the structure that determines caring reality. Caring gets its distinctive character through caring communion (Eriksson, 1990). It is a form of intimate connection that characterizes caring. Caring communion requires meeting in time and space, an absolute, lasting presence (Eriksson, 1992c). Caring communion is characterized by intensity and vitality, and by warmth, closeness, rest, respect, honesty, and tolerance. It cannot be taken for granted but presupposes a conscious effort to be with the other. Caring communion is seen as the source of strength and meaning in caring. Eriksson (1990) writes in *Pro Caritate*, referring to Lévinas:

*Entering into communion implies creating opportunities for the other—to be able to step out of the enclosure of his/her own identity, out of that which belongs to one towards that which does not belong to one and is nevertheless one’s own—it is one of the deepest forms of communion (pp. 28–29).*
Joining in a communion means creating possibilities for the other. Lévinas suggests that considering someone as one's own son implies a relationship "beyond the possible" (1985, p. 71; 1988). In this relationship, the individual perceives the other person's possibilities as if they were his or her own. This requires the ability to move toward that which is no longer one's own but which belongs to oneself. It is one of the deepest forms of communion (Eriksson, 1992b). Caring communion is what unites and ties together and gives caring its significance (Eriksson, 1992a).

**The Act of Caring**

The act of caring contains the caring elements (faith, hope, love, tending, playing, and learning), involves the categories of infinity and eternity, and invites to deep communion. The act of caring is the art of making something very special out of something less special.

**Caritative Caring Ethics**

Caritative caring ethics comprises the ethics of caring, the core of which is determined by the caritas motive. Eriksson makes a distinction between caring ethics and nursing ethics. She also defines the foundations of ethics in care and its essential substance. Caring ethics deals with the basic relation between the patient and the nurse—the way in which the nurse meets the patient in an ethical sense. It is about the approach we have toward the patient. Nursing ethics deals with the ethical principles and rules that guide my work or my decisions. Caring ethics is the core of nursing ethics. The foundations of caritative ethics can be found not only in history, but also in the dividing line between theological and human ethics in general. Eriksson has been influenced by Nygren's (1966) human ethics and Lévinas' (1988) "face ethics," among others. Ethical caring is what we actually make explicit through our approach and the things we do for the patient in practice. An approach that is based on ethics in care means that we, without prejudice, see the human being with respect, and that we confirm his or her absolute dignity. It also means that we are willing to sacrifice something of ourselves. The ethical categories that emerge as basic in caritative caring ethics are human dignity, the caring communion, invitation, responsibility, good and evil, and virtue and obligation. In an ethical act, the good is brought out through ethical actions (Eriksson, 1995, 2003).

**Dignity**

*Dignity* constitutes one of the basic concepts of caritative caring ethics. Human dignity is partly absolute dignity, partly relative dignity. Absolute dignity is granted the human being through creation, while relative dignity is influenced and formed through culture and external contexts. A human being's absolute dignity involves the right to be confirmed as a unique human being (Eriksson, 1988, 1995, 1997a).

**Invitation**

Invitation refers to the act that occurs when the carer welcomes the patient to the caring communion. The concept of invitation finds room for a place where the human being is allowed to rest, a place that breathes genuine hospitality, and where the patient's appeal for charity meets with a response (Eriksson, 1995; Eriksson & Lindström, 2000).

**Suffering**

*Suffering* is an ontological concept described as a human being's struggle between good and evil in a state of becoming. Suffering implies in some sense dying away from something, and through reconciliation, the wholeness of body, soul, and spirit is re-created, when the human being's holiness and dignity appear. Suffering is a unique, isolated total experience and is not synonymous with pain (Eriksson, 1984, 1993).

**Suffering Related to Illness, to Care, and to Life**

These are three different forms of suffering. Suffering related to illness is experienced in connection with illness and treatment. When the patient is exposed to suffering caused by care or absence of caring, the patient experiences suffering related to care, which
Use of Empirical Evidence

From the beginning development of her theory, Eriksson established it in empiricism by systematically employing a hermeneutical and hypothetical deductive approach. In conformity with a human science and hermeneutical way of thinking, Eriksson developed a caring science concept of evidence (Eriksson, Nordman, & Myllymäki, 1999). Her main argument for this is that the concept of evidence in natural science is too narrow to capture and reach the depth of the complex caring reality. Her concept of evidence is derived from Gadamer’s concept of truth (Gadamer, 1960/1994), which encompasses the true, the beautiful, and the good. She points out, in accordance with Gadamer, that evidence cannot be connected solely with a method and empirical data. Evidence in a human science perspective contains two aspects: a conceptual, logical one, which she calls ontological, and an empirical one, each pre-supposing the other. The evidence concept developed by Eriksson has been shown to be empirically evident when tested in two comprehensive empirical studies in which the idea was to develop evidence-based caring cultures in seven caring units in the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa (Eriksson & Nordman, 2004). A further development of evidence resulted in caring scientific evidence concept and theory (Martinsen & Eriksson, 2009).

During the 1970s, Eriksson initially developed a nursing care process model (Eriksson, 1974), which later, in her doctoral dissertation (1981), was formulated as a theory. Since then, Eriksson, step by step, has deepened her conceptual and logical understanding of the basic concepts and phenomena that have emerged from the theory. She has tested their validity in empirical contexts, where the concepts have assumed contextual and pragmatic attributes (Kärkkäinen & Eriksson, 2004b). This logical way of working, a constant...
movement between logical and empirical evidence, has been summarized by Eriksson in her model of concept development (Eriksson, 1997b). The validity of this model has been tested in several doctoral dissertations since 1995 (Gustafsson, 2008; Hilli, 2007; Kasén, 2002; Lassenius, 2005; Lindwall, 2004; Näden, 1998; Näsman, 2010; Rundqvist, 2004; Sivonen, 2000; Wallinvirta, 2011; von Post, 1999). She started more comprehensive systematic as well as clinical research programs on caring when she was appointed director of the Department of Caring Science at Åbo Akademi University. All 44 doctoral dissertations written at the Department of Caring Science between 1992 and 2012 are in different ways a test and validation of her ideas and theory.

**Major Assumptions**

Eriksson distinguishes between two kinds of major assumptions: axioms and theses. She regards axioms as fundamental truths in relation to the conception of the world; theses are fundamental statements concerning the general nature of caring science, and their validity is tested through basic research. Axioms and theses jointly constitute the ontology of caring science and therefore also are the foundation of its epistemology (Eriksson, 1988, 2001). The caritative theory of caring is based on the following axioms and theses, as modified and clarified from Eriksson's basic assumptions with her approval (Eriksson, 2002). The axioms are as follows:

- The human being is fundamentally an entity of body, soul, and spirit.
- The human being is fundamentally a religious being.
- The human being is fundamentally holy. Human dignity means accepting the human obligation of serving with love, of existing for the sake of others.
- Communion is the basis for all humanity. Human beings are fundamentally interrelated to an abstract and/or concrete other in a communion.
- Caring is something human by nature, a call to serve in love.
- Suffering is an inseparable part of life. Suffering and health are each other’s prerequisites.
- Health is more than the absence of illness. Health implies wholeness and holiness.
- The human being lives in a reality that is characterized by mystery, infinity, and eternity.

The theses are as follows:

- Ethos confers ultimate meaning on the caring context.
- The basic motive of caring is the *caritas* motive.
- The basic category of caring is suffering.
- Caring communion forms the context of meaning of caring and derives its origin from the ethos of love, responsibility, and sacrifice, namely, caritative ethics.
- Health means a movement in becoming, being, and doing while striving for wholeness and holiness, which is compatible with endurable suffering.
- Caring implies alleviation of suffering in charity, love, faith, and hope. Natural basic caring is expressed through tending, playing, and learning in a sustained caring relationship, which is asymmetrical by nature.

**The Human Being**

The conception of the human being in Eriksson’s theory is based on the axiom that the human being is an entity of body, soul, and spirit (Eriksson, 1987a, 1988). She emphasizes that the human being is fundamentally a religious being, but all human beings have not recognized this dimension. The human being is fundamentally holy, and this axiom is related to the idea of human dignity, which means accepting the human obligation of serving with love and existing for the sake of others. Eriksson stresses the necessity of understanding the human being in his ontological context. The human being is seen as in constant becoming; he is constantly in change and therefore never in a state of full completion. He is understood in terms of the dual tendencies that exist within him, engaged in a continued struggle and living in a tension between being and nonbeing. Eriksson sees the human being’s conditional freedom as a dimension of becoming. She links her thinking with Kierkegaard’s (1843/1943) ideas of free choice and decision in the human being’s various stages—aesthetic, ethical, and religious stages—and she thinks that the human being’s power of transcendency is the foundation of real freedom. The dual tendency of the human being also emerges in his effort to be unique, while he simultaneously longs for belonging in a larger communion.

The human being is fundamentally dependent on communion; he is dependent on another, and it is in the
relationship between a concrete other (human being) and an abstract other (some form of God) that the human being constitutes himself and his being (Eriksson, 1987a). The human being seeks a communion where he can give and receive love, experience faith and hope, and be aware that his existence here and now has meaning. According to Eriksson (1987b), the human being we meet in care is creative and imaginative, has desires and wishes, and is able to experience phenomena; therefore, a description of the human being only in terms of his needs is insufficient. When the human being is entering the caring context, he or she becomes a patient in the original sense of the concept—a suffering human being (Eriksson, 1994a).

**Nursing**

Love and charity, or *caritas*, as the basic motive of caring has been found in Eriksson (1987b, 1990, 2001) as a principal idea even in her early works. The *caritas* motive can be traced through semantics, anthropology, and the history of ideas (Eriksson, 1992c). The history of ideas indicates that the foundation of the caring professions through the ages has been an inclination to help and minister to those suffering (Lanara, 1981).

*Caritas* constitutes the motive for caring, and it is through the caritas motive that caring gets its deepest formulation. This motive, according to Eriksson, is also the core of all teaching and fostering growth in all forms of human relations. In caritas, the two basic forms of love—eros and agapé (Nygren, 1966)—are combined. When the two forms of love combine, generosity becomes a human being’s attitude toward life and joy is its form of expression. The motive of caritas becomes visible in a special ethical attitude in caring, or what Eriksson calls a caritative outlook, which she formulates and specifies in caritative caring ethics (Eriksson, 1995). Caritas constitutes the inner force that is connected with the mission to care. A carer beams forth what Eriksson calls *claritas*, or the strength and light of beauty.

Caring is something natural and original. Eriksson thinks that the substance of caring can be understood only by a search for its origin. This origin is in the origin of the concept and in the idea of natural caring. The fundamentals of natural *caring* are constituted by the idea of motherliness, which implies cleansing and nourishing, and spontaneous and unconditional love.

Natural basic caring is expressed through tending, playing, and learning in a spirit of love, faith, and hope. The characteristics of tending are warmth, closeness, and touch; playing is an expression of exercise, testing, creativity, and imagination, and desires and wishes; learning is aimed at growth and change. To tend, play, and learn implies sharing, and sharing, Eriksson (1987a) says, is “presence with the human being, life and God” (p. 38). True care therefore is “not a form of behavior, not a feeling or state. It is to be there—it is the way, the spirit in which it is done, and this spirit is caritative” (Eriksson, 1998, p. 4). Eriksson brings out that caring through the ages can be seen as various expressions of love and charity, with a view toward alleviating suffering and serving life and health. In her later texts, she stresses that caring also can be seen as a search for truth, goodness, beauty, and the eternal, and for what is permanent in caring, and making it visible or evident (Eriksson, 2002). Eriksson emphasizes that caritative caring relates to the innermost core of nursing. She distinguishes between caring nursing and *nursing care*. She means that nursing care is based on the nursing care process, and it represents good care only when it is based on the innermost core of caring. Caring nursing represents a kind of caring without prejudice that emphasizes the patient and his or her suffering and desires (Eriksson, 1994a).

The core of the caring relationship, between nurse and patient as described by Eriksson (1993), is an open invitation that contains affirmation that the other is always welcome. The constant open invitation is involved in what Eriksson (2003) today calls the act of caring. The act of caring expresses the innermost spirit of caring and recreates the basic motive of caritas. The caring act expresses the deepest holy element, the safeguarding of the individual patient’s dignity. In the caring act, the patient is invited to a genuine sharing, a communion, in order to make the caring fundamentals alive and active (Eriksson, 1987a) (i.e., appropriated to the patient). The appropriation has the consequence of somehow restoring the human being and making him or her more genuinely human. In an ontological sense, the ultimate goal of caring cannot be health only; it reaches further and includes human life in its entirety. Because the mission of the human being is to serve, to exist for the sake of others, the ultimate purpose of caring is to bring the human being back to this mission (Eriksson, 1994a).
Environment

Eriksson uses the concept of ethos in accordance with Aristotle’s (1935, 1997) idea that ethics is derived from ethos. In Eriksson’s sense, the ethos of caring science, as well as that of caring, consists of the idea of love and charity and respect and honor of the holiness and dignity of the human being. Ethos is the sounding board of all caring. Ethos is ontology in which there is an “inner ought to,” a target of caring “that has its own language and its own key” (Eriksson, 2003, p. 23). Good caring and true knowledge become visible through ethos. Ethos originally refers to home, or to the place where a human being feels at home. It symbolizes a human being’s innermost space, where he appears in his nakedness (Lévinas, 1989). Ethos and ethics belong together, and in the caring culture, they become one (Eriksson, 2003). Eriksson thinks that ethos means that we feel called to serve a particular task. This ethos she sees as the core of caring culture. Ethos, which forms the basic force in caring culture, reflects the prevailing priority of values through which the basic foundations of ethics and ethical actions appear.

At the beginning of the 1990s, when Eriksson reintroduced the idea of suffering as a basic category of caring, she returned to the fundamental historical conditions of all caring, the idea of charity as the basis of alleviating suffering (Eriksson, 1984, 1993, 1994a, 1997a). This meant a change in the view of caring reality to a focus on the suffering human being. Her starting point is that suffering is an inseparable part of human life, and that it has no distinct reason or definition. Suffering as such has no meaning, but a human being can ascribe meaning to it by becoming reconciled to it. Eriksson makes a distinction between endurable and unendurable suffering and thinks that an unendurable suffering paralyzes the human being, preventing him or her from growing, while endurable suffering is compatible with health. Every human being’s suffering is enacted in a drama of suffering. Alleviating a human being’s suffering implies being a co-actor in the drama and confirming his or her suffering. A human being who suffers wants to have the suffering confirmed and be given time and space to become reconciled to it. The ultimate purpose of caring is to alleviate suffering. Eriksson has described three different forms: suffering related to illness, suffering related to care, and suffering related to life (Eriksson, 1993, 1994a, 1997a).

Health

Eriksson considers health in many of her earlier writings in accordance with an analysis of the concept in which she defines health as soundness, freshness, and well-being. The subjective dimension, or well-being, is emphasized strongly (Eriksson, 1976). In the current axiom of health, health implies being whole in body, soul, and spirit. Health means as a pure concept wholeness and holiness (Eriksson, 1984). In accordance with her view of the human being, Eriksson has developed various premises regarding the substance and laws of health, which have been summed up in an ontological health model. She sees health as both movement and integration. The health premise is a movement comprising various partial premises: health as movement implies a change; a human being is being formed or destroyed, but never completely; health is movement between actual and potential; health is movement in time and space; health as movement is dependent on vital force and on vitality of body, soul, and spirit; the direction of this movement is determined by the human being’s needs and desires; the will to find meaning, life, and love constitutes the source of energy of the movement; and health as movement strives toward a realization of one’s potential (Eriksson, 1984).

In the ontological conception, health is conceived as a becoming, a movement toward a deeper wholeness and holiness. As a human being’s inner health potential is touched, a movement occurs that becomes visible in the different dimensions of health as doing, being, and becoming with a wholeness that is unique to human beings (Eriksson, Bondas-Salonen, Fagerström, et al., 1990). In doing, the person’s thoughts concerning health are focused on healthy life habits and avoiding illness; in being, the person strives for balance and harmony; in becoming, the human being becomes whole on a deeper level of integration.

Theoretical Assertions

Eriksson’s fundamental idea when formulating theoretical assertions is that they connect four levels of knowledge: the meta-theoretical, the theoretical, the technological, and caring as art. The generation of theory takes place through dialectical movement between these levels, but here deduction constitutes the
basic epistemological idea (Eriksson, 1981). The theory of science for caring science, which contains the fundamental epistemological, logical, and ethical standpoints, is formed on the meta-theoretical level. Eriksson (1988), in accordance with Nygren (1972), sees the basic motive as the element that permeates the formation of knowledge at all levels and gives scientific knowledge its unique characteristics. A clearly formulated ontology constitutes the foundation of both the caritative caring theory and caring science as a discipline. The caritas motive, the ethos of love and charity, and the respect and reverence for human holiness and dignity, which determine the nature of caring, give the caritative caring theory its feature. This ethos, which encircles caring as science and as art, permeates caring culture and creates the preconditions for caring. The ethos is reflected in the process of nursing care, in the documentation, and in various care planning models.

Caring communion constitutes the context of meaning from which the concepts in the theory are to be understood. Human suffering forms the basic category of caring and summons the carer to true caring (i.e., serving in love and charity). In the act of caring, the suffering human being, or patient, is invited and welcomed to the caring communion, where the patient’s suffering can be alleviated through the act of caring in the drama of suffering that is unique to every human being. Alleviation of suffering implies that the carer is a co-actor in the drama, confirms the patient’s suffering, and gives time and space to suffer until reconciliation is reached. Reconciliation is the ultimate aim of health or being and signifies a reestablishment of wholeness and holiness (Eriksson, 1997a).

**Logical Form**

Meta-theory has always had a fundamental place in Eriksson’s thinking, and her epistemological work is anchored in Aristotle’s theory of knowledge (Aristotle, 1935). Searching for knowledge, which is intrinsically hermeneutic, and which takes place within the scope of an articulated theoretical perspective, is understood as a search for the original text in a historical-hermeneutic tradition, that which in the old hermeneutic sense represents truth (Gadamer, 1960/1994). To achieve the depth in the development of knowledge and theory she has consistently striven for, Eriksson has used various logical models for the hypothetical deductive method and hermeneutics guiding principles.

Eriksson stresses the importance of the logical form being created on the basis of the substance of caring (i.e., caritas), not on the basis of method. It is thus deduction combined with abduction that formed the guiding logic. The language, words, and concepts carry the content of meaning, and Eriksson stresses the necessity of choosing words, concepts, and language that correspond to human science.

In the dynamic change between the natural world and the world of science, there has constantly occurred a striving toward the source of the true, the beautiful, and the good—that which is evident. Eriksson (1999) shapes her theory of scientific thought, as reflection moves between patterns at different levels and interpretation is subject to the theoretical perspective. The movement takes place distinctly between doxa (empirical-perceptive knowledge) and episteme (rational-conceptual knowledge), and “the infinite.” Movement thus takes place between the two basic epistemological categories of the theory of knowledge: perception and conception.

Eriksson applied three forms of inference—deduction, induction, and abduction or retroduction (Eriksson & Lindström, 1997)—that give the theory a logical external structure. The substance of her caring theory has moved simultaneously by abductive leaps (Peirce, 1990; Eriksson & Lindström, 1997), which sometimes created a new chaos but also carried Eriksson’s thinking toward new discoveries. Through abduction, the ideal model for caritative caring was shaped, proceeding from historical and self-evident suppositions (Nygren, 1972). Eriksson in this way made use of old original texts that testify to caritative caring as her research material. Through induction and deduction, the validity of the theory has been tested.

Theory as conceived by Eriksson is in accordance with the Greek concept of theory, theoria, in the sense of seeing the beautiful and the good, participating in the common, and dedicating it to others (Gadamer, 2000, p. 49). Theory and practice are different aspects of the same core. The convincing force and potential of the whole theory are found in its innermost core, caritas, around which the generation of theory takes place. The caring substance is formed in a dialectical
movement between the potential and the actual, the abstract general and the concrete individual. With the help of logical abstract thinking combined with the logic of the heart (Pascal, 1971), the Theory of Caritative Caring becomes perceptible through the art of caring.

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

A characteristic feature of Eriksson’s manner of working is her way of structuring abstract thinking as a natural and obvious precondition of clinical activity and an evidence-based form of caring that opens up a deeper insight. Several nursing units in the Nordic countries have based their practice and caring philosophy on Eriksson’s ideas and her caritative theory of caring. These include the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa in Finland, Stiftelsen Hemmet in the Åland Islands of Finland, and Stora Sköndal in Sweden. Because Eriksson’s thinking and process model of caring are general, the nursing care process model has proved to be applicable in all contexts of caring, from acute clinical caring and psychiatric care to health-promoting and preventive care.

Since the 1970s, Eriksson’s nursing care process model was systematically used, tested, and developed as a basis of nursing care and documentation at Helsinki University Central Hospital. From the beginning of the 1990s, Eriksson served as director of the clinical research program, “In the World of the Patient.” In various studies, Eriksson’s theory has been tested, and the results have been presented in doctoral and master’s theses and published in professional and scientific journals. The study, “In the Patient’s World II: Alleviating the Patient’s Suffering—Ethics and Evidence” led to recommendations for the care of patients and is an ongoing research project that will become a handbook for clinical caring science.

Eriksson’s model has been subjected to more comprehensive academic research (Fagerström, 1999; Kärkkäinen & Eriksson, 2003, 2004; Lukander, 1995; Turtiainen, 1999). Eriksson’s thinking has been influential in nursing leadership and nursing administration, where the caritative theory of nursing forms the core of the development of nursing leadership at various levels of the nursing organization. That Eriksson’s ideas about caring and her nursing care process model work in practice has been verified by everything from a multiplicity of essays and tests of learning in clinical practice to master’s theses, licentiate’s theses, and doctoral dissertations produced all over the Nordic countries.

Education

Since the 1970s, Eriksson’s theory has been integrated into the education of nurses at various levels, and her books have been included continuously in the examination requirements in various forms of nursing education in the Nordic countries. The education for master’s and doctoral degrees that started in 1986 at the Department of Caring Science, Åbo Akademi University, has been based entirely on Eriksson’s ideas, and her caritative caring theory forms the core of the development of substance in education and research.

Development of the caring science–centered curriculum and caring didactics continued in the educational and research program in caring science didactics. Development of teachers within the education of nurses forms a part of the master’s degree program and has resulted in the first doctoral dissertation in the didactics of caring science (Ekebergh, 2001).

Eriksson realized at an early stage the importance of integrating academic courses in the education of nurses; nowadays, academic courses in caring science based on Eriksson’s theory are offered as part of continuing education for those who work in clinical practice. Approximately 200 nurses take part annually in these academic courses.

Because Eriksson sees caring science not as profession oriented but as a “pure” academic discipline, it has aroused interest among students in other disciplines and other occupational groups, such as teachers, social workers, psychologists, and theologians. Eriksson stresses that it is necessary for doctors as well to study caring science, so that genuine interdisciplinary cooperation is achieved between caring science and medicine.

Research

Eriksson and her teaching and research colleagues at the Department of Caring Science designed a research program based on her caring science tradition. This program comprises systematic caring science, clinical caring science, didactic caring science,
Eriksson’s caritative caring theory has been tested and further developed in various contexts with different methodological approaches, both within the department’s own research projects and in doctoral dissertations that have been published at the department.

Eriksson has always emphasized the importance of basic research as necessary for clinical research, and her main thesis is that substance should direct the choice of research method. In her book, Pausen (The Pause) (Eriksson, 1987b), she describes how the research object is structured, starting from the caritative theory of caring. In her book, Broar (Bridges) (Eriksson, 1991), she describes the research paradigm and various methodological approaches based on a human science perspective. During the first few years, the emphasis lay on basic research, with the focus on development of the basic concepts and assumptions of the theory and on the fundamentals of history and the history of ideas. An especially strong point in Eriksson’s research is the clearly formulated theoretical perspective that confers explicitness and greater depth to the generation of knowledge. Development of the theory and research have always moved hand in hand with the focus on various dimensions of the theory, and, in this connection, we wish to illustrate some central results of the research.

Eriksson has emphasized the necessity of an exhaustive and systematic analysis of basic concepts, and developed her own model of concept development (Eriksson, 1991, 1997b), which proved fruitful and is used by many researchers, including Nåden (1998) in his study of the art of caring, von Post (1999) in her study of the concept of natural care, Sivonen (2000) in studies of the concepts of soul and spirit, and Kasén (2002) in her study of the concept of the caring relationship. Other studies focused on the concept of dignity (Edlund, 2002), the concepts of power and authority (Rundqvist, 2004), and the concept of the body in a perioperative context (Lindwall, 2004).

Continued development of Eriksson’s concept of health took place in the research project Den Mång-dimensionella Hälsan (Multidimensional Health), during the years 1987 to 1992 and resulted in the ontological health model (Eriksson, 1994a; Eriksson, Bondas-Salonen, Fagerström, et al., 1990; Eriksson & Herberts, 1992). The project resulted in a number of master’s theses. Of these, Lindholm’s study of young people’s conception of health (1998; Lindholm & Eriksson, 1998) and Bondas’ study of women’s health during the perinatal period (2000; Bondas & Eriksson, 2001) led to doctoral dissertations.

The ontological health model subsequently formed the basis for several studies. Wärnä (2002), in her study concerning the worker’s health, related Aristotle’s theory of virtue to Eriksson’s ontological health model. The study opened a new line of thought in preventive health service in working environments; continued research and development are now in progress in a number of factories in the wood-processing industry in Finland.

Since the mid-1980s, when suffering as the basic category in caring was made explicit in Eriksson’s theory, examples of research related to suffering have been legion. One is Wiklund’s (2000) study of suffering as struggle and drama, among both patients who had undergone coronary bypass surgery and patients addicted to drugs. In several clinical studies, Råholm focused on suffering and alleviation of suffering in patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery (Råholm, Lindholm, & Eriksson, 2002; Råholm, 2003). The manifestation of suffering in a psychiatric context has been studied by Fredriksson, who illustrates the possibilities of the caring conversation in the alleviation of suffering (Fredriksson, 2003; Fredriksson & Eriksson, 2003; Fredriksson & Lindström, 2002). Nyback (2008) studied suffering in the Chinese culture, and Lindholm (2008) focused on suffering and its connection to domestic violence. In a Norwegian study, Nilsson (2004) studied suffering in patients in psychiatric noninstitutional care units with a high degree of ill health and found that the experience of loneliness is of basic importance. Caspari (2004) in her study illustrated the importance of aesthetics for health and suffering.

In a cooperative project between researchers in Sweden and Finland, the suffering of women with breast cancer was studied. This project comprised intervention studies in which the importance of different forms of care for the alleviation of suffering was illustrated (Arm-ran-Rehnsfeldt, Lindholm, & Hamrin, 2002; Arman-Rehnsfeldt & Rehnsfeldt, 2003; Lindholm, Nieminen, Mäkelä, & Rantanen-Siljamäki, 2004). Arman-Rehnsfeldt, in her dissertation, illustrated how the drama of suffering is formed among these women (Arman, 2003).
Continuous research has been carried out since the 1970s, with a view toward developing caring science as an academic discipline, and a theory of science for caring science has been formulated (Eriksson, 1988, 2001; Eriksson & Lindström, 2000, 2003; Lindström, 1992). Eriksson has developed subdisciplines of caring science, which means that researchers of caring science and other scientific disciplines enter into dialogues with each other, and constitute a research area. An example of this is the development of caritative caring ethics (Andersson, 1994; Eriksson, 1991, 1995; Fredriksson & Eriksson, 2001; Råholm & Lindholm, 1999; Råholm, Lindholm, & Eriksson, 2002). Another interesting subdiscipline that Eriksson has developed is caring theology, within which she has articulated spiritual and doctrinal questions in caring with a scientific group of themes, and in this respect has cleared the way for new thinking. Caring theology has aroused great interest among caregivers in clinical practice that can be studied in academic courses.

Further Development

Eriksson continues developing her thinking and the caritative caring theory with unabated energy and constantly finds new ways, recreating and deepening what has been stated before. Systematic research and the development of caritative caring theory, as well as the discipline of caring science, take place chiefly within the scope of the research programs in her own department with her own staff and the postdoctoral group. The dissertation topics of doctoral candidates are connected with the research programs and form an important contribution of knowledge to the ongoing development of Eriksson’s thinking.

During the last few years, Eriksson has emphasized the necessity of basic research in clinical caring science, where she has especially stressed the understanding of the research object, caring reality. She describes the object of research from three points of view: the experienced world, praxis as activity, and the real reality. In the real reality, which carries the attributes of mystery, one finds something of the deepest potential of caring, and it is a reality that can be understood in Gadamer’s sense, in the old Greek meaning of praxis, as a way of living, a mode of being, that is, an ontology (Gadamer, 2000). The development of knowledge in caring science becomes fundamentally different depending on what object of knowledge constitutes the focus of research (Eriksson & Lindström, 2003). Another central area of interest for Eriksson (2003) is formed by the development of caritative caring ethics. Continued development of the caritative theory of caring also occurs, as has emerged before, through continued implementation and testing in various clinical contexts.

Critique

Clarity

The strong point of Eriksson’s theory is the overall logical structure of the theory, in which every new concept becomes a part of an ever more comprehensive whole in which an element of internal logic can be seen clearly. Her main thesis has always been that basic conceptual clarity is needed before developing the contextual features of the theory. Eriksson has used concept analysis and analysis of ideas as central methods, which has led to semantic and structural clarity. It has at the same time meant that the concepts may have assumed dimensions that have been regarded as strange to those who are not familiar with the theoretical perspective in which the development of the theory has taken place. We, who have for many years had the opportunity to follow Eriksson’s work, have realized that her way of thinking forms a logical whole, where the abstract scientific reveals the concrete in a new understanding (i.e., provides an experience of evidence and verifies the convincing force of the theory).

Simplicity

The theoretical clarity of Eriksson’s theory reflects the simplicity of the theory by showing the general in a clear and logical conceptual entirety. The hermeneutic approach has deepened the understanding of the substance and thus contributed to the simplicity of the theory (Gadamer, 1960/1994). The simplicity also can be understood as an expression of Gadamer’s concept of theory by making it comprehensible that theory and practice belong together and reflect two sides of the same reality. Eriksson agrees with Gadamer’s thought that understanding includes application, and the theory opens the way to deeper participation and communion. Eriksson (2003) formulates this process by the statement that “ideals reach reality and reality reaches the ideals” (p. 26).
Generality
Eriksson’s theory is general in the sense that it aims at creating an ontological and ethical basis of caring, while at the same time it constitutes the core of the discipline and thus involves epistemology as well. Eriksson’s theory is also general as a result of the wide convincing force it receives through its theoretical core concepts and its theoretical axioms and theses. There may be a risk that a too-general theory becomes diffuse in relation to different caring contexts. Eriksson, however, has always stressed the importance of describing the core concepts on an optimal level of abstraction in order to include all of the complex caring reality that simultaneously carries a wealth of signification that opens up understanding in various caring contexts.

Accessibility
Eriksson’s thinking as a whole has reached an understanding that extends to other disciplines and professions. She has developed a language and a rhetoric that has reached researchers as well as practitioners in the human scientific field. The empirical precision of Eriksson’s theory demonstrated in multiple deductive testings manifests a combination of the clarity, simplicity, and generality of the theory combined with a rich substance and clearly formulated ethos.

Importance
Eriksson’s work on developing her caritative caring theory for 30 years has been successful, and particularly in the Nordic countries there is abundant evidence that her thinking is of great importance to clinical practice, research, and education, and also to the development of the caring discipline. By her development of the caritative theory of care, Eriksson created her own caring science tradition, a tradition that has grown strong and has set the tone for nursing advancement and caring science.

Summary
Eriksson has been a guide and visionary who has gone before and “ploughed new furrows” in theory development for many years. Eriksson’s caritas-based theory and her whole caring science thinking have developed over the course of 30 years. Characteristic of her thinking is that while she is working at an abstract level developing concepts and theory, the theory is rooted in clinical reality and teaching. The whole caritative theory and the caring that are built up around the theoretical core get their distinctive character and deeper meaning. The ultimate goal of caring is to alleviate suffering and serve life and health.

Knowledge formation, which Eriksson sees as a hermeneutic spiral, starts from the thought that ethics precedes ontology. In a concrete sense, this implies that the thought of human holiness and dignity is always kept alive in all phases of the search for knowledge. Ethics precedes ontology in theory as well as in practice.

Eriksson’s caring science tradition and discipline of caring science form a basis for the activity at the Department of Caring Science at Åbo Akademi University. Eriksson’s caritative caring theory and the discipline of caring science have inspired many in the Nordic countries, and they are used as the basis for research, education, and clinical practice. Many of her original textbooks, published mainly in Swedish, have been translated into Finnish, Norwegian, and Danish.

CASE STUDY
The case presented is a philosophy of practice, by Ulf Donner, leader of the Foundation Home at the psychiatric nursing home in Finland that for 15 years has based its practice on Eriksson’s caritative theory of caring.

Even at an early stage in our serving in caring science, we caregivers recognized ourselves in the caring science theory, which stresses the healing force of love and compassion in the form of tending, playing, and learning in faith, hope, and charity. The caritative culture is made visible with the help of rituals, symbols, and traditions, for instance, with the stone that burns with the light of the Trinity and the daily common time for spiritual reflection. In every meeting with the suffering human being, the attributes of love and charity are striven for, and the day involves discussions of reconciliation, forgiveness, and how we as caregivers can tend by nourishing and cleansing on the level of becoming, being, and doing. In the struggle in love and compassion to reach a fellow human being who, because of suffering, has withdrawn from the communion to find common
horizons, the sacrifice of the caregiver is constantly available.

We work with people who often have the feeling that they do not deserve the love they encounter and who, in various ways, try to convince us caregivers of this. We experience patients' disappointment in their destructive acts, and we constantly have to remember that it may be broken promises that produce such dynamics. Sometimes, it may be difficult to recognize that suffering expressed in this way in an abstract sense seeks an embrace that does not give way but is strong enough to give shelter to this suffering, in a way that makes a becoming movement possible. In recognizing what is bad and what is difficult, horizons in the field of force are expanded, and the possibility of bringing in a ray of light and hope is opened.

As caregivers, we constantly ask ourselves whether the words, the language we use, bring promise, and how we can create linguistic footholds in the void by means of images and symbols. In our effort to nourish and cleanse, that which constitutes the basic movement of tending, we often recognize the importance of teaching the patient to be able to mourn disappointments and affirm the possibilities of forgiveness in the movement of reconciliation.

We also try to bring about the open invitation to the suffering human being to join a communion with the help of myths, legends, and tales concerned with human questions about evil versus good and about eternity and infinity. Reading aloud with common reflective periods often provides us caregivers a possibility of getting closer to patients without getting too close, and opens the door for the suffering the patient bears.

In the act of caring, we strive for openness with regard to the patient's face and a confirmative attitude that responds to the appeal that we can recognize that the patient directs to us. When we as caregivers respond to the patient's appeal for charity, we are faced with the task of confirming the holiness of the other as a human being. Our constant effort is to make it possible for the patient to reestablish his or her dignity, accomplish his or her human mission, and enter true communion.

---

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. Reflect on the meaning of caritas as the ethos of caring.
   a. How is caritas culture formed in a care setting?
   b. How do caritative elements appear in caring?
   c. What is the nature of nursing ethics based on caritas?
2. Health and suffering are each other’s preconditions. Think of what this meant in the life of a patient you cared for recently.
3. How have you recognized the elements of caring—faith, hope, love and tending, playing, and learning—in a concrete caring situation? Give examples.
4. Suffering as a consequence of lack of caritative caring is a violation of a human being's dignity. Think about a situation in which you saw this occur, and consider what can be done to prevent suffering related to care.

---

**POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY**

- For further literature and information visit our website at: [http://www.abo.fi/institution/vardvetenskap](http://www.abo.fi/institution/vardvetenskap)
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Nursing Conceptual Models

- Nursing conceptual models are concepts and their relationships that specify a perspective and produce evidence among phenomena specific to the discipline.

- Conceptual models address broad metaparadigm concepts (human beings, health, nursing, and environment) that are central to their meaning in the context of the particular framework and the discipline of nursing.

- Nursing conceptual models provide perspectives with different foci for critical thinking about persons, families, and communities, and for making knowledgeable nursing decisions.
Myra Estrin Levine enjoyed a varied career. She was a private duty nurse (1944), a civilian nurse in the U.S. Army (1945), a preclinical instructor in the physical sciences at Cook County (1947 to 1950), director of nursing at Drexel Home in Chicago (1950 to 1951), and surgical supervisor at both the University of Chicago Clinics (1951 to 1952) and the Henry Ford Hospital in Detroit (1956 to 1962). Levine worked her way up the academic ranks at Bryan Memorial Hospital in Lincoln, Nebraska (1951), Cook County School of Nursing (1963 to 1967), Loyola University (1967 to 1973), Rush University (1974 to 1977), and the University of Illinois (1962 to 1963, 1977 to 1987). She chaired the Department of Clinical Nursing at Cook County School of Nursing (1963 to 1967) and coordinated the graduate nursing program in oncology at Rush University (1974 to 1977). Levine was director of the Department of Continuing Education at Evanston Hospital (March to June 1974) and consultant to the department (July 1974 to 1976). She was adjunct associate professor of Humanistic Studies at the University of Illinois (1981 to 1987). In 1987, she became a Professor Emerita, Medical Surgical Nursing, at the University of Illinois at Chicago. In 1974, Levine went to Tel-Aviv University, Israel, as a visiting associate professor and returned as a visiting professor in 1982. She also was a visiting professor at Recanati School of

"Nursing is human interaction"

Nursing, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, at Beer Sheva, Israel (March to April, 1982).

Levine received numerous honors, including charter fellow of the American Academy of Nursing (1973), honorary member of the American Mental Health Aid to Israel (1976), and honorary recognition from the Illinois Nurses Association (1977). She was the first recipient of the Elizabeth Russell Belford Award for excellence in teaching from Sigma Theta Tau (1977). Both the first and second editions of her book, *Introduction to Clinical Nursing* (Levine, 1969a; 1973) received *American Journal of Nursing* Book of the Year awards, and her book, *Renewal for Nursing*, was translated into Hebrew (Levine, 1971a). Levine was listed in *Who's Who in American Women* (1977 to 1988) and in *Who's Who in American Nursing* (1987). She was elected fellow of the Institute of Medicine of Chicago (1987 to 1991). The Alpha Lambda Chapter of Sigma Theta Tau recognized Levine for her outstanding contributions to nursing in 1990. In January 1992, she was awarded an honorary doctorate of humane letters from Loyola University, Chicago (Mid-Year Convocation, Loyola University, 1992). Levine was an active leader in the American Nurses Association and the Illinois Nurses Association. After her retirement in 1987, she remained active in theory development and encouraged questions and research about her theory (Levine, 1996).

A dynamic speaker, Levine was a frequent presenter of programs, workshops, seminars, and panels, and a prolific writer regarding nursing and education. She also served as a consultant to hospitals and schools of nursing. Although she never intended to develop theory, she provided an organizational structure for teaching medical-surgical nursing and a stimulus for theory development (Stafford, 1996). “The Four Conservation Principles of Nursing” was the first statement of the conservation principles (Levine, 1967a). Other preliminary work included “Adaptation and Assessment: A Rationale for Nursing Intervention,” “For Lack of Love Alone,” and “The Pursuit of Wholeness” (Levine, 1966b, 1967b, 1969b). The first edition of her book using the conservation principles, *Introduction to Clinical Nursing*, was published in 1969 (Levine, 1969a). Levine addressed the consequences of the four conservation principles in *Holistic Nursing* (Levine, 1971b). The second edition of *Introduction to Clinical Nursing* was published in 1973 (Levine, 1973). After that, Levine (1984) presented the conservation principles at nurse theory conferences, some of which have been audiotaped, and at the Allentown College of St. Francis de Sales (now DeSales University) Conference.

Levine (1989) published a substantial change and clarification about her theory in “The Four Conservation Principles: Twenty Years Later.” She elaborated on how redundancy characterizes availability of adaptive responses when stability is threatened. Adaptation processes establish a body economy to safeguard individual stability. The outcome of adaptation is conservation.

She explicitly linked health to the process of conservation to clarify that the Conservation Model views health as one of its essential components (Levine, 1991). Conservation, through treatment, focuses on integrity and the reclamation of oneness of the whole person.

Levine died on March 20, 1996, at 75 years of age. She leaves a legacy as an administrator, educator, friend, mother, nurse, scholar, student of humanities, and wife (Pond, 1996). Dr. Baumhart, President of Loyola University, said the following of Levine (Mid-Year Convocation, Loyola University, 1992):

*Mrs. Levine is a renaissance woman... who uses knowledge from several disciplines to expand the vision of health needs of persons that can be met by modern nursing. In the Talmudic tradition of her ancestors, [she] has been a forthright spokesperson for social justice and the inherent dignity of [the] human person as a child of God* (p. 6).

### Theoretical Sources

From Beland's (1971) presentation of the theory of specific causation and multiple factors, Levine learned historical viewpoints of diseases and learned that the way people think about disease changes over time. Beland directed Levine's attention to numerous authors who became influential in her thinking, including Goldstein (1963), Hall (1966), Sherrington (1906), and Dubos (1961, 1965). Levine uses Gibson's (1966) definition of perceptual systems, Erikson's (1964) differentiation between total and whole, Selye's (1956) stress theory, and Bates' (1967) models of external environment. Levine was proud that Rogers (1970) was her first editor. She acknowledged Nightingale's contribution to her thinking about the “guardian activity” of observation used by nurses to “save lives and increase health and comfort” (Levine, 1992, p. 42).
The three major concepts of the Conservation Model are (1) wholeness, (2) adaptation, and (3) conservation.

**Wholeness (Holism)**

“Whole, health, hale are all derivations of the Anglo-Saxon word hal” (Levine, 1973, p. 11). Levine based her use of wholeness on Erikson’s (1964, 1968) description of wholeness as an open system. Levine (as cited in 1969a) quotes Erikson, who states, “Wholeness emphasizes a sound, organic, progressive mutuality between diversified functions and parts within an entirety, the boundaries of which are open and fluent” (p. 94). Levine (1996) believed that Erikson’s definition set up the option of exploring the parts of the whole to understand the whole. Integrity means the oneness of the individuals, emphasizing that they respond in an integrated, singular fashion to environmental challenges.

**Adaptation**

“Adaptation is a process of change whereby the individual retains his integrity within the realities of his internal and external environment” (Levine, 1973, p. 11). Conservation is the outcome. Some adaptations are successful and some are not. Adaptation is a matter of degree, not an all-or-nothing process. There is no such thing as maladaptation.

Levine (1991) speaks of the following three characteristics of adaptation:

1. Historicity
2. Specificity
3. Redundancy

She states, “. . . every species has fixed patterns of responses uniquely designed to ensure success in essential life activities, demonstrating that adaptation is both historical and specific” (p. 5). In addition, adaptive patterns may be hidden in individuals’ genetic codes. Redundancy represents the fail-safe options available to individuals to ensure adaptation. Loss of redundant choices through trauma, age, disease, or environmental conditions makes it difficult for individuals to maintain life. Levine (1991) suggests that “the possibility exists that aging itself is a consequence of failed redundancy of physiological and psychological processes” (p. 6).

**Environment**

Levine (1973) also views individuals as having their own environment, both internally and externally. Nurses can relate to the internal environment as the physiological and pathophysiological aspects of the patient. Levine uses Bates’ (1967) definition of the external environment and suggests the following three levels:

1. Perceptual
2. Operational
3. Conceptual

These levels give dimension to the interactions between individuals and their environments. The perceptual level includes aspects of the world that individuals are able to interpret with their sense organs. The operational level contains things that affect individuals physically, although they cannot directly perceive them, things such as microorganisms. At the conceptual level, the environment is constructed from cultural patterns, characterized by a spiritual existence and mediated by the symbols of language, thought, and history (Levine, 1973).

**Organismic Response**

The capacity of individuals to adapt to their environmental conditions is called the *organismic* response. It is divided into the following four levels of integration:

1. Fight or flight
2. Inflammatory response
3. Response to stress
4. Perceptual awareness

Treatment focuses on the management of these responses to illness and disease (Levine, 1969a).

**Fight or Flight**

The most primitive response is the fight or flight syndrome. Individuals perceive that they are threatened, whether or not a threat actually exists. Hospitalization, illness, and new experiences elicit a response. Individuals respond by being on the
alert to find more information and to ensure their safety and well-being (Levine, 1973).

Inflammatory Response
This defense mechanism protects the self from insult in a hostile environment. It is a way of healing. The response uses available energy to remove or keep out unwanted irritants and pathogens. It is limited in time because it drains the individual’s energy reserves. Environmental control is important (Levine, 1973).

Response to Stress
Selye (1956) described the stress response syndrome to predictable, non–specifically induced organismic changes. The wear and tear of life is recorded on the tissues and reflects long-term hormonal responses to life experiences that cause structural change. It is characterized by irreversibility and influences the way patients respond to nursing care.

Perceptual Awareness
This response is based on the individual’s perceptual awareness. It occurs only as individuals experience the world around them. Individuals use responses to seek and maintain safety. It is the ability to gather information and convert it to a meaningful experience (Levine, 1967a, 1969b).

Trophicognosis
Levine (1966a) recommended trophicognosis as an alternative to nursing diagnosis. It is a scientific method of reaching a nursing care judgment.

Conservation
Conservation is from the Latin word conservatio, which means “to keep together” (Levine, 1973). “Conservation describes the way complex systems are able to continue to function even when severely challenged” (Levine, 1990, p. 192). Through conservation, individuals are able to confront obstacles, adapt accordingly, and maintain their uniqueness. “The goal of conservation is health and the strength to confront disability” as “. . . the rules of conservation and integrity hold” in all situations in which nursing is required (Levine, 1973, pp. 193–195). The primary focus of conservation is keeping together the wholeness of individuals. Although nursing interventions may deal with one particular conservation principle, nurses also must recognize the influence of the other conservation principles (Levine, 1990).

Levine’s (1973) model stresses nursing interactions and interventions that are intended to promote adaptation and maintain wholeness. These interactions are based on the scientific background of the conservation principles. Conservation focuses on achieving a balance of energy supply and demand within the biological realities unique to each individual. Nursing care is based on scientific knowledge and nursing skills. There are four conservation principles.

Conservation Principles
The goals of the Conservation Model are achieved through interventions that attend to the conservation principles.

Conservation of Energy
The individual requires a balance of energy and a constant renewal of energy to maintain life activities. Processes such as healing and aging challenge that energy. This second law of thermodynamics applies to everything in the universe, including people.

Conservation of energy has long been used in nursing practice, even with the most basic procedures. Nursing interventions “scaled to the individual’s ability are dependent upon providing care that makes the least additional demand possible” (Levine, 1990, pp. 197–198).

Conservation of Structural Integrity
Healing is a process of restoring structural and functional integrity through conservation in defense of wholeness (Levine, 1991). The disabled are guided to a new level of adaptation (Levine, 1996). Nurses can limit the amount of tissue involved in disease by early recognition of functional changes and by nursing interventions.
Major Concepts & Definitions—cont’d

Conservation of Personal Integrity

Self-worth and a sense of identity are important. The most vulnerable become patients. This begins with the erosion of privacy and the creation of anxiety. Nurses can show patients respect by calling them by name, respecting their wishes, valuing personal possessions, providing privacy during procedures, supporting their defenses, and teaching them. “The nurse’s goal is always to impart knowledge and strength so that the individual can resume a private life—no longer a patient, no longer dependent” (Levine, 1990, p. 199). The sanctity of life is manifested through holiness, a testament to spirituality in all people. “The conservation of personal integrity includes recognition of the holiness of each person” (Levine, 1996, p. 40).

Conservation of Social Integrity

Life gains meaning through social communities, and health is socially determined. Nurses fulfill professional roles, provide for family members, assist with religious needs, and use interpersonal relationships to conserve social integrity (Levine, 1967b, 1969a).

Use of Empirical Evidence

Levine (1973) believed that specific nursing activities could be deducted from scientific principles. The scientific theoretical sources have been well researched. She based much of her work on accepted science principles.

Major Assumptions

Introduction to Clinical Nursing is a text for beginning nursing students that uses the conservation principles as an organizing framework (Levine, 1969a, 1973). Although she did not state them specifically as assumptions, Levine (1973) valued “a holistic approach to care of all people, well or sick” (p. 151). Her respect for the individuality of each person is noted in the following statements:

Ultimately, decisions for nursing interventions must be based on the unique behavior of the individual patient. . . . Patient centered nursing care means individualized nursing care . . . and as such he requires a unique constellation of skills, techniques, and ideas designed specifically for him (1973, p. 6).

Schaefer (1996) identified the following statements as assumptions about the model:

- “Every self-sustaining system monitors its own behavior by conserving the use of the resources required to define its unique identity” (Levine, 1991, p. 4).
- Human beings respond in a singular, yet integrated, fashion (Levine, 1971a).

Nursing

Levine (1973) stated the following about nursing:

Nursing is a human interaction (p. 1). Professional nursing should be reserved for those few who can complete a graduate program as demanding as that expected of professionals in any other discipline . . . There will be very few professional nurses (Levine, 1965, p. 214).

Nursing practice is based on nursing’s unique knowledge and the scientific knowledge of other disciplines adjunctive to nursing knowledge (Levine, 1988b), as follows:

It is the nurse’s task to bring a body of scientific principles, on which decisions depend, into the precise situation that she shares with the patient. Sensitive observation and the selection of relevant data form the basis for her assessment of his nursing requirements.

The nurse participates actively in every patient’s environment and much of what she does supports
his adjustments as he struggles in the predicament of illness (Levine, 1966b, p. 2452).

The essence of Levine’s theory is as follows:

. . . when nursing intervention influences adaptation favorably, or toward renewed social well-being, then the nurse is acting in a therapeutic sense; when the response is unfavorable, the nurse provides supportive care (1966b, p. 2450).

The goal of nursing is to promote adaptation and maintain wholeness (1971b, p. 258).

**Person**

*Person* is described as a holistic being; wholeness is integrity (Levine, 1991). Integrity means that the person has freedom of choice and movement. The person has a sense of identity and self-worth. Levine also described person as a “system of systems, and in its wholeness expresses the organization of all the contributing parts” (pp. 8–9). Persons experience life as change through adaptation with the goal of conservation. According to Levine (1989), “The life process is the process of change” (p. 326).

**Health**

*Health* is socially determined by the ability to function in a reasonably normal manner (Levine, 1969b). Social groups predetermine health. Health is not just an absence of pathological conditions. Health is the return to self; individuals are free and able to pursue their own interests within the context of their own resources. Levine stressed the following:

> It is important to keep in mind that health is also culturally determined—it is not an entity on its own, but rather a definition imparted by the ethos and beliefs of the groups to which individuals belong

(M. Levine, personal communication, February 21, 1995).

Even for a single individual, the definition of health will change over time.

**Environment**

Environment is conceptualized as the context in which individuals live their lives. It is not a passive backdrop. “The individual actively participates in his environment” (Levine, 1973, p. 443). Levine discussed the importance of the internal and external environment to the determinant of nursing interventions to promote adaptation. “All adaptations represent the accommodation that is possible between the internal and external environment” (p. 12).

**Theoretical Assertions**

Although many theoretical assertions can be generated from Levine’s work, the four major assertions follow:

1. “Nursing intervention is based on the conservation of the individual patient’s energy” (Levine, 1967a, p. 49).
2. “Nursing intervention is based on the conservation of the individual patient’s structural integrity” (Levine, 1967a, p. 56).
3. “Nursing intervention is based on the conservation of the individual patient’s personal integrity” (Levine, 1967a, p. 56).
4. “Nursing intervention is based on the conservation of the individual patient’s social integrity” (Levine, 1967b, p. 179).

Levine (1991) provided some thoughts about two theories in their early stages of development. The theory of therapeutic intention is intended to provide the basis of nursing interventions that focus on biological realities of the patient. Although not planned as such, the theory naturally flows from the conservation principles. The theory of redundancy expands the redundancy domain of adaptation and offers explanations for redundant options such as those found in aging and the physiological adaptation of a failing heart.

**Logical Form**

Levine primarily uses deductive logic. In developing her model, Levine integrates theories and concepts from the humanities and the sciences of nursing, physiology, psychology, and sociology. She uses the information to analyze nursing practice situations and describe nursing skills and activities. With the assistance of many of her students and colleagues, and through her own personal health encounters, Levine has experienced the Conservation Model and its principles operating in practice.
Applications to the Nursing Community Practice

Levine helps define what nursing is by identifying the activities it encompasses and giving the scientific principles behind them. Conservation principles, levels of integration, and other concepts can be used in numerous contexts (Fawcett, 2000; Levine, 1990, 1991). Hirschfeld (1976) has used the principles of conservation in the care of the older adult. Savage and Culbert (1989) used the Conservation Model to establish a plan of care for infants. Dever (1991) based her care of children on the Conservation Model. Roberts, Fleming, and Yeates-Giese (1991) designed interventions for women in labor based on Levine's Conservation Model of nursing and found a significant inverse relationship between the consistency of the caregiver and the age at which the infant achieved health, and an inverse relationship between the use of resources by preterm infants during the initial hospital stay and the consistency of caregivers. Cooper (1990) developed a framework for wound care focusing on structural integrity while integrating all the integrities. Leach (2007) published a white paper on use of the Conservation Model to guide wound care practices. Webb (1993) used the Conservation Model to provide care for patients undergoing cancer treatment. Roberts, Brittin, and deClifford (1995) and Roberts, Brittin, Cook, and deClifford (1994) used the Conservation Model to study the boomerang pillow technique effect on respiratory capacity. Jost (2000) used the model to develop an assessment of the needs of staff during the experience of change.

Conservation principles have been used as a framework for numerous practice settings in cardiology, obstetrics, gerontology, acute care (neurology), pediatrics, long-term care, emergency care, primary care, neonatology, critical care, and in the homeless community (Savage & Culbert, 1989; Schaefer & Pond, 1991).

Education

Levine (1973) wrote Introduction to Clinical Nursing as a textbook for beginning students. It introduced new material into the curricula. She presented an early discussion of death and dying and believed that women should be awakened after a breast biopsy and consulted about the next step.

Introduction to Clinical Nursing provides an organizational structure for teaching medical-surgical nursing to beginning students (Levine, 1969a, 1973). In both the 1969 and 1973 editions, Levine presents a model at the end of each of the first nine chapters. Each model contains objectives, essential science concepts, and nursing process to give nurses a foundation for nursing activities. These models are not part of the Conservation Model. The Conservation Model is addressed in the Introduction and in Chapter 10 of the introductory text. The teachers’ manual that accompanies the text remains a timely source of educational principles that may be helpful to both beginning and seasoned teachers (Levine, 1971c).

Although the text is labeled introductory, beginning students would have benefited from a background in physical and social sciences to use it. An emphasis of scientific principles in the second edition bridged this gap. Evidence supporting the model has been integrated successfully into undergraduate and graduate curricula (Grindley & Paradowski, 1991; Schaefer, 1991a).

Research

Levine's Model has been successfully used to develop nursing knowledge (Schaefer & Pond, 1991). However, Fawcett (1995) states that to establish credibility, “more systematic evaluations of the use of the model in various clinical situations are needed, as are studies that test conceptual-theoretical-empirical structures directly derived from or linked with the conservation principles” (p. 208). Many research questions can be generated from Levine's model (Radwin & Fawcett, 2002; Schaefer, 1991b). Graduate students and clinical researchers have used the conservation principles as a framework to guide their research (Ballard, Robley, Barrett, et al., 2006; Cox, 1988; Gagner-Tjellesen, Yurkovich, & Gragert, 2001; Mefford, 2000; Mefford & Alligood, 2011a, 2011b; Moch, St. Ours, Hall, et al., 2007). Ballard and colleagues used the model to frame their phenomenological study of how participants reconstructed their lives with paraplegia. They found that structural integrity, along with all the other integrities, was used as a basis for defining their new lives.

One of the most important questions to be asked about the model is: What are the human experiences
not explained by the model? This question can provide guidance for continued testing of the model's application in nursing practice. For example, as health care providers use information from the human genome project, nurse researchers will want to test the ability of the model to explain comprehensive nursing care of the client undergoing genetic counseling. Based on the outcome of testing, hypotheses can be developed and tested to support the prescriptive basis of theories developed from the model.

**Further Development**

Levine and others have worked on using the conservation principles as the basis for a nursing diagnosis taxonomy (Stafford, 1996; Taylor, 1989). Additional work has been done on the use of Levine's model in administration and with the frail elderly. The model was used to develop and test the Theory of Health Promotion in Preterm Infants based on Levine's Conservation Model (Mefford, 2000; Mefford & Alligood, 2011a, 2011b) and has great potential for studies of sleep disorders and in the development of collaborative and primary care practices (Fawcett, 2000). The philosophical, ethical, and spiritual implications of the model are research challenges yet to be realized (Stafford, 1996).

**Critique**

**Clarity**

Levine's model possesses clarity. Fawcett (2000) states, “...Levine's Conservation Model provides nursing with a logically congruent, holistic view of the person” (p. 189). George (2002) affirms, “this theory directs nursing actions that lead to favorable outcomes” (p. 237). The model has numerous terms; however, Levine adequately defines them for clarity.

**Simplicity**

Although the four conservation principles appear simple initially, they contain subconcepts and multiple variables. Nevertheless, this model is still one of the simpler ones developed.

**Generality**

The four conservation principles can be used in all nursing contexts.

**Accessibility**

Levine used deductive logic to develop her model, which can be used to generate research questions. As she lived her Conservation Model, she verified the use of inductive reasoning to further develop and inform her model (M. Levine, personal communication, May 17, 1989).

**Importance**

The four conservation principles defined in Levine's model are recognized as one of the earliest nursing models used to organize and clarify elements of nursing practice. Furthermore, the model continues to demonstrate evidence of its utility for nursing practice and research and is receiving increased recognition in the twenty-first century.

**Summary**

Levine developed her Conservation Model to provide a framework within which to teach beginning nursing students. In the first chapter of her book, she introduces her assumptions about holism, and that the conservation principles support a holistic approach to patient care (Levine, 1969a, 1973). The model is logically congruent, is externally and internally consistent, has breadth as well as depth, and is understood, with few exceptions, by professionals and consumers of health care. Nurses using the Conservation Model can anticipate, explain, predict, and perform patient care. However, its ability to predict outcomes must be tested further. Levine (1990) said, “...everywhere that nursing is essential, the rules of the conservation and the integrity hold” (p. 195).

**CASE STUDY***

Yolanda is a 55-year-old married African-American mother of two adult children who has a history of breast cancer. She was diagnosed with fibromyalgia 2 years ago, following years of unexplained muscle aches and what she thought was arthritis. The diagnosis was a relief for her; she was able to read about it and learn how to care for herself. Over the past 2 months, Yolanda stopped taking all of her medicine, because she was seeing a new physician and wanted to start her care at ground zero. In addition to her family responsibilities, she
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is completing her degree as an English major. At the time of her appointment, she told the nurse practitioner that she was having the worst pain possible.

Using Levine's Conservation Model, the nurse practitioner completed a comprehensive assessment in preparation for developing a plan of care in consultation with the physician. Nursing care is organized according to the conservation principles, with consideration of how the individual adapts to the internal and external environments. Yolanda's diagnosis of fibromyalgia was based on the exclusion of other illnesses with a cluster of symptoms, including pain, fatigue, and sleeplessness (e.g., systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis). Laboratory and other diagnostic results all were within normal limits.

The *external environment* includes perceptual, operational, and conceptual factors. Perceptual factors are those that are perceived through the senses. Yolanda reported a history of unexplained fatigue and pain for years. She recently stopped her medications “to clean my body out.” However, she reported that the pain became unbearable and was making it difficult for her to sleep. She noted that when she sleeps at least 6 hours a night, her pain is less intense. With the current insomnia, her pain is very intense.

*Operational factors* are threats to the environment that the client cannot perceive through the senses. Yolanda reported severe pain in response to both the cold weather and changes in barometric pressure.

The *conceptual environment* includes cultural and personal values about health care, the meaning of health and illness, knowledge about health care, education, language use, and spiritual beliefs. In response to breast cancer, Yolanda developed her spirituality through prayer and reading the Bible. She believes that this is how she gets through the painful moments of her current illness.

*Conservation of energy* focuses on the balance of energy input and output to prevent excessive fatigue. Yolanda complains of a fatigue that just “comes over me.” She has difficulty doing housework. One day of work usually means one day in bed because of extreme fatigue. Her hemoglobin level and hematocrit are normal; her arterial blood gas results have always been within normal limits. Most diagnostic study values are within normal limits in patients with fibromyalgia, making treatment difficult.

*Conservation of structural integrity* involves maintaining the structure of the body to promote healing. Because there is no known cause of fibromyalgia, treatment focuses on reducing symptoms. Yolanda's symptoms could not be traced to any physical or structural alteration, yet she reports severe pain and fatigue. The nurse practitioner knows that it is important to acknowledge the reality of the symptoms and work with the client to determine if activities of daily living result in changes in the pattern of illness. In addition, Yolanda thinks she is going through menopause, and she is having trouble determining if her symptoms are caused by menopause or fibromyalgia.

With continued questioning, the nurse practitioner learns that Yolanda was diagnosed with irritable bowel syndrome several years earlier. She is not worried about constipation but is concerned about sudden diarrhea. She is afraid to go to school; she fears embarrassment because she might have an “accident.” Yolanda was taking several medications for her discomfort. One of them made her feel so “hung over” that she stopped taking it after 2 weeks. She was given amitriptyline (Elavil) for sleep. It was the only medicine that helped her get 6 hours of continuous sleep.

*Personal integrity* involves the maintenance of one's sense of personal worth and self-esteem. Yolanda reported that she lost control when she was diagnosed with breast cancer. A dear friend convinced her to go to church and encouraged her to use prayer. When feeling sorry for herself, she would go into her bedroom and read her Bible, cry by herself, and pray. She believes that prayer and Bible reading helped her heal. She continues to pray and read her Bible to gain the strength she needs to live with her illness. She also believes that she needs to be able to laugh at herself; humor helps her to feel better. She actively seeks health information, as indicated by her quest to learn about her new diagnosis of fibromyalgia. She is most upset about not being able to walk like she used to walk. One of her favorite pastimes
was shopping for shoes at the mall, which now is difficult for her.

Social integrity acknowledges that the patient is a social being. Yolanda is a married mother of three grown children. She keeps a lot of her feelings from her children but does share them with her husband. He is a major source of support for her. He takes her food shopping and makes sure that she gets to her appointments on time. She shared at the time of her visit that she wants to have a picnic for her birthday, but the only way she can do it is to ask her grandchildren to help her husband clean the yard.

Yolanda is a middle-aged woman with a history of severe pain, sleeplessness, and fatigue. Diagnostic studies have been unrevealing, with the exception of multiple tender points. The history of pain and positive tender points supported the diagnosis of fibromyalgia. She has stopped taking all medications and reports that she may be going through menopause. She reports severe pain and fatigue that make it difficult for her to sleep and to do normal housework. Her husband and grandchildren are available to help with chores at home, and she seeks the support of prayer and reading her Bible to ease her discomfort. She also finds that humor helps her to feel better.

The initial plan of care includes (1) validate the illness experience, (2) encourage continued use of prayer, Bible reading, and humor to help her feel better, (3) discuss medication therapy and what might help her achieve restful sleep, (4) refer her for blood work to assess hormone levels, and (5) assist her with determining the meaning of the symptoms (e.g., menopause or fibromyalgia). Yolanda indicated that when she was able to get 6 hours of uninterrupted sleep, her pain was less intense and she felt better. Finding both medication-induced and nonpharmaceutical approaches to improve sleep is a high priority.

The nurse practitioner will assess the outcome of Yolanda’s care based on the organismic responses. The following predicted responses suggest adaptation:

- Reports comfort as a result of prayer, Bible reading, and humor
- Distinguishes symptoms of menopause from symptoms of fibromyalgia
- Reports feeling rested after 6 hours of uninterrupted sleep
- Reports a perceived reduction in pain and fatigue
- Collaborates with health care providers to manage symptoms of menopause

*This case study is based on raw data from a completed study (Schaefer, 2005). Yolanda is a fictitious name used to protect the privacy and anonymity of the participant.

### CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Keep a reflective journal about a personal health or illness experience or that of someone very close. Reflect on its consistency with the Conservation Model—how to modify, expand, or delimit the model to provide a context for explanation.

2. Levine stated, “Health is culturally determined; it is not an entity on its own, but rather a definition imparted by the ethos and beliefs of groups to which the individual belongs” (M. Levine, personal communication, February, 21, 1995).

3. Visit a museum, and evaluate how artistic expression captures the beliefs of different ethnic groups. Then explore how these beliefs shape definitions of health and illness. On the basis of an ethnically derived definition of health, propose ethnically appropriate interventions using Levine’s conservation principles.

4. Watch one of the following movies: *City of Joy, Soul Food, The Secret Garden, Courageous,* or *The Descendants.* Use examples from the movie to support or refute Levine’s propositional statements.

5. Apply the Conservation Model to a pathography such as *Love and Other Infectious Diseases* by Molly Haskell, and explain life with illness.

6. Identify what may be missing in simulation experiences of nursing practice from the perspective of this nursing model. Suggest how you might develop your style of nursing practice to encompass the total patient experience.
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Unitary Human Beings
Mary E. Gunther

“Professional practice in nursing seeks to promote symphonic interaction between man and environment, to strengthen the coherence and integrity of the human field, and to direct and redirect patterning of the human and environmental fields for realization of maximum health potential”
(Rogers, 1970, p. 122).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Martha Elizabeth Rogers, the eldest of four children of Bruce Taylor Rogers and Lucy Mulholland Keener Rogers, was born May 12, 1914, in Dallas, Texas. Soon after her birth, her family returned to Knoxville, Tennessee. She began her college education (1931 to 1933) studying science at the University of Tennessee. Receiving her nursing diploma from Knoxville General Hospital School of Nursing (1936), she quickly obtained a BS degree from George Peabody College in Nashville, Tennessee (1937). Her other degrees included an MA degree in public health nursing supervision from Teachers College, Columbia University, New York (1945), and an MPH (1952) and an ScD (1954) from Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore.

Rogers’ early nursing practice was in rural public health nursing in Michigan and in visiting nurse supervision, education, and practice in Connecticut. Rogers subsequently established the Visiting Nurse Service of Phoenix, Arizona. For 21 years (from 1954 to 1975), she was professor and head of the Division of Nursing at New York University. After 1975, she continued her duties as professor until she became
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humorous, blunt, and ethical. Rogers remains a widely recognized scholar honored for her contributions and leadership in nursing. Butcher (1999) noted, “Rogers, like Nightingale, was extremely independent, a determined, perfectionist individual who trusted her vision despite skepticism” (p. 114). Colleagues consider her one of the most original thinkers in nursing as she synthesized and resynthesized knowledge into “an entirely new system of thought” (Butcher, 1999, p. 111). Today she is thought of as “ahead of her time, in and out of this world” (Ireland, 2000, p. 59).

Theoretical Sources

Rogers’ grounding in the liberal arts and sciences is apparent in both the origin and the development of her conceptual model, published in 1970 as An Introduction to the Theoretical Basis of Nursing (Rogers, 1970). Aware of the interrelatedness of knowledge, Rogers credited scientists from multiple disciplines with influencing the development of the Science of Unitary Human Beings. Rogerian science emerged from the knowledge bases of anthropology, psychology, sociology, astronomy, religion, philosophy, history, biology, physics, mathematics, and literature to create a model of unitary human beings and the environment as energy fields integral to the life process. Within nursing, the origins of Rogerian science can be traced to Nightingale’s proposals and statistical data, placing the human being within the framework of the natural world. This “foundation for the scope of modern nursing” began nursing’s investigation of the relationship between human beings and the environment (Rogers, 1970, p. 30). Newman (1997) describes the Science of Unitary Human Beings as “the study of the moving, intuitive experience of nurses in mutual process with those they serve” (p. 9).

MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

In 1970, Rogers’ conceptual model of nursing rested on a set of basic assumptions that described the life process in human beings. Wholeness, openness, unidirectionality, pattern and organization, sentience, and thought characterized the life process (Rogers, 1970). Rogers postulates that human beings are dynamic energy fields that are integral with environmental fields. Both human and environmental fields are identified by pattern and characterized by a universe of open systems. In her 1983 paradigm, Rogers postulated four building blocks for her model: energy...
Use of Empirical Evidence

Being an abstract conceptual system, the Science of Unitary Human Beings does not directly identify testable empirical indicators. Rather, it specifies a worldview and philosophy used to identify the phenomena of concern to the discipline of nursing. As was mentioned previously, Rogers’ model emerged from multiple knowledge sources; the most readily apparent of these are the nonlinear dynamics of quantum physics and general system theory.

Evident in her model are the influence of Einstein’s (1961) theory of relativity in relation to space-time and Burr and Northrop’s (1935) electrodynamic theory relating to electrical fields. By the time von Bertalanffy (1960) introduced the general system theory, theories regarding a universe of open systems
were beginning to affect the development of knowledge within all disciplines. With the general system theory, the term *negentropy* was brought into use to signify increasing order, complexity, and heterogeneity in direct contrast to the previously held belief that the universe was winding down. Rogers, however, refined and purified the general system theory by denying hierarchical subsystems, the concept of single causation, and the predictability of a system’s behavior through investigations of its parts.

Introducing quantum theory and the theories of relativity and of probability fundamentally challenged the prevailing absolutism. As new knowledge escalated, the traditional meanings of *homeostasis, steady state, adaptation, and equilibrium* were questioned seriously. The closed-system, entropic model of the universe was no longer adequate to explain phenomena, and evidence accumulated in support of a universe of open systems (Rogers, 1994b). Continuing development within other disciplines of the acausal, nonlinear dynamics of life validated Rogers’ model. Most notable of this development is that of chaos theory, quantum physics’ contribution to the science of complexity (or wholeness), which blurs the boundaries between the disciplines, allowing exploration and deepening of the understanding of the totality of human experience.

**Major Assumptions**

**Nursing**

Nursing is a learned profession and is both a science and an art. It is an empirical science and, like other sciences, it lies in the phenomenon central to its focus. Rogerian nursing focuses on concern with people and the world in which they live—a natural fit for nursing care, as it encompasses people and their environments. The integrality of people and their environments, operating from a pandimensional universe of open systems, points to a new paradigm and initiates the identity of nursing as a science. The purpose of nursing is to promote health and well-being for all persons. The art of nursing is the creative use of the science of nursing for human betterment (Rogers, 1994b). “Professional practice in nursing seeks to promote symphonic interaction between human and environmental fields, to strengthen the integrity of the human field, and to direct and redirect patterning of the human and environmental fields for realization of maximum health potential” (Rogers, 1970, p. 122). Nursing exists for the care of people and the life process of humans.

**Person**

Rogers defines *person* as an open system in continuous process with the open system that is the environment (integrality). She defines *unitary human being* as an “irreducible, indivisible, pandimensional energy field identified by pattern and manifesting characteristics that are specific to the whole” (Rogers, 1992, p. 29). Human beings “are not disembodied entities, nor are they mechanical aggregates… Man is a unified whole possessing his own integrity and manifesting characteristics that are more than and different from the sum of his parts” (Rogers, 1970, pp. 46–47). Within a conceptual model specific to nursing’s concern, people and their environment are perceived as irreducible energy fields integral with one another and continuously creative in their evolution.

**Health**

Rogers uses the term *health* in many of her earlier writings without clearly defining the term. She uses the term *passive health* to symbolize wellness and the absence of disease and major illness (Rogers, 1970). Her promotion of positive health connotes direction in helping people with opportunities for rhythmic consistency (Rogers, 1970). Later, she wrote that wellness “is a much better term… because the term *health* is very ambiguous” (Rogers, 1994b, p. 34).

Rogers uses *health* as a value term defined by the culture or the individual. Health and illness are manifestations of pattern and are considered “to denote behaviors that are of high value and low value” (Rogers, 1980). Events manifested in the life process indicate the extent to which a human being achieves maximum health according to some value system. In Rogerian science, the phenomenon central to nursing’s conceptual system is the human life process. The life process has its own dynamic and creative unity that is inseparable from the environment and is characterized by the whole (Rogers, 1970). Using this definition as a foundation for their research, Gueldner, and colleagues (2005) postulate that a human being’s sense of well-being (wellness) manifests itself by higher frequency and increasing pattern diversity.

In “Dimensions of Health: A View from Space,” Rogers (1986b) reaffirms the original theoretical assertions, adding philosophical challenges to the prevailing
perception of health. Stressing a new worldview that focuses on people and their environment, she lists iatrogenesis, nosocomial conditions, and hypochondriasis as the major health problems in the United States. Rogers (1986b) writes, “A new worldview compatible with the most progressive knowledge available is a necessary prelude to studying human health and to determining modalities for its promotion whether on this planet or in the outer reaches of space” (p. 2).

**Environment**

Rogers (1994a) defines environment as “an irreducible, pandimensional energy field identified by pattern and manifesting characteristics different from those of the parts. Each environmental field is specific to its given human field. Both change continuously and creatively” (p. 3). Environmental fields are infinite, and change is continuously innovative, unpredictable, and characterized by increasing diversity. Environmental and human fields are identified by wave patterns manifesting continuous mutual change.

**Theoretical Assertions**

The principles of homeodynamics postulate a way of perceiving unitary human beings. The evolution of these principles from 1970 to 1994 is depicted in Table 13–1. Rogers (1970) wrote, “The life process is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resonancy</strong></td>
<td>Continuous change from lower- to higher-frequency wave patterns in the human and environmental fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helicy</strong></td>
<td>Continuous, innovative, growing out of mutual interaction of man and environment along a spiraling longitudinal axis bound in space-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reciprocity</strong></td>
<td>Continuous mutual interaction between the human and environmental fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synchrony</strong></td>
<td>Change in the human field and simultaneous state of environmental field at any given point in space-time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conceptualized by J. S. Daily; revised by D. Schnell & T. Wallace; updated by C. Murray.
homeodynamic...these principles postulate the way the life process is and predict the nature of its evolving” (p. 96). Rogers identified the principles of change as helicy, resonancy, and integrality. The helicy principle describes spiral development in continuous, nonrepeating, and innovative patterning. Rogers’ articulation of the principle of helicy describing the nature of change evolved from probabilistic to unpredictable, while remaining continuous and innovative. According to the principle of resonancy, patterning changes with the development from lower to higher frequency, that is, with varying degrees of intensity. Resonancy embodies wave frequency and energy field pattern evolution. Integrality, the third principle of homeodynamics, stresses the continuous mutual process of person and environment. The principles of homeodynamics (nature, process, and context of change) support and exemplify the assertion that “the universe is energy that is always becoming more diverse through changing, continuous wave frequencies” (Phillips, 2010, p. 57). In addition, Todaro-Franceschi (2008) reminds us that this changing nature is intrinsic to, not outside of, fields.

In 1970, Rogers identified the following five assumptions that are also theoretical assertions supporting her model derived from literature on human beings, physics, mathematics, and behavioral science:

1. “Man is a unified whole possessing his own integrity and manifesting characteristics more than and different from the sum of his parts” (energy field) (p. 47).
2. “Man and environment are continuously exchanging matter and energy with one another” (openness) (p. 54).
3. “The life process evolves irreversibly and unidirectionally along the space-time continuum” (helicy) (p. 59).
4. “Pattern and organization identify man and reflect his innovative wholeness” (pattern and organization) (p. 65).
5. “Man is characterized by the capacity for abstraction and imagery, language and thought, sensation, and emotion” (sentient, thinking being) (p. 73).

**Logical Form**

Rogers uses a dialectic method as opposed to a logistical, problematic, or operational method, that is, Rogers explains nursing by referring to broader principles that explain human beings. She explains human beings through principles that characterize the universe, based on the perspective of a whole that organizes the parts.

Rogers’ model of unitary human beings is deductive and logical. The theory of relativity, the general system theory, the electrodynamic theory of life, and many other theories contributed ideas for Rogers’ model. Unitary human beings and environment, the central components of the model, are integral with one another. The basic building blocks of her model are energy field, openness, pattern, and pandimensionality providing a new worldview. These concepts form the basis of an abstract conceptual system defining nursing and health. From the abstract conceptual system, Rogers derived the principles of homeodynamics, which postulate the nature and direction of human beings’ evolution. Although Rogers invented the words homeodynamics (similar state of change and growth), helicy (evolution), resonancy (intensity of change), and integrality (wholeness), all definitions are etymologically consistent and logical.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

The Rogerian model is an abstract system of ideas from which to approach the practice of nursing. Rogers’ model, stressing the totality of experience and existence, is relevant in today’s health care system, where a continuum of care is more important than episodic illness and hospitalization. This model provides the abstract philosophical framework from which to view the unitary human-environmental field phenomenon. Within the Rogerian framework, nursing is based on theoretical knowledge that guides nursing practice. The professional practice of nursing is creative and imaginative and exists to serve people. It is rooted in intellectual judgment, abstract knowledge, and human compassion.

Historically, nursing has equated practice with the practical and theory with the impractical. More appropriately, theory and practice are two related components in a unified nursing practice. Alligood (1994) articulates how theory and practice direct and guide each other as they expand and increase unitary nursing knowledge. Nursing knowledge provides the
framework for the emergent artistic application of nursing care (Rogers, 1970).

Within Rogers’ model, the critical thinking process directing practice can be divided into three components: pattern appraisal, mutual patterning, and evaluation. Cowling (2000) states that pattern appraisal is meant to avoid, if not transcend, reductionistic categories of physical, mental, spiritual, emotional, cultural, and social assessment frameworks. Through observation and participation, the nurse focuses on human expressions of reflection, experience, and perception to form a profile of the patient. Mutual exploration of emergent patterns allows identification of unitary themes predominant in the pandimensional human-environmental field process. Mutual understanding implies knowing participation but does not lead to the nurse’s prescribing change or predicting outcomes. As Cowling (2000) explains, “A critical feature of the unitary pattern appreciation process, and also of healing through appreciating wholeness, is a willingness on the part of the scientist or practitioner to let go of expectations about change” (p. 31). Evaluation centers on the perceptions emerging during mutual patterning.

Noninvasive patterning modalities used within Rogerian practice include, but are not limited to, acupuncture, aromatherapy, touch and massage, guided imagery, meditation, self-reflection, guided reminiscence, humor, hypnosis, dietary manipulation, transcendent presence, and music (Alligood, 1991a; Jonas-Simpson, 2010; Larkin, 2007; Levin, 2006; Lewandowski, et al., 2005; Malinski & Todaro-Franceschi, 2011; Siedliecki & Good, 2006; Smith, Kemp, Hemphill, & Vojir, 2002; Smith & Kyle, 2008; Walling, 2006; Yarcheski, Mahon, & Yarcheski, 2002). Barrett (1998) notes that integral to these modalities are “meaningful dialogue, centering, and pandimensional authenticity (genuineness, trustworthiness, acceptance, and knowledgeable caring)” (p. 138). Nurses participate in the lived experience of health in a multitude of roles, including “facilitators and educators, advocates, assessors, planners, coordinators, and collaborators,” by accepting diversity, recognizing patterns, viewing change as positive, and accepting the connectedness of life (Malinski, 1986, p. 27) These roles may require the nurse to “let go of traditional ideas of time, space, and outcome” (Malinski, 1997, p. 115).

The Rogerian model provides a challenging and innovative framework from which to plan and implement nursing practice, which Barrett (1998) defines as the “continuous process (of voluntary mutual patterning) whereby the nurse assists clients to freely choose with awareness ways to participate in their well-being” (p. 136).

Education

Rogers clearly articulated guidelines for the education of nurses within the Science of Unitary Human Beings. Rogers discusses structuring nursing education programs to teach nursing as a science and as a learned profession. Barrett (1990b) calls Rogers a “consistent voice crying out against antieducationalism and dependency” (p. 306). Rogers’ model clearly articulates values and beliefs about human beings, health, nursing, and the educational process. As such, it has been used to guide curriculum development in all levels of nursing education (Barrett, 1990b; DeSimone, 2006; Hellwig & Ferrante, 1993; Mathwig, Young, & Pepper, 1990). Rogers (1990) stated that nurses must commit to lifelong learning and noted, “The nature of the practice of nursing (is) the use of knowledge for human betterment” (p. 111).

Rogers advocated separate licensure for nurses prepared with an associate’s degree and those with a baccalaureate degree, recognizing that there is a difference between the technically oriented and the professional nurse. In her view, the professional nurse must be well rounded and educated in the humanities, sciences, and nursing. Such a program would include a basic education in language, mathematics, logic, philosophy, psychology, sociology, music, art, biology, microbiology, physics, and chemistry; elective courses could include economics, ethics, political science, anthropology, and computer science (Barrett, 1990b). With regard to the research component of the curriculum, Rogers (1994b) stated the following:

Undergraduate students need to be able to identify problems, to have tools of investigation and to do studies that will allow them to use knowledge for the improvement of practice, and they should be able to read the literature intelligently. People with master's degrees ought to be able to do applied research. . . . The theoretical research, the fundamental basic research is going to come out of
Barrett (1990b) notes that with increasing use of technology and increasing severity of illness of hospitalized patients, students may be limited to observational experiences in these institutions. Therefore, the acquisition of manipulative technical skills must be accomplished in practice laboratories and at alternative sites, such as clinics and home health agencies. Other sites for education include health promotion programs, managed care programs, homeless shelters, and senior centers.

Research

Rogers’ conceptual model provides a stimulus and direction for research and theory development in nursing science. Fawcett (2000), who insists that the level of abstraction affects direct empirical observation and testing, endorses the designation of the Science of Unitary Human Beings as a conceptual model rather than a grand theory. She states clearly that the purpose of the work determines its category. Conceptual models “identify the purpose and scope of nursing and provide frameworks for objective records of the effects of nursing” (Fawcett, 2005, p. 18).

Emerging from Rogers’ model are theories that explain human phenomena and direct nursing practice. The Rogerian model, with its implicit assumptions, provides broad principles that conceptually direct theory development. The conceptual model provides a stimulus and direction for scientific activity. Relationships among identified phenomena generate both grand (further development of one aspect of the model) and middle-range (description, explanation, or prediction of concrete aspects) theories (Fawcett, 1995).

Two prominent grand nursing theories grounded in Rogers’ model are Newman’s health as expanding consciousness and Parse’s human becoming (Fawcett, 2005). Numerous middle-range theories have emerged from Rogers’ three homeodynamic principles as follows: (1) helicy, (2) resonancy, and (3) integrality (Figure 13–1). Exemplars of middle-range theories derived from homeodynamic principles include power-as-knowing-participation-in-change (helicy) (Barrett, 2010), the theory of perceived dissonance (resonancy) (Bultemeier, 2002), and the theory of interactive rhythms (integrality) (Floyd, 1983). In her overview of Rogerian science–based theories, Malinski (2009) identifies work within specific concepts: (1) self-transcendence (Reed, 2003), enlightenment (Hills & Hanchett, 2001), and spirituality (Malinski, 1994; Smith, 1994); (2) turbulence (Butcher, 1993) and dissonance (Bultemeier, 2002); (3) aging (Alligood & McGuire, 2000; Butcher, 2003); (4) intentionality (Ugarizza, 2002; Zahourek, 2005); and (5) unitary caring (Watson & Smith, 2002). Other middle-range theories encompass the phenomena of human field motion (Ference, 1986), as well as creativity, actualization, and empathy (Alligood, 1991b).

Rogers (1986a) maintains that research in nursing must examine unitary human beings as integral with their environment. Therefore, the intent of nursing research is to examine and understand a phenomenon and, from this understanding, design patterning activities that promote healing. To obtain a clearer understanding of lived experiences, the person’s perception and sentient awareness of what is occurring are imperative. The variety of events associated with human phenomena provides the experiential data for research that is directed toward capturing the dynamic, ever-changing life experiences of human beings. Selecting the correct method for examining the person and the environment as health-related phenomena is the challenge of the Rogerian researcher. Both quantitative and qualitative approaches have been used in the Science of Unitary Human Beings research, although not all researchers agree that both are appropriate.
Researchers do agree that ontological and epistemological congruence between the model and the approach must be considered and reflected by the research question (Barrett, Cowling, Carboni, & Butcher, 1997). Quantitative experimental and quasi-experimental designs are not appropriate, because their purpose is to reveal causal relationships. Descriptive, explanatory, and correlational designs are more appropriate, because they acknowledge diversity, universality, and patterned change.

Specific research methods emerging from middle-range theories based on the Rogerian model capture the human-environmental phenomena. As a means of capturing the unitary human being, Cowling (1998) describes the process of pattern appreciation using the combined research and practice case study method. Case study attends to the whole person (irreducibility), aims at comprehending the essence (pattern), and respects the inherent interconnectedness of phenomena. A pattern profile is composed through a synopsis and synthesis of the data (Barrett, Cowling, Carboni, et al., 1997). Other innovative methods of recording and entering the human-environmental field phenomenon include photo-disclosure (Bultemeier, 1997), descriptive phenomenology (Willis & Griffith, 2010), hermeneutic text interpretation (Alligood & Fawcett, 1999), and measurement of the effect of dialogue combined with noninvasive modalities (Leddy & Fawcett, 1997).

Rogerian instrument development is extensive and ever-evolving. A wide range of instruments for measuring human-environmental field phenomena have emerged (Table 13–2). The continual emergence of middle-range theories, research approaches, and instruments demonstrates recognition of the importance of Rogerian science to nursing.

**TABLE 13–2  Research Instruments and Practice Tools Derived From the Science of Unitary Human Beings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Field Motion Test (HFMT) (Ference, 1986;</td>
<td>Measures human field motion by means of semantic differential ratings of the concepts My Motor Is Running and My Field Expansion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Field Motion Scale (PFM) (Yarcheski &amp; Mahon, 1991)</td>
<td>Measures the perceived experience of motion by means of semantic differential ratings of the concept My Field Motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Field Rhythms (HFR) (Yarcheski &amp; Mahon, 1991)</td>
<td>Measures the frequency of rhythms in the human-environmental energy field mutual process by means of a one-item visual analogue scale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power as Knowing Participation in Change Tool (PKPCT) (Barrett, 1990a, 2010)</td>
<td>Measures the person’s capacity to participate knowingly in change by means of semantic differential ratings of the concepts Awareness, Choices, Freedom to Act Intentionally, and Involvement in Creating Changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity of Human Field Pattern Scale (DHFPS) (Hastings-Tolsma, 1993)</td>
<td>Measures diversity of human field pattern, or degree of change in the evolution of human potential throughout the life process, by means of Likert scale ratings of 16 items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Field Image Metaphor Scale (HFIMS) (Johnston, 1993, 1994)</td>
<td>Measures the individual’s awareness of the infinite wholeness of the human field by means of Likert scale ratings of 14 metaphors that represent perceived potential and 11 metaphors that represent perceived field integrality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Experience Scale (TES) (Paletta, 1990)</td>
<td>Measures subjective experience of temporal awareness by means of Likert scale ratings of 24 metaphors representing the factors of time dragging, time racing, and timelessness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of Dream Experience (ADE) (Watson, 1999)</td>
<td>Measures dreaming as a beyond waking experience by means of Likert scale ratings of the extent to which 20 items describe what the individual’s dreams have been like during the past 2 weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 13-2 Research Instruments and Practice Tools Derived From the Science of Unitary Human Beings—cont’d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Person-Environment Participation Scale (PEPS)</strong> <em>(Leddy, 1995, 1999)</em></td>
<td>Measures the person’s experience of continuous human-environment mutual process by means of semantic differential ratings of 15 bipolar adjectives representing the content areas of comfort, influence, continuity, ease, and energy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leddy Heartiness Scale (LHS)</strong> <em>(Leddy, 1996)</em></td>
<td>Measures the person’s perceived purpose and power to achieve goals by means of Likert scale ratings of 26 items representing meaningfulness, ends, choice, challenge, confidence, control, capability to function, and connections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>McCanse Readiness for Death Instrument (MRDI)</strong> <em>(McCanse, 1995)</em></td>
<td>Measures physiological, psychological, sociological, and spiritual aspects of healthy field pattern, as death is developmentally approached by means of a 26-item structured interview questionnaire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mutual Exploration of the Healing Human-Environmental Field Relationship</strong> <em>(Carboni, 1992)</em></td>
<td>Measures nurses’ and clients’ experiences and expressions of changing configurations of energy field patterns of the healing human-environmental field relationship using semi-structured and open-ended items. Forms for a nurse and a single client and for a nurse and two or more clients are available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Practice Tool and Citation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nursing Process Format</strong> <em>(Falco &amp; Lobo, 1995)</em></td>
<td>Guides use of a Rogerian nursing process, including nursing assessment, nursing diagnosis, nursing planning for implementation, and nursing evaluation, according to the homeodynamic principles of integrality, resonancy, and helicy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment Tool</strong> <em>(Smith et al., 1991)</em></td>
<td>Guides use of a Rogerian nursing process, including assessment, diagnosis, implementation, and evaluation, according to the homeodynamic principles of complementarity (i.e., integrality), resonancy, and helicy, for patients hospitalized in a critical care unit and their family members, using open-ended questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Thinking for Pattern Appraisal, Mutual Patterning, and Evaluation Tool</strong> <em>(Bultemeier, 2002)</em></td>
<td>Provides guidance for the nurse’s application of pattern appraisal, mutual patterning, and evaluation, as well as areas for the client’s self-reflection, patterning activities, and personal appraisal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nursing Assessment of Patterns Indicative of Health</strong> <em>(Madrid &amp; Winstead-Fry, 1986)</em></td>
<td>Guides assessment of patterns, including relative present, communication, sense of rhythm, connection to environment, personal myth, and system integrity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment Tool for Postpartum Mothers</strong> <em>(Tettero et al., 1993)</em></td>
<td>Guides assessment of mothers experiencing the challenges of their first child during the postpartum period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment Criteria for Nursing Evaluation of the Older Adult</strong> <em>(Decker, 1989)</em></td>
<td>Guides assessment of the functional status of older adults living in their own homes, including demographic data, client prioritization of problems, sequential patterning (e.g., family of origin culture, past illnesses), rhythmical patterning (e.g., health care usage, medication usage, social contacts, acute illnesses), and cross-sectional patterning (e.g., current living arrangements and health concerns, cognitive and emotional status).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Holistic Assessment of the Chronic Pain Client</strong> <em>(Garon, 1991)</em></td>
<td>Guides holistic assessment of clients living in their own homes and experiencing chronic pain, including the environmental field, the community, and all systems in contact with the client; the home environment; client needs and expectations; client and family strengths; the client’s pain experience—location, intensity, cause, meaning, effects on activities, life, and relationships, relief measures, and goals; and client and family feelings about illness and pain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continued*
Further Development

Rogers (1986a) believed that knowledge development within her model was a “never-ending process” using “a multiplicity of knowledge from many sources . . . to create a kaleidoscope of possibilities” (p. 4). Explorations by Rogerian scholars into transcendence and universality exemplify this belief in a unifying wholeness (Phillips, 2010).

Fawcett (2005) identified the following three rudimentary theories developed by Rogers from the Science of Unitary Human Beings:

1. Theory of accelerating evolution
2. Theory of rhythmical correlates of change
3. Theory of paranormal phenomena

Continued explication and testing of these theories and the homeodynamic principles by nurse researchers contributes to nursing science knowledge.

Critique

Clarity

There were early criticisms of the model with comments such as difficult-to-understand principles, lack of operational definitions, and inadequate tools for measurement (Butterfield, 1983). However, the model has passed the test of time for the development of nursing science as nursing matured as a science. Rogers’ ideas continue to demonstrate clarity for nursing research with human beings of all ages (Terwilliger, Gueldner, & Bronstein, 2012).

Simplicity

Ongoing studies and work within the model have served to simplify and clarify some of the concepts and relationships. However, when the model is examined in total perspective, some still classify it as complex. With its continued use in practice, research, and education, nurses will come to appreciate the model’s elegant simplicity. As Whall (1987) noted, “With only three principles, a few major concepts, and five assumptions, Rogers has explained the nature of man and the life process” (p. 154).

Generality

Rogers’ conceptual model is abstract and therefore generalizable and powerful. It is broad in scope, providing
a framework for the development of nursing knowledge through the generation of grand and middle-range theories.

**Accessibility**

Drawing on knowledge from a multitude of scientific fields, Rogers’ conceptual model is deductive in logic with an inherent lack of immediate empirical support (Barrett, 1990b). As Fawcett (1995) points out, failure to properly categorize the work as a conceptual model rather than as a theory leads to “considerable misunderstandings and inappropriate expectations” (p. 29), which can result in the work being labeled inadequate.

As noted earlier, the development of the model by Rogerian scientists has resulted in the generation of testable theories accompanied by tools of measurement.

**Importance**

Rogers’ science has the fundamental intent of understanding human evolution and its potential for human betterment. The science “coordinates a universe of open systems to identify the focus of a new paradigm and initiate nursing’s identity as a science” (Rogers, 1989, p. 182).

Although all the metaparadigm concepts are explored, the emphasis is on the integrality of human-environmental field phenomena. Rogers suggested many ideas for future studies; on the basis of this and the research of others, it can be said that the conceptual model is useful. Such utility has been proven in the arenas of practice, education, administration, and research.

**Summary**

The Rogerian model emerged from a broad historical base and has moved to the forefront as scientific knowledge has evolved. Understanding the concepts and principles of the Science of Unitary Human Beings requires a foundation in general education, a willingness to let go of the traditional, and an ability to perceive the world in a new and creative way. Emerging from a strong educational base, this model provides a challenging framework from which to provide nursing care. The abstract ideas expounded in the Rogerian model and their congruence with modern scientific knowledge spur new and challenging theories that further the understanding of the unitary human being.

### CASE STUDY

Charlie Dee is a 56-year-old male client with a 30-year history of smoking two packs of cigarettes per day. He is seeing nurse practitioner Sandra Gee for the first time after being diagnosed with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Pattern appraisal begins with eliciting the client’s description of his experience with this disease, his perceptions of his health, and how the disease is expressed (symptoms). Mr. Dee states that he has a productive cough that is worse in the morning, gets short of breath whenever he is physically active, and always feels tired. Through specific questions, the nurse practitioner discovers that Mr. Dee has experienced a change in his sleep patterns and nutritional intake. He is sleeping for shorter periods and eating less. She also learns that Mr. Dee’s wife smokes, and that they have indoor cats for pets. He does not think that his wife will be amenable to changing her habits or getting rid of the cats. During this appraisal, the nurse seeks to discover what is important to Mr. Dee and how he defines healthy.

Mutual patterning involves sharing knowledge and offering choices. Upon completion of the appraisal, the nurse summarizes what she has been told and how she understands it. In this way, the nurse and the client can reach consensus about what activities would be acceptable to Mr. Dee. Ms. Gee provides information about the disease and suggestions that will increase his comfort. Non-invasive interventions include breathing retraining, recommendations for a high-protein high-calorie diet, eating smaller meals more frequently, sleeping with the head elevated, and using progressive relaxation exercises at bedtime. The nurse recommends that the Dees buy a HEPA filter and humidifier to assist in removing environmental pollutants and maintaining proper humidity in the home.

Because Mr. Gee has expressed a desire to quit smoking, the nurse suggests that he use forms of centering, such as guided imagery and meditation, to supplement the nicotine patches prescribed by his physician. She also provides him with written material about the disease that he can share with his wife. At the end of the visit, Mr. Dee states that he feels better knowing that he has the power to change some things about his life.
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Review two research articles that use Rogerian science as the framework to guide the research process. Identify the middle-range theory that was developed or guided the research process.

2. What is the nature of the evidence generated by research of the middle-range theory in critical thinking activity number 1?

3. What philosophical tenets from Nightingale contributed to the basis for development of the Rogerian model?

4. Locate three publications and discuss how the authors used Rogerian science in nursing education.

5. Analyze your clinical practice, and identify areas in which practice based on Rogerian science would improve nursing care. Enumerate the changes and the anticipated positive outcomes.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

Publications

- *Visions: The Journal of Rogerian Nursing Science*

Websites

- American Nurses Association Hall of Fame Inductee Page at: [http://nursingworld.org/Functional/MenuCategoryAboutANA](http://nursingworld.org/Functional/MenuCategoryAboutANA)
- Foundation of New York State Nurses at: [http://foundationnynurses.org/giftshop/Rogers.php](http://foundationnynurses.org/giftshop/Rogers.php)
- New York University, Martha E. Rogers Center at: [http://www.foundationnynurses.org/media/courier/Fall2007-MERogers.pdf](http://www.foundationnynurses.org/media/courier/Fall2007-MERogers.pdf)
- Rogerian Nursing Science Wiki at: [http://rogeriannursingscience.wikispaces.com/](http://rogeriannursingscience.wikispaces.com/). Created by H. K. Butcher, RN, PhD, University of Iowa College of Nursing. The intended purpose is twofold: 1) to bring together a collaborative participative community to co-create a definitive comprehensive explication of the SUHB; 2) to create an online resource that anyone can access to learn how Rogerian nursing science serves as a foundation for nursing research, practice, education, and administration.
- SRS Newsletter at: [http://www.societyofrogerianscholars.org/vol_1_2.html](http://www.societyofrogerianscholars.org/vol_1_2.html)
- YouTube playlist created by Dr. Elizabeth Barrett at: [http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL617DD4F13F4EAE6](http://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL617DD4F13F4EAE6)

REFERENCES


Rogers, M. E. (1994a). Nursing science evolves. In M. Madrid & E. A. M. Barrett (Eds.), *Rogers’ scientific art of nursing
practice (pp. 3–9). New York: National League for Nursing.


**Journal Articles**


**Audiotapes**


**Videotapes**


**Dissertation**


**Secondary Sources**

**Books**


**Book Chapters**


**Journal Articles**


**Doctoral Dissertations**


Self-Care Deficit Theory of Nursing

Violeta A. Berbiglia and Barbara Banfield

“Nursing is practical endeavor, but it is practical endeavor engaged in by persons who have specialized theoretic nursing knowledge with developed capabilities to put this knowledge to work in concrete situations of nursing practice”

(Orem, 2001, p. 161).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Dorothea Elizabeth Orem, one of America’s foremost nursing theorists, was born in Baltimore, Maryland, in 1914. She began her nursing career at Providence Hospital School of Nursing in Washington, DC, where she received a diploma of nursing in the early 1930s. Orem received a BS in Nursing Education from Catholic University of America (CUA) in 1939, and she received an MS in Nursing Education from the same university in 1946.

Orem’s early nursing experiences included operating room nursing, private duty nursing (home and hospital), hospital staff nursing on pediatric and adult medical and surgical units, evening supervisor in the emergency room, and biological science teaching. Orem held the directorship of both the nursing school and the Department of Nursing at Providence Hospital, Detroit, from 1940 to 1949. After leaving Detroit, she spent 8 years (1949 to 1957) in Indiana working at the Division of Hospital and Institutional Services of the Indiana State Board of Health. Her goal was to upgrade the quality of nursing in general hospitals.
At age 92, Dorothea Orem’s life ended after a period of being bedridden. She died Friday, June 22, 2007, at her residence on Skidaway Island, Georgia. Survivors were her lifelong friend, Walene Shields of Savannah, and her cousin Martin Conover of Minneapolis, Minnesota. Tributes by Orem’s close colleagues were featured in the IOS official journal, *Self-Care, Dependent-Care & Nursing (SCDCN)*.

Orem’s many papers and presentations provide insight into her views on nursing practice, nursing education, and nursing science. Some of these papers are now available to nursing scholars in a compilation edited by Renpenning and Taylor (2003). Other papers of Orem and scholars who worked with her in the development of the theory can be found in the Orem Archives at The Alan Mason Chesney Medical Archives of the Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions.

**Theoretical Sources**

Orem (2001) stated, “Nursing belongs to the family of health services that are organized to provide direct care to persons who have legitimate needs for different forms of direct care because of their health states or the nature of their health care requirements” (p. 3). Like other direct health services, nursing has social features and interpersonal features that characterize the helping relations between those who need care and those who provide the required care. What distinguishes these health services from one another is the helping service that each provides. Orem’s SCDNT provides a conceptualization of the distinct helping service that nursing provides.

Early on, Orem recognized that if nursing was to advance as a field of knowledge and as a field of practice, a structured, organized body of nursing knowledge was needed. From the mid-1950s, when she first put forth a definition of nursing, until shortly before her death in 2007, Orem pursued the development of a theoretical structure that would serve as an organizing framework for such a body of knowledge.

The primary source for Orem’s ideas about nursing was her experiences in nursing. Through reflection on nursing practice situations, she was able to identify the proper object, or focus, of nursing. The question that directed Orem’s (2001) thinking was, “What condition exists in a person when judgments are made that a nurse(s) should be brought into the situation?”
The condition that indicates the need for nursing assistance is “the inability of persons to provide continuously for themselves the amount and quality of required self-care because of situations of personal health” (Orem, 2001, p. 20). It is the proper object or focus that determines the domain and boundaries of nursing, both as a field of knowledge and as a field of practice. The specification of the proper object of nursing marks the beginning of Orem’s theoretical work. The efforts of Orem, working independently as well as with colleagues, resulted in the development and refinement of the SCDNT. Consisting of a number of conceptual elements and theories that specify the relationships among these concepts, the SCDNT is a general theory, “one that is descriptively explanatory of nursing in all types of practice situations” (Orem, 2001, p. 22). Originally, three specific theories were articulated, the theory of nursing systems, the theory of self-care deficits, and the theory of self-care. An additional theory, the theory of dependent care, has been articulated. This theory is regarded as being parallel with the theory of self-care and serves to illustrate the ongoing development of the SCDNT.

In addition to her experiences in nursing practice situations, Orem was well versed in contemporary nursing literature and thought. Her association with nurses over the years provided many learning experiences, and she viewed her work with graduate students and her collaborative work with colleagues as valuable endeavors. Orem cited many other nurses’ works in terms of their contributions to nursing, including, but not limited to, Abdellah, Henderson, Johnson, King, Levine, Nightingale, Orlando, Peplau, Riehl, Rogers, Roy, Travelbee, and Wiedenbach.

Orem’s familiarity with literature was not limited to nursing literature. In her discussion of various topics related to nursing, Orem cited authors from a number of other disciplines. The influence of scholars such as Allport (1955), Arnold (1960a, 1960b), Barnard (1962), Fromm (1962), Harre (1970), Macmurray (1957, 1961), Maritain (1959), Parsons (1949, 1951), Plattel (1965), and Wallace (1979, 1996) can be seen in Orem’s ideas and positions. Familiarity with these sources helps to promote a comprehensive understanding of Orem’s work.

Foundational to Orem’s SCDNT is the philosophical system of moderate realism. Banfield (1998, 2008, 2011) conducted philosophical inquiries to explicate the metaphysical and epistemological underpinnings of Orem’s work. These inquiries revealed consistency between Orem’s views regarding the nature of reality, human beings, the environment and nursing as a science; ideas and positions associated with the philosophy of moderate realism. Taylor, Geden, Isaramalai, and Wongvatunyu (2000) have also explored the philosophical foundations of the SCDNT.

According to the moderate realist position, there is a world that exists independent of the thoughts of the knower. Although the nature of the world is not determined by the thoughts of the knower, it is possible to obtain knowledge about the world.

Orem did not specifically address the nature of reality; however, statements and phrases that she uses reflect a moderate realist position. Four categories of postulated entities are identified as establishing the ontology of the SCDNT (Orem, 2001, p. 141). These four categories are (1) persons in space-time localizations, (2) attributes or properties of these persons, (3) motion or change, and (4) products brought into being.

With regard to the nature of human beings, “the view of human beings as dynamic, unitary beings who exist in their environments, who are in the process of becoming, and who possess free-will as well as other essential human qualities” is foundational to the SCDNT (Banfield, 1998, p. 204). This position, which reflects the philosophy of moderate realism, can be seen throughout Orem’s work.

Orem (1997) identified “five broad views of human beings that are necessary for developing understanding of the conceptual constructs of the SCDNT and for understanding the interpersonal and societal aspects of nursing systems” (p. 28). These are the view of person, agent, user of symbols, organism, and object. The view of human beings as person reflects the philosophical position of moderate realism; it is this position regarding the nature of human beings that is foundational to Orem’s work. She made the point that taking a particular view for some practical purpose does not negate the position that human beings are unitary beings (Orem, 1997, p. 31).

The view of person-as-agent is central to the SCDNT. Self-care, which refers to those actions in which a person engages for the purpose of promoting and maintaining life, health, and well-being, is conceptualized as a form of deliberate action.
“Deliberate action refers to actions performed by individual human beings who have intentions and are conscious of their intentions to bring about, through their actions, conditions or states of affairs that do not at present exist” (Orem, 2001, pp. 62–63). When engaging in deliberate action, the person acts as an agent. The view of person-as-agent is also reflected in the SCDNT’s conceptual elements of the nursing care and dependent care. In relation to the view of person-as-agent and the idea of deliberate action, Orem cited a number of scholars, including Arnold, Parsons, and Wallace. She identified seven assumptions regarding human beings that pertain to deliberate action (Orem, 2001, p. 65). These explicit assumptions, while addressing deliberate action, rest upon the implicit assumption that human beings have free will.

The SCDNT represents Orem’s work regarding the substance of nursing as a field of knowledge and as a field of practice. She also put forth a position regarding the form of nursing as a science, identifying it as a practical science. In relation to her ideas about the form of nursing science, Orem cites the work of Maritain (1959) and Wallace (1979), philosophers who were associated with the moderate realist tradition. In practical sciences, knowledge is developed for the sake of the work to be done. In the case of nursing, knowledge is developed for the sake of nursing practice. Two components make up the practical science: the speculative and the practical. The speculatively practical component is theoretical in nature, while the practically practical component is directive of action. The SCDNT represents speculatively practical knowledge. Practically practical nursing science is made up of models of practice, standards of practice, and technologies.

Orem (2001) identified two sets of speculatively practical nursing science: nursing practice sciences and foundational nursing sciences. The set of nursing practice sciences includes (1) wholly compensatory nursing science, (2) partly compensatory nursing science, and (3) supportive developmental nursing science. The foundational nursing sciences are (1) the science of self-care, (2) the science of the development and exercise of the self-care agency in the absence or presence of limitations for deliberate action, and (3) the science of human assistance for persons with health-associated self-care deficits. In relation to this proposed structure of nursing sciences, Orem stated, “the isolation, naming, and description of the two sets of sciences are based on my understanding of the nature of the practical sciences, on my knowledge of the organization of subject matter in other practice fields, and on my understanding of components of curricula for education for the professions” (pp. 174–175).

In addition to the two components or types of practical science, scientific knowledge necessary for nursing practice includes sets of applied sciences and basic non-nursing sciences. In the development of applied sciences, theories from other fields are used to solve problems in the practice field. These applied nursing sciences have yet to be identified and developed. Box 14–1 depicts the structure of nursing science.

Orem’s articulation of the form of nursing science provided the framework for the development of a body of knowledge for the education of nurses and for the provision of nursing care in concrete situations of nursing practice. The SCDNT with its conceptual elements and four theories identifies the substance or content of nursing science.

**Box 14–1 Speculatively Practical Nursing Science**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nursing Practice Sciences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wholly Compensatory Nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partly Compensatory Nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supportive-Developmental Nursing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundational Nursing Sciences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Science of Self-Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Science of the Development and Exercise of Self-Care Agency in the Absence or Presence of Limitations for Deliberate Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Science of Human Assistance for Persons with Health-Associated Self-Care Deficits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applied Nursing Sciences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic Non-Nursing Sciences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biological
Medical
Human
Environmental

The self-care deficit nursing theory is a general theory composed of the following four related theories:

1. The theory of self-care, which describes why and how people care for themselves.
2. The theory of dependent-care, which explains how family members and/or friends provide dependent-care for a person who is socially dependent.
3. The theory of self-care deficit, which describes and explains why people can be helped through nursing.
4. The theory of nursing systems, which describes and explains relationships that must be brought about and maintained for nursing to be produced.

The major concepts of these theories are identified here and discussed more fully in Orem (2001), *Nursing: Concepts of Practice* (see Figure 14–1).

**Self-Care**

Self-care comprises the practice of activities that maturing and mature persons initiate and perform, within time frames, on their own behalf in the interest of maintaining life, healthful functioning, continuing personal development, and well-being by meeting known requisites for functional and developmental regulations (Orem, 2001, p. 522).

**Dependent Care**

Dependent care refers to the care that is provided to a person who, because of age or related factors, is unable to perform the self-care needed to maintain life, healthful functioning, continuing personal development, and well-being.

**Self-Care Requisites**

A self-care requisite is a formulated and expressed insight about actions to be performed that are known or hypothesized to be necessary in the regulation of an aspect(s) of human functioning and development, continuously or under specified conditions and circumstances. A formulated self-care requisite names the following two elements:

1. The factor to be controlled or managed to keep an aspect(s) of human functioning and development within the norms compatible with life, health, and personal well-being.
2. The nature of the required action.

Formulated and expressed self-care requisites constitute the formalized purposes of self-care. They are the reasons for which self-care is undertaken; they express the intended or desired result—the goal of self-care (Orem, 2001, p. 522).
Universal Self-Care Requisites

Universally required goals are to be met through self-care or dependent care, and they have their origins in what is known and what is validated, or what is in the process of being validated, about human structural and functional integrity at various stages of the life cycle. The following eight self-care requisites common to men, women, and children are suggested:

1. Maintenance of a sufficient intake of air
2. Maintenance of a sufficient intake of food
3. Maintenance of a sufficient intake of water
4. Provision of care associated with elimination processes and excrements
5. Maintenance of balance between activity and rest
6. Maintenance of balance between solitude and social interaction
7. Prevention of hazards to human life, human functioning, and human well-being
8. Promotion of human functioning and development within social groups in accordance with human potential, known human limitations, and the human desire to be normal. Normalcy is used in the sense of that which is essentially human and that which is in accordance with the genetic and constitutional characteristics and talents of individuals (Orem, 2001, p. 225).

Developmental Self-Care Requisites

Developmental self-care requisites (DSCRs) were separated from universal self-care requisites in the second edition of Nursing: Concepts of Practice (Orem, 1980). Three sets of DSCRs have been identified, as follows:

1. Provision of conditions that promote development
2. Engagement in self-development
3. Prevention of or overcoming effects of human conditions and life situations that can adversely affect human development (Orem, 1980, p. 231)

Health Deviation Self-Care Requisites

These self-care requisites exist for persons who are ill or injured, who have specific forms of pathological conditions or disorders, including defects and disabilities, and who are under medical diagnosis and treatment. The characteristics of health deviation as conditions extending over time determine the types of care demands that individuals experience as they live with the effects of pathological conditions and live through their durations.

Disease or injury affects not only specific structures and physiological or psychological mechanisms, but also integrated human functioning. When integrated functioning is affected seriously (severe mental retardation and comatose states), the individual's developing or developed powers of agency are seriously impaired, either permanently or temporarily. In abnormal states of health, self-care requisites arise from both the disease state and the measures used in its diagnosis or treatment.

Care measures to meet existent health deviation self-care requisites must be made action components of an individual's systems of self-care or dependent care. The complexity of self-care or dependent care systems is increased by the number of health deviation requisites that must be met within specific time frames.

Therapeutic Self-Care Demand

Therapeutic self-care demand consists of the summation of care measures necessary at specific times or over a duration of time to meet all of an individual's known self-care requisites, particularized for existent conditions and circumstances by methods appropriate for the following:

- Controlling or managing factors identified in the requisites, the values of which are regulatory of human functioning (sufficiency of air, water, and food)
- Fulfilling the activity element of the requisites (maintenance, promotion, prevention, and provision) (Orem, 2001, p. 523)

Therapeutic self-care demand at any time (1) describes factors in the patient or the environment that must be held steady within a range of values or brought within and held within such a range for the sake of the patient's life, health, or well-being, and (2) has a known degree of instrumental effectiveness derived from the choice of technologies and specific techniques for using, changing, or in some way controlling patient or environmental factors.

Continued
Dependent-Care Demand
The summation of care measures at a specific point in time or over a duration of time for meeting the dependent's therapeutic self-care demand when his or her self-care agency is not adequate or operational. (Taylor, Renpenning, Geden, et al, 2001, p. 40).

Self-Care Agency
The self-care agency is a complex acquired ability of mature and maturing persons to know and meet their continuing requirements for deliberate, purposive action to regulate their own human functioning and development (Orem, 2001, p. 522).

Dependent-Care Agency
Dependent-care agency refers to the acquired ability of a person to know and meet the therapeutic self-care demand of the dependent person and/or regulate the development and exercise of the dependent's self-care agency.

Self-Care Deficit
Self-care deficit is the relation between an individual's therapeutic self-care demands and his or her powers of self-care agency in which the constituent-developed self-care capabilities within self-care agency are inoperable or inadequate for knowing and meeting some or all components of the existent or projected therapeutic self-care demand (Orem, 2001, p. 522).

Dependent-Care Deficit
Dependent-care deficit is a relationship that exists when the dependent care provider's agency is not adequate to meet the therapeutic self-care demand of the person receiving dependent care.

Nursing Agency
Nursing agency comprises developed capabilities of persons educated as nurses that empower them to represent themselves as nurses and within the frame of a legitimate interpersonal relationship to act, to know, and to help persons in such relationships to meet their therapeutic self-care demands and to regulate the development or exercise of their self-care agency (Orem, 2001, p. 518). Nursing agency also incorporates the capabilities of nurses to assist persons who provide dependent care to regulate the development or exercise of their dependent-care agency.

Nursing Design
Nursing design, a professional function performed both before and after nursing diagnosis and prescription, allows nurses, on the basis of reflective practical judgments about existent conditions, to synthesize concrete situational elements into orderly relations to structure operational units. The purpose of nursing design is to provide guides for achieving needed and foreseen results in the production of nursing toward the achievement of nursing goals; these units taken together constitute the pattern that guides the production of nursing (Orem, 2001, p. 519).

Nursing Systems
Nursing systems are series and sequences of deliberate practical actions of nurses performed at times in coordination with the actions of their patients to know and meet components of patients' therapeutic self-care demands and to protect and regulate the exercise or development of patients' self-care agency (Orem, 2001, p. 519).

Helping Methods
A helping method from a nursing perspective is a sequential series of actions that, if performed, will overcome or compensate for the health-associated limitations of individuals to engage in actions to regulate their own functioning and development or that of their dependents. Nurses use all methods, selecting and combining them in relation to the action demands on individuals under nursing care and their health-associated action limitations, as follows:
- Acting for or doing for another
- Guiding and directing
- Providing physical or psychological support
- Providing and maintaining an environment that supports personal development
- Teaching (Orem, 2001, pp. 55–56)
Basic Conditioning Factors

Basic conditioning factors condition or affect the value of the therapeutic self-care demand and/or the self-care agency of an individual at particular times and under specific circumstances. The following ten factors have been identified:

- Age
- Gender
- Developmental state
- Health state
- Pattern of living
- Health care system factors
- Family system factors
- Socio-cultural factors
- Availability of resources
- External environmental factors

Use of Empirical Evidence

As a practical science, nursing knowledge is developed to inform nursing practice. Orem (2001) stated that, “nursing is practical endeavor, but it is practical endeavor engaged in by persons who have specialized theoretic nursing knowledge with developed capabilities to put this knowledge to work in concrete situations of nursing practice” (p. 161). The provision of nursing care occurs in concrete situations. As nurses enter into nursing practice situations, they use their knowledge of nursing science to assign meaning to the features of the situation, to make judgments about what can and should be done, and to design and implement systems of nursing care. From the perspective of the SCDNT, desired nursing outcomes include meeting the patient’s therapeutic self-care demand and/or regulating and developing the patient’s self-care agency.

The conceptual elements and the specific theories of the SCDNT are abstractions about the features common to all nursing practice situations. The SCDNT was developed and refined through the use of intellectual processes that focused on nursing practice situations. For example, Orem reflected on her nursing practice experiences to identify the proper object of nursing. In their work related to the SCDNT, the Nursing Development Conference Group (1979) engaged in analysis of nursing cases and in processes of analogical reasoning. In a tribute to Orem, Allison (2008) talks about the Nursing Development Conference Group, saying that “these nurses came together because they were interested in and willing to commit themselves to examining nursing situations in order to formalize ways of thinking about nursing that they felt were descriptive of nursing and would contribute to nursing knowledge” (p. 50). Since the SCDNT was first published, extensive empirical evidence has contributed to the development of theoretical knowledge. Much of this is incorporated into continuing refinement of the theory; however, the basics of the theory remain unchanged. The theory of dependent care represents a major advancement in terms of the development of the SCDNT. “The increased need in societies for dependent-care indicates the importance for nurses of understanding dependent-care and their relationships to dependent-care agents” (Orem, 2001, p. 286).

Major Assumptions

Assumptions basic to the general theory were formalized during the early 1970s and were first presented at Marquette University School of Nursing in 1973. Orem (2001) identifies the following five premises underlying the general theory of nursing:

1. Human beings require continuous, deliberate inputs to themselves and their environments to remain alive and function in accordance with natural human endowments.
2. Human agency, the power to act deliberately, is exercised in the form of care for self and others in identifying needs and making needed inputs.
4. Human agency is exercised in discovering, developing, and transmitting ways and means to identify needs and make inputs to self and others.
5. Groups of human beings with structured relationships cluster tasks and allocate responsibilities for
providing care to group members who experience privations for making required, deliberate input to self and others (p. 140).

Orem stated pre-suppositions and propositions for the theory of nursing systems, the theory of self-care deficit, and the theory of self care. These constitute the expression of the theories and are summarized below.

Theoretical Assertions
Presented as a general theory of nursing, one that represents a complete picture of nursing, the SCDNT is expressed in the following three theories:
1. Theory of nursing systems
2. Theory of self-care deficit
3. Theory of self-care

The three constituent theories, taken together in relationship, constitute the SCDNT. The theory of nursing systems is the unifying theory and includes all the essential elements. It subsumes the theory of self-care deficit and the theory of self-care. The theory of self-care deficit develops the reason why a person may benefit from nursing. The theory of self-care, foundational to the others, expresses the purpose, method, and outcome of taking care of self.

Theory of Nursing Systems
The theory of nursing systems proposes that nursing is human action; nursing systems are action systems formed (designed and produced) by nurses through the exercise of their nursing agency for persons with health-derived or health-associated limitations in self-care or dependent care. Nursing agency includes concepts of deliberate action, including intentionality, and the operations of diagnosis, prescription, and regulation. Figure 14–1 shows the basic nursing systems categorized according to the relationship between patient and nurse actions. Nursing systems may be produced for individuals, for persons who constitute a dependent-care unit, for groups whose members have therapeutic self-care demands with similar components or who have similar limitations for engagement in self-care or dependent care, and for families or other multi-person units.

Theory of Self-Care Deficit
The central idea of the theory of self-care deficit is that the requirements of persons for nursing are associated with the subjectivity of mature and maturing persons to health-related or health care–related action limitations. These limitations render them completely or partially unable to know existent and emerging requisites for regulatory care for themselves or their dependents. They also limit the ability to engage in the continuing performance of care measures to control or in some way manage factors that are regulatory of their own or their dependent's functioning and development.

Self-care deficit is a term that expresses the relationship between the action capabilities of individuals and their demands for care. Self-care deficit is an abstract concept that, when expressed in terms of action limitations, provides guides for the selection of methods for helping and understanding patient roles in self-care.

Theory of Self-Care
Self-care is a human regulatory function that individuals must, with deliberation, perform themselves or must have performed for them to maintain life, health, development, and well-being. Self-care is an action system. Elaboration of the concepts of self-care, self-care demand, and self-care agency provides the foundation for understanding the action requirements and action limitations of persons who may benefit from nursing. Self-care, as a human regulatory function, is distinct from other types of regulation of human functioning and development, such as neuroendocrine regulation. Self-care must be learned, and it must be performed deliberately and continuously in time and in conformity with the regulatory requirements of individuals. These requirements are associated with their stages of growth and development, states of health, specific features of health or developmental states, levels of energy expenditure, and environmental factors.

Theory of Dependent-Care
The theory of dependent care “explains how the self-care system is modified when it is directed toward a person who is socially dependent and needs assistance in meeting his or her self-care requisites” (Taylor & Renpenning, 2011, p. 24). For persons who are socially dependent and unable to meet their therapeutic self-care demand, assistance from other persons is necessary. In many ways self-care
and dependent care are parallel, with the main difference that when providing dependent-care, the person is meeting the self-care needs of another person. For the dependent-care agent, the demands of providing dependent care can influence or condition the agent’s therapeutic self-care demand and self-care agency. The need for dependent-care is expected to grow with the increasing age of the population and the number of persons living with chronic and/or disabling conditions.

**Logical Form**

Orem’s insight led to her initial formalization and subsequent expression of a general concept of nursing. This generalization then made possible inductive and deductive thinking about nursing. The form of the theory is shown in the many models that Orem and others have developed, such as those shown in Figure 14–1 and Figure 14–2. Orem described the models and their importance to the development and understanding of the reality of the entities. These models are “...directed toward knowing the structure of the processes that are operational or become operational in the production of nursing systems, systems of care for individuals or for dependent-care units or multi-person units served by nurses” (Orem, 1997, p. 31). The overall theory is logically congruent.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

Orem’s SCDNT has achieved a significant level of acceptance by the international nursing community, as evidenced by the magnitude of published material and presentations at the International Orem Society World Congresses (2008, 2011, and 2012). In research using the SCDNT or components, Biggs (2008) found more than 800 references. Berbiglia identified selected practice settings and SCDNT conceptual foci from a review of more than 3 decades of use of the SCDNT in practice and research and publicized selected international SCDNT practice models for the twenty-first century (in press).

The SCDNT was introduced as the basic structure for nursing management in German hospital DRG (diagnosis-related group) implementation. The movement toward SCDNT-based nursing management in

Germany is credited to Bekel. Although it is difficult to fully assess the international application of the SCDNT, it is clear that, over time, Germany and Thailand have been landmark examples of the more recent utilization of the SCDNT (Bekel, 2002; Harnucharunkul, 2012). The Luxembourg Ministry of Social Security and Health co-sponsorship of the 12th IOS World Congress marked the recognition of the SCDNT as one of the frameworks for health care for Luxembourg.

The following U.S. schools are among those with SCDNT curriculum frameworks (Berbiglia, 2011, 2012):
- Illinois Wesleyan University
- University of Tennessee at Chattanooga
- College of Saint Benedict
- Anderson College
- University of Toledo
- Alcorn State University
- Southern University Baton Rouge

The influence of Orem’s SCDNT has continued at the international level through the translation of Nursing Concepts of Practice into several languages (Spanish in 1993, German in 2002, and Japanese in 2005) and the proliferation of SCDNT-based practice, education, and research worldwide.

Further Development

From the time of publication of the first edition of Nursing: Concepts of Practice in 1971, Orem was engaged in continual development of her conceptualizations. She worked by herself and with colleagues. The sixth and final edition was completed and published in 2001. Her work with a group of scholars, known as the Orem Study Group, further developed the various conceptualizations and structured nursing knowledge using elements of the theory. This work led to the expression of a Theory of Dependent Care (Taylor, Renpenning, Geden, et al., 2001) and the foundational Science of Self-Care (Denyes, Orem, & Bekel, 2001).

Nursing: Concepts of Practice (Orem, 2001) is organized with two foci: nursing as a unique field of knowledge and nursing as practical science. The text includes an expansion, from earlier editions, of content on nursing science and the theory of nursing systems. Important work has been done on the nature of person and interpersonal features of nursing. Orem identified many areas for further development in her descriptions of the stages of theory development. She also described the development of the Science of Self-Care, which could include concepts such as elaboration of operational functions of self-care agency with the elements of sensation and perception, appraisal, and motivation, and determining the relevance of foundational capabilities and dispositions to discreet acts. There is a need to focus on the person in the situation and on capabilities for action and self-management. This content has been expanded in the description of the foundational nursing Science of Self-Care (Denyes, Orem, & Bekel, 2001).

The IOS was established in 1993. The purpose of the IOS is to advance nursing science and scholarship through the use of Orem’s nursing conceptualizations in nursing education, practice, and research. The IOS publishes Self-Care, Dependent-Care & Nursing, an open access online journal found on the IOS website (http://www.orem-society.com/). Since its inception, the IOS has sponsored international conferences and maintains a record of the content of these conferences.

Critique

Clarity

The terms Orem used are defined precisely. The language of the theory is consistent with the twenty-first century language used in action theory and philosophy. The terminology of the theory is congruent throughout. The term self-care has multiple meanings across disciplines; Orem defined the term and elaborated the substantive structure of the concept in a way that is unique while also congruent with other interpretations. Reference has been made to the difficulty of Orem’s language; however, the limitation generally resides in the reader’s lack of familiarity with practical science and with the field of action science. Once a basic familiarity with the terminology of the SCDNT is achieved, further reading and studying of Orem’s work fosters a comprehensive understanding of her view of nursing as a field of knowledge and as a field of practice.

Simplicity

Orem’s theory is expressed in a limited number of terms. These terms are defined and used consistently
in the expression of the theory. Orem's general theory, the SCDNT, comprises the following four constituent theories: self-care, dependent-care, self-care deficit, and nursing systems. The SCDNT is a synthesis of knowledge about eight entities, which include self-care (and dependent care), self-care agency (and dependent-care agency), therapeutic self-care demand, self-care deficit, nursing agency, and nursing system. Development of the theory using these entities is parsimonious. The relationship between and among these entities can be presented in a simple diagram. The substantive structure of the theory is seen in the development of these entities. The depth of development of the concepts gives the theory the complexity necessary to describe and understand a human practice discipline.

**Generality**

Orem (1995) commented on the generality, or universality, of the theory as follows:

*The self-care deficit theory of nursing is not an explanation of the individuality of a particular concrete nursing practice situation, but rather the expression of a singular combination of conceptualized properties or features common to all instances of nursing. As a general theory, it serves nurses engaged in nursing practice, in development and validation of nursing knowledge, and in teaching and learning nursing (pp. 166–167).*

A review of the research and other literature attests to the generality of the theory.

**Accessibility**

As a general theory, the SCDNT provides a descriptive explanation of why persons require nursing and what processes are needed for the production of required nursing care. The concepts of the theory are abstractions of the entities that represent the proper object of nurses in concrete nursing practice situations. Self-care, dependent care, and nursing care all are forms of deliberate action engaged in to achieve a particular purpose. The concepts of therapeutic self-care demand, self-care agency, dependent-care agency, and nursing agency refer to properties of persons. Self-care deficit and dependent-care deficit refer to relationships between properties of persons. Self-care system, dependent-care system, and nursing system are systems of care that are designed and implemented to achieve desired outcomes. Basic conditioning factors refer to factors that condition or influence the variables of persons. These factors may be internal to the person, such as developmental level, or external, such as available resources. In nursing practice situations, the data collected by nurses can be categorized readily according to the concepts of the SCDNT.

For research purposes, both quantitative and qualitative research methods are appropriate for the development of knowledge related to the SCDNT. Specific research methods to be used in any investigation are selected on the basis of the questions being asked. Examples of various approaches can be found in this publication's companion text summary of recent SCDNT-based research (Berbiglia, in press). Although the concepts of the SCDNT refer to real entities, they are complex in nature. Operationalization of these concepts requires a comprehensive understanding of Orem's work. Instruments to measure some of these concepts have been developed.

The current emphasis in the SCDNT is on building a body of knowledge-related nursing practice, rather than engaging in theory-testing research. Instrument development has an important role in building nursing knowledge as well as other types of scholarly work. A great deal of work is needed with regard to the structuring of existent knowledge around the practice sciences and the foundational nursing sciences identified by Orem. Therefore, comprehensive descriptive studies of various populations in terms of their self-care requisites and self-care practices are needed. The structuring of existent knowledge and the findings from descriptive studies will provide a solid base for the development of instruments to measure the concepts of the SCDNT.

**Importance**

The SCDNT differentiates the focus of nursing from other disciplines. Although other disciplines find the theory of self-care helpful and contribute to its development, the theory of nursing systems provides a unique focus for nursing. The significance of Orem's work extends far beyond the development of the SCDNT. In her works, she provided expression of the form of nursing science as practical science, along with a structure for ongoing development of nursing
knowledge in the stages of theory development. Orem presented a visionary view of contemporary nursing practice, education, and knowledge development expressed through the general theory.

**Summary**

The critical question—What is the condition that indicates that a person needs nursing care?—was the starting point for the development of the SCDNT. Orem noted that it was the inability of persons to maintain on a continuous basis their own care or the care of dependents. From this observation, she began the process of formalizing knowledge about what persons need to do or have done for themselves to maintain health and well-being. When a person needs assistance, what are the appropriate nursing assistive actions? The theory of self-care describes what a person requires and what actions need to be taken to meet those requirements. The theory of dependent-care is complex. It parallels Orem's theory of self-care. The theory of self-care deficits describes the limitations involved in meeting requirements for ongoing care and the effects they have on the health and well-being of the person or dependent. The theory of nursing systems provides the structure for examining the actions and antecedent knowledge required to assist the person. These theories also are descriptive of situations involving families and communities.

Orem's work related to nursing as a practical science and the identification of three practice sciences and three foundational nursing sciences provides direction for the development of nursing science. This work offers a structure for the organization of existing nursing knowledge, as well as for the generation of new knowledge.

In an interview with Jacqueline Fawcett (2001), Orem identified factors essential for the development of nursing science. They included the following: (1) a model of practice science, (2) a valid, reliable, general theory of nursing, (3) models of the operations of nursing practice, (4) development of the conceptual structure of the general theory, and (5) integration of the conceptual elements of the theory with the practice operations (p. 36). Orem's work related to the SCDNT and the form of nursing science as a practical science provides a foundation for the development of a body of knowledge. The efforts of nurse scholars and nurse researchers to build on this foundation will result in a body of knowledge that serves nurses in their provision of care to persons requiring nursing.

**CASE STUDY**

**Theory of Dependent-Care**

This case study documents an ongoing interaction between a wife and her husband who live in a spacious home in a gated community.

When Dan (now 80) and Jane (now 65) began dating over 15 years ago, both were emotionally charged to begin their lives anew. Well-educated and financially secure, they had a lot in common. Dan was a protestant minister, and Jane's deceased husband had been a protestant minister. Both had lost their spouses. Jane's first husband had suffered a catastrophic cerebral aneurysm 2 years earlier. Oddly enough, Dan had conducted the funeral service for Jane's husband. Dan's wife had died of terminal cancer a little over a year earlier. Dan's first wife had been a school counselor; Jane was a school teacher. Both had children in college. They shared a love for travel. Dan was retired but continued part-time employment, and Jane planned to continue teaching in order to qualify for retirement. Both were in great health and had more than adequate health benefits. Within the year they were married. Summer vacations were spent snorkeling in Hawaii, mountain climbing in national parks, and boating with family. Their lives were full and productive. After 7 years, Dan experienced major health problems: a quadruple cardiac bypass surgery, followed by surgery for pancreatic cancer. Jane's plans to continue working were dropped so she could assist Dan to recover and then continue to travel with him and enjoy their remaining time together. Dan did recover—only to begin to exhibit the early signs and symptoms of Alzheimer's disease. One of the early signs appeared the previous Christmas as they were hanging outdoor lights. To Jane's dismay, she noted that Dan could not follow the sequential directions she gave him. As time passed, other signs appeared, such as some memory loss and confusion,
frequent repeating of favorite phrases, sudden outbursts of anger, and decreased social involvement. Assessments resulted in the diagnosis of early Alzheimer's disease. Aricept was begun, and Jane began to prepare herself to face this new stage of their married life. She read Alzheimer's literature avidly and organized their home for physical and psychological safety. A kitchen blackboard displayed phone numbers and the daily schedule. Car keys were appropriately stowed. It was noted that she began to savor her time with Dan. Just sitting together with him on the sofa brought gentle expressions to her face. It was apparent that she was building a store of memories. They continued to attend church services and functions but stopped their regular swims at their exercise facility when Dan left the dressing room naked one day. Within the year, Jane's retired sister and brother-in-law relocated to a home a short walk from Jane's. Their intent was to be on call to assist Jane in caring for Dan. Dan and Jane's children did not live nearby so could only assist occasionally. As Dan's symptoms intensified, a neighbor friend, Helen, began to relieve Jane for a few hours each week. At this time, Jane is still the primary dependent-care agent. She prides herself in mastering a dual shower; she showers Dan in his shower chair first, and then, while she showers, he sits on the nearby toilet seat drying himself. Her girl friends suggested that this was material for an entertaining home video! While Jane is cautious in her care for Dan, she often drives a short distance to her neighborhood tennis court for brief games with friends or spends time tending the lovely gardens she and Dan planted. During these times, she locks the house doors and leaves Dan seated in front of the television with a glass of juice. She watches the time and returns home midway through the hour to check on Dan. On one occasion when she forgot to lock the door while she was gardening, Dan made his way to the street, lost his balance, reclined face-first in the flower bed, and was discovered by a neighbor. Jane has given up evenings out and increased her favorite pastime of reading. Her days are filled with assisting Dan in all of his activities of daily living. And, often, her nights’ sleep is interrupted by Dan's wandering throughout their home. At times, when the phone rings, Dan answers and tells callers Jane is not there. Jane, only in the next room, informs him “Dan, I am Jane.” Friends are saddened by Dan's decline and concerned with the burdens and limitations Jane has assumed due to Dan's dependency.

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

Case Study Analysis

We will use two conceptual models to analyze this case.

The Dependency Cycle (see Figure 14–3) presents the way dependency occurs. The outer arrows show how an independent person can become dependent, progress to interdependency, and even become independent again.

Figure 14–4 displays the Basic Dependent-Care System in which Dan and Jane are interacting.

1. Let’s examine this case through the Dependency Cycle model (see Figure 14–3). The outer arrows show a progression through varying stages of dependency. The inner circle represents who can be involved in the dependency cycle. Indicate where Jane and Dan are in this cycle.

2. Now, using the Basic Dependent-Care System model (Figure 14–4), assess Dan and Jane. Identify the basic conditioning factors (BCFs) for each. Ask “What is the effect of Dan's BCFs on his self-care agency (SCA)?” Is he able to meet his therapeutic self-care demands (TSCDs)? Continue on to diagnose Dan's self-care deficit (SCD) and resulting dependent-care deficit (DCD). Next, assess Jane's self-care system (SCS).

3. Design a nursing system that addresses Jane's SCS while she increases her role as dependent-care agent (DCA).


POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY


The Johns Hopkins Archives house the Dorothea Orem Collection at: [http://www.medicalarchives.jhmi.edu](http://www.medicalarchives.jhmi.edu).

The official online IOS journal, *Self-Care, Dependent-Care & Nursing*, is archived on the IOS website.
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Conceptual System and Middle-Range Theory of Goal Attainment

Christina L. Sieloff and Patricia R. Messmer

“Theory is an abstraction that implies prediction based in research. Theory without research and research without some theoretical basis will not build scientific knowledge for a discipline” (King, 1977, p. 23).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

The Nightingale Tribute to Imogene King

Imogene M. King was born on January 30, 1923, in West Point, Iowa. She died December 24, 2007, in St. Petersburg, Florida, and is buried in Fort Madison, Iowa. In 1945, King received a diploma in Nursing from St. John's Hospital School of Nursing in St. Louis, Missouri. While working in a variety of staff nurse roles, King began course work toward a Bachelor of Science in Nursing Education, which she received from St. Louis University in 1948. In 1957, she received a Master of Science in Nursing from St. Louis University. From 1947 to 1958, King worked as an instructor in medical-surgical nursing and was an assistant director at St. John's Hospital School of Nursing. King went on to study with Mildred Montag as her dissertation chair at Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, and received her EdD in 1961.

From 1961 to 1966 at Loyola University in Chicago, King developed a master's degree program in nursing based on a nursing conceptual framework. Her first
Imogene M. King

really retired, as she was always there for students, faculty, and colleagues who were using her theory, and even went “round the clock” to implement her theory at Tampa General Hospital. King also served on the nursing advisory board, and guest lectured at the University of Tampa.

King was a long-time member of the American Nurses Association (ANA), first with the Missouri Nurses Association, and she was also active in Illinois and Ohio. Upon her move to Tampa, Florida, she became a member in the Florida Nurses’ Association (FNA) and FNA District 4, Tampa. King held offices such as president of the Florida Nurses Association, served on the FNA and the FNA District IV boards, and was a delegate from the FNA to the ANA House of Delegates. In 1997, King received a gold medallion from Governor Chiles for advancing the nursing profession in the State of Florida. She was inducted into the FNA Hall of Fame and the ANA Hall of Fame in 2004. In 1994, King was inducted into the American Academy of Nursing (AAN) and served on the AAN Theory Expert Panel. In 2005, she was inducted as a Living Legend. In 1996, King received the Jessie M. Scott Award. King was thrilled that Jessie Scott was there. King was in the ANA House of Delegates to hear President Clinton’s congratulations on the ANA’s 100th anniversary and his admiration of his mother as a nurse anesthetist.

In 2000, King was keynote speaker for the 37th Annual Isabel Maitland Stewart Conference in Research in Nursing at Teachers College, Columbia University (Messmer & Fawcett, 2008; Messmer, 2008) and was pleased that Mildred Montag was present. In 1999, King was appointed professor at the University of South Florida College of Nursing, in Tampa (Houser & Player, 2007). In 1981, the manuscript for her second book, A Theory for Nursing: Systems, Concepts, Process, was published. In addition to her first two books, she authored multiple book chapters and articles in professional journals, and a third book, Curriculum and Instruction in Nursing: Concepts and Process, was published in 1986. King retired in 1990 and was named professor emeritus at the University of South Florida.

King continued to provide community service and to help plan care through her conceptual system and theory at various health care organizations, including Tampa General Hospital (Messmer, 1995). King never

theory article appeared in 1964 in the journal, Nursing Science, which nurse theorist Martha Rogers edited.

Between 1966 and 1968, King served under Jessie Scott as Assistant Chief of Research Grants Branch, Division of Nursing at the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. While King was in Washington, DC, her article “A Conceptual Frame of Reference for Nursing” was published in Nursing Research (1968).

From 1968 to 1972, King was the director of the School of Nursing at Ohio State University in Columbus. While at Ohio State, her book, Toward a Theory for Nursing: General Concepts of Human Behavior (1971) was published. In this early work, King concluded, “a systematic representation of nursing is required ultimately for developing a science to accompany a century or more of art in the everyday world of nursing” (1971, p. 129). Her book received the American Journal of Nursing Book of the Year Award in 1973 (King, 1995a).

King then returned to Chicago in 1972 as a professor in the Loyola University graduate program. She also served from 1978 to 1980 as Coordinator of Research in Clinical Nursing at the Loyola Medical Center Department of Nursing. In 1980, King was awarded an honorary PhD from Southern Illinois University (Messmer, 2000). In May 1998, she received an honorary doctorate from Loyola University, where her “Nursing Collection” is housed. From 1972 to 1975, King was a member of the Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services for the U.S. Department of Defense. She also was elected alderman for a 4-year term (1975 to 1979) in Ward 2 at Wood Dale, Illinois.

In 1980, King was appointed professor at the University of South Florida College of Nursing, in Tampa (Houser & Player, 2007). In 1981, the manuscript for her second book, A Theory for Nursing: Systems, Concepts, Process, was published. In addition to her first two books, she authored multiple book chapters and articles in professional journals, and a third book, Curriculum and Instruction in Nursing: Concepts and Process, was published in 1986. King retired in 1990 and was named professor emeritus at the University of South Florida.

King continued to provide community service and to help plan care through her conceptual system and theory at various health care organizations, including Tampa General Hospital (Messmer, 1995). King never
STTI conferences. King communicated regularly with students who were learning about theories within her conceptual system.

King (1971, 1981) was recognized as one of the early nurse theorists through her publications, which were translated into Japanese, Spanish, and German. She authored numerous articles and served on the editorial board of Nursing Science Quarterly. King authored several book chapters, for example, Frey & Sieloff’s Advancing King’s Systems Framework and Theory of Nursing (1995), and Sieloff and Frey’s Middle Range Theories for Nursing Practice Using King’s Conceptual System (2007), which highlighted her studies by other authors.

**Theoretical Sources**

King (1971) described the purpose of her first book as follows:

... propos[ing] a conceptual frame of reference for nursing ... intended to be utilized specifically by students and teachers, and also by researchers and practitioners, to identify and analyze events in specific nursing situations. The conceptual system suggests that the essential characteristics of nursing are those properties that have persisted in spite of environmental changes (p. ix). It is a way of thinking about the real world of nursing; ... an approach for selecting concepts perceived to be fundamental for the practice of professional nursing; [and] shows a process for developing concepts that symbolize experiences within the physical, psychological, and social environment in nursing (p. 125).

King’s (1981) concepts are presented in the Major Concepts & Definitions box.

**Use of Empirical Evidence**

King (1971) spoke of concepts as “abstract ideas that give meaning to our sense perceptions, permit generalizations, and tend to be stored in our memory for recall and use at a later time in new and different situations” (pp. 11–12). King (1984) defined theory as “a set of concepts, that, when defined, are interrelated and observable in the world of nursing practice” (p. 11). Theory serves to build “scientific knowledge for nursing” (King, 1995b, p. 24).

**MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS**

“Concepts give meaning to our sense perceptions and permit generalizations about persons, objects, and things” (King, 1995a, p. 16). A limited number of definitions based on the systems framework are listed here, and additional definitions can be found in King’s 1981 book, A theory for nursing: Systems, concepts, process.

**Health**

“Health is defined as dynamic life experiences of a human being, which implies continuous adjustment to stressors in the internal and external environment through optimum use of one’s resources to achieve maximum potential for daily living” (King, 1981, p. 5).

**Nursing**

“Nursing is defined as a process of action, reaction, and interaction whereby nurse and client share information about their perceptions in the nursing situation” (King, 1981, p. 2).

**Self**

“The self is a composite of thoughts and feelings which constitute a person’s awareness of his [/her] individual existence, his [/her] conception of who and what he [/she] is. A person’s self is the sum total of all he [/she] can call his [/hers]. The self includes, among other things, a system of ideas, attitudes, values, and commitments. The self is a person’s total subjective environment. It is a distinctive center of experience and significance. The self constitutes a person’s inner world as distinguished from the outer world consisting of all other people and things. The self is the individual as known to the individual. It is that to which we refer when we say, ‘I’” (Jersild, 1952, p. 10).
King (1975a) identified two methods for developing theory: (1) a theory can be developed and then tested in research, and (2) research provides data from which theory may be developed. King (1978) believed that building knowledge for a complex profession such as nursing required these two strategies.


Within the interpersonal system, King cited the studies of Watzlawick, Beavin, and Jackson (1967) and Krieger (1975). She examined studies by Whiting (1955), Orlando (1961), and Diers and Schmidt (1977) for interaction. King noted Dewey and Bentley’s (1949) theory of knowledge, which addressed self-action, interaction, and transaction in *Knowing and the Known*, and Kuhn’s (1975) work on transactions.

Commenting on research existing at that time, particularly operations research regarding patient care, King (1975b) noted that “...most studies have centered on technical aspects of patient care and of the health care systems rather than on patient aspects directly. ... Few problems have been stated that begin with what the patient’s condition demands or what the patient wants” (p. 9). King (1981) noted “several theoretical formulations about interpersonal relations and nursing process have been described in nursing situations” (pp. 151–152) and cited Peplau (1952), Orlando (1961), Paterson and Zderad (1976), and Yura and Walsh (1978) supporting the transactional process in her theory of goal attainment.

**Developing the Conceptual System**

King posed the following questions in preparation for the 1971 book, *Toward a Theory for Nursing: General Concepts of Human Behavior*:

- What is the goal of nursing?
- What are the functions of nurses?
- How can nurses continue to expand their knowledge to provide quality care? (pp. 30, 39)

**Figure 15–1** demonstrates the conceptual system that provided “one approach to studying systems as a whole rather than as isolated parts of a system” (King, 1995a, p. 18) and was “designed to explain (the) organized wholes within which nurses are expected to function” (1995b, p. 23).

King (1981) used a systems approach in the development of her conceptual systems and her middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment. King noted that “some scientists who have been studying systems have noted that the only way to study human beings interacting with the environment is to design a conceptual framework of interdependent variables and interrelated concepts” (King, 1981, p. 10). King (1995a) believed that her “framework differs from other conceptual schema in that it is concerned not with fragmenting human beings and the environment but with human transactions in different kinds of environments” (p. 21).

“An awareness of the complex dynamics of human behavior in nursing situations prompted [King’s] formulation of a conceptual framework that represented
personal, interpersonal, and social systems as the domain of nursing” (King, 1981, p. 130). Each system identifies human beings as the basic element in the system, thus “the unit of analysis in [the] framework [was] human behavior in a variety of social environments” (King, 1995a, p. 18). King designated an example of a personal system as a patient or a nurse. King specified the concepts of body image, growth and development, perception, self, space, and time in order to comprehend human beings as persons.

Interpersonal systems form when two or more individuals interact, forming dyads (two people) or triads (three people). The dyad of a nurse and a patient is one type of interpersonal system. Families, when acting as small groups, also can be considered interpersonal systems. Understanding the interpersonal system requires the concepts of communication, interaction, role, stress, and transaction.

A more comprehensive interacting system consists of groups that make up society, referred to as the social system. Religious, educational, and health care systems are examples of social systems. The influential behavior of an extended family on an individual's growth and development is another social system example. Within a social system, the concepts of authority, decision making, organization, power, and status guide system understanding. Thus, concepts in the framework are organizing dimensions and represent knowledge to understand interactions among the three systems (King, 1995a).

**King's Middle-Range Theory of Goal Attainment**

In 1981, King derived her middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment from her conceptual system. The question that motivated King to develop this theory was, “What is the nature of nursing?” (King, 1995b, p. 25). She noted the answer to be: “the way in which nurses, in their role, do with and for individuals that differentiates nursing from other health professionals” (King, 1995b, p. 26). This thinking guided her development of the Theory of Goal Attainment using the following theory development process:

- “What are the philosophical assumptions?”
- “Are the concepts clearly identified and defined?”
- “Are the concepts related in propositional statements or models?”
- “Does the theory generate questions to be answered or hypotheses to be tested in research to generate knowledge and affirm the theory?”

“The human process of interactions formed the basis for designing a model of transactions that depicted theoretical knowledge used by nurses to help individuals and groups attain goals” (King, 1995b, p. 27) (Figure 15–2).

King (1995b) stated the following:

> Mutual goal setting [between a nurse and a client] is based on (a) nurses’ assessment of a client’s concerns, problems, and disturbances in health; (b) nurses’ and clients’ perceptions of the interference; and (c) their sharing of information whereby each functions to help the client attain the goals identified. In addition, nurses interact with family members when clients cannot verbally participate in the goal setting” (p. 28).

To test her theory, King (1981) conducted research, identifying that her study varied from previous studies in that it “described the nurse-patient interaction process that leads to goal attainment”
King used a method of nonparticipant observation to collect information about nurse-patient interactions on a patient care unit in a hospital setting with patients and nurses volunteering to participate in the study. King trained graduate students in nonparticipant observation technique to collect data. She examined multiple interactions and recorded verbal and nonverbal behaviors data. King further tested her Criterion-Reference Measure of Goal Attainment Tool, a measure of functional abilities and goal attainment in the University of Maryland Measurement of Nursing Outcomes project. She reported the instrument to have a CVI of .88 and reliability of .99 for assessing functional abilities of patients in making decisions about goal setting with and for patients to measure goal attainment (King, 1988, 2003).

**Major Assumptions**

King’s personal philosophy about human beings and life influenced her assumptions related to environment, health, nursing, individuals, and nurse-patient interactions. King’s conceptual system and Theory of Goal Attainment were “based on an overall assumption that the focus of nursing is human beings interacting with their environment, leading to a state of health for individuals, which is an ability to function in social roles” (King, 1981, p. 143).

**Nursing**

“Nursing is an observable behavior found in the health care systems in society” (King, 1971, p. 125). The goal of nursing “is to help individuals maintain their health so they can function in their roles” (King, 1981, pp. 3–4). Nursing is an interpersonal process of action, reaction, interaction, and transaction. Perceptions of a nurse and a patient influence the interpersonal process.

**Person**

King detailed specific assumptions related to persons in 1981 and in subsequent works:

- Individuals are spiritual beings (I. King, personal communication, July 11, 1996).
- Individuals have the ability through their language and other symbols to record their history and preserve their culture (King, 1986).
- Individuals are unique and holistic, of intrinsic worth, and capable of rational thinking and decision making in most situations (King, 1995b).
- Individuals differ in their needs, wants, and goals (King, 1995b).

**Health**

Health is a dynamic state in the life cycle, while illness interferes with that process. Health “implies continuous adjustment to stress in the internal and external environment through the optimum use of one’s resources to achieve the maximum potential for daily living” (King, 1981, p. 5).

**Environment**

King (1981) believed that “an understanding of the ways that human beings interact with their environment to maintain health was essential for nurses” (p. 2). Open systems imply that interactions occur constantly between the system and the system’s environment. Furthermore, “adjustments to life and health are influenced by [an] individual’s interaction with environment . . . Each human being perceives the world as a total person in making transactions with individuals and things in the environment” (King, 1981, p. 141).

**Theoretical Assertions**

King’s Theory of Goal Attainment (1981) focuses on the interpersonal system and the interactions that take place between individuals, specifically in the nurse-patient relationship. In the nursing process, each member of the dyad perceives the other, makes judgments, and takes actions. Together, these activities culminate in reaction. Interactions result and, if perceptual congruence exists and disturbances are conquered, transactions will occur. The system is open to permit feedback because each phase of the activity potentially influences perception.

King (1981) developed eight propositions in her Theory of Goal Attainment that describe the relationships among the concepts detailed in Box 15–1. Diagrams follow each proposition. When the propositions were analyzed, 23 relationships were not specified, 22 relationships were positive, and no relationship was negative (Austin & Champion, 1983) (Figure 15–3). King (1981) also derived seven hypotheses from the Theory of Goal Attainment.
**Box 15-1  Propositions Within King’s Theory of Goal Attainment**

1. If perceptual congruence (PC) is present in nurse-client interactions (I), transactions (T) will occur.
   \[
   \text{PC}(I) \rightarrow T
   \]

2. If nurse and client make transactions (T), goals will be attained (GA).
   \[
   T \rightarrow GA
   \]

3. If goals are attained (GA), satisfactions (S) will occur.
   \[
   GA \rightarrow S
   \]

4. If goals are attained (GA), effective nursing care (NC\text{e}) will occur.
   \[
   GA \rightarrow NC\text{e}
   \]

5. If transactions (T) are made in nurse-client interactions (I), growth and development (GD) will be enhanced.
   \[
   (I)T \rightarrow GD
   \]

6. If role expectations and role performance as perceived by nurse and client are congruent (RC\text{N}), transactions (T) will occur.
   \[
   \text{RCN} \rightarrow T
   \]

7. If role conflict (RC) is experienced by nurse and client or both, stress (ST) in nurse-client interactions (I) will occur.
   \[
   \text{RC}(I) \rightarrow ST
   \]

8. If nurses with special knowledge and skills communicate (CM) appropriate information to clients, mutual goal setting (T) and goal attainment (GA) will occur. [Mutual goal setting is a step in transaction and thus has been diagrammed as a transaction.]
   \[
   CM \rightarrow T \rightarrow GA
   \]


**Figure 15-3** Relationship table. *CM*, Communicate; *GA*, goals attained; *GD*, growth and development; *NC\text{e}* effective nursing care; *PC*, Perceptual Congruence; *RC*, role conflict; *RCN*, role congruency; *S*, satisfactions; *ST*, stress; *T*, transactions. (From Austin, J. K., & Champion, V. L. [1983]. King’s theory for nursing: Explication and evaluation. In P. L. Chinn [Ed.], Advances in theory development [p. 58]. Rockville, (MD): Aspen.)
Logical Form

In her 1968 article, King set forth her first conceptual frame of reference with the following four concepts that center on human beings:

1. Health
2. Interpersonal relationships
3. Perceptions
4. Social systems

Although King’s original framework was abstract and dealt with “only a few elements of concrete situations” (King, 1981, p. 128), she maintained that her four “universal ideas (social systems, health, perception, and interpersonal relations) were relevant in every nursing situation” (King, 1981, p. 128). King (1981) began further development of her conceptual system and proposed her middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment to describe “the nature of nurse-client interactions that lead to achievement of goals” (p. 142) as follows:

*Nurses purposely interact with clients to mutually establish goals, and to explore and agree on means to achieve goals. Mutual goal setting is based on nurses’ assessment of clients’ concerns, problems, and disturbances in health, their perceptions of problems, and their sharing information to move toward goal attainment* (pp. 142–143).

A logical progression of development existed in the conceptual system from 1971 to 1981, with King deriving her middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment from her conceptual system. The Theory of Goal Attainment “organize[s] elements in the process of nurse-client interactions that result in outcomes, that is, goals attained” (King, 1981, p. 143).

King (1971) initially had stated the following:

...[If nurses are to assume the roles and responsibilities expected of them, ... the discovery of knowledge must be disseminated in such a way that they are able to use it in their practice. ... Descriptive data collected systematically provide cues for generating hypotheses for research in human behavior in nursing situations (p. 128).

In 1981, King spoke of fewer dichotomies between health and illness, referring to illness as interference in the life cycle. Through reformulation, King provided a more open system relationship between person and environment. King also revised her terminology, using *adjustment* instead of *adaptation*, and *person, human being, and individual* rather than *man*.

Clements and Roberts (1983) illustrated King’s ideas, applying the Theory of Goal Attainment for the health of families.

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

King’s (1971) early publication led to nursing curriculum development and practice application at Ohio State University and other universities. Professionals in most nursing specialty areas have used the concepts of King’s (1981) Theory of Goal Attainment in nursing practice. Its relationship to practice is obvious because nurses function primarily through interactions with individuals and groups within the environment. King (1984) proposed “nurses, who have knowledge of the concepts of this Theory of Goal Attainment, are able to perceive what is happening to patients and family members and are able to suggest approaches for coping with the situations” (p. 12).

King developed a documentation system, the goal-oriented nursing record (GONR), to accompany her middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment and to record goals and outcomes. The GONR was a method of collecting data, identifying problems, and implementing and evaluating care that has been effective in patient settings. Nurses can use the GONR approach to document the effectiveness of nursing care. “The major elements in this record system are: (a) data base, (b) nursing diagnosis, (c) goal list, (d) nursing orders, (e) flow sheets, (f) progress notes, and (g) discharge summary” (King, 1995b, pp. 30–31).


**Research**

Many research studies have used King’s work as a theoretical basis. Several studies are mentioned here, and others are listed in the bibliography.

Langford (2008) incorporated the concept of transactions in research with “nurse practitioners and weight loss in obese adolescents,” and Firmino, de Fatmina, Cavalcante, and Celia (2010) used personal and interpersonal concepts for research with patients experiencing hypertension. Others listed as follows have used King’s (1981) conceptual system:

- Khowaja (2006) utilized King’s conceptual system and theory of goal attainment to develop a clinical pathway.
- Frey, Ellis, and Naar-King (2007) examined the congruency between King’s conceptual system and multisystemic therapy.
- Taha (2009) utilized King’s conceptual system to explore “factors relating to the disposition status in children with severe traumatic brain injury” (p. 417).
- Talbott (2009) also used King’s conceptual system to study “characteristics of students requiring specialized physical health care services” (p. 418).
- George, Roach, and Andrade (2011) examined the view of nursing held by consumers, surgeons, and nurses.

Researchers have developed many middle-range theories using King’s conceptual system (King, 1978; Sieloff & Frey, 2007). These theories include Frey’s theory of families, children, and chronic illness (Frey, 1995), Killeen’s theory of patient satisfaction with professional nursing care (2007), Sieloff’s theory of group power/empowerment within organizations (2010, Sieloff & Bularzik, 2011; Sieloff & Dunn, 2008), Wicks’ theory of family health (Wicks, Rice, & Talley, 2007), Doornbos’ (2007) theory of family health, and the advance directive decision-making model of...


Further Development

Over the years, King consistently demonstrated her belief in the need for further testing of the Theory of Goal Attainment. “Any profession that has as its primary mission the delivery of social services requires continuous research to discover new knowledge that can be applied to improve practice” (King, 1971, p. 112).

In 1995, Fawcett and Whall identified five major areas in which further development of King’s work would be helpful:

1. The concept of environment would benefit from additional definition and clarification.
2. King’s views of illness, health, and wellness would benefit from additional clarification and discussion.
3. Future linkages between King’s (1981) conceptual system and other existing middle-range theories should continue in a manner that ensures congruency between the conceptual system and the specific middle-range theory.
4. Empirical testing should continue for the Theory of Goal Attainment (King, 1981) and other middle-range theories developed within King’s conceptual system (Fawcett & Whall, 1995) (e.g., Sieloff & Dunn, 2008; Sieloff, & Bularzik 2011).
5. Middle-range theories that are implied rather than explicit, such as those of Rooke (1995b), would benefit from development into formal theories (e.g., Nwinee, 2011).

In 2007, Sieloff and Frey reported the status of middle-range theory development from within King’s conceptual system. Fawcett (2007) again examined the development of middle-range theory, from within King’s conceptual system, and made recommendations:

a) The credibility of King’s conceptual system could be further supported through “a meta-analysis or other integrative review of the results obtained from empirical tests of... the middle range theory propositions” (Fawcett, 2007, p. 301).

b) “Additional metatheoretical research is needed to specify the relations between the concepts within the personal, interpersonal, and social systems” (Fawcett, 2007, p. 301).

c) Continued empirical testing of all middle-range theories is also needed.

d) Additional research instruments need to be developed to measure middle-range theory concepts. The utility of those instruments then needs to be evaluated in terms of their utility for practice (Fawcett, 2007).

Graduate students continue to use King’s conceptual system and Theory of Goal Attainment for their research, including thirteen master’s students (Zimmerman, 2007; Bialachowski, 2008; Ritter, 2008; Sivaramalingam, 2008; Talbert, 2008; Egbert, 2009; Weg, 2009; Boyd-Jones, 2011; Colchin, 2011; Draiastra, 2011; Karlin, 2011; Mardis, 2007; Wolf, 2011) and fifteen DNP or PhD doctoral students (Jewell, 2007; Maloni, 2007; Crump, 2008; Woo, 2008; Bularzik, 2009; Davidson, 2009; Esquibel, 2009; Stevens, 2009; Taha, 2009; Aboshaiqah, 2010; Smithgall, 2010; Tlamb, 2010; Jones-Zeigler, 2011; Lewis, 2011; Reck, 2011).

Critique

Clarity

A major strong point of King’s conceptual system and Theory of Goal Attainment is the ease with which it can be understood by nurses. Concepts are concretely defined and illustrated.

Simplicity

King’s definitions are clear and are conceptually derived from research literature. King’s (1978) Theory of
Goal Attainment presents ten major concepts, and the concepts are easily understood and derived from research literature.

**Generality**

King's (1981) Theory of Goal Attainment has been criticized for having limited application in areas of nursing in which patients are unable to interact competently with the nurse. King maintained the broad use of the theory in most nursing situations. In support of King's perspective, health care professionals have documented examples of the application of the Theory of Goal Attainment with patients with diabetes (Maloni, 2007) and surgical patients (Sivaramalingam, 2008; Bruns, Norwood, Bosworth, & Hill, 2009). Kameoka, Funashima, and Sugimori (2007) tested a proposition of the theory and explored “the characteristics of nurses whose degree of goal attainment and satisfactions in interactions with patients were both high” (p. 261). Abraham (2009) used the Theory of Goal Attainment in research within a workplace. Langford (2008) used the Theory to guide research with women with weight problems. Alligood (2010) reported the use of the theory in research with families. Smithgall (2010) examined the relationships between the “perceptions of maternal stress and neonatal patient outcomes in a single private room versus open room neonatal intensive care unit environments.”

**Accessibility**

King gathered empirical data on nurse-patient interaction that led to goal attainment. A descriptive study was conducted to identify the characteristics of transactions and whether nurses made transactions with patients. With a sample of 17 patients, goals were attained in 12 cases or 70% of the sample. King (1981) believed that, if nursing students were taught the transactional process in the Theory of Goal Attainment and used it in nursing practice, goal attainment could be measured and evidence of the effectiveness of nursing care demonstrated.


**Importance**

King's (1981) middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment focused on all aspects of the nursing process: assessment, planning, implementation, and evaluation. The body of literature clearly establishes King’s work as important for knowledge building in the discipline of nursing.

In addition to the United States and Canada, health care professionals have used and continue to use King’s conceptual system and middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment to implement theory-based practice in Asia (Chugh, 2005; Li, Li, & Xu, 2010), Australia (Khowaja, 2006), Brazil (Marziale & de Jesus, 2008), China (Cheng, 2006), India (Abraham, 2009; George, Roach, & Andrade, 2011), Japan (Kameoka, Funashima, & Sugimori, 2007), Portugal (Chaves & de Araujo, 2006; Costa, Santos, Martinho, et al., 2007; Firmino, de Fatima, Cavalcante & Celia, 2010), Slovenia (Harah & Pajnikihar, 2009), Sweden (Rooke, 1995a, 1995b), and West Africa (Nwinee, 2011). King’s work has been a structured framework for curriculum development at various educational levels.

**Summary**

Imogene King contributed to the advancement of nursing knowledge through the development of her conceptual system and middle-range Theory of Goal Attainment. By focusing on the attainment of goals, or outcomes, by nurse-patient partnerships, King provided a conceptual system and middle-range theory that has demonstrated its usefulness to nurses. Nurses working in a variety of settings with patients from around the world continue to use King’s work to improve the quality of patient care.

**CASE STUDY**

Upon receiving an assignment at the start of the shift, Colin Jennings, RN, makes initial rounds of the patients. One patient, Amed Kyzeel, as reported by nurses on the previous shift, has been difficult to work with, demanding the attention of staff throughout the shift.
Mr. Jennings visits Mr. Kyzeel last during rounds so that additional time is available for an assessment. Upon entering Mr. Kyzeel’s room, Mr. Jennings asks him how he is feeling about going home. Mr. Kyzeel complains about a variety of minor concerns about his pending discharge. Accepting that Mr. Kyzeel’s perceptions are unique and valid to him, Mr. Jennings spends a few minutes just listening.

Because Mr. Jennings knows that Mr. Kyzeel is to be discharged today, he asks the patient what he knows about his pending discharge and his goals for leaving today. Mr. Kyzeel admits that he is concerned about leaving the hospital because he does not know what to expect during the first 24 hours at home. Mr. Jennings talks with the patient and asks him what goals he wants to achieve while in the hospital and upon returning home. Mr. Kyzeel identifies two to three goals that he would like to achieve in the hospital and says that he would like to have someone stay with him at his home for the first night because he is not sure that his wife will be able to take care of him like the nurses do in the hospital.

Of the goals identified, Mr. Jennings and Mr. Kyzeel identify the most important ones and the order in which Mr. Kyzeel would like to achieve them. Then Mr. Jennings and Mr. Kyzeel identify activities that can be done by the patient and the staff to achieve these goals. Before leaving the room, they agree on the goals, their priority, and the specific activities to be done, and they arrange for Mr. Kyzeel’s wife to be involved in the discharge planning.

Having established times when Mr. Jennings and Mr. Kyzeel will briefly talk to evaluate achievement of the goals, Mr. Jennings leaves the room and Mr. Kyzeel calls his wife to begin work on the activities he needs to accomplish.

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Analyze an interaction you recently had with a patient. Was a transaction achieved? If so, think about why you were successful; if not, reflect to identify why.
2. Does your health care agency’s philosophy encourage involvement of patients in their care? If so, does mutual goal setting occur?
3. Use King’s Theory of Goal Attainment to illustrate how and why you would present the importance of actively involving patients in their care.
4. Analyze the goal-setting process that occurs between the patient care staff and the nursing administration in the health care agency where you practice.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

Publications


**Websites**
- Reflections on Nursing Leadership at: [http://www.reflectionsonnursingleadership.org/Pages/Vol34_1_Messmer_Palmer.aspx](http://www.reflectionsonnursingleadership.org/Pages/Vol34_1_Messmer_Palmer.aspx).
- Imogene King (Spanish) at: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X5qrvhQ9kQQ&feature=related](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X5qrvhQ9kQQ&feature=related).
- Nurses.info at: [http://www.nurses.info/nursing_theory_person_king_imogene.htm](http://www.nurses.info/nursing_theory_person_king_imogene.htm).
- Theory of Goal Attainment at: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3FOgJHNS80o](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3FOgJHNS80o).
- Theory of Group Empowerment within Organizations (middle range theory) at: http://sites.google.com/site/theoryofnursinggrouppower/.

**Videos**
- Imogene King (Portuguese) at: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=twOJ8y8I9j0&feature=related](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=twOJ8y8I9j0&feature=related).
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**Doctoral Dissertations**

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Betty Neuman was born in 1924 and grew up on a farm in Ohio. Her rural background helped her develop a compassion for people in need, which has been evident throughout her career. She completed her initial nursing education with double honors at Peoples Hospital School of Nursing (now General Hospital), Akron, Ohio, in 1947. As a young nurse, Neuman moved to California and worked in a variety of roles that included hospital nurse, school nurse, industrial nurse, and clinical instructor at the University of Southern California Medical Center. She earned a baccalaureate degree in public health and psychology with honors (1957) and a master’s degree in mental health, public health consultation (1966), from the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). Neuman completed a doctoral degree in clinical psychology at Pacific Western University in 1985 (B. Neuman, personal communication, June 3, 1984).

Neuman was a pioneer of nursing involvement in mental health. She and Donna Aquilina were the first two nurses to develop the nurse counselor role within community crisis centers in Los Angeles (B. Neuman, personal communication, June 21, 1992). She developed, taught, and refined a community mental health program for post–master’s level nurses at UCLA. She developed and published her first explicit teaching and practice model for mental health consultation in the late 1960s, before the creation of her systems model

“...I believe that theory is vital to the development of an autonomous and accountable nursing profession...I believe that the model is relevant for the future because of its dynamic and systemic nature; its concepts and propositions are timeless” (Neuman, 2011, p. 318).
Neuman designed a nursing conceptual model for students at UCLA in 1970 to expand their understanding of client variables beyond the medical model (Neuman & Young, 1972). Neuman first published her model during the early 1970s (Neuman & Young, 1972; Neuman, 1974). The first edition of *The Neuman Systems Model: Application to Nursing Education and Practice* was published in 1982; further development and revisions of the model are illustrated in subsequent editions (Neuman, 1989, 1995, 2002b, 2011b).

Since developing the Neuman Systems Model, Neuman has been involved in numerous publications, paper presentations, consultations, lectures, and conferences on application and use of the model. She is a Fellow of the American Association of Marriage and Family Therapy and of the American Academy of Nursing. She taught nurse continuing education at UCLA and in community agencies for 14 years and was in private practice as a licensed clinical marriage and family therapist, with an emphasis on pastoral counseling. Although retired, Neuman continues to do occasional pastoral and nutritional counseling. Neuman lives in Ohio and maintains a leadership role in the Neuman Systems Model Trustees Group. She serves as a consultant nationally and internationally regarding implementation of the model for nursing education programs and for clinical practice agencies.

**Theoretical Sources**

The Neuman Systems Model is based on general system theory and reflects the nature of living organisms as open systems (Bertalanffy, 1968) in interaction with each other and with the environment (Neuman, 1982). Within this model, Neuman synthesizes knowledge from several disciplines and incorporates her own philosophical beliefs and clinical nursing expertise, particularly in mental health nursing.

The model draws from Gestalt theory (Perls, 1973), which describes homeostasis as the process by which an organism maintains its equilibrium, and consequently its health, under varying conditions. Neuman describes adjustment as the process by which the organism satisfies its needs. Many needs exist, and each may disrupt client balance or stability; therefore, the adjustment process is dynamic and continuous. All life is characterized by this ongoing interplay of balance and imbalance within the organism. When the stabilizing process fails to some degree, or when the organism remains in a state of disharmony for too long, illness may develop. If the organism is unable to compensate through illness, death may result (Neuman & Young, 1972).

The model is also derived from the philosophical views of de Chardin and Marx (Neuman, 1982). Marxist philosophy suggests that the properties of parts are determined partly by the larger wholes within dynamically organized systems. With this view, Neuman (1982) confirms that the patterns of the whole influence awareness of the part, which is drawn from de Chardin’s philosophy of the wholeness of life.

Neuman used Selye’s definition of stress, which is the nonspecific response of the body to any demand made on it. Stress increases the demand for readjustment. This demand is nonspecific; it requires adaptation to a problem, irrespective of the nature of the problem. Therefore, the essence of stress is the nonspecific demand for activity (Selye, 1974). Stressors are the tension-producing stimuli that result in stress; they may be positive or negative.

Neuman adapts the concept of levels of prevention from Caplan’s conceptual model (1964) and relates these prevention levels to nursing. Primary prevention is used to protect the organism before it encounters a harmful stressor. Primary prevention involves reducing the possibility of encountering the stressor or strengthening the client’s normal line of defense to decrease the reaction to the stressor. Secondary and tertiary prevention are used after the client’s encounter with a harmful stressor. Secondary prevention attempts to reduce the effect or possible effect of stressors through early diagnosis and effective treatment of illness symptoms; Neuman describes this as strengthening the internal lines of resistance. Tertiary prevention attempts to reduce the residual stressor effects and return the client to wellness after treatment (Capers, 1996; Neuman, 2002b).

**Use of Empirical Evidence**

Neuman conceptualized the model from sound theories before nursing research was begun on the model. She initially evaluated the utility of the model by submitting a tool to her graduate nursing students at UCLA and published the outcome data in *Nursing Research* (Neuman & Young, 1972). Subsequent nursing research
Betty Neuman (2011b) describes the Neuman systems model by stating the following:

*The Neuman Systems Model is a unique, open-systems-based perspective that provides a unifying focus for approaching a wide range of concerns. A system acts as a boundary for a single client, a group, or even a number of groups; it can also be defined as a social issue. A client system in interaction with the environment delineates the domain of nursing concerns* (p. 3).

Major concepts identified in the model (see Figure 16–1) are wholistic approach, open system (including function, input and output, feedback, negentropy, and stability), environment (including created environment), client system (including five client variables, basic structure, lines of resistance, normal line of defense, and flexible line of defense), health (wellness to illness), stressors, degree of reaction, prevention as intervention (three levels), and reconstitution (Neuman, 2011c, pp 327–329; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).

**Wholistic Approach**

The Neuman Systems Model is a dynamic, open, systems approach to client care originally developed to provide a unifying focus for defining nursing problems and for understanding the client in interaction with the environment. The client as a system may be defined as a person, family, group, community, or social issue (Neuman, 2011c).

Clients are viewed as wholes whose parts are in dynamic interaction. The model considers all variables simultaneously affecting the client system: physiological, psychological, sociocultural, developmental, and spiritual. Neuman included the spiritual variable in the second edition (1989). She changed the spelling of the term holistic to wholistic in the second edition to enhance understanding of the term as referring to the whole person (B. Neuman, personal communication, June 20, 1988).

**Open System**

A system is open when there is a continuous flow of input and processes, output, and feedback. Stress and reaction to stress are basic components of an open system (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).

**Function or Process**

The client as a system exchanges energy, information, and matter with the environment as well as other parts and subparts of the system as it uses available energy resources to move toward stability and wholeness (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).

**Input and Output**

For the client as a system, input and output are the matter, energy, and information that are exchanged between the client and the environment (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328).

**Feedback**

System output in the form of matter, energy, and information serves as feedback for future input for corrective action to change, enhance, or stabilize the system (Neuman, 2011c, p. 327).

**Negentropy**

The process of energy conservation that assists system in the progression toward stability or wellness is negentropy (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).

**Stability**

Stability is a dynamic and desirable state of balance in which energy exchanges can take place without disruption of the character of the system, which points toward optimal health and integrity (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).

**Environment**

As defined by Neuman, “... internal and external forces surrounding the client, influencing and being influenced by the client, at any point in time” (Neuman, 2011c, p. 327; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).
Created Environment
The created environment is developed unconsciously by the client to express system wholeness symbolically. Its purpose is to provide protection for client system functioning and to insulate the client from stressors (Neuman, 2011c, p. 327; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002a).

Client System
The client system is a composite of five variables (physiological, psychological, sociocultural, developmental, and spiritual) in interaction with the environment. The physiological variable refers to body structure and function. The psychological variable refers to mental processes in interaction with the environment. The sociocultural variable refers to the effects and influences of social and cultural conditions. The developmental variable refers to age-related processes and activities. The spiritual variable refers to spiritual beliefs and influences (Neuman, 2011c, p. 327; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002a).

Basic Structure
The client as a system is composed of a central core surrounded by concentric rings. The inner circle of the diagram (see Figure 16–1) represents the basic survival factors or energy resources of the client. This core structure “…consists of basic survival factors common to human beings,” such as innate or genetic features (Neuman, 2011c, p. 327; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002a).

Lines of Resistance
A series of broken rings surrounding the basic core structure are called the lines of resistance. These rings represent resource factors that help the client defend against a stressor (see Figure 16–1). Lines of resistance serve as protection factors that are activated by stressors penetrating the normal line of defense (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328).

Normal Line of Defense
The normal line of defense is the model’s outer solid circle (see Figure 16–1). It represents the adaptational level of health developed over the course of time and serves as the standard by which to measure wellness deviation. (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995). Expansion of the normal line of defense reflects an enhanced wellness state, and contraction indicates a diminished wellness state (Neuman, 2001, p. 322).

Flexible Line of Defense
The model’s outer broken ring is called the flexible line of defense (see Figure 16–1). It is perceived as serving as a protective buffer for preventing stressors from breaking through the usual wellness state as represented by the normal line of defense. Situational factors can affect the degree of protection afforded by the flexible line of defense, both positively and negatively (Neuman, 2011c, p. 327; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002a).

Neuman describes the flexible line of defense as the client system’s first protective mechanism. “When the flexible line of defense expands, it provides greater short-term protection against stressor invasion; when it contracts, it provides less protection” (Neuman, 2011, p. 322).

Health
Health is a continuum of wellness to illness that is dynamic in nature. Optimal wellness exists when the total system needs are being completely met (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328).

Wellness
Wellness exists when all system subparts interact in harmony with the whole system and all system needs are being met (Neuman, 2011c, p. 329; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).

Illness
Illness exists at the opposite end of the continuum from wellness and represents a state of instability and energy depletion (Neuman, 2011c, p. 329; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b).

Stressors
Stressors are tension-producing stimuli that have the potential to disrupt system stability, leading to...
an outcome that may be positive or negative. They may arise from the following:

- Intrapersonal forces occurring within the individual, such as conditioned responses
- Interpersonal forces occurring between one or more individuals, such as role expectations
- Extrapersonal forces occurring outside the individual, such as financial circumstances (Neuman, 2002b, p. 324; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995).

**Degree of Reaction**

The degree of reaction represents system instability that occurs when stressors invade the normal line of defense (Neuman, 2011c, p. 327; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995, 2002a).

**Prevention As Intervention**

Interventions are purposeful actions to help the client retain, attain, or maintain system stability. They can occur before or after protective lines of defense and resistance are penetrated. Neuman supports beginning intervention when a stressor is suspected or identified. Interventions are based on possible or actual degree of reaction, resources, goals, and anticipated outcomes. Neuman identifies three levels of intervention: (1) primary, (2) secondary, and (3) tertiary (Neuman, 2011, p. 328; see also Neuman, 1982, 1989, 1995).

**Primary Prevention**

Primary prevention is used when a stressor is suspected or identified. A reaction has not yet occurred, but the degree of risk is known. The purpose is to reduce the possibility of encounter with the stressor or to decrease the possibility of a reaction (Neuman, 1982, p. 15; 2011c, p. 328).

**Secondary Prevention**

Secondary prevention involves interventions or treatment initiated after symptoms from stress have occurred. The client’s internal and external resources are used to strengthen internal lines of resistance, reduce the reaction, and increase resistance factors (Neuman, 1982, p. 15; see also Neuman, 2011c, p. 328).

**Tertiary Prevention**

Tertiary prevention occurs after the active treatment or secondary prevention stage. It focuses on readjustment toward optimal client system stability. The goal is to maintain optimal wellness by preventing recurrence of reaction or regression. Tertiary prevention leads back in a circular fashion toward primary prevention (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328; see also Neuman, 1982).

**Reconstitution**

Reconstitution occurs after treatment for stressor reactions. It represents return of the system to stability, which may be at a higher or lower level of wellness than before stressor invasion (Neuman, 2011c, p. 328).

has produced sound empirical evidence in support of the Neuman Systems Model (Figure 16–1).

**Major Assumptions**

**Nursing**

Neuman (1982) believes that nursing is concerned with the whole person. She views nursing as a “unique profession in that it is concerned with all of the variables affecting an individual’s response to stress” (p. 14). The nurse’s perception influences the care given; therefore, Neuman (1995) states that the perceptual field of the caregiver and the client must be assessed.

**Person**

Neuman presents the concept of person as an open client system in reciprocal interaction with the environment. The client may be an individual, family, group, community, or social issue. The client system is a dynamic composite of interrelationships among physiological, psychological, sociocultural, developmental, and spiritual factors (Neuman, 2011b, p. 15).
Primary prevention
• Reduce possibility of encounter with stressors
• Strengthen flexible line of defense

Secondary prevention
• Early case-finding
• Treatment of symptoms

Tertiary prevention
• Readaptation
• Reeducation to prevent future occurrences
• Maintenance of stability

Stressors
• Identified
• Classified as to knowns or possibilities
• Loss
• Pain
• Sensory deprivation
• Cultural change

Flexible line of defense

Normal line of defense
Lines of resistance

Basic structure
• Basic factors common to all organisms:
  • Normal temperature range
  • Genetic structure
  • Response pattern
  • Organ strength
  • Weakness
  • Ego structure
  • Knowns or commonalities

Stressor

Intra
Inter
Extra
Personal
factors

Note:
*Physiological, psychological, sociocultural, developmental, and spiritual variables are considered simultaneously in each client concentric circle.

FIGURE 16-1 The Neuman Systems Model. (Original copyright 1970 by Betty Neuman. Used with permission.)
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Health

Neuman considers her work a wellness model. She views health as a continuum of wellness to illness that is dynamic in nature and is constantly changing. Neuman states that “Optimal wellness or stability indicates that total system needs are being met. A reduced state of wellness is the result of unmet systemic needs” (2011c, p. 328).

Environment

Neuman defines environment as all the internal and external factors that surround and influence the client system. Stressors (intrapersonal, interpersonal, and extrapersonal) are significant to the concept of environment and are described as environmental forces that interact with and potentially alter system stability (2011c, p. 327).

Neuman (1995) identifies three relevant environments: (1) internal, (2) external, and (3) created. The internal environment is intrapersonal, with all interaction contained within the client. The external environment is interpersonal or extrapersonal, with all factors arising from outside the client. The created environment is unconsciously developed and is used by the client to support protective coping. It is primarily intrapersonal. The created environment is dynamic in nature and mobilizes all system variables to create an insulating effect that helps the client cope with the threat of environmental stressors by changing the self or the situation. Examples are the use of denial (psychological variable) and life cycle continuation of survival patterns (developmental variable). The created environment perpetually influences and is influenced by changes in the client’s perceived state of wellness (Neuman, 1995, 2011b).

Theoretical Assertions

Theoretical assertions are the relationships among the essential concepts of a model (Torres, 1986). The Neuman model depicts the nurse as an active participant with the client and as “concerned with all the variables affecting an individual’s response to stressors” (Neuman, 1982, p. 14). The client is in a reciprocal relationship with the environment in that “he interacts with this environment by adjusting himself to it or adjusting it to himself” (Neuman, 1982, p. 14).

Neuman links the four essential concepts of person, environment, health, and nursing in her statements regarding primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention. Neuman’s earlier publications stated basic assumptions that linked essential concepts of the model. These statements have been recognized as propositions and serve to define, describe, and link the concepts of the model. Numerous theoretical assertions have been proposed, tested, and published, as noted throughout Neuman and Fawcett (2011).

Logical Form

Neuman used deductive and inductive logic in developing her model. As previously discussed, Neuman derived her model from other theories and disciplines. The model is also a product of her philosophy and of observations made in teaching mental health nursing and clinical counseling (Fawcett, Carpenito, Efinger, et al., 1982).

Applications by the Nursing Community

Alligood (2010) clarifies that a conceptual model provides a frame of reference, while a grand theory proposes direction or action that is testable. The Neuman Systems Model is both a model and a grand nursing theory. As a model, it provides a conceptual framework for nursing practice, research, and education (Freese, Russell, Neuman, & Fawcett, 2011; Louis, Neuman, Gigliotti, et al., 2011; Newman, Lowry, & Fawcett, 2011). As a grand theory, it proposes ways of viewing nursing phenomena and nursing actions that are assumed to be true but may form propositions for testing (Neuman, 2002a).

The model serves equally well for all levels of nursing education and for a wide variety of practice areas. It adapts well transculturally and is used frequently for public health nursing in other countries. The model is used extensively in the United States, Canada, and Holland. It has been used throughout the world (Australia, Brazil, Costa Rica, Denmark, Egypt, England, Finland, Ghana, Holland, Hong Kong, Iceland, Japan, Korea, Kuwait, New Zealand, Portugal, Puerto Rico, the Republic of China, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, Wales, and Yugoslavia).
The ongoing development and universal appeal of the model are reflected in the international Biennial Neuman Systems Model Symposia, which provide a forum across cultures for practitioners, educators, researchers, and students to share information about their use of the model. The first symposium was held in 1986 at Neumann College in Aston, Pennsylvania. Subsequent symposia have been held in Kansas City, Missouri (1988); Dayton, Ohio (1990); Rochester, New York (1993); Orlando, Florida (1995); Boston, Massachusetts (1997); Vancouver, British Columbia (1999); Salt Lake City, Utah (2001); Willow Grove, Pennsylvania (2003); Akron, Ohio (2005); Ft. Lauderdale, Florida (2007); Las Vegas, NV (2009); and Allentown, PA (2011). Each symposium has attracted participation from countries throughout the world and from disciplines beyond nursing.

Practice
Use of the Neuman Systems Model for nursing practice facilitates goal-directed, unified, wholistic approaches to client care, yet the model is also appropriate for multidisciplinary use to prevent fragmentation of client care. The model delineates a client system and classification of stressors that can be understood and used by all members of the health care team (Mirenda, 1986). Guidelines have been published for use of the model in clinical nursing practice (Freese, Russell, Neuman, & Fawcett, 2011) and for the administration of health care services (Shambaugh, Neuman, & Fawcett, 2011).

Several instruments have been published to facilitate use of the model. These instruments include an assessment and intervention tool to assist nurses in collecting and synthesizing client data, a format for prevention as intervention, and a format for application of the nursing process within the framework of the Neuman Systems Model (Neuman 2011a; Russell, 2002).

The Neuman Nursing Process Format consists of three steps: (1) nursing diagnosis, (2) nursing goals, and (3) nursing outcomes. (When used by other disciplines, the term nursing is changed accordingly.) Diagnosis involves obtaining a broad, comprehensive data base from which variances from wellness can be determined. Goals are established by negotiation between client and caregiver for desired prescriptive changes to correct variances from wellness. Outcomes are established in relation to the goal for one or more of the three prevention-as-intervention modes. Evaluation then is used to confirm that the desired outcomes have been achieved or to reformulate the goals or outcomes. Neuman (2011a) outlines her nursing process format, clarifying the steps in the process for use of her model in Appendix C (pp. 338–350). Russell (2002) provides a review of clinical tools using the model to guide nursing practice with individuals, families, communities, and organizations.

The breadth of the Neuman model has resulted in its application and adaptation in a variety of nursing practice settings, including hospitals, nursing homes, rehabilitation centers, hospices, mental health units, childbirth centers, and community-based services such as congregational nurse practices. Numerous examples are cited in Neuman's books (1982, 1989, 1995, 2002b, 2011). The model's wholistic approach makes it particularly applicable for clients who are experiencing complex stressors that affect multiple client variables such as terminal liver cancer (Hsuan, 2009). The model has been used to guide nursing practice in countries throughout the world. As an example, it is used in Holland to guide Emergis, a comprehensive program of mental health that provides psychiatric care for children, adolescents, adults, and elderly, and addiction care and social services (Merks, van Tilburg, & Lowry, 2011; Munck & Merks, 2002).

Neuman's model provides a systems perspective for use with individuals and families, for community-based practice with groups, and in public health nursing, as its wholistic principles assist nurses to achieve high-quality care through evidence-based practices (Ume-Nwagbo, Dewan, & Lowry, 2006). Anderson, McFarland, and Helton (1986) used the model for a community health needs assessment in which they identified violence toward women as a major community health concern. This model has been used to guide pediatric nursing practice (Spurr, Bally, Ogenchuk, et al., 2011) and as a framework for advanced psychiatric nursing practice (Groesbeck, 2011).

Likewise, the model is functional in the acute care setting. For example, Allegiance Health in Michigan adopted the Neuman Systems Model to be implemented as the nursing conceptual model at their institution. As part of the implementation process, various documents were revised or created to reflect nursing care using concepts of the model, such as the
use of the “six Neuman Systems Model questions” that were incorporated into the admission assessment (Burnett & Crisanti, 2011).

The model works well for multidisciplinary use. As an example, it is used to guide a team approach to holistic care for older adults after hip fracture (Kain, 2000). It also has proved useful in hospital-based case management in several Kansas hospitals, with the development of case management teams involving social workers and nursing staff (Wetta-Hall, Berry, Ablah, et al., 2004). Further research continues to validate its applicability in and beyond nursing.

**Education**

The model is well accepted in academe and is used widely as a curriculum guide. It has been used throughout the United States and in other countries, including Australia, Canada, Denmark, England, Holland, Japan, Korea, Kuwait, Portugal, and Taiwan (Beckman, Boxley-Harges, Bruick-Sorge, et al., 1994; Lowry, 2002). In an integrative review of use of the model in educational programs at all levels, Lowry (2002) reports that “although the trend is toward eclecticism in nursing education today, the Neuman Systems Model has served many programs well...” and frequently is selected in other countries to facilitate student learning (p. 231). Guidelines have been published for use of the model in education for the health professions (Newman, Lowry, & Fawcett, 2011).

The model’s wholistic perspective provides an effective framework for nursing education at all levels. Lowry and Newsome (1995) reported on a study of 12 associate degree programs that used the model as a conceptual framework for curriculum development. Results indicate that graduates use the model most often in the roles of teacher and care provider, and that they tend to continue practice from a Neuman Systems Model–based perspective following graduation. Neuman’s model has been selected for baccalaureate programs on the basis of its theoretical and comprehensive perspectives for a wholistic curriculum, and because of its potential for use with individuals, families, small groups, and the community. Neumann College Division of Nursing was the first school to select the Neuman Systems Model as its conceptual base for its curriculum and approach to client care in 1976. Neuman, Lowry, and Fawcett (2011) report that the Neuman Systems Model continues to serve as the conceptual framework for over 25 nursing education programs both in the United States and abroad including Loma Linda University (Burns, 2011), Anna Maria College (Cammuso, Audrey Silveri, & Remijan, 2011), Indiana University/Purdue University Fort Wayne (Beckman, Lowry, & Boxley-Harges, 2011), and Douglas College (Tarko & Helewka, 2011).

The model works equally well to guide clinical learning. For example, it is used with nursing students at a community nursing center (Newman, 2005), and to teach nursing students to promote the health of communities (Falk-Rafael, Ward-Griffin, Laforet-Fliesser, et al., 2004). It is used as a comprehensive framework to organize data collected from maternity patients by undergraduate nursing students at the University of South Florida (Lowry, 2002). Bruick-Sorge (2007) reported using the model in the clinical simulation setting to improve critical thinking skills by using model concepts.

The Neuman Systems Model is used to guide learning in classroom and clinical settings for multiple levels of nursing and health-related curricula around the world. Acceptance by the nursing education community is clearly evident. As online nursing education increases, it will be imperative that nurse educators find novel approaches for presenting this information to all levels of students.

**Research**

A significant amount of research has been conducted over the past decade on the components of the model to generate nursing theory and use of the model as a conceptual framework to advance nursing as a scientific discipline. Rules for Neuman Systems Model–Based Nursing Research as specified by Fawcett, a Neuman model trustee, are based on the content of the model and related literature (Fawcett & Gigliotti, 2001). Other guidelines have been published to guide use of the model for nursing research (Louis, Gigliotti, Neuman, et al., 2011).

Model is used frequently by nurse researchers as a conceptual framework, as it lends itself to both quantitative and qualitative methods. Recent examples of qualitative studies include studies of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in emergency nurses (Lavoie, Talbot, & Mathieu, 2011) and experiences of patients following mastectomy (Alves, Mourão, Galvão, et al., 2010). Examples of quantitative studies include investigations on the effect of back massage on relaxation (Walton, 2009), the effects of nurse facilitated family participation in the psychological care of critically ill patients (Black, Boore, & Parahoo, 2011), perceived wellness and stress in early adolescents (Yarcheski, Mahon, Yarcheski, et al., 2010), and the effects of coping and support groups for reduction of burnout among nurses (Günüşen & üstün, 2010).

Graduate students frequently use the model for dissertations and theses. Recent examples include studies on created environment of registered nursing students in Nevada (Elmore, 2010), acculturation and birth outcomes in Mexican and Mexican-American women (Chaponniere, 2010), the relationship between nursing student stress and the perception of clinical nurse educator caring (Roe, 2009), neonatal sepsis from peripherally inserted central catheters (Clem, 2010), and the association of various factors of persons undergoing methadone maintenance therapy (Paicentine, 2010).

Earlier research studies using the Neuman Systems Model are reported in previous editions of this chapter. Additional studies using this model are listed in the bibliography at the end of this chapter.

The Biennial Neuman Systems Model Symposium provides a rich forum for presentation of research (completed and in progress). At the twelfth (2009) and thirteenth (2011) symposia, nurses from the United States, Canada, Holland, Thailand, and China reported on numerous studies that used the model. Research presented at the twelfth symposium included studies on participation in online support groups by women with peripartum cardiomyopathy (Weinland & Hess, 2009), stressors and coping strategies in adolescents with scoliosis prior to and following surgical correction (Zhou, Ye, Zhang, et al., 2009), the utility of the Neuman Systems Model as a guide for psychiatric nursing practice in Holland (Merks, van Tilburg, & Lowry, 2009), and factors influencing adolescent depression (Sinsiri, 2009). Research presented at the thirteenth symposium included studies on the exploration of spirituality and spiritual care in a baccalaureate nursing program in South Carolina (South, 2011), role stress and eating behaviors among clergy (Kavanagh-Mannister, 2011), the relationship between shift work, sleep quality, and body mass index in nurses (Huth, 2011), and colon cancer awareness (Boxer 2011).

Research projects that were reported at previous symposia (1993 through 2007) are cited in previous editions of this chapter.

The Neuman Systems Model is used extensively to provide the conceptual framework for research projects in the United States and in other countries. Acceptance by the nursing research community is clearly evident. Further Development

When published initially, the Neuman Systems Model was described as being at a very early stage of theory development (Walker & Avant, 1983). Although the diagram itself has remained unchanged, the model has been refined based on its use and further developed in subsequent publications (Fawcett, 2001). At least two components have been supported and further developed since 2000. Major developments include spirituality (Beckman, Boxley-Harges, Bruick-Sorge, et al., 2007; DiJoseph & Cavendish, 2005; Lee, 2005; Lowry, 2002) and the concept of created environment (Hemphill, 2006).

Establishing full credibility of the model depends on extending the development and testing of middle-range theory from it. Neuman and Koertvelyessy identified two theories generated from the model: (1) the theory of optimal client system stability, and (2) the theory of prevention as intervention (Fawcett, 1995b). Gigliotti (2011) reports that additional middle-range theories continue to be derived from the Neuman Systems Model, including the Theory of Adolescent Vulnerability to Risk Behaviors, Theory of Well-being, Theory of Maternal Role Stress, and the Theory of Dialysis Decision Making. Further research based on the Neuman Systems Model is needed to validate the relationship between model concepts and research outcomes (Fawcett & Giangrande, 2002; Gigliotti, 2011).
The Neuman Systems Model Trustee Group was established in 1988 to preserve, protect, and perpetuate the integrity of the model for the future of nursing (Neuman, 2011d). Its international members, personally selected by Neuman, are dedicated professionals. The Neuman Systems Model Research Institute has been organized to generate and test middle-range theories derived from the model. Preliminary work that has been completed includes assembling resources, identifying concepts and the relationships among them, and synthesizing existing research based on Neuman Systems Model concepts (Gigliotti, 2003). The Research Institute offers grants and fellowships to deserving researchers in an effort to promote the use of the model and work in generating middle-range theories from the model, and also offers consultation services regarding the use of the model in nursing research (Gigliotti, 2011).

Critique
Neuman developed a comprehensive conceptual model that operationalizes systems concepts that are relevant to the breadth of nursing phenomena. The model's wholistic perspective allows for a wide range of creativity in its use. It remains relevant for use by nursing and by other health care professions in the future.

Clarity
Neuman presents abstract concepts that are familiar to nurses. The model’s essential concepts of client, environment, health, and nursing are congruent with traditional understanding of the nursing metaparadigm. Concepts defined by Neuman and those borrowed from other disciplines are used consistently throughout the model. However, the model’s clarity has been criticized in that concepts need to be defined more completely (August-Brady, 2000; Heyman & Wolfe, 2000).

Simplicity
The model concepts are organized in a complex yet systematically logical manner. Multiple interrelationships exist among concepts, and variables overlap to some degree. Distinctions between concepts tend to blur at several points, but loss of theoretical meaning would occur if they were separated completely. Neuman states that the concepts can be separated for analysis, specific goal setting, and interventions (B. Neuman, personal communication, June 21, 1992). This model can be used to explain the client's dynamic state of equilibrium and the reaction or possible reaction to stressors. The concept of prevention as intervention can be used to describe or predict nursing phenomena. The model is complex; therefore, it cannot be described as being simple, yet nurses using the model describe it as easy to understand and it is used across cultures and in a wide variety of practice settings.

Generality
The Neuman Systems Model has been used in a wide variety of nursing situations; it is both comprehensive and adaptable. Some concepts are broad and represent the phenomenon of “client,” which may be one person or a larger system. Other concepts are more definitive and identify specific modes of action, such as primary prevention. The model's systematic broad scope allows it to be useful to nurses and to other health care professionals in working with individuals, families, groups, or communities in all health care settings.

Health professionals beyond nursing use the model as a framework for care because its wholistic perspective accommodates varied approaches to client assessment and care. Its systems approach and its emphasis on involving the client as an active participant fit well with contemporary health care values such as prevention and interdisciplinary care management.

Accessibility
The model has been tested and is used extensively to guide nursing research. Early work (Hoffman, 1982; Louis and Koertvelyessy, 1989) provided initial documentation of empirical support. Continued testing and refinement through the work of the Research Institute and independent nurse researchers increase the model's empirical precision as research continues and findings from multiple studies are synthesized (Gigliotti, 1999, 2003, 2011; Skalski, DiGerolamo, & Gigliotti, 2006).

Importance
Neuman’s conceptual model includes guidelines for the professional nurse for assessment of the client system, utilization of the nursing process, and implementation
of preventive interventions, which are all important to delivery of care. The focus on primary prevention and interdisciplinary care is futuristic and serves to improve quality of care. The Neuman nursing process fulfills current health mandates by involving the client actively in negotiating the goals of nursing care (Neuman, 2011b).

A major feature of the model is its potential to generate nursing theory, for example, the theories of optimal client stability and prevention as intervention (Fawcett, 1995a). The model concepts are highly relevant for use by health professionals in the twenty-first century. Through continued theory development and research with the model, the nursing discipline can expand its scientific knowledge base. According to Fawcett (1989, 1995b), the model meets social considerations of congruence, significance, and utility. The model is broad and systems based. It lends itself well to a comprehensive approach for nurses to evaluate evidence and respond to the world's rapidly changing health care needs.

**SUMMARY**

The Neuman Systems Model is derived from general system theory. Its focus is on the client as a system (which may be an individual, family, group, or community) and on the client’s responses to stressors. The client system includes five variables (physiological, psychological, sociocultural, developmental, and spiritual) and is conceptualized as an inner core (basic energy resources) surrounded by concentric circles that include lines of resistance, a normal line of defense, and a flexible line of defense. Each of the five variables is considered in each of the concentric circles. Stressors are tension-producing stimuli that may be intrapersonal, interpersonal, or extrapersonal in nature.

The model proposes three levels of nursing intervention (primary prevention, secondary prevention, tertiary prevention) based on Caplan’s concept of levels of prevention (1964). The purpose of prevention as intervention is to achieve the maximum possible level of client system stability. Neuman suggests a nursing process format in which the client, as a recipient of care, participates actively with the nurse as caregiver to set goals and select interventions.

This model has been well accepted by the nursing community and is used in administration, practice, education, and research. The Neuman Systems Model Trustees Group is actively involved in protecting the integrity of the model and advancing its development. The Neuman Systems Model Research Institute has been established and is working to generate and test middle-range theories based on the model.

**CASE STUDY**

**Individuals and a Family as a Client**

Elizabeth Jefferies is a divorced 46-year-old mother of two children and the daughter of two aging parents in the southeastern United States. She and her children have recently relocated from an urban neighborhood to a rural town to care for her parents, Robert and Susan. The move involved a job change for Elizabeth, a change in schools for the children, and an increased distance from the children’s father. Robert is a 72-year-old Methodist minister who recently suffered a stroke, leaving him with diminished motor function on his left side and difficulty swallowing. Susan is 68 years old and suffers from fibromyalgia, limiting her ability to assist with the daily care of her husband. She has experienced an increase in generalized pain, difficulty sleeping, and worsening fatigue since her husband’s stroke.

Use the Neuman Systems Model as a conceptual framework to respond to the following:

- Describe the Jefferies family as a client system using each of the five variables.
- What are the actual and potential stressors that threaten the family? Which of these stressors are positive, and which are negative? What actual and potential stressors threaten the individual members of the family? Which of these stressors are positive, and which are negative?
- What additional nursing assessment data are needed considering Robert’s medical diagnoses? What additional data would be helpful for Susan’s medical diagnoses?
- What levels of prevention intervention(s) are appropriate for the Jefferies family? What levels of prevention intervention(s) are appropriate for each individual member of the family?
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

Community as Client

Select one organization with which you are familiar that would be considered a community, based on it having face-to-face interaction and a shared set of interests or values. This could be a church, an employing organization, or a civic group. Use the Neuman Systems Model as a framework to analyze the organization as a community-client and to support organizational planning, as follows:

1. What is the basic structure (core)? What factors in the lines of resistance support the status quo?

What factors in the lines of defense support healthy organizational functioning?

2. What stressors, actual or potential, may disrupt the organization as a system and result in change?

3. If the perceptions of goals by the members and the leaders differ, how can the differences be resolved for mutual goal setting that will be beneficial for the organization?

4. What prevention as intervention strategies would support the organization in making changes successful?
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Adaptation Model

Kenneth D. Phillips and Robin Harris

“God is intimately revealed in the diversity of creation and is the common destiny of creation; persons use human creative abilities of awareness, enlightenment, and faith; and persons are accountable for the process of deriving, sustaining, and transforming the universe”  
(Roy, 2000, p. 127).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Sister Callista Roy, a member of the Sisters of Saint Joseph of Carondelet, was born on October 14, 1939, in Los Angeles, California. She received a bachelor’s degree in nursing in 1963 from Mount Saint Mary’s College in Los Angeles and a master’s degree in nursing from the University of California, Los Angeles, in 1966. After earning her nursing degrees, Roy began her education in sociology, receiving both a master’s degree in sociology in 1973 and a doctorate degree in sociology in 1977 from the University of California. While working toward her master’s degree, Roy was challenged in a seminar with Dorothy E. Johnson to develop a conceptual model for nursing. While working as a pediatric staff nurse, Roy had noticed the great resiliency of children and their ability to adapt in response to major physical and psychological changes. Roy was impressed by adaptation as an appropriate conceptual framework for nursing. Roy developed the basic concepts of the model while she was a graduate student at the University of California, Los Angeles, from 1964 to 1966. Roy began operationalizing her model in 1968 when Mount Saint Mary’s College adopted the adaptation framework as

Roy was an associate professor and chairperson of the Department of Nursing at Mount Saint Mary’s College until 1982. She was promoted to the rank of professor in 1983 at both Mount Saint Mary’s College and the University of Portland. She helped initiate and taught in a summer master’s program at the University of Portland. From 1983 to 1985, she was a Robert Wood Johnson postdoctoral fellow at the University of California, San Francisco, as a clinical nurse scholar in neuroscience. During this time, she conducted research on nursing interventions for cognitive recovery in head injuries and on the influence of nursing models on clinical decision making. In 1987, Roy began the newly created position of nurse theorist at Boston College School of Nursing.


Roy is a member of Sigma Theta Tau, and she received the National Founder’s Award for Excellence in Fostering Professional Nursing Standards in 1981. Her achievements include an Honorary Doctorate of Humane Letters from Alverno College (1984), honorary doctorates from Eastern Michigan University (1985) and St. Joseph’s College in Maine (1999), and an *American Journal of Nursing* Book of the Year Award for *Essentials of the Roy Adaptation Model* (Andrews & Roy, 1986). Roy has been recognized as the World Who’s Who of Women (1979); Personalities of America (1978); fellow of the American Academy of Nursing (1978); recipient of a Fulbright Senior Scholar Award from the Australian-American Educational Foundation (1989), ) and received the Martha Rogers Award for Advancing Nursing Science from the National League for Nursing (1991). Roy received the Outstanding Alumna award and the prestigious Carondelet Medal from her alma mater, Mount Saint Mary’s. The American Academy of Nursing honored Roy for her extraordinary life achievements by recognizing her as a Living Legend (2007).

**Theoretical Sources**

Derivation of the Roy Adaptation Model for nursing included a citation of Harry Helson’s work in psychophysics that extended to social and behavioral sciences (Roy, 1984). In Helson’s adaptation theory, adaptive responses are a function of the incoming stimulus and the adaptive level (Roy, 1984). A stimulus is any factor that provokes a response. Stimuli may arise from the internal or the external environment (Roy, 1984). The adaptation level is made up of the pooled effect of the following three classes of stimuli:

1. **Focal stimuli** immediately confront the individual.
2. **Contextual stimuli** are all other stimuli present that contribute to the effect of the focal stimulus.
3. **Residual stimuli** are environmental factors of which the effects are unclear in a given situation.

Helson’s work developed the concept of the adaptation level zone, which determines whether a stimulus will elicit a positive or negative response. According to Helson’s theory, adaptation is the process of responding positively to environmental changes (Roy & Roberts, 1981).

Roy (Roy & Roberts, 1981) combined Helson’s work with Rapoport’s definition of system to view the person as an adaptive system. With Helson’s adaptation theory as a foundation, Roy (1970) developed and further refined the model with concepts and theory from Dohrenwend, Lazarus, Mechanic, and Selye. Roy gave special credit to co-authors Driever, for outlining subdivisions of self-integrity, and Martinez and Sato, for identifying common and primary stimuli affecting the modes. Other co-workers also elaborated the concepts. Poush-Tedrow and Van Landingham made contributions to the interdependence mode, and Randell made contributions to the role function mode.

After the development of her model, Roy presented it as a framework for nursing practice, research, and education. Roy (1971) acknowledged that more than 1500 faculty and students contributed to the theoretical development of the adaptation model. She presented the model as a curriculum framework to a large audience at the 1977 Nurse Educator Conference in Chicago (Roy, 1979). And, by 1987, it was estimated that more than 100,000 nurses in the United States and Canada had been prepared to practice using the Roy model.
In *Introduction to Nursing: An Adaptation Model*, Roy (1976a) discussed self-concept and group identity mode. She and her collaborators cited the work of Coombs and Snygg regarding self-consistency and major influencing factors of self-concept (Roy, 1984). Social interaction theories are cited to provide a theoretical basis. For example, Roy (1984) notes that Cooley (1902) theorizes that self-perception is influenced by perceptions of others’ responses, termed the “looking glass self.” She points out that Mead expands the idea by hypothesizing that self-appraisal uses the generalized other. Roy builds on Sullivan’s suggestion that self arises from social interaction (Roy, 1984). Gardner and Erickson support Roy’s developmental approaches (Roy, 1984). The other modes—physiological—physical, role function, and interdependence—were drawn similarly from biological and behavioral sciences for an understanding of the person.

Additional development of the model occurred during the later 1900s and into the twenty-first century. These developments included updated scientific and philosophical assumptions; a redefinition of adaptation and adaptation levels; extension of the adaptive modes to group-level knowledge development; and analysis, critique, and synthesis of the first 25 years of research based on the Roy Adaptation Model. Roy agrees with other theorists who believe that changes in the

**MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS**

**System**

A *system* is “a set of parts connected to function as a whole for some purpose and that does so by virtue of the interdependence of its parts” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 32). In addition to having wholeness and related parts, “systems also have inputs, outputs, and control and feedback processes” (Andrews & Roy, 1991, p. 7).

**Adaptation Level**

“Adaptation level represents the condition of the life processes described on three levels as integrated, compensatory, and compromised” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 30). A person’s adaptation level is “a constantly changing point, made up of focal, contextual, and residual stimuli, which represent the person’s own standard of the range of stimuli to which one can respond with ordinary adaptive responses” (Roy, 1984, pp. 27–28).

**Adaptation Problems**

*Adaptation problems* are “broad areas of concern related to adaptation. These describe the difficulties related to the indicators of positive adaptation” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 65). Roy (1984) states the following:

It can be noted at this point that the distinction being made between adaptation problems and nursing diagnoses is based on the developing work in both of these fields. At this point, adaptation problems are seen not as nursing diagnoses, but as areas of concern for the nurse related to adapting person or group (within each adaptive mode) (pp. 89–90).
### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS—cont’d

#### Focal Stimulus
The *focal stimulus* is “the internal or external stimulus most immediately confronting the human system” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31)*.

#### Contextual Stimuli
*Contextual stimuli* “are all other stimuli present in the situation that contribute to the effect of the focal stimulus” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31)*, that is, “contextual stimuli are all the environmental factors that present to the person from within or without but which are not the center of the person’s attention and/or energy” *(Andrews & Roy, 1991, p. 9)*.

#### Residual Stimuli
*Residual stimuli* “are environmental factors within or without the human system with effects in the current situation that are unclear” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 32)*.

#### Coping Processes
*Coping processes* “are innate or acquired ways of interacting with the changing environment” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31)*.

#### Innate Coping Mechanisms
*Innate coping mechanisms* “are genetically determined or common to the species and are generally viewed as automatic processes; humans do not have to think about them” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 46)*.

#### Acquired Coping Mechanisms
*Acquired coping mechanisms* “are developed through strategies such as learning. The experiences encountered throughout life contribute to customary responses to particular stimuli” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 46)*.

#### Regulator Subsystem
*Regulator* is “a major coping process involving the neural, chemical, and endocrine systems” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 32)*.

#### Cognator Subsystem
*Cognator* is “a major coping process involving four cognitive-emotive channels: perceptual and information processing, learning, judgment, and emotion” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31)*.

#### Adaptive Responses
*Adaptive responses* are those “that promote integrity in terms of the goals of human systems” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31)*.

#### Ineffective Responses
*Ineffective responses* are those “that do not contribute to integrity in terms of the goals of the human system” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31)*.

#### Integrated Life Process
*Integrated life process* refers to the “adaptation level at which the structures and functions of a life process are working as a whole to meet human needs” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31)*.

#### Physiological-Physical Mode
The *physiological mode* “is associated with the physical and chemical processes involved in the function and activities of living organisms” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 102)*. Five needs are identified in the physiological-physical mode relative to the basic need of physiological integrity as follows: (1) oxygenation, (2) nutrition, (3) elimination, (4) activity and rest, and (5) protection. Complex processes that include the senses; fluid, electrolyte, and acid-base balance; neurological function; and endocrine function contribute to physiological adaptation. The basic need of the physiological mode is physiological integrity *(Roy & Andrews, 1999)*. The physical mode is “the manner in which the collective human adaptive system manifests adaptation relative to basic operating resources, participants, physical facilities, and fiscal resources” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 104)*. The basic need of the physical mode is operating integrity.

#### Self-Concept-Group Identity Mode
The self-concept-group identity mode is one of the three psychosocial modes; “it focuses specifically on the psychological and spiritual aspects of the human system. The basic need underlying the individual self-concept mode has been identified as psychic and spiritual integrity, or the need to know who one is so that one can be or exist with a sense of unity, meaning, and purposefulness in the universe” *(Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 107)*. “Self-concept is defined as the composite of beliefs and feelings about oneself
at a given time and is formed from internal perceptions and perceptions of others’ reactions” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 107). Its components include the following: (1) the physical self, which involves sensation and body image, and (2) the personal self, which is made up of self-consistency, self-ideal or expectancy, and the moral-ethical-spiritual self. The group identity mode “reflects how people in groups perceive themselves based on environmental feedback. The group identity mode [is composed] of interpersonal relationships, group self-image, social milieu, and culture” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 108). The basic need of the group identity mode is identity integrity (Roy & Andrews, 1999).

**Role Function Mode**

The role function mode “is one of two social modes and focuses on the roles the person occupies in society. A role, as the functioning unit of society, is defined as a set of expectations about how a person occupying one position behaves toward a person occupying another position. The basic need underlying the role function mode has been identified as social integrity—the need to know who one is in relation to others so that one can act” (Hill & Roberts, 1981, pp. 109–110). Persons perform primary, secondary, and tertiary roles. These roles are carried out with both instrumental and expressive behaviors. Instrumental behavior is “the actual physical performance of a behavior” (Andrews, 1991, p. 348). Expressive behaviors are “the feelings, attitudes, likes or dislikes that a person has about a role or about the performance of a role” (Andrews, 1991, p. 348).

The primary role determines the majority of behavior engaged in by the person during a particular period of life. It is determined by age, sex, and developmental stage (Andrews, 1991, p. 349).

Secondary roles are those that a person assumes to complete the task associated with a developmental stage and primary role (Andrews, 1991, p. 349).

Tertiary roles are related primarily to secondary roles and represent ways in which individuals meet their role associated obligations . . . Tertiary roles are normally temporary in nature, freely chosen by the individual, and may include activities such as clubs or hobbies (Andrews, 1991, p. 349).

The major roles that one plays can be analyzed by imagining a tree formation. The trunk of the tree is one’s primary role, or developmental level, such as a generative adult female. Secondary roles branch off from this—for example, wife, mother, and teacher. Finally, tertiary roles branch off from secondary roles—for example, the mother role might involve the role of parent-teacher association president for a given period. Each of these roles is seen as occurring in a dyadic relationship, that is, with a reciprocal role (Roy & Andrews, 1999).

**Interdependence Mode**

“The interdependence mode focuses on close relationships of people (individually and collectively) and their purpose, structure, and development . . . Interdependent relationships involve the willingness and ability to give to others and accept from them aspects of all that one has to offer such as love, respect, value, nurturing, knowledge, skills, commitments, material possessions, time, and talents” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 111).

The basic need of this mode is termed relational integrity (Roy & Andrews, 1999).

Two specific relationships are the focus of the interdependence mode as it applies to individuals. The first is with significant others, persons who are the most important to the individual. The second is with support systems, that is, others contributing to meeting interdependence needs (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 112).

Two major areas of interdependence behaviors have been identified: receptive behavior and contributive behavior. These behaviors apply respectively to the “receiving and giving of love, respect and value in interdependent relationships” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 112).

**Perception**

“Perception is the interpretation of a stimulus and the conscious appreciation of it” (Pollock, 1993, p. 169). Perception links the regulator with the cognator and connects the adaptive modes (Rambo, 1983).
Use of Empirical Evidence

From this beginning, the Roy Adaptation Model has been supported through research in practice and in education (Brower & Baker, 1976; Farkas, 1981; Mastal & Hammond, 1980; Meleis, 1985, 2007; Roy, 1980; Roy & Obloy, 1978; Wagner, 1976). In 1999 (Roy & Andrews, 1999), a group of seven scholars working with Roy conducted a meta-analysis, critique, and synthesis of 163 studies based on the Roy Adaptation Model that had been published in 44 English language journals on five continents and dissertations and theses from the United States. Of these 163 studies, 116 met the criteria established for testing propositions from the model. Twelve generic propositions based on Roy’s earlier work were derived. To synthesize the research, findings of each study were used to state ancillary and practice propositions, and support for the propositions was examined. Of 265 propositions tested, 216 (82%) were supported. Roy (2011a) presented a comprehensive review of research based on the adaptation model for the last 25 years in Nursing Science Quarterly, volume 24, number 4. The complete issue is dedicated to honoring Callista Roy and her life work.

Major Assumptions

Assumptions from systems theory and assumptions from adaptation level theory have been combined into a single set of scientific assumptions. From systems theory, human adaptive systems are viewed as interactive parts that act in unity for some purpose. Human adaptive systems are complex and multifaceted and respond to a myriad of environmental stimuli to achieve adaptation. With their ability to adapt to environmental stimuli, humans have the capacity to create changes in the environment (Roy & Andrews, 1999). Drawing on characteristics of creation spirituality by Swimme and Berry (1992), Roy combined the assumptions of humanism and veritivity into a single set of philosophical assumptions. Humanism asserts that the person and human experiences are essential to knowing and valuing, and that they share in creative power. Veritivity affirms the belief in the purpose, value, and meaning of all human life. These scientific and philosophical assumptions have been refined for use of the model in the twenty-first century (Box 17–1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOX 17-1 Vision Basic to Concepts for the Twenty-First Century</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scientific Assumptions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Systems of matter and energy progress to higher levels of complex self-organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Consciousness and meaning are constitutive of person and environment integration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Awareness of self and environment is rooted in thinking and feeling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Humans, by their decisions, are accountable for the integration of creative processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Thinking and feeling mediate human action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ System relationships include acceptance, protection, and fostering of interdependence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Persons and the earth have common patterns and integral relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Persons and environment transformations are created in human consciousness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Integration of human and environment meanings results in adaptation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Philosophical Assumptions**                                 |
| ■ Persons have mutual relationships with the world and God. |
| ■ Human meaning is rooted in an omega point convergence of the universe. |
| ■ God is ultimately revealed in the diversity of creation and is the common destiny of creation. |
| ■ Persons use human creative abilities of awareness, enlightenment, and faith. |
| ■ Persons are accountable for the processes of deriving, sustaining, and transforming the universe. |

Adaptation

Roy has further defined adaptation for use in the twenty-first century (Roy & Andrews, 1999). According to Roy, adaptation refers to “the process and outcome whereby thinking and feeling persons, as individuals or in groups, use conscious awareness and choice to create human and environmental integration” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 30). Rather than being a human system that simply strives to respond to environmental stimuli to maintain integrity, every human life is purposeful in a universe that is creative, and persons are inseparable from their environment.

Nursing

Roy defines nursing broadly as a “health care profession that focuses on human life processes and patterns and emphasizes promotion of health for individuals, families, groups, and society as a whole” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 4). Specifically, Roy defines nursing according to her model as the science and practice that expands adaptive abilities and enhances person and environmental transformation. She identifies nursing activities as the assessment of behavior and the stimuli that influence adaptation. Nursing judgments are based on this assessment, and interventions are planned to manage the stimuli (Roy & Andrews, 1999). Roy differentiates nursing as a science from nursing as a practice discipline. Nursing science is… “a developing system of knowledge about persons that observes, classifies, and relates the processes by which persons positively affect their health status” (Roy, 1984, pp. 3–4). Nursing as a practice discipline is “nursing’s scientific body of knowledge used for the purpose of providing an essential service to people, that is, promoting ability to affect health positively” (Roy, 1984, pp. 3–4). “Nursing acts to enhance the interaction of the person with the environment—to promote adaptation” (Andrews & Roy, 1991, p. 20).

Roy’s goal of nursing is “the promotion of adaptation for individuals and groups in each of the four adaptive modes, thus contributing to health, quality of life, and dying with dignity” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 19). Nursing fills a unique role as a facilitator of adaptation by assessing behavior in each of these four adaptive modes and factors influencing adaptation and by intervening to promote adaptive abilities and to enhance environment interactions (Roy & Andrews, 1999).

Person

According to Roy, humans are holistic, adaptive systems. “As an adaptive system, the human system is described as a whole with parts that function as unity for some purpose. Human systems include people as individuals or in groups, including families, organizations, communities, and society as a whole” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 31). Despite their great diversity, all persons are united in a common destiny (Roy & Andrews, 1999). “Human systems have thinking and feeling capacities, rooted in consciousness and meaning, by which they adjust effectively to changes in the environment and, in turn, affect the environment” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 36). Persons and the earth have common patterns and mutuality of relations and meaning (Roy & Andrews, 1999). Roy (Roy & Andrews, 1999) defined the person as the main focus of nursing, the recipient of nursing care, a living, complex, adaptive system with internal processes (cognator and regulator) acting to maintain adaptation in the four adaptive modes (physiological, self-concept, role function, and interdependence).

Health

“Health is a state and a process of being and becoming integrated and a whole person. It is a reflection of adaptation, that is, the interaction of the person and the environment” (Andrews & Roy, 1991, p. 21). Roy (1984) derived this definition from the thought that adaptation is a process of promoting physiological, psychological, and social integrity, and that integrity implies an unimpaired condition leading to completeness or unity. In her earlier work, Roy viewed health along a continuum flowing from death and extreme poor health to high-level and peak wellness (Brower & Baker, 1976). During the late 1990s, Roy’s writings focused more on health as a process in which health and illness can coexist (Roy & Andrews, 1999). Drawing on the writings of Illich (1974, 1976), Roy wrote, “health is not freedom from the inevitability of death, disease, unhappiness, and stress, but the ability to cope with them in a competent way” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 52).
Health and illness is one inevitable, coexistent dimension of the person’s total life experience (Riehl & Roy, 1980). Nursing is concerned with this dimension. When mechanisms for coping are ineffective, illness is the result. Health ensues when humans continually adapt. As people adapt to stimuli, they are free to respond to other stimuli. The freeing of energy from ineffective coping attempts can promote healing and enhance health (Roy, 1984).

**Environment**

According to Roy, environment is “all the conditions, circumstances, and influences surrounding and affecting the development and behavior of persons or groups, with particular consideration of the mutuality of person and earth resources that includes focal, contextual, and residual stimuli” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 81). “It is the changing environment [that] stimulates the person to make adaptive responses” (Andrews & Roy, 1991, p. 18). Environment is the input into the person as an adaptive system involving both internal and external factors. These factors may be slight or large, negative or positive. However, any environmental change demands increasing energy to adapt to the situation. Factors in the environment that affect the person are categorized as focal, contextual, and residual stimuli.

**Theoretical Assertions**

Roy’s model focuses on the concept of adaptation of the person. Her concepts of nursing, person, health, and environment are all interrelated to this central concept. The person continually experiences environmental stimuli. Ultimately, a response is made and adaptation occurs. This response may be either an adaptive or an ineffective response. Adaptive responses promote integrity and help the person to achieve the goals of adaptation, that is, they achieve survival, growth, reproduction, mastery, and person and environmental transformations. Ineffective responses fail to achieve or threaten the goals of adaptation. Nursing has a unique goal to assist the person’s adaptation effort by managing the environment. The result is attainment of an optimal level of wellness by the person (Andrews & Roy, 1986; Randell, Tedrow, & Van Landingham, 1982; Roy, 1970, 1971, 1980, 1984; Roy & Roberts, 1981).

As an open living system, the person receives inputs or stimuli from both the environment and the self. The adaptation level is determined by the combined effect of focal, contextual, and residual stimuli. Adaptation occurs when the person responds positively to environmental changes. This adaptive response promotes the integrity of the person, which leads to health. Ineffective responses to stimuli lead to disruption of the integrity of the person (Andrews & Roy, 1986; Randell, Tedrow, & Van Landingham, 1982; Roy, 1970, 1971, 1980; Roy & McLeod, 1981).

There are two interrelated subsystems in Roy’s model (Figure 17–1). The primary, functional, or control processes subsystem consists of the regulator and the cognator. The secondary, effector subsystem consists of the following four adaptive modes: (1) physiological needs, (2) self-concept, (3) role function, and (4) interdependence (Andrews & Roy, 1986; Limandri, 1986; Mastal, Hammond, & Roberts, 1982; Meleis, 1985, 2007; Riehl & Roy, 1980; Roy, 1971, 1975).

Roy views the regulator and the cognator as methods of coping. The regulator coping subsystem, by way of the physiological adaptive mode, “responds automatically through neural, chemical, and endocrine

coping processes” (Andrews & Roy, 1991, p. 14). The cognator coping subsystem, by way of the self-concept, interdependence, and role function adaptive modes, “responds through four cognitive-emotive channels: perceptual information processing, learning, judgment, and emotion” (Andrews & Roy, 1991, p. 14). Perception is the interpretation of a stimulus, and perception links the regulator with the cognator in that “input into the regulator is transformed into perceptions. Perception is a process of the cognator. The responses following perception are feedback into both the cognator and the regulator” (Galligan, 1979, p. 67).

The four adaptive modes of the two subsystems in Roy’s model provide form or manifestations of cognator and regulator activity. Responses to stimuli are carried out through four adaptive modes. The physiological-physical adaptive mode is concerned with the way humans interact with the environment through physiological processes to meet the basic needs of oxygenation, nutrition, elimination, activity and rest, and protection. The self-concept group identity adaptive mode is concerned with the need to know who one is and how to act in society. An individual’s self-concept is defined by Roy as “the composite of beliefs or feelings that an individual holds about him- or herself at any given time” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 49). An individual’s self-concept is composed of the physical self (body sensation and body image) and the personal self (self-consistency, self-ideal, and moral-ethical-spiritual self). The role function adaptive mode describes the primary, secondary, and tertiary roles that an individual performs in society. A role describes the expectations about how one person behaves toward another person. The interdependence adaptive mode describes the interactions of people in society. The major task of the interdependence adaptive mode is for persons to give and receive love, respect, and value. The most important components of the interdependence adaptive mode are a person’s significant other (spouse, child, friend, or God) and his or her social support system. The purpose of the four adaptive modes is to achieve physiological, psychological, and social integrity. The four adaptive modes are interrelated through perception (Roy & Andrews, 1999) (Figure 17–2).

The person as a whole is made up of six subsystems. These subsystems (the regulator, the cognator, and the four adaptive modes) are interrelated to form a complex system for the purpose of adaptation. Relationships among the four adaptive modes occur when internal and external stimuli affect more than one mode, when disruptive behavior occurs in more than one mode, or when one mode becomes the focal, contextual, or residual stimulus for another mode (Brower & Baker, 1976; Chinn & Kramer, 2008; Mastal & Hammond, 1980).

With regard to human social systems, Roy broadly categorizes the control processes into the stabilizer and innovator subsystems. The stabilizer subsystem is analogous to the regulator subsystem of the individual and is concerned with stability. To maintain the system, the stabilizer subsystem involves organizational structure, cultural values, and regulation of daily activities of the system. The innovator subsystem is associated with the cognator subsystem of the individual and is concerned with creativity, change, and growth (Roy & Andrews, 1999).

Logical Form

The Roy Adaptation Model of nursing is both deductive and inductive. It is deductive in that much of Roy’s theory is derived from Helson’s psychophysics theory. Helson developed the concepts of focal, contextual, and residual stimuli, which Roy (1971) redefined within nursing to form a typology of factors
related to adaptation levels of persons. Roy also uses other concepts and theory outside the discipline of nursing and synthesizes these within her adaptation theory.

Roy’s adaptation theory is inductive in that she developed the four adaptive modes from research and nursing practice experiences of herself, her colleagues, and her students. Roy built on the conceptual framework of adaptation and developed a step-by-step model by which nurses use the nursing process to administer nursing care to promote adaptation in situations of health and illness (Roy, 1976a, 1980, 1984).

### Acceptance by the Nursing Community

**Practice**

The Roy Adaptation Model is deeply rooted in nursing practice, and this, in part, contributes to its continued success (Fawcett, 2002). It remains one of the most frequently used conceptual frameworks to guide nursing practice, and it is used nationally and internationally (Roy & Andrews, 1999; Fawcett, 2005).

Roy’s model is useful for nursing practice, because it outlines the features of the discipline and provides direction for practice, education, and research. The model considers goals, values, the patient, and practitioner interventions. Roy’s nursing process is well developed. The two-level assessment assists in identification of nursing goals and diagnoses (Brower & Baker, 1976).

Early on, it was recognized as a valuable theory for nursing practice because of the goal that specified its aim for activity and a prescription for activities to realize the goal (Dickoff, James, & Wiedenbach, 1968a, 1968b). The goal of nursing and of the model is adaptation in four adaptive modes in a person’s health and illness. The prescriptive interventions are when the nurse manages stimuli by removing, increasing, decreasing, or altering them. These prescriptions may be found in the list of practice-related hypotheses generated by the model (Roy, 1984).

When using Roy’s six-step nursing process, the nurse performs the following six functions:

1. **Assesses the behaviors manifested from the four adaptive modes**
2. **Assesses the stimuli for those behaviors and categorizes them as focal, contextual, or residual stimuli**
3. **Makes a statement or nursing diagnosis of the person’s adaptive state**
4. **Sets goals to promote adaptation**
5. **Implements interventions aimed at managing the stimuli to promote adaptation**
6. **Evaluates whether the adaptive goals have been met**

By manipulating the stimuli and not the patient, the nurse enhances “the interaction of the person with their environment, thereby promoting health” (Andrews & Roy, 1986, p. 51). The nursing process is well suited for use in a practice setting. The two-level assessment is unique to this model and leads to the identification of adaptation problems or nursing diagnoses.

Roy and colleagues have developed a typology of nursing diagnoses from the perspective of the Roy Adaptation Model (Roy, 1984; Roy & Roberts, 1981). In this typology, commonly recurring problems have been related to the basic needs of the four adaptive modes (Andrews & Roy, 1991).

Intervention is based specifically on the model, but there is a need to develop an organization of categories of nursing interventions (Roy & Roberts, 1981). Nurses provide interventions that alter, increase, decrease, remove, or maintain stimuli (Roy & Andrews, 1999). The nursing judgment model outlined by McDonald and Harms (1966) is recommended by Roy to guide selection of the best intervention for modifying a particular stimulus. According to this model, a number of alternative interventions are generated that may be appropriate for modifying the stimulus. Each possible intervention is judged for the expected consequences of modifying a stimulus, the probability that a consequence will occur (high, moderate, or low), and the value of the change (desirable or undesirable).

Senesac (2003) reviewed the literature for evidence that the Roy Adaptation Model is being implemented in nursing practice. She reported that the Roy Adaptation Model has been used to the greatest extent by individual nurses to understand, plan, and direct nursing practice in the care of individual patients. Although fewer examples of implementation of the adaptation model are found in institutional practice settings, such examples do exist. She concluded that if the model is to be implemented successfully as a practice philosophy, it should be reflected in the mission and vision statements of the institution, recruitment tools, assessment tools, nursing care plans, and other documents related to patient care.
The Roy Adaptation Model is useful in guiding nursing practice in institutional settings. It has been implemented in a neonatal intensive care unit, an acute surgical ward, a rehabilitation unit, two general hospital units, an orthopedic hospital, a neurosurgical unit, and a 145-bed hospital, among others (Roy & Andrews, 1999).

Weiland (2010) described use of the Roy Adaptation Model in the critical care setting by advanced practice nurses to incorporate spiritual care into nursing care of patients and families. Spiritual care is an important, but often overlooked, aspect of nursing care for patients in the critical care setting.

The Roy Adaptation Model has been applied to the nursing care of individual groups of patients. Examples of the wide range of applications of the Roy Adaptation Model are found in the literature. Villaereal (2003) applied the Roy Adaptation Model to the care of young women who were contemplating smoking cessation. The author provides a comprehensive discussion of the use of Roy’s six-step nursing process to guide nursing care for women in their mid-twenties who smoked and were members of a closed support group. The researcher performed a two-level assessment. In the first level, stimuli were identified for each of the four adaptive modes. In the second level, the nurse made a judgment about the focal (nicotine addiction), contextual (belief that smoking is enjoyable, makes them feel good, relaxes them, brings them a sense of comfort, and is part of their routine), and residual stimuli (beliefs and attitudes about their body image and that smoking cessation causes weight gain). The nurse made the nursing diagnosis that for this group, a lack of motivation to quit smoking was related to dependency. The women in the support group and the nurse mutually established short-term goals to change behaviors, rather than the long-term goal of smoking cessation. The intervention focused on discussion of the effects of smoking on the body, reasons and beliefs about smoking and smoking cessation, stress management, nutrition, physical activity, and self-esteem. During the evaluation phase, it was determined that the women had moved from pre-contemplation to the contemplation phase of smoking cessation. The author concluded that the Roy Adaptation Model provided a useful framework for providing care to women who smoke.

Samarel, Tulman, and Fawcett (2002) examined the effects of two types of social support (telephone and group social support) and education on adaptation to early-stage breast cancer in a sample of 125 women. Women in the experimental group received both types of social support and education (n = 34); women in the first control group received only telephone support and education, and women in the second control group received only education. Mood disturbance and loneliness were reduced significantly for the experimental group and for the first control group but were not reduced for the second control group. No differences were observed among the groups in terms of cancer-related worry or well-being. This study provides an excellent example of how the Roy Adaptation Model can be used to guide the conceptualization, literature review, theory construction, and development of an intervention.

Zeigler, Smith, and Fawcett (2004) described the use of the Roy Adaptation Model to develop a community-based breast cancer support group, the Common Journey Breast Cancer Support Group. A qualitative study design was used to evaluate the program from both participant and facilitator perspectives. Responses from participants were categorized using the Roy Adaptation Model. Findings from this study showed that the program was effective in providing support for women with various stages of breast cancer.

Newman (1997a) applied the Roy Adaptation Model to caregivers of chronically ill family members. With a thorough review of the literature, Newman demonstrated how the Roy Adaptation Model was used to provide care for this population. Newman views the chronically ill family member as the focal stimulus. Contextual stimuli include the caregiver's age, gender, and relationship to the chronically ill family member. The caregiver's physical health status is a manifestation of the physiological adaptive mode. The caregiver’s emotional responses to caregiving (i.e., shock, fear, anger, guilt, increased anxiety) are effective or ineffective responses of the self-concept mode. Relationships with significant others and support indicate adaptive responses in the interdependence mode. Caregivers’ primary, secondary, and tertiary roles are strained by the addition of the caregiving role. Practice and research implications illuminate the applicability of the Roy Adaptation Model for providing care to caregivers of chronically ill family members.
The Roy Adaptation Model has been applied to adult patients with various medical conditions, including post-traumatic stress disorder (Nayback, 2009), to women in menopause (Cunningham, 2002), and to the assessment of an elderly man undergoing a right, below-the-knee amputation. The Roy Adaptation Model has been used to evaluate the care of needs of adolescents with cancer (Ramini, Brown, & Buckner, 2008), asthma (Buckner, Simmons, Brakefield, et al., 2007), high-normal or hypertensive blood pressure readings (Starnes & Peters, 2004), and death and dying (Dobratz, 2011).

Kan (2009) used the Roy Adaptation Model to study perceptions of recovery following coronary artery bypass surgery for patients who had undergone this surgery for the first time. Findings revealed a positive relationship between perception of recovery and role function. Knowledge of adaptive responses following cardiac surgery has important implications for discharge planning and discharge teaching.

**Education**

The Roy Adaptation Model defines the distinct purpose of nursing for students, which is to promote the adaptation of persons in each of the adaptive modes in situations of health and illness. This model distinguishes nursing science from medical science by having the content of these areas taught in separate courses. She stresses collaboration but delineates separate goals for nurses and physicians. According to Roy (1971), it is the nurse's goal to help the patient put his or her energy into getting well, whereas the medical student focuses on the patient's position on the health-illness continuum with the goal of causing movement along the continuum. She views the model as a valuable tool for analyzing the distinctions between the two professions of nursing and medicine. Roy (1979) believes that curricula based on this model support students' understanding of theory development as they learn about testing theories and experience theoretical insights. Roy (1971, 1979) noted early on that the model clarified objectives, identified content, and specified patterns for teaching and learning.

The Roy Adaptation Model has been used in the educational setting and has guided nursing education at Mount Saint Mary's College Department of Nursing in Los Angeles since 1970. As early as 1987, more than 100,000 student nurses had been educated in nursing programs based on the Roy Adaptation Model in the United States and abroad. The Roy Adaptation Model provides educators with a systematic way of teaching students to assess and care for patients within the context of their lives rather than just as victims of illness.

Dobratz (2003) evaluated the learning outcomes of a nursing research course designed from the perspective of the Roy Adaptation Model and described in detail how to teach the theoretical content to students in a senior nursing research course. The evaluation tool was a Likert-type scale that contained seven statements. Students were asked to disagree, agree, or strongly agree with seven statements. Four open-ended questions were included to elicit information from students about the most helpful learning activity, the least helpful learning activity, methods used by the instructor that enhanced learning and grasp of research, and what the instructor could have done to increase learning. The researcher concluded that a research course based on the Roy Adaptation Model helped students put the pieces of the research puzzle together.

**Research**

If research is to affect practitioners' behaviors, it must be directed toward testing and retesting theories derived from conceptual models for nursing practice. Roy (1984) has stated that theory development and the testing of developed theories are the highest priorities for nursing. The model continues to generate many testable hypotheses to be researched.

Roy's theory has generated a number of general propositions. From these general propositions, specific hypotheses can be developed and tested. Hill and Roberts (1981) have demonstrated the development of testable hypotheses from the model, as has Roy. Data to validate or support the model are created by the testing of such hypotheses; the model continues to generate more of this type of research. The Roy Adaptation Model has been used extensively to guide knowledge development through nursing research (Frederickson, 2000).

Roy (1970) has identified a set of concepts that form a model from which the process of observation and classification of facts would lead to postulates. These postulates concern the occurrence of adaptation
problems, coping mechanisms, and interventions based on laws derived from factors that make up the response potential of focal, contextual, and residual stimuli. Roy and colleagues have outlined a typology of adaptation problems or nursing diagnoses (Roy, 1973, 1975, 1976b). Research and testing continue in the areas of typology and categories of interventions that have been derived from the model. General propositions also have been developed and tested (Roy & McLeod, 1981).

Practice-Based Research

DiMattio and Tulman (2003) described changes in functional status and correlates of functional status of 61 women during the 6-week postoperative period following a coronary artery bypass graft. Functional status was measured at 2, 4, and 6 weeks after surgery, using the Inventory of Functional Status in the Elderly and the Sickness Impact Profile. Significant increases were found in all dimensions of functional status except personal at the three measurement points. The greatest increases in functional status occurred at between 2 and 4 weeks after surgery. However, none of the dimensions of functional status had returned to baseline values at the 6-week point. This information will help women who have undergone coronary artery bypass graft surgery to better understand the recovery period and to set more realistic goals.

Young-McCaughan and colleagues (2003) studied the effects of a structured aerobic exercise program on exercise tolerance, sleep patterns, and quality of life in patients with cancer from the perspective of the Roy Adaptation Model. Subjects exercised for 20 minutes, twice a week, for 12 weeks. Significant improvements in exercise tolerance, subjective sleep quality, and psychological and physiological quality of life were demonstrated.

Woods and Isenberg (2001) provide an example of theory synthesis. In their study of intimate abuse and traumatic stress in battered women, they developed a middle-range theory by synthesizing the Roy Adaptation Model with the current literature reporting on intimate abuse and post-traumatic stress disorder. A predictive correlational model was used to examine adaptation as a mediator of intimate abuse and post-traumatic stress disorder. The focal stimulus of this study was the severity of intimate abuse, emotional abuse, and risk of homicide by an intimate partner. Adaptation was operationalized within the four adaptive modes and was tested as a mediator between intimate abuse and post-traumatic stress disorder. Direct relationships were reported between the focal stimulus and intimate abuse, and adaptation in each of the four modes mediated relationships between the focal stimulus and traumatic stress.

Chiou (2000) conducted a meta-analysis of the interrelationships among Roy’s four adaptive modes. Using well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, a literature search of the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature yielded eight research reports with diverse samples. One in-press report was included. Convenience samples for the nine studies included only adults, and some were elderly. The meta-analysis revealed small to medium correlations between each two mode set and a nonsignificant association between the interdependence and physiological modes. Zhan (2000) found support for Roy’s proposition about cognitive adaptive processes in relation to maintaining self-consistency. Using Roy’s Cognitive Adaptation Processing Scale (Roy & Zhan, 2001) to measure cognitive adaptation and the...
Self-Consistency Scale (Zhan & Shen, 1994), Zhan found that cognitive adaptation plays an important role in helping older adults maintain self-consistency in the face of hearing loss. Self-consistency was higher for hearing-impaired men than for hearing-impaired women, but it did not vary for age, educational level, race, marital status, or income.

Nuamah, Cooley, Fawcett, and McCorkle (1999) studied quality of life in 515 patients with cancer. These researchers clearly established theoretical linkages among the concepts of the Roy Adaptation Model, middle-range theory concepts, and empirical indicators. Focal and contextual stimuli were identified. Variables in each of the adaptive modes were operationalized. Using structural equation modeling, the researchers found that two of the environmental stimuli (adjuvant cancer treatment and severity of the disease) explained 59% of the variance in biopsychosocial indicators of the latent variable health-related quality of life. Their findings supported the proposition of the Roy Adaptation Model that environmental stimuli influence biopsychosocial responses.

Samarel and colleagues (1998, 1999) used the Roy Adaptation Model to study women’s perceptions of adaptation to breast cancer in a sample of 70 women who were participating in an experimental support and education group. The experimental group received coaching; the control group received no coaching. Using quantitative content analysis of structured telephone interviews, the researchers found that 51 of 70 women (72.9%) experienced a positive change toward their breast cancer over the study period, which was indicative of adaptation to the breast cancer. The researchers report qualitative indicators of adaptation for each of Roy’s four adaptive modes.

Modrcin-Talbott and colleagues studied self-esteem from the perspective of the Roy Adaptation Model in 140 well adolescents (Modrcin-Talbott, Pullen, Ehrenberger, et al., 1998) and 77 adolescents in an outpatient mental health setting (Modrcin-Talbott, Pullen, Zandstra, et al., 1998). Well adolescents were grouped in terms of early (12 to 14 years), middle (15 to 16 years), or late adolescence (17 to 19 years). Well adolescents were recruited conveniently from a large, southeastern church. Self-esteem in well adolescents did not differ by age group, gender, or whether or not they smoked tobacco. Well adolescents who exercised regularly did score higher on self-esteem. Significant negative relationships were found between self-esteem and depression, state anger, trait anger, anger-in, anger-out, anger control, and anger expression. In the second study, adolescents were sampled from participants of regularly scheduled group sessions as part of an outpatient psychiatric treatment program. Self-esteem significantly differed by age group, with older adolescents scoring lowest on self-esteem. Self-esteem did not differ by gender or whether or not they smoked tobacco. A significant negative relationship was observed between self-esteem and depression. Unlike their study in well adolescents, no statistically significant relationship was found between self-esteem and the dimensions of anger. Self-esteem was not significantly related to parental alcohol use in either group.

Modrcin-Talbott, Harrison, Groer, and Younger (2003) tested the effects of gentle human touch on the biobehavioral adaptation of preterm infants based on the Roy Adaptation Model. According to Roy, infants are born with two adaptive modes: the physiological and interdependence modes. Premature infants often are deprived of human touch, and an environment filled with machines, noxious stimuli, and invasive procedures surrounds them. These researchers found that gentle human touch (focal stimulus) promotes physiological adaptation for premature infants. Heart rate, oxygen saturation stability, increased quiet sleep, less active sleep and drowsiness, decreased motor activity, increased time not moving, and decreased behavioral distress cues were identified as effective responses in the physiological adaptive mode. This study supports Roy’s conceptualization of adaptation in infants.

Weiss, Fawcett, and Aber (2009) used the Roy Adaptation Model to study adaptation in postpartum women following cesarean delivery. Findings showed fewer adaptive responses in women with unplanned cesarean delivery. Cultural differences in adaptive responses were found among African-American and Hispanic women compared to Caucasian women. Implications for nursing practice include early assessment of adaptive responses and learning needs for patients who have had cesarean delivery to develop a discharge teaching plan to facilitate adaptive responses postdischarge.

The University of Montreal Research Team in Nursing Science (Ducharme, Ricard, Duquette, et al., 1998; Levesque, Ricard, Ducharme, et al., 1998) is
studying adaptation to a variety of environmental stimuli. Four groups of individuals were included in their studies as follows: (1) informal family caregivers of a demented relative at home, (2) informal family caregivers of a psychiatrically ill relative at home, (3) nurses as professional caregivers in geriatric institutions, and (4) aged spouses in the community. Using linear structural relations (LISREL), perceived stress (focal stimulus), social support (contextual stimulus), and passive and avoidance coping (coping mechanism) were directly or indirectly linked to psychological distress. This finding supports Roy’s proposition that coping promotes adaptation.

**DeSanto-Madeya (2009)** studied adaptation in individuals with spinal cord injury and their family members using the Roy Adaptation Model. In this study, fifteen patient and family member dyads were included. Of the fifteen dyads, seven dyads were 1 year postinjury, and eight dyads were 3 years postinjury. Telephone interviews using the Adaptation to Spinal Cord Injury Interview Schedule (ASCIIS) were conducted. Findings showed that both individuals and families had moderate adaptation scores at both 1 year and 3 years. Study findings have important implications for nurses who must care for spinal cord injury patients in both acute and outpatient care settings.

**Development of Adaptation Research Instruments**

The Roy Adaptation Model has provided the theoretical basis for the development of a number of research instruments. **Newman (1997b)** developed the Inventory of Functional Status–Caregiver of a Child in a Body Cast to measure the extent to which parental caregivers continue their usual activities while a child is in a body cast. Reliability testing indicates that the subscales for household, social, and community child care of the child in a body cast, child care of other children, and personal care (rather than the total score) are reliable measures of these constructs. **Modrcin-McCarthy, McCue, and Walker (1997)** used the Roy Adaptation Model to develop a clinical tool that may be used to identify actual and potential stressors of fragile premature infants and to implement care for them. This tool measures signs of stress, touch interventions, reduction of pain, environmental considerations, state, and stability (STRESS).

**Development of Middle-Range Theories of Adaptation**

**Silva (1986)** pointed out early on that merely using a conceptual framework to structure a research study is not theory testing. Many researchers have used Roy’s model but did not actually test propositions or hypotheses of her model. They have provided face validity of its usefulness as a framework to guide their studies. How theory derives from a conceptual framework must be made explicit; therefore, development and testing of middle-range theories derived from the Roy Adaptation Model are needed. Some research of this nature has been conducted with the model, but more is needed for further validation and development of new areas. The model does generate many testable hypotheses related to both practice and nursing theory. The success of a conceptual framework is evaluated, in part, by the number and quality of middle-range theories it generates. The Roy Adaptation Model has been the theoretical source of a number of middle-range theories (Roy, 2011a). The utility of those theories in practice sustains the life of the model.

**Dunn (2004)** reports the use of theoretical substruction to derive a middle-range theory of adaptation for chronic pain from the Roy Adaptation Model. In Dunn’s model of adaptation to chronic pain, pain intensity is specified as the focal stimulus. Contextual stimuli include age, race, and gender. Religious and nonreligious coping are functions of the cognator subsystem. Manifestations of adaptation to chronic pain are its effects on functional ability and psychological and spiritual well-being.

**Frame, Kelly, and Bayley (2003)** developed the Frame theory of adolescent empowerment by synthesizing the Roy Adaptation Model, Murrell-Armstrong’s empowerment matrix, and Harter’s developmental perspective. The theory of adolescent empowerment was tested using a quasi-experimental design in which children diagnosed with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) were randomly assigned to a treatment or a control group. Ninety-two fifth and sixth grade students were assigned to the treatment or the control group. Children in the treatment group attended an eight-session, school nurse–led support group intervention (twice weekly for 4 weeks). The treatment was designed to teach the children about ADHD; the gifts of having ADHD, powerlessness versus
empowerment; empowerment with one’s feelings, teachers, family, and classmates; and how to learn to relax. Children in the control group received no intervention. Using analysis of covariance, children in the treatment group reported significantly higher perceived social acceptance, perceived athletic competence, perceived physical appearance, and perceived global self-worth.

Jirovec, Jenkins, Isenberg, and Baiardi (1999) have proposed a middle-range urine control theory derived from the Roy Adaptation Model, intended to explicate the phenomenon of urine control and to decrease urinary incontinence. According to the theory of urine control, the focal stimulus for urine control is bladder distention. Contextual stimuli include accessible facilities and mobility skills. A residual stimulus is the intense socialization about bladder and sanitary habits that begin in childhood. This theory takes into account physiological coping mechanisms, regulator (spinal reflex mediated by S2 to S4, and coordinated detrusor muscle contraction and sphincter relaxation) and cognator (perception, learning judgment, and awareness of urgency or dribbling). Adaptive responses to prevent urinary incontinence are described for the four adaptive modes. Effective adaptation is defined as continence, and ineffective adaptation is defined as incontinence. The authors provide limited support for the theory of urine control through case studies. The theory of urine control illuminates the complexity, multidimensionality, and holistic nature of adaptation.

Researchers at the University of Montreal have proposed a middle-range theory of adaptation to caregiving that is based on the Roy Adaptation Model. This middle-range theory has been tested in a number of published studies of informal caregivers of demented relatives at home, informal caregivers of psychiatrically ill relatives at home, professional caregivers of elderly institutionalized patients, and aged spouses in the community. Perceived stress is conceptualized as the focal stimulus. Contextual stimuli include gender, conflicts, and social support. Coping mechanisms include active, passive, and avoidant coping strategies. In this middle-range theory, the adaptive (nonadaptive) response (psychological distress) is manifested in the self-concept mode. LISREL analyses have provided support for many of the propositions of this middle-range theory of adaptation to caregiving and for the Roy Adaptation Model (Ducharme, Ricard, Duquette, et al., 1998; Levesque, Ricard, Ducharme, et al., 1998).

Tsai, Tak, Moore, and Palencia (2003) derived a middle-range theory of pain from the Roy Adaptation Model. In the theory of chronic pain, chronic pain is the focal stimulus, disability and social support are contextual stimuli, and age and gender are residual stimuli. Perceived daily stress is a coping process. Depression is an outcome variable manifested in all four adaptive modes. Path analysis provided partial support for the theory of chronic pain. Greater chronic pain and disability were associated with more daily stress, and greater social support was associated with less daily stress. These three variables accounted for 35% of the variance in daily stress. Greater daily stress explained 35% of the variance in depression.

Other middle-range theories derived from the Roy Adaptation Model have been proposed, but research reports testing these theories were not found at the time of this literature review. Tsai (2003) has proposed a middle-range theory of caregiver stress. Whittemore and Roy (2002) developed a middle-range theory of adapting to diabetes mellitus using theory synthesis. Based on an analysis of Pollock’s (1993) middle-range theory of chronic illness and a thorough review of the literature, reconceptualization of the chronic illness model and the addition of concepts such as self-management, integration, and health-within-illness more specifically extend the Roy Adaptation Model to adapting to diabetes mellitus. Pollock’s (1993) research on adaptation to chronic illness theory included patients with insulin-dependent diabetes, multiple sclerosis, hypertension, and rheumatoid arthritis.

Further Development

The Roy Adaptation Model is an approach to nursing that has made and continues to make a significant contribution to the body of nursing knowledge; however, areas remain for future development as health care progresses. A thoroughly defined typology of nursing diagnoses and an organization of categories of interventions would facilitate its use in nursing practice. Scientists who do research from the perspective of the Roy Adaptation Model continue to note overlap in the psychosocial categories of self-concept,
role function, and interdependence. Roy recently has redefined health, deemphasizing the concept of a health-illness continuum and conceptualizing health as integration and wholeness of the person. This approach more clearly incorporates the adaptive mechanisms of the comatose patient in response to tactile and verbal stimuli. However, because health was not conceptualized in this manner in the earlier work, this opens up a new area for research. Based on her integrative review of the literature, Frederickson (2000) concluded that there is good empirical support for Roy’s conceptualization of person and health. She made the following recommendations for future research. First, there is a need to design studies to test propositions related to environment and nursing. Second, interventions based on previously supported concepts and propositions have been tested, while others remain for testing to document evidence.

**Critique**

**Clarity**

The metaparadigm concepts of the Roy Adaptation Model (person, environment, nursing, and health) are clearly defined and consistent. Roy clearly defines the four adaptive modes (physiological, self-concept, interdependence, and role function). A challenge of the model that was identified is Roy’s espousal of a holistic view of the person and environment, while the model views adaptation as occurring in four adaptive modes, and person and environment are conceptualized as two separate entities, with one affecting the other (Malinski, 2000). An answer to this challenge is that Roy’s adaptation model is holistic, since change in the internal or external environment (stimulus) leads to response (adapts) as a whole. In fact, Roy’s perspective is consistent with other holistic theories, such as psychoneuroimmunology and psychoneuroendocrinology. As one example, psychoneuroimmunology is a theory that proposes a bidirectional relationship between the mind and the immune system. Roy’s model is broader than psychoneuroimmunology and provides a theoretical foundation for research about, and nursing care of, the person as a whole.

In more recent writings, Roy has acknowledged the holistic nature of persons who live in a universe that is “progressing in structure, organization, and complexity. Rather than a system acting to maintain itself, the emphasis shifts to the purposefulness of human existence in a universe that is creative” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 35).

Roy has written that other disciplines focus on an aspect of the person, and that nursing views the person as a whole (Roy & Andrews, 1999). “Based on the philosophic assumptions of the nursing model, persons are seen as coextensive with their physical and social environments. The nurse takes a values-based stance, focusing on awareness, enlightenment and faith” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 539). Roy contends that persons have mutual, integral, and simultaneous relationships with the universe and God, and that as humans they “use their creative abilities of awareness, enlightenment, and faith in the processes of deriving, sustaining, and transforming the universe” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 35). Using these creative abilities, persons (sick or well) are active participants in their care and are able to achieve a higher level of adaptation (health).

Mastal and Hammond (1980) discussed difficulties with Roy’s model in classifying certain behaviors because concept definitions overlapped. The problem dealt with theory conceptualization and the need for mutually exclusive categories to classify human behavior. Conceptualizing a person’s position on the health-illness continuum is no longer a problem because Roy redefined health as personal integration. Other researchers have referred to difficulty in classifying behavior exclusively in one adaptive mode (Bradley & Williams, 1990; Limandri, 1986; Nyqvist & Sjoden, 1993; Silva, 1987). However, this observation supports Roy’s proposition that behavior in one adaptive mode affects and is affected by the other modes.

**Simplicity**

The Roy model includes the concepts of nursing, person, health-illness, environment, adaptation, and nursing activities. It also includes two subconcepts (regulator and cognator) and four modes (physiological, self-concept, role function, and interdependence). This model has several major concepts and subconcepts, so the relational statements are complex until the model is learned.

**Generality**

The Roy Adaptation Model’s broad scope is an advantage because it may be used for theory building and
for deriving middle-range theories for testing in studies of smaller ranges of phenomena (Reynolds, 1971). Roy’s model (Roy & Corliss, 1993) is generalizable to all settings in nursing practice but is limited in scope, as it primarily addresses the person-environment adaptation of the patient, and information about the nurse is implied.

**Accessibility**

Roy’s broad concepts stem from theory in physiological psychology, psychology, sociology, and nursing; empirical data indicate that this general theory base has substance. Roy’s model offers direction for researchers who want to incorporate physiological phenomena in their studies. Roy (1980) studied and analyzed 500 samples of patient behaviors collected by nursing students. From this analysis, Roy proposed her four adaptive modes in humans.

Roy (Roy & McLeod, 1981; Roy & Roberts, 1981) has identified many propositions in relation to the regulator and cognator mechanisms and the self-concept, role function, and interdependence modes. These propositions have received varying degrees of support from general theory and empirical data. Most of the propositions are relational statements and can be tested (Tiedeman, 1983). Over the years, many testable hypotheses have been derived from the model (Hill & Roberts, 1981).

In spite of the progress made over the last 25 years, the greatest need to increase the empirical precision of the Roy Adaptation Model is for researchers to develop middle-range theory based on the Roy Adaptation Model with empirical referents specifically designed to measure concepts proposed in the derived theory. Roy has explicated a significant number of propositions, theorems, and axioms to serve in the development of middle-range theory. The holistic nature of the model serves nurse researchers worldwide who are interested in the complex nature of physiological and psychosocial adaptive processes (Roy, 2011a; 2011b).

**Importance**

The Roy Adaptation Model has a clearly defined nursing process and is useful in guiding clinical practice. The utility of the model has been demonstrated globally by nurses. This model provides direction for quality nursing care that addresses the holistic needs of the patient. The model is also capable of generating new information through the testing of hypotheses that have been derived from it (Roy, 2011a; Roy & Corliss, 1993; Smith, Garvis, & Martinson, 1983).

**SUMMARY**

The Roy Adaptation Model has greatly influenced the profession of nursing. It is one of the most frequently used models to guide nursing research, education, and practice. The model is taught as part of the curriculum of most baccalaureate, master’s, and doctoral programs of nursing. The influence of the Roy Adaptation Model on nursing research is evidenced by the vast number of qualitative and quantitative research studies it has guided. The Roy Adaptation Model has inspired the development of many middle-range nursing theories and of adaptation instruments. Sister Callista Roy continues to refine the adaptation model for nursing research, education, and practice.

According to Roy, persons are holistic adaptive systems and the focus of nursing. The internal and external environment consists of all phenomena that surround the human adaptive system and affect their development and behavior. Persons are in constant interaction with the environment and exchange information, matter, and energy; that is, persons affect and are affected by the environment. The environment is the source of stimuli that either threaten or promote a person’s existence. For survival, the human adaptive system must respond positively to environmental stimuli. Humans make effective or ineffective adaptive responses to environmental stimuli. Adaptation promotes survival, growth, reproduction, mastery, and transformation of persons and the environment. Roy defines health as a state of becoming an integrated and whole human being.

Three types of environmental stimuli are described in the Roy Adaptation Model. The focal stimulus is that which most immediately confronts the individual and demands the most attention and adaptive energy. Contextual stimuli are all other stimuli present in the situation that contribute positively or negatively to the strength of the focal stimulus. Residual stimuli affect the focal stimulus, but their effects are not readily known. These three types of stimuli together form the
adaptation level. A person’s adaptation level may be integrated, compensatory, or compromised.

Coping mechanisms refer to innate or acquired processes that a person uses to deal with environmental stimuli. Coping mechanisms may be categorized broadly as the regulator or cognator subsystem. The regulator subsystem responds automatically through innate neural, chemical, and endocrine coping processes. The cognator subsystem responds through innate and acquired cognitive-emotive processes that include perceptual and information processing, learning, judgment, and emotion.

Behaviors that manifest adaptation can be observed in four adaptive modes. The physiological mode refers to the person’s physical responses to the environment, and the underlying need is physiological integrity. The self-concept mode refers to a person’s thoughts, beliefs, or feelings about himself or herself at any given time. The basic need of the self-concept mode is psychic or spiritual integrity. The self-concept is a composite belief about self that is formed from internal perceptions and the perceptions of others. The self-concept mode is composed of the physical self (body sensation and body image) and the personal self (self-consistency, self-ideal, and the moral-ethical-spiritual self). The role function mode refers to the primary, secondary, and tertiary roles a person performs in society.

The basic need of the role function adaptive mode is social integrity or for one to know how to behave and what is expected of him or her in society. The interdependence adaptive mode refers to relationships among people. The basic need of the interdependence adaptive mode is social integrity or to give and receive love, respect, and value from significant others and social support systems (Table 17–1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Adaptive Mode</th>
<th>Coping Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulator</td>
<td>Physiological</td>
<td>Oxygenation: To maintain appropriate oxygenation through ventilation, gas exchange, and gas transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Chemical</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nutrition: To maintain function, to promote growth, and to replace tissue through ingestion and assimilation of food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elimination: To excrete metabolic wastes primarily through the intestines and kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Activity and rest: To maintain balance between physical activity and rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Protection: To defend the body against infection, trauma, and temperature changes primarily by way of integumentary structures and innate and acquired immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Senses: To enable persons to interact with their environment by sight, hearing, touch, taste, and smell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluid and electrolyte and acid-base balance: To maintain homeostatic fluid, electrolyte, and acid-base balance to promote cellular, extracellular, and systemic function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neurological function: To coordinate and control body movements, consciousness, and cognitive-emotional processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Endocrine function: To integrate and coordinate body functions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
TABLE 17-1 Overview of the Adaptive Modes—cont’d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Adaptive Mode</th>
<th>Coping Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognator</td>
<td>Self-Concept</td>
<td>Physical Self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Body sensation: To maintain a positive feeling about one’s physical being (i.e., physical functioning, sexuality, or health)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Body image: To maintain a positive view of one’s physical body and physical appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Personal Self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Self-consistency: To maintain consistent self-organization and to avoid dysequilibrium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Self-ideal or self-expectancy: To maintain a positive or hopeful view of what one is, what one expects to be, and what one hopes to do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moral-spiritual-ethical self: To maintain a positive evaluation of who one is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To maintain close, nurturing relationships with people who are willing to give and receive love, respect, and value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To know who one is and what society’s expectations are so that one can act appropriately within society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The self-concept adaptive mode refers to the psychological and spiritual characteristics of a person. The self-concept consists of the composite of a person’s feelings about himself or herself at any given time. The self-concept is formed from internal perceptions and the perceptions of others’ reactions. The self-concept has two major dimensions: the physical self and the personal self.

Basic need: Psychic and spiritual integrity

Interdependence

Basic need: Relational integrity or security in nurturing relationships

Role Function

Basic need: Social integrity

The goal of nursing is to promote adaptive responses. This is accomplished through a six-step nursing process: assessment of behavior, assessment of stimuli, nursing diagnosis, goal setting, intervention, and evaluation. Nursing interventions focus on managing environmental stimuli by “altering, increasing, decreasing, removing, or maintaining them” (Roy & Andrews, 1999, p. 86).

Meleis (1985) proposed that the focus of nursing theorist works as the following three types:
1. Those who focus on needs
2. Those who focus on interaction
3. Those who focus on outcome

Meleis (1985, 2007) classifies the Roy Adaptation Model as an outcome theory. In applying the concepts of system and adaptation to person as the patient of nursing, Roy has presented her articulation of the person for nurses to use as a tool in practice, education, and research. Her conceptions of person and of the nursing process contribute to the science and the art of nursing. The Roy Adaptation Model deserves further study and development by nurse educators, researchers, and practitioners.

CASE STUDY

A 23-year-old male patient is admitted with a fracture of C6 and C7 that has resulted in quadriplegia. He was injured during a football game at the university where he is currently a senior. His career as a quarterback had been very promising. At the time of the injury, contract negotiations were in progress with a leading professional football team.

1. Use Roy’s criteria to identify focal and contextual stimuli for each of the four adaptive modes.
2. Consider what adaptations would be necessary in each of the following four adaptive modes: (1) physiological, (2) self-concept, (3) interdependence, and (4) role function.
3. Create a nursing intervention for each of the adaptive modes to promote adaptation.
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Karen, a recent graduate from a nursing program based on the Roy Adaptation Model, is performing her morning assessments. She enters Mr. Shadeed’s room. Mr. Shadeed is awaiting preoperative preparation for a laparotomy to explore an unknown mass. Mr. Shadeed is very irritable this morning. He says that he is thirsty. Karen continues her assessment of Mr. Shadeed. What additional data will she need from each of the four adaptive modes before implementing nursing interventions? What are the focal stimuli, contextual stimuli, and residual stimuli? What are possible interventions? What process can Karen use to select the best nursing intervention?

2. Although it would be easy to assume that Mr. Shadeed’s nursing care needs stem from anxiety during the preoperative period, this assumption may or may not be true. Assessment of stimuli in each of the four adaptive modes will enable Karen to assess focal, contextual, and residual stimuli and come to the correct diagnosis. Identify the additional assessment data that Karen will need to collect for each of the following adaptive modes.
   - Physiological adaptive mode
   - Self-concept adaptive mode
   - Role function adaptive mode
   - Interdependence adaptive mode
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Behavioral System Model

Bonnie Holaday

“All of us, scientists and practicing professionals, must turn our attention to practice and ask questions of that practice. We must be inquisitive and inquiring, seeking the fullest and truest possible understanding of the theoretical and practical problems we encounter”

(Johnson, 1976).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Dorothy E. Johnson was born on August 21, 1919, in Savannah, Georgia. She received her A.A. from Armstrong Junior College in Savannah, Georgia (1938), her B.S.N, from Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tennessee (1942), and her M.P.H. from Harvard University in Boston (1948).

Johnson’s professional experiences involved mostly teaching, although she was a staff nurse at the Chatham-Savannah Health Council from 1943 to 1944. She was an instructor and an assistant professor in pediatric nursing at Vanderbilt University School of Nursing. From 1949 until her retirement in 1978 and her subsequent move to Key Largo, Florida, Johnson was an assistant professor of pediatric nursing, an associate professor of nursing, and a professor of nursing at the University of California in Los Angeles.

In 1955 and 1956, Johnson was a pediatric nursing advisor assigned to the Christian Medical College School of Nursing in Vellore, South India. From 1965 to 1967, she served as chairperson on the committee of the California Nurses Association that developed a position statement on specifications for the clinical specialist. Johnson’s publications include four books, more than 30 articles in periodicals, and many papers, reports, proceedings, and monographs (Johnson, 1980).

Of the many honors she received, Johnson (personal communication, 1984) was proudest of the...
1975 Faculty Award from graduate students, the 1977 Lulu Hassenplug Distinguished Achievement Award from the California Nurses Association, and the 1981 Vanderbilt University School of Nursing Award for Excellence in Nursing. She died in February 1999 at 80 years of age. She was pleased that her Behavioral System Model had been found useful in furthering the development of a theoretical basis for nursing and was being used as a model for nursing practice on an institution-wide basis, but she reported that her greatest source of satisfaction came from following the productive careers of her students (D. Johnson, personal communication, 1996).

Theoretical Sources

Johnson’s Behavioral System Model (JBSM) was heavily influenced by Florence Nightingale’s book, Notes on Nursing (Johnson, 1992). Johnson began her work on the model with the premise that nursing was a profession that made a distinctive contribution to the welfare of society. Thus, nursing had an explicit goal of action in patient welfare. Her task was to clarify the social mission of nursing from the “perspective of a theoretically sound view of the person we serve” (Johnson, 1977). She accepted Nightingale’s belief that the first concern of nursing is with the “relationship between the person who is ill and their environment, not with the illness” (Johnson, 1977). Johnson (1977) noted that the “transition from this approach to the more sophisticated and theoretically sounder behavioral system orientation took only a few years and was supported by both my own, and that of many colleagues, growing knowledge about man’s action systems and by the rapidly increasing knowledge about behavioral systems.” Johnson (1977) came to conceive of nursing’s specific contribution to patient welfare as that of fostering “efficient and effective behavioral functioning in the person, both to prevent illness and during and following illness.”

Johnson used the work of behavioral scientists in psychology, sociology, and ethnology to develop her theory. The interdisciplinary literature that Johnson cited focused on observable behaviors that were of adaptive significance. This body of literature influenced the identification and the content of her seven subsystems. Talcott Parsons is acknowledged specifically in early developmental writings presenting concepts of the Johnson Behavioral System Model (Johnson, 1961b). Parsons’ (1951; 1964) social action theory stressed a structural-functional approach. One of his major contributions was to reconcile functionalism (the idea that every observable social behavior has a function to perform) with structuralism (the idea that social behaviors, rather than being directly functional, are expressions of deep underlying structures in social systems). Thus, structures (social systems) and all behaviors have a function in maintaining them. The components of the structure of a social system—goal, set, choice and behavior—are the same in Parsons’ and Johnson’s theories.

Johnson also relied heavily on system theory and used concepts and definitions from Rapoport, Chin, von Bertalanffy, and Buckley (Johnson, 1980). In system theory, as in Johnson’s theory, one of the basic assumptions embraces the concept of order. Another is that a system is a set of interacting units that form a whole intended to perform some function. Johnson conceptualized the person as a behavioral system in which the behavior of the individual as a whole is the focus. It is the focus on what the individual does and why. One of the strengths of the JBS theory is the consistent integration of concepts defining behavioral systems drawn from general systems theory. Some of these concepts include: holism, goal seeking, interrelationship/interdependency, stability, instability, subsystems, regularity, structure, function, energy, feedback, and adaptation.

Johnson noted that although the literature indicates that others support the idea that a person is a behavioral system and that a person’s specific response patterns form an organized and integrated whole, the idea was original with her as far as she knew. Just as the development of knowledge of the whole biological system was preceded by knowledge of the parts, the development of knowledge of behavioral systems was focused on specific behavioral responses. Empirical literature supporting the notion of the behavioral system as a whole and its usefulness as a framework for nursing decisions in research, education, and nursing practice has accumulated since it was introduced (Benson, 1997; Derdiarian, 1991; Grice, 1997; Holaday, 1981, 1982; Lachicotte & Alexander, 1990; Martha, Bhaduri, & Jain, 2004; Poster,

Developing the Behavioral System Model from a philosophical perspective, Johnson (1980) wrote that nursing contributes by facilitating effective behavioral functioning in the patient before, during, and after illness. She used concepts from other disciplines, such as social learning, motivation, sensory stimulation, adaptation, behavioral modification, change process, tension, and stress to expand her theory for the practice of nursing.

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

#### Behavior
Johnson accepted the definition of behavior as expressed by the behavioral and biological scientists; that is, the output of intraorganismic structures and processes as they are coordinated and articulated by and responsive to changes in sensory stimulation. Johnson (1980) focused on behavior affected by the actual or implied presence of other social beings that has been shown to have major adaptive significance.

#### System
Using Rapoport’s 1968 definition of system, Johnson (1980) stated, “A system is a whole that functions as a whole by virtue of the interdependence of its parts” (p. 208). She accepted Chin’s statement that there is “organization, interaction, interdependency, and integration of the parts and elements” (Johnson, 1980, p. 208). In addition, a person strives to maintain a balance in these parts through adjustments and adaptations to the impinging forces.

#### Behavioral System
A behavioral system encompasses the patterned, repetitive, and purposeful ways of behaving. These ways of behaving form an organized and integrated functional unit that determines and limits the interaction between the person and his or her environment and establishes the relationship of the person to the objects, events, and situations within his or her environment. Usually the behavior can be described and explained. A person as a behavioral system tries to achieve stability and balance by adjustments and adaptations that are successful to some degree for efficient and effective functioning. The system is usually flexible enough to accommodate the influences affecting it (Johnson, 1980).

#### Subsystems
The behavioral system has many tasks to perform; therefore, parts of the system evolve into subsystems with specialized tasks. A subsystem is “a mini-system with its own particular goal and function that can be maintained as long as its relationship to the other subsystems or the environment is not disturbed” (Johnson, 1980, p. 221). The seven subsystems identified by Johnson are open, linked, and interrelated. Input and output are components of all seven subsystems (Grubbs, 1980).

Motivational drives direct the activities of these subsystems, which are continually changing through maturation, experience, and learning. The systems described appear to exist cross-culturally and are controlled by biological, psychological, and sociological factors. The seven identified subsystems are attachment-affiliative, dependency, ingestive, eliminative, sexual, achievement, and aggressive-protective (Johnson, 1980).

#### Attachment-Affiliative Subsystem
The attachment-affiliative subsystem is probably the most critical because it forms the basis for all social organization. On a general level, it provides survival and security. Its consequences are social inclusion, intimacy, and formation and maintenance of a strong social bond (Johnson, 1980).

#### Dependency Subsystem
In the broadest sense, the dependency subsystem promotes helping behavior that calls for a nurturing response. Its consequences are approval, attention or recognition, and physical assistance. Developmentally, dependency behavior evolves from almost total dependence on others to a greater degree of dependence.
A certain amount of interdependence is essential for the survival of social groups (Johnson, 1980).

**Ingestive Subsystem**

The ingestive and eliminative subsystems should not be seen as the input and output mechanisms of the system. All subsystems are distinct subsystems with their own input and output mechanisms. The ingestive subsystem “has to do with when, how, what, how much, and under what conditions we eat” (Johnson, 1980, p. 213). “It serves the broad function of appetitive satisfaction” (Johnson, 1980, p. 213). This behavior is associated with social, psychological, and biological considerations (Johnson, 1980).

**Eliminative Subsystem**

The eliminative subsystem addresses “when, how, and under what conditions we eliminate” (Johnson, 1980, p. 213). As with the ingestive subsystem, the social and psychological factors are viewed as influencing the biological aspects of this subsystem and may be, at times, in conflict with the eliminative subsystem (Loveland-Cherry & Wilkerson, 1983).

**Sexual Subsystem**

The sexual subsystem has the dual functions of procreation and gratification. Including, but not limited to, courting and mating, this response system begins with the development of gender role identity and includes the broad range of sex-role behaviors (Johnson, 1980).

**Achievement Subsystem**

The achievement subsystem attempts to manipulate the environment. Its function is control or mastery of an aspect of self or environment to some standard of excellence. Areas of achievement behavior include intellectual, physical, creative, mechanical, and social skills (Johnson, 1980).

**Aggressive-Protective Subsystem**

The aggressive-protective subsystem’s function is protection and preservation. This follows the line of thinking of ethologists such as Lorenz (1966) and Feshbach (1970) rather than the behavioral reinforcement school of thought, which contends that aggressive behavior is not only learned, but has a primary intent to harm others. Society demands that limits be placed on modes of self-protection and that people and their property be respected and protected (Johnson, 1980).

**Equilibrium**

Johnson (1961a) stated that equilibrium is a key concept in nursing’s specific goal. It is defined as “a stabilized but more or less transitory, resting state in which the individual is in harmony with himself and with his environment” (p. 65). “It implies that biological and psychological forces are in balance with each other and with impinging social forces” (Johnson, 1961b, p. 11). It is “not synonymous with a state of health, since it may be found either in health or illness” (Johnson, 1961b, p. 11).

**Functional Requirements/Sustenal Imperatives**

For the subsystems to develop and maintain stability, each must have a constant supply of function requirements. The environment supplies sustenal imperatives such as protection, nurturance, and stimulation. Johnson notes that the biologic system and all other living systems have the same requirements.

**Regulation/Control**

The interrelated behavioral subsystems must be regulated in some fashion so that its goals can be realized. Regulation implies that deviations will be detected and corrected. Feedback is, therefore, a requirement of effective control. There is self-regulation by the client. The nurse can also act as a temporary external regulatory force to preserve the organization and integration of the client’s behavior at an optimal level in situations of illness or under conditions where behavior constitutes a threat to health.

**Tension**

“The concept of tension is defined as a state of being stretched or strained and can be viewed as an end-product of a disturbance in equilibrium”
Use of Empirical Evidence

The empirical origins of this theory begin with Johnson's use of systems thinking (synthesis). This process concentrates on the function and behavior of the whole and is focused on understanding and explanation of the behavioral system. Johnson's work on the Behavioral System Model corresponded with the "systems age." Buckley's (1968) seminal text was published the same year Johnson formally presented her theory at Vanderbilt University.

System theory, as a basic science, deals on an abstract level with the general properties of systems regardless of physical form or domain of application. General System Theory was founded on the assumption that all kinds of systems had characteristics in common regardless of their internal nature. Johnson used General System Theory and systems thinking to bring together a body of theoretical constructs, as well as explaining their interrelationships, to identify and describe the mission of nursing. The JBSM provided a framework that is based on her synthesis of the component parts of this system and a description of the context of relationships with each other (subsystems) and with other systems (environment). Standing in contrast to scientific reductionism, Johnson proposed to view nursing in a holistic manner—a behavioral system. Consistent with system theory, the JBSM provides an understanding of a system by examining the linkages and interactions between the elements that compose the entirety of the system. The paragraphs that follow describe how Johnson incorporated empirical knowledge from other disciplines into the JBSM.

Concepts Johnson identified and defined in her theory are supported in the literature. She noted that Leitch and Escolona agree that tension produces behavioral changes and that the manifestation of tension by an individual depends on both internal and external factors (Johnson, 1980). Johnson (1959b) used the work of Selye, Grinker, Simmons, and Wolff to support the idea that specific patterns of behavior are reactions to stressors from biological, psychological, and sociological sources, respectively. Johnson (1961a) suggested a difference in her model from Selye's conception of stress. Johnson's concept of stress "follows rather closely Caudill's conceptualization; that is, that stress is a process in which there is interplay between various stimuli and the defenses erected against them. Stimuli may be positive in that they are present, or negative in that something desired or required is absent" (Johnson, 1961a, pp. 7–8). Selye "conceives stress as 'a state manifested by the specific syndrome which consists of all the nonspecifically induced changes within a biologic system'" (Johnson, 1961a, p. 8).

In Conceptual Models for Nursing Practice, Johnson (1980) described seven subsystems that make up her behavioral system. To support the attachment-affiliative subsystem, she cited the work of Ainsworth and Robson. Heathers, Gerwitz, and Rosenthal have described and explained dependency behavior, another subsystem defined by Johnson. The response
systems of ingestion and elimination, as described by Walike, Mead, and Sears, are also parts of Johnson’s behavioral system. The work of Kagan and Resnik were used to support the sexual subsystem. The aggressive-protective subsystem, which functions to protect and preserve, is supported by Lorenz and Feshbach (Feshbach, 1970; Johnson, 1980; Lorenz, 1966). According to Atkinson, Feather, and Crandell, physical, creative, mechanical, and social skills are manifested by achievement behavior, another subsystem identified by Johnson (1980).

The restorative subsystem was developed by faculty and clinicians in order to include behaviors such as sleep, play, and relaxation (Grubbs, 1980). Although Johnson (personal communication, 1996) agreed that “there may be more or fewer subsystems” than originally identified, she did not support restorative as a subsystem of the Behavioral System Model. She believed that sleep is primarily a biological force, not a motivational behavior. She suggested that many of the behaviors identified in infants during their first years of life, such as play, are actually achievement behaviors. Johnson (personal communication, 1996) stated that there was a need to examine the possibility of an eighth subsystem that addresses explorative behaviors; further investigation may delineate it as a subsystem separate from the achievement subsystem.

**Major Assumptions**

**Nursing**

Nursing’s goal is to maintain and restore the person’s behavioral system balance and stability or to help the person achieve a more optimum level of balance and functioning. Thus, nursing, as perceived by Johnson, is an external force acting to preserve the organization and integration of the patient’s behavior to an optimal level by means of imposing temporary regulatory or control mechanisms or by providing resources while the patient is experiencing stress or behavioral system imbalance (Brown, 2006). An art and a science, nursing supplies external assistance both before and during system balance disturbance and therefore requires knowledge of order, disorder, and control (Herbert, 1989; Johnson, 1980). Nursing activities do not depend on medical authority, but they are complementary to medicine.

**Person**

Johnson (1980) viewed the person as a behavioral system with patterned, repetitive, and purposeful ways of behaving that link the person with the environment. The conception of the person is basically a motivational one. This view leans heavily on Johnson’s acceptance of ethology theories, that innate, biological factors influence the patterning and motivation of behavior. She also acknowledged that prior experience, learning, and physical and social stimuli also influence behavior. She noted that a prerequisite to using this model is the ability to look at a person as a behavioral system, observe a collection of behavioral subsystems, and be knowledgeable about the physiologic, psychological, and sociocultural factors operating outside them (Class notes, 1971).

Johnson identified several assumptions that are critical to understanding the nature and operation of the person as a behavioral system. We assume that there is organization, interaction, and interdependency and integration of the parts of behavior that make up the system. An individual’s specific response patterns form an organized and integrated whole. The interrelated and interdependent parts are called subsystems. Johnson (1977) further assumed that the behavioral system tends to achieve balance among the various forces operating within and upon it. People strive continually to maintain a behavioral system balance and steady states by more or less automatic adjustments and adaptations to the natural forces impinging upon them. Johnson also recognized that people actively seek new experiences that may temporarily disturb balance.

Johnson further (1977, 1980) assumed that a behavioral system, which both requires and results in some degree of regularity and constancy in behavior, is essential to human beings. Finally, Johnson (1977) assumed that behavioral system balance reflected adjustments and adaptations by the person that are successful in some way and to some degree. This will be true, even though the observed behavior may not always match the cultural norms for acceptable or health behavior.

Balance is essential for effective and efficient functioning of the person. Balance is developed and maintained within the subsystems(s) or the system as a whole. Changes in the structure or function of a system are related to problems with drive, lack of functional
requirements/sustenal imperatives, or a change in the environment. A person’s attempt to reestablish balance may require an extraordinary expenditure of energy that leaves a shortage of energy to assist biological processes and recovery.

Health
Johnson perceived health as an elusive, dynamic state influenced by biological, psychological, and social factors. Health is reflected by the organization, interaction, interdependence, and integration of the subsystems of the behavioral system (Johnson, 1980). An individual attempts to achieve a balance in this system, which will lead to functional behavior. A lack of balance in the structural or functional requirements of the subsystems leads to poor health. Thus, when evaluating “health,” one focuses on the behavioral system and system balance and stability, effective and efficient functioning, and behavioral system imbalance and instability. The outcomes of behavior system balance are: (1) a minimum expenditure of energy is required (implying more energy is available to maintain health, or, in the case of illness, energy is available for the biological processes needed for recovery); (2) continued biologic and social survival are ensured; and (3) some degree of personal satisfaction accrues (Grubbs, 1980; Johnson 1980).

Environment
In Johnson’s theory, the environment consists of all the factors that are not part of the individual’s behavioral system, but that influence the system. The nurse may manipulate some aspects of the environment so the goal of health or behavioral system balance can be achieved for the patient (Brown, 2006).

The behavioral system “determines and limits the interaction between the person and their environment and establishes the relationship of the person to the objects, events and situations in the environment” (Johnson 1978). Such behavior is orderly and predictable. It is maintained because it has been functionally efficient and effective most of the time in managing the person’s relationship to the environment. It changes when this is no longer the case, or when the person desires a more optimum level of functioning. The behavioral system has many tasks and missions to perform in maintaining its own integrity and in managing the system’s relationship to its environment.

The behavioral system attempts to maintain equilibrium in response to environmental factors by adjusting and adapting to the forces that impinge on it. Excessively strong environmental forces disturb the behavioral system balance and threaten the person’s stability. An unusual amount of energy is required to the system to reestablish equilibrium in the fact of continuing forces (Loveland-Cherry & Wilkerson, 1983).

The environment is also the source of the sustenal imperatives of protection, nurturance, and stimulation that are necessary prerequisites to maintaining health (behavioral system balance) (Grubbs, 1980). When behavioral system imbalance (disequilibrium) occurs, the nurse may need to become the temporary regulator of the environment and provide the person’s supply of functional requirements so the person can adapt to stressors. The type and the amount of functional requirements needed vary by age, gender, culture, coping ability, and type and severity of illness.

Theoretical Assertions
The Johnson Behavioral System Theory addresses the metaparadigm concepts of person, environment, and nursing. The person is a behavioral system with seven interrelated subsystems (Figure 18–1). Each subsystem is formed of a set of behavioral responses, or responsive tendencies, or action systems that share a common drive or goal. Organized around drives, (some type of intraorganismic motivational structure), these responses are differentiated, developed, and modified over time through maturation, experience, and learning. They are determined developmentally and are continuously governed by a multitude of physical, biological, and psychological factors operating in a complex and interlocking fashion.

Each subsystem is described and analyzed in terms of structural and functional requirements. The four structural elements that have been identified include the following: (1) drive or goal—the ultimate consequence of behaviors in it; (2) set—a tendency or predisposition to act in a certain way. Set is subdivided into two types—preparatory or what a person usually attends to, and perseverative, the habits one maintains in a situation; (3) choice represents the behavior a patient sees himself or herself as being able to use in any given situation; and (4) action or the behavior of an individual (Grubbs, 1980; Johnson, 1980). Set will
play a major role both in the choices a person considers and in their ultimate behavior. Each of the seven subsystems has the same three functional requirements: (1) protection, (2) nurturance, and (3) stimulation. These functional requirements must be met through the person's own efforts, or with the outside assistance of the nurse. For the subsystems to develop and maintain stability, each must have a constant supply of functional requirements that are usually supplied by the environment. However, during illness or when the potential for illness poses a threat, the nurse may become a source of functional requirements.

The responses by the subsystems are developed through motivation, experience, and learning and are influenced by biological, psychological, and social factors (Johnson, 1980). The behavioral system attempts
to achieve balance by adapting to internal and environmental stimuli. The behavioral system is made up of “all the patterned, repetitive, and purposeful ways of behaving that characterize each man’s life” (Johnson, 1980, p. 209). This functional unit of behavior “determines and limits the interaction of the person and his environment and establishes the relationship of the person with the objects, events, and situations in his environment” (Johnson, 1980, p. 209). “The behavioral system manages its relationship with its environment” (Johnson, 1980, p. 209). The behavioral system appears to be active and not passive. The nurse is external to and interactive with the behavioral system.

Successful use of the Johnson’s Behavioral System Theory in clinical practice requires the incorporation of the nursing process. The clinician must develop an assessment instrument that incorporates the components of the theory so they are able to assess the patient as a behavioral system to determine if there is an actual or perceived threat of illness, and to determine the person's ability to adapt to illness or threat of illness without developing behavioral system imbalance. This means developing appropriate questions and observations for each of the behavioral subsystems.

A state of instability in the behavioral system results in a need for nursing intervention. Identification of the source of the problem in the system leads to appropriate nursing action that results in the maintenance or restoration of behavioral system balance (Brown, 2006). Nursing interventions can be in such general forms as: (1) repairing structural units; (2) temporarily imposing external regulatory or control measures; (3) supplying environmental conditions or resources; or (4) providing stimulation to the extent that any problem can be anticipated, and preventive nursing action is in order (Johnson, 1978). “If the source of the problem has a structural stressor, the nurse will focus on either the goal, set, choice, or action of the subsystem. If the problem is one of function, the nurse will focus on the source and sufficiency of the functional requirements since functional problems originate from an environmental excess or deficiency” (Grubbs, 1980, p. 242). The goal of nursing is to maintain or restore the person's behavioral system balance and stability or to help the person achieve a more optimum level of behavioral system functioning when this is desired and possible (Johnson, 1978).

### Logical Form

Johnson approached the task of delineating nursing’s mission from historical, analytical, and empirical perspectives. Deductive and inductive thinking is evident throughout the process of developing the Johnson behavioral system theory. A system, inasmuch as it is a whole, will lose its synergetic properties if it is decomposed. Understanding must therefore progress from the whole to its parts—a synthesis. Johnson first identified the behavioral system and then explained the properties and behavior of the system. Finally, she explained the properties and behavior of the subsystems as a part or function of the system. The analysis gave us description and knowledge, while the systems thinking (synthesis) gave us explanation and understanding.

### Acceptance by the Nursing Community

The utility of the Johnson Behavioral System Theory is evident from the variety of clinical settings and age groups where the theory has been used. It has been used in inpatient, outpatient, and community settings as well as in nursing administration. It has been used with a variety of client populations, and several practice tools have been developed (Fawcett, 2005). Johnson does not use the term nursing process. Assessment, disorders, treatment, and evaluation are concepts referred to in a variety of Johnson’s works. “For the practitioner, conceptual models provide a diagnostic and treatment orientation, and thus are of considerable practical import” (Johnson, 1968, p. 2). The nursing process becomes applicable in the Behavioral System Model when behavioral malfunction occurs “that is in part disorganized, erratic, and dysfunctional. Illness or other sudden internal or external environmental change is most frequently responsible for such malfunctions” (Johnson, 1980, p. 212). “Assistance is appropriate at those times the individual is experiencing stress of a health-illness nature which disturbs equilibrium, producing tension” (Johnson, 1961a, p. 6). However, it is important to note that systems analysis is an important component of
system theory. One monitors outputs from a given subsystem in order to monitor performance. Signs of disequilibrium require one to identify the problem, further define the problem by gathering data, and design an intervention to restore equilibrium/balance (Miller, 1965; Jenkins, 1969).

Johnson (1959a) implied that the initial nursing assessment begins when the cue tension is observed and signals disequilibrium. Sources for assessment data can be through history taking, testing, and structural observations (Johnson, 1980). “The behavioral system is thought to determine and limit the interaction between the person and his environment” (Johnson, 1968, p. 3). This suggests that the accuracy and quantity of the data obtained during nursing assessment are not controlled by the nurse, but by the patient (system). The only observed part of the subsystem's structure is behavior. Six internal and external regulators have been identified that “simultaneously influence and are influenced by behavior” including biophysical, psychological, developmental, sociocultural, family, and physical environmental regulators (Randell, 1991, p. 157).

The nurse must be able to access information related to goals, sets, and choices that make up the structural subsystems. “One or more of [these] subsystems is likely to be involved in any episode of illness, whether in an antecedent or a consequential way or simply in association, directly or indirectly with the disorder or its treatment” (Johnson, 1968, p. 3). Accessing the data is critical to accurate statement of the disorder.

Johnson did not define specific disorders, but she did state two general categories of disorders on the basis of the relationship to the biological system (Johnson, 1968).

Disorders are those which are related tangentially or peripherally to disorder in the biological system; that is, they are precipitated simply by the fact of illness or the situational context of treatment; and . . . those [disorders] which are an integral part of a biological system disorder in that they are either directly associated with or a direct consequence of a particular kind of biological system disorder or its treatment (Johnson, 1968, p. 7).

The “means of management” or interventions do consist in part of the provision of nurturance, protection, and stimulation (Johnson, 1968, 1980). The nurse may provide “temporary imposition of external regulatory and control mechanisms, such as inhibiting ineffective behavioral responses, and assisting the patient to acquire new responses” (Johnson, 1968, p. 6). Johnson (1980) suggested that techniques include “teaching, role modeling, and counseling” (p. 211). If a problem or disorder is anticipated, preventive nursing action is appropriate with adequate methodologies (Johnson, 1980). Nurturance, protection, and stimulation are as important for preventive nursing care or health promotion as they are for managing illness (Brown, 2006).

If the problem is a structural stressor, the nurse will focus on goal, set, choice, or action of the subsystem. The nurse works to redirect the person's goals, change drive significance, broaden the range of choices, alter the set, or change the action. The nurse manipulates the structural units or imposes temporary controls. Both types of nursing actions regulate the interaction of the subsystems.

The outcome of nursing intervention is behavioral system equilibrium. “More specifically, equilibrium can be said to have been achieved at that point at which the individual demonstrates a degree of constancy in his pattern of functioning, both internally and interpersonally” (Johnson, 1961a, p. 9). The evaluation of the nursing intervention is based on whether it made “a significant difference in the lives of the persons involved” (Johnson, 1980, p. 215).

The Behavioral System Model has been operationalized through the development of several assessment instruments. In 1974, Grubbs (1980) used the theory to develop an assessment tool and a nursing process sheet based on Johnson's seven subsystems. Questions and observations related to each subsystem provided tools with which to collect important data, noting choices of behavior that will enable the patient to accomplish his or her goal of health.

That same year, Holaday (1980) used the theory as a model to develop an assessment tool when caring for hospitalized children. This tool allowed the nurse to describe objectively the child's behavior and to guide nursing action. In expanding the concept of “set,” Holaday also identified patterns of maternal behaviors that would indicate an inadequate or poorly functioning set that was eroding to the limited choices of action in responding to the needs of chronically ill infants (Holaday 1981; 1982).
Derdiarian (1990) investigated the effects of using two systematic assessment instruments on patient and nurse satisfaction. The Johnson Behavioral System Model was used to develop a self-report and observational instrument implemented with the nursing process. The Derdiarian Behavioral System Model instrument included assessment of the restorative subsystem and the seven subsystems advocated by Johnson. The results indicated that the instruments provided a more comprehensive and systematic approach to assessment and intervention, thereby increasing patient and nurse satisfaction with care.

Lanouette and St-Jacques (1994) used Johnson's model to compare the coping abilities and perceptions of families with premature infants with those of families with full-term infants. The results indicated that positive coping skills were relative to bonding with the infant, using resources, solving problems, and making decisions. Lanouette and St-Jacques suggested that improvement in nursing care practices in nursery, hospital, and community settings might have contributed to this outcome. This supported Johnson's statement that “the effective use of nurturance, protection, and stimulation during maternal contact at birth could significantly reduce the behavioral system problems we see today” (personal communication, 1996).

Case studies have documented the use and evaluation of the Johnson Behavioral System Model in clinical practice. In 1980, Rawls used the theory to systematically assess a patient who was facing the loss of function in one arm and hand. Herbert (1989) reported the outcomes of a nursing care plan developed for an elderly stroke patient. They each concluded that Johnson's theory provided a theoretical base that predicted the results of nursing interventions, formulated standards for care, and administered holistic care. Fruehwirth (1989) found it equally effective when intervening with a support group for caregivers of patients with Alzheimer’s disease.

Some studies of practice using Johnson's model have focused on decision making and evaluation of outcomes. Grice (1997) found that the nurse, patient, and situational characteristics influenced assessment and decision making for the administration of anti-anxiety and antipsychotic medications for psychiatric inpatients at certain hours. Benson (1997) conducted a review of research literature on the fear of crime among older adults. The Behavioral System Model was used to describe the “hazards of fear of crime” that could cause disturbances in the ingestive, dependency, achievement, affiliative, and aggressive-protective subsystems (Benson, 1997, p. 26). Patient- and community-focused interventions were presented to improve quality of care and quality of life in older adults. Brinkley, Ricker, & Toumey (2007) demonstrated the use of the Johnson Behavioral System Theory with a morbidly obese patient with complex needs, and Tamilarasi and Kanimozhi (2009) provided theory-based interventions to improve the quality of life of breast cancer survivors.

Lachicotte and Alexander (1990) examined the use of Johnson's Behavioral System Model as a framework for nursing administrators to use when making decisions concerning the management of impaired nurses. They suggested that, by viewing all levels of environment, the framework encouraged nurse administrators to assess imbalance in the nursing system when nurse impairment exists and evaluate the “system's state of balance in relationship to the method chosen to deal with nurse impairment” (Lachicotte & Alexander, 1990, p. 103). Results indicated that nurse administrators preferred an assistive approach when dealing with nurse impairment. It was believed that “when the impaired nurse is confronted and assisted equilibrium begins to be restored and balance brought back to the system” (Lachicotte & Alexander, 1990, p. 103).

At the University of California, Los Angeles, the Neuropsychiatric Institute and Hospital has used Johnson’s Behavioral System Model as the basis of their psychiatric nursing practice for many years (Auger & Dee, 1983; Dee, Tyson, Capparrell, et al., 1999; Poster, Dee, & Randell, 1997). “Patients are assessed and behavioral data are classified by subsystem. Nursing diagnoses are formulated that reflect the nature of the ineffective behavior and its relationship to the regulators in the environment” (Randell, 1991, p. 154). Johnson's theory is also incorporated into the new graduate orientation program (Puntil, 2005). A study comparing the diagnostic labels generated from the Johnson Behavioral System Model with those on the North American Nursing Diagnosis Association list indicated that the Johnson Behavioral System Model was better at distinguishing the problems and the etiology (Randell, 1991).
It has become increasingly important to document nursing care and demonstrate the effectiveness of the care on patient outcomes. Using Johnson’s model, Poster and colleagues (1997) reported a positive relationship between nursing interventions and the achievement of patient outcomes at discharge. They concluded “a nursing theoretical framework made it possible to prescribe nursing care as a distinction from medical care” (Poster, Dee, & Randell, 1997, p. 73).

Dee, van Servellen, and Brecht (1998) examined the effects of managed health care on patient outcomes using Johnson’s Behavioral System Model. Upon admission, nurses develop a behavioral profile by assessing the eight subsystems, determine the balance or imbalance of the subsystems, and rate the impact of the six regulators. This is used to determine the nursing diagnoses, plan of action, and evaluation of care for each patient. The results of this study indicated significant improvement in the level of functioning upon discharge for patients with shorter hospital stays.

**Education**

Loveland-Cherry and Wilkerson (1983) analyzed Johnson’s theory and concluded that it has utility in nursing education. A curriculum based on a person as a behavioral system would have definite goals and straightforward course planning. Study would center on the patient as a behavioral system and its dysfunction, which would require use of the nursing process. In addition to an understanding of systems theory, the student would need knowledge from the social and behavioral disciplines and the physical and biological sciences. The model has been used in practice and educational institutions in the United States, Canada, and Australia (Derdiarian, 1981; Fleming, 1990; Grice, 1997; Hadley, 1970; Harris, 1986; Orb & Reilly, 1991; Puntil, 2005).

**Research**

Johnson (1968) stated that nursing research would need to “identify and explain the behavioral system disorders which arise in connection with illness, and develop the rationale for the means of management” (p. 7). Johnson believed the task for nurse scientists might follow one of two paths: (1) contributions to the basic understanding of the behavioral system of man, and (2) contributions to understanding behavioral system problems and treatment rationale and methodologies. She identified the important areas for research as: (1) the study of the behavioral system as a whole including such issues as stability and change, organization and interaction, and effective regulatory and control mechanisms; and (2) study of the subsystems including the identification of additional subsystems (Class Notes, 1971).

Small (1980) used Johnson’s theory as a conceptual framework when caring for visually impaired children. By evaluating and comparing the perceived body image and spatial awareness of normally sighted children with those of visually impaired children, Small found that the sensory deprivation of visual impairment affected the normal development of the child’s body image and the awareness of his body in space. She concluded that when the human system is subjected to excessive stress, the goals of the system cannot be maintained.

Wilkie, Lovejoy, Dodd, and Tesler (1988) examined cancer pain control behaviors using Johnson’s Behavioral System Model. The results of the study demonstrated that persons used known behaviors to protect themselves from high-intensity pain. This supported the assumption that “aggressive/protective subsystem behaviors are developed and modified over time to protect the individual from pain and these behaviors represent some of the patient’s pain control choices” (Wilkie, Lovejoy, Dodd, et al., 1988, p. 729).

These findings were supported in a study that examined the “meanings associated with self-report and self-management decision-making” of cancer patients with metastatic bone pain (Coward & Wilkie, 2000, p. 101). Pain provided an incentive to seek treatment from health care providers; therefore, it was a protective mechanism. Yet the results indicated that most of the cancer patients did not take pain medication as often as prescribed and preferred nonpharmacological methods, such as positioning or distraction, as their pain-control choices.

Believing that the model had potential in preventive care, Majesky, Brester, and Nishio (1978) used it to construct a tool to measure patient indicators of nursing care. Holaday (1980), Rawls (1980), and Stamler (1971) have conducted research using one subsystem. Derdiarian (1991) examined the relationships between the aggressive and protective subsystem and the other
subsystems. Her findings supported the proposition that the subsystems are interactive, interdependent, and integrated; therefore, Derdiarian supported Johnson's contention that “changes in a subsystem resulting from illness cannot be well understood without understanding their relationship to changes in the other subsystems” (Johnson, 1980, p. 219).

Damus (1980) tested the validity of Johnson's theory by comparing serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) values in patients who had various nursing diagnoses and had been exposed to hepatitis B. Damus correlated the physiological disorder of elevated ALT values with behavioral disequilibrium and found that disorder in one area reflected disorder in another area.

Nurse researchers have demonstrated the usefulness of Johnson's theory in clinical practice. Most of these studies have been conducted with individuals with long-term illnesses or chronic illnesses, such as those with urinary incontinence, chronic pain, cancer, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, compassion fatigue, and psychiatric illnesses (Alexander, 2006; Colling, Owen, McCreedy, et al., 2003; Coward & Wilkie, 2000; Derdiarian, 1988; Derdiarian & Schobel, 1990; Grice, 1997; Holaday, Turner-Henson, & Swan, 1996; Holaday & Turner-Henson, 1987; Martha, Bhaduri, & Jain, 2004). Studies have documented the effectiveness of using the model with children, adolescents, and the elderly population. Based on extensive practice, instrument development, and research, Holaday (1980) concluded that users of Johnson's theory are provided with a guide for planning and giving care based on scientific knowledge.

**Further Development**

Johnson (1982) acknowledged that the knowledge base for use of her model was incomplete, and she offered a challenge to researchers to complete her work. She thought that the directions provided by the model for curriculum development were clear. However, the gaps in knowledge offered challenges for educators as well as practitioners. Johnson (1989) identified a dream for nursing's growth as a scientific discipline. “Since we have specified nursing's special contribution to patient—our explicit, ideal goal in patient care, nursing's growth as a scientific discipline should be rapid—even explosive. When our scientists have the general conception of the realm in which we work, i.e., the phenomena of interest to the profession and the kinds of questions to be asked, it will be possible for them to work together in a systematic fashion to build a cumulative body of knowledge.” Primarily, the theory has been associated with individuals. However, Johnson believed that groups of individuals, such as families and communities, could be considered groups of interactive behavioral systems. With the current emphasis on quality care, health promotion, and illness and injury prevention, theory derived from the model recognizing behavioral disorders in these areas is possible.

It should be noted that preventive nursing (to prevent behavioral system disorder) is not the same as preventive medicine (to prevent biological system disorders), and disorders in both cases must be identified and explicated before approaches to prevention can be developed. At this point, not even medicine has developed many specific preventive measures (immunizations for some infectious diseases and protection against some vitamin deficiency diseases are notable exceptions). A number of general approaches to better health, including adequate nutrition, safe water, and exercise, are applicable, contributing to prevention of some disorders.

Riegel (1989) reviewed the literature to identify major factors that predict “cardiac crippled behaviors or dependency following a myocardial infarction” (p. 74). Social support, self-esteem, anxiety, depression, and perceptions of functional capacity were considered the primary factors affecting psychological adjustment to chronic coronary heart disease. This emphasized the effect of social support or nurturing on the structure and function of the dependency subsystem. Johnson stated, “If care takers were aware of how their behaviors and family behaviors interact with patients to encourage dependency behaviors at the beginning of illness, they could easily prevent many dysfunctional problems” (D. Johnson, personal communication, 1996).

Further development is indicated to identify nursing actions that facilitate appropriate functioning of the system toward disease prevention and health maintenance. Rather than expending energy developing nursing interventions in response to the consequences of disequilibrium, nurses need to learn how
to identify precursors of disequilibrium and respond with preventive interventions.

Assuming that a community is a geographical area, a subpopulation, or any aggregate of people and assuming that a community can benefit from nursing interventions, the behavioral system framework can be applied to community health. A community can be described as a behavioral system with interacting subsystems that have structural elements and functional requirements. For example, mothers of chronically ill children have functional requirements to maintain stability within the achievement subsystem and environmental factors such as “economic, educational, and employment influence mothers’ caretaking skills” (Turner-Henson, 1992, p. 97).

Communities have goals, norms, choices, and actions in addition to needing protection, nurturance, and stimulation. The community reacts to internal and external stimuli, which results in functional or dysfunctional behavior. An example of an external stimulus is health policy, and an example of dysfunctional behavior is high infant mortality rate. The behavioral system consists of yet undefined subsystems that are organized, interacting, interdependent, and integrated. Physical, biological, and psychosocial factors also affect community behavior.

Finally, future development of the Johnson Behavioral System theory is to incorporate advances in the field of system theory. Significant advances in the use of system theory have occurred since Johnson developed her theory such as in the area of system dynamics (Lance, 1999; Wolstenholme, 1990). System dynamics researchers have convincingly demonstrated that people’s information processing capacity is limited, and that humans employ bias and heuristics (e.g., anchoring and use the available heuristic) to process information and to reduce mental effort. Groups display the same bias (Hogarth, 1987; Vennix, Gubbels, Post, et al., 1990). Research in the area of cognitive maps has illustrated the restricted character of human information processing. People seem to experience difficulty in thinking in terms of causal nets. Research has demonstrated that people tend to ignore feedback processes (Dorner, 1980).

This body of research offers some useful insights for the study of the ingested subsystem. How do clients process information and construct the models of reality (set) that guide their decision making (choice and action)? What potential problems/deficiencies in a client’s set could be identified from a nursing assessment that incorporated tenets from system dynamics? Research could lead to development of effective assessment instruments for clinical settings.

The research in systems dynamics also provides some ideas for nursing interventions to test with our clients. System dynamicists have found that model building with clients (using flowcharts and diagrams) are helpful in improving information processing. This is based on the premise that diagramming helps with information processing (set and choice), especially with complex topics. They have also found that using simulation and training in facilitation (asking questions that foster reflection and learning, good process structuring of questions and materials) are also effective (Vennix, Gubbels, Post, et al., 1990; Huz, Andersen, Richardson, et al., 1997). If a diagnosis of insufficiency or discrepancy in the ingestive subsystem were made, would these same types of interventions be helpful?

Holden (2005) noted that complexity science builds on the tradition in nursing that views clients and nursing care from a systems perspective. Complexity science seeks to understand complex adaptive systems (Miller & Page, 2007; Rickles, Hawe, & Shill, 2007). Complex adaptive systems are a “collection of individual agents with the freedom to act in ways that are not totally predictable and whose actions are interconnected so that one agent’s actions changes the context for other agents” (Plsek & Greenhaligh, 2001, p. 625). The Johnson behavioral system theory emphasized the connections and interactions within a systems paradigm. The use of complexity science could expand our understanding of the environmental context and the lifestyle-related and chronic health problems we face today. Complexity science, like Johnson’s system theory, indicates that a flexible range of interventions are essential to respond to health care issues. Conditions such as obesity, chronic pain, and diabetes have multiple interrelating influences such as lifestyle, social, and cultural contexts, and the way forward is not easily reduced to one uniform solution. Principles form complex adaptive systems theory, and Johnson’s behavioral system theory could be used jointly to examine health care issues, allowing new and revised insights to emerge.
Critique

Clarity
Johnson's theory is comprehensive and broad enough to include all areas of nursing practice and provide guidelines for research and education. The theory is relatively simple in relation to the number of concepts. A person is described as a behavioral system composed of seven subsystems. Nursing is an external regulatory force.

Simplicity
The theory is potentially complex because there are a number of possible interrelationships among the behavioral system, its subsystems, and the environment. Potential relationships have been explored, but more empirical work is needed (Brown, 2006).

Generality
Johnson's theory has been used extensively with people who are ill or face the threat of illness. Its use with families, groups, and communities is limited. Johnson perceived a person as a behavioral system composed of seven subsystems, aggregates of interactive behavioral systems. Initially, Johnson did not clearly address nonillness situations or preventive nursing (D. Johnson, curriculum vitae, 1984). In later publications, Johnson (1992) emphasized the role of nurses in preventive health care of individuals and for society. She stated, “Nursing’s special responsibility for health is derived from its unique social mission. Nursing needs to concentrate on developing preventive nursing to fulfill its social obligations” (Johnson, 1992, p. 26).

Accessibility
Accessibility is achieved by identifying empirical indicators for the abstract concepts of model. Empirical precision improves when the subconcepts and the relationships between and among them become better defined and empirical indicators are introduced to the science. The units and the relationships between the units in Johnson's theory are consistently defined. Thus, an adequate degree of empirical precision has been demonstrated in research using Johnson's theory. Although some of Johnson's writings used terms such as balance, stability, equilibrium adaptation, disturbances, disequilibrium, and behavior disorders interchangeably, the programs of research of Dee, Deridarian, Holaday, Lovejoy, and Poster operationally defined terms and were consistent in their use. The clarity of these definitions and the clarity of the definitions of the subsystems add to the theory's empirical precision (Brown, 2006).

Importance
Johnson's theory guides nursing practice, education, and research; generates new ideas about nursing; and differentiates nursing from other health professions. By focusing on behavior rather than biology, the theory clearly differentiates nursing from medicine; although the concepts overlap with those of the psychosocial professions.

Johnson's Behavioral System Model provides a conceptual framework for nursing education, practice, and research. The theory has directed questions for nursing research. It has been analyzed and judged appropriate as a basis for the development of a nursing curriculum. Practitioners and patients have judged the resulting nursing actions to be satisfactory (Johnson, 1980). The theory has potential for continued utility in nursing to achieve valued nursing goals.

Summary
Johnson's Behavioral System Model describes the person as a behavioral system with seven subsystems: the achievement, attachment-affiliative, aggressive-protective, dependency, ingestive, eliminative, and sexual subsystems. Each subsystem is interrelated with the others and the environment and specific structural elements and functions that help maintain the integrity of the behavioral system. Other nurse scholars added the restorative subsystem. The structural components of the behavioral system describe how individuals are motivated (drive) to obtain specified goals using the individual's predisposition to act in certain ways (set) using available choices to produce an action or patterned behavior. The functional requirements/sustenal imperatives protect, nurture, and stimulate the behavioral system. When the behavioral system has balance and stability, the individual's behaviors will be purposeful, organized, and predictable. Imbalance and instability in the behavioral system occur when tension and stressors affect
the relationship of the subsystems or the internal and external environments.

Nursing is an external regulatory force that acts to restore balance and stability by inhibiting, stimulating, or reinforcing certain behaviors (control mechanisms), changing the structural components (patient goals, choices, actions), or fulfilling function requirements. Health is the result of the behavioral system having stability, balance, and equilibrium (Johnson, 1980).

Johnson’s ultimate goals were directed toward nursing practice, a curriculum for schools of nursing, and to develop nursing science. She wanted the Johnson Behavioral System Model to successfully generate and disseminate nursing science; systematize nursing interventions that were ethically reflective; account for multiple perspectives; and be sensitive to society’s values. It was her hope that the Johnson Behavioral System Model was a framework she could leave to future generations of nurses (D. Johnson, personal communication, 1991).

**CASE STUDY**

A 67-year-old man is admitted to the hospital for diagnostic tests after experiencing severe abdominal pain and streaks of blood in his stool. He is alert and oriented. He has a history of type 2 diabetes and hypertension. His blood glucose level is 187 mg/dl and blood pressure is 188/100 mm Hg. The patient is 5 feet 10 inches tall and weighs 145 pounds. He is currently taking antihypertensive, anticoagulant, antiinflammatory, and antidiabetic medications.

His recent history reveals that he had an acute cerebral vascular accident (CVA) 6 weeks ago that resulted in partial paralysis and numbness of the right arm and leg, expressive aphasia, and slurred speech. He completed 4 weeks of inpatient rehabilitation and is able to walk short distances with a cane and moderate assistance. The patient is weak and becomes fatigued quickly. Although he can move his right arm, he guards it due to pain with movement. He receives acetaminophen (Extra Strength Tylenol) for his right arm prior to therapy and before sleep. He also continues to exhibit slight expressive aphasia. He is anxious about continuing his therapy and indicates concern about missing his appointment with the orthopedic physician who was to evaluate his right arm. The patient reports that food doesn’t taste right anymore and he has no appetite. With encouragement from his family, he eats small portions of each meal and drinks fluids without difficulty.

The patient is a college graduate who recently retired. He has been married for 45 years and has two adult children who live in the same city. He is a leader in the church and social community. His family and friends visit him frequently in the hospital. He is cheerful and attempts to talk with them when they visit. When he doesn’t have visitors, he sits quietly in a dark room or sleeps. He is tearful each time his family hugs him prior to leaving. He expresses appreciation for each visit and apologizes each time he “gets emotional.”

**Behavioral Assessment**

Using Johnson’s Behavioral System Model, the following behavioral assessment is identified:

- **Achievement:** The patient has achieved many developmental goals of adulthood. He is relearning how to do activities of daily living (ADLs), walk, talk, as well as other cognitive-motor skills such as reading, writing, and speaking.
- **Attachment-affiliative:** The patient is married with two adult children who are supportive and live in the same city. He has many friends and social contacts who visit frequently.
- **Aggressive-protective:** The patient worries about his wife traveling to the hospital at night, and he worries that she doesn’t eat well while staying with him in the hospital.
- **Dependency:** His recent stroke, resulting in decreased use of his right arm and leg, has affected his mobility and independent completion of ADLs. His potential for falling, inability to feel his arm or leg if injured, and weakness are safety concerns. His wife has taken on the financial and home maintenance responsibilities.
- **Ingestive:** Since the stroke, the patient has had a decreased appetite. He has lost 20 pounds in 6 weeks. Studies reveal no swallowing difficulties. He is able to feed himself with his left hand but needs assistance with cutting foods.
- **Eliminative:** The patient is able to urinate without difficulty in a urinal but prefers walking to the bathroom. He becomes constipated easily due to decreased fluid and food intake.
- **Sexual:** There are changes in the patient’s sexual relationship with his wife due to pain, limited use of his right side, and fatigue.

**Environmental Assessment**

The assessment of internal and external environmental factors indicates that several are creating tension and threatening the balance and stability of the behavioral system. This hospitalization and diagnostic testing adds additional stress to the already weakened biological and psychological stability of the behavioral system. The stroke produced several physical and cognitive impairments that affect independence, self-care, learning, maturation, and socialization. Hospitalization at this time can delay or decrease the prognosis of the patient’s physical and speech rehabilitation. He will need assistance to move safely in the hospital environment.

The patient and his wife are active in their church and participate in many social activities. The patient taught classes in Sunday school. The recent illnesses, hospitalizations, and fatigue have decreased his ability to participate in previous activities. Although he has adapted to his right-sided weakness and decreased motor function by performing his ADLs with his left hand and walking with a cane, he still needs assistance. The patient and his wife live in a suburban neighborhood. Family members installed a ramp to facilitate access to the home. His wife states that neighbors watch the house when she is away and watch for her return to be sure she is safe.

**Structural Components**

- **Drive or goal:** The patient seems motivated to complete the diagnostic tests and return home. He is eager to get back into his outpatient rehabilitation program. It seems equally important for him to decrease stress on his wife. His wife provides positive encouragement and support for him. He looks to her for assistance with decisions.

- **Set:** It is evident that the patient is accustomed to making his own decisions and being a leader. It is also evident that he is accustomed to conferring with his wife to ensure that she is comfortable with decisions being made.

- **Choice:** Although the patient agrees to the diagnostic tests, he is no longer in pain and has had no bleeding since his hospitalization. Therefore, he is more focused on achieving his rehabilitation goals. He initiates activities and seeks assistance from his family in walking to the bathroom, walking in the hall, and completing his ADLs.

- **Actions:** The patient socializes with visitors and family by actively participating in conversations. He requests assistance as needed for physical and cognitive needs. He asks for prayers from his family and friends for spiritual guidance in managing his illness.

**Functional Requirements**

The patient needs outside assistance for all three functional requirements including protection, nurturance, and stimulation. His inability to feel his right side and his impaired mobility increase his potential for injury. Protective devices such as hand bars and a shower chair can be used. The patient needs assistance with preparing meals but has adapted to using his left hand for eating and drinking. Socialization and performance expectations at the outpatient rehabilitation facility are important methods of providing stimulation for the patient. Stimulation is also provided by friends and family who visit the patient. Continued social stimulation is vital for this patient, because he has difficulty understanding other forms of stimulation such as radio, television, and reading.

**Nursing**

Nursing actions are external regulatory forces that should protect, stimulate, and nurture to preserve the organization and integration of the patient’s behavioral system. Nursing actions for this patient should focus on providing explanation of diagnostic tests to be performed and the results of the tests. Identification of favorite foods and encouragement of small, frequent meals with sufficient
fluids to prevent constipation will be needed. The nurse should advocate for inpatient physical and speech therapy to stimulate functional abilities and reinforce the patient's achievement behaviors and to decrease dependency requirements. It will be equally important to encourage ongoing socialization with friends and family. The patient and his wife will need support and teaching to identify methods of adapting to and managing system imbalance and instability and to identify actions that will enhance behaviors to create system balance and stability.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. Select a patient from your clinical practice and one or two of Johnson's subsystems where there is evidence of behavioral system imbalance or the threat of loss of order. Then answer the following questions:
   a. What observation indicates there is a behavioral system imbalance or the threat of the loss of order for the subsystem(s)?
   b. Consider the patient's set. What did the patient focus on in the situation?
   c. Consider the patient's choices. Did the patient consider a range of behaviors for the situation? What role did the patient's set play in his choice of behavior?
   d. What behaviors (actions) did you see and how often? What level of intensity?
   e. What were the sources of nurturance, protection, and stimulation for the actual or desired behavior(s)? Was the source consistent and sufficient?
   f. What diagnoses did you make? Describe your intervention(s).

2. After completing activity number one, reflect on the ways the model influenced your assessment, the description of the problem, and your diagnosis. What insights did using the theory provide for you about the patient?

3. Consider the use of Johnson's model for preventive care in a community setting. What strengths and limitations might you encounter?

**POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY**

- Cardinal Stritch University Library at: [http://library.stritch.edu/research/subjects/health/nursingtheorists/nursingtheoristsIndex.htm](http://library.stritch.edu/research/subjects/health/nursingtheorists/nursingtheoristsIndex.htm)
- Clayton State University at: [http://nursing.clayton.edu/health/nursing/nursingtheory](http://nursing.clayton.edu/health/nursing/nursingtheory)
- Dorothy Johnson's Theory at: [http://dorothyjohnson.wetpaint.com](http://dorothyjohnson.wetpaint.com)
- Dorothy Johnson at: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DMG3HIArc20](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DMG3HIArc20)
- Vanderbilt Medical Center at: [http://www.mc.vanderbilt.edu/biolib/hc/biopages/djohnson.html](http://www.mc.vanderbilt.edu/biolib/hc/biopages/djohnson.html)
- Vanderbilt University, Eskind Biomedical Library Historical Collections has a complete set of Dorothy Johnson's published and unpublished papers, personal correspondence and photographs.
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Nursing theories describe, explain, or predict outcomes based on relationships among the concepts of nursing phenomena.

Theories propose relationships by framing the issue and defining relevant terms.

Nursing theories may be developed at various levels of abstraction.

Nursing theories at a grand theory level are nearly as abstract as the models from which they come, but they are considered theories because they propose testable outcomes.
Anne Boykin grew up in Kaukauna, Wisconsin, the eldest of six children. She began her career in nursing in 1966, graduating from Alverno College in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. She received her master’s degree from Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia, and her doctorate degree from Vanderbilt University in Nashville, Tennessee. Dr. Boykin is married to Steve Staudenmeyer, and they have four children. Anne Boykin retired in fall 2011 and is Professor Emeritus of the Christine E. Lynn College of Nursing at Florida Atlantic University. She has relocated to Asheville, North Carolina, where she enjoys being surrounded by mountains and lakes.

Dr. Boykin is currently the Director of the college’s new Anne Boykin Institute for the Advancement of Caring in Nursing. Boykin has a longstanding commitment to the advancement of knowledge in the discipline, especially regarding the phenomenon of caring. Positions she has held in the International Association for Human Caring include president elect (1990 to 1993), president (1993 to 1996), and member of the nominating committee (1997 to 1999). As immediate past president, she served as coeditor of the journal, International Association for Human Caring, from 1996 to 1999.

Boykin’s scholarly work is centered on caring as the grounding for nursing. This is evidenced in her book (coauthored with Schoenhofer), Nursing as Caring: A Model for Transforming Practice (1993, 2001a), and her book, Living a Caring-Based Program (1994b).
The latter book illustrates how caring grounds the development of a nursing program by creating the environment for study through evaluation. In addition to these books, Dr. Boykin is editor of *Power, Politics and Public Policy: A Matter of Caring* (1995) and coeditor (along with Gaut) of *Caring as Healing: Renewal Through Hope* (1994). She has written numerous book chapters and articles and serves as a consultant locally, regionally, nationally, and internationally on the topic of caring.

**Savina O. Schoenhofer**

Savina Schoenhofer was born the second child and eldest daughter in a family of nine children and spent her formative years on the family cattle ranch in Kansas. She is named for her maternal grandfather, who was a classical musician in Kansas City, Missouri. She has a daughter, Carrie, and a granddaughter, Emma.

During the 1960s, Schoenhofer spent 3 years in the Amazon region of Brazil, working as a volunteer in community development. Her initial nursing degree was completed at Wichita State University, where she also earned graduate degrees in nursing, psychology, and counseling. She completed a PhD in educational foundations and administration at Kansas State University in 1983. In 1990, Schoenhofer co-founded *Nightingale Songs*, an early venue for communicating the beauty of nursing in poetry and prose. An early study made it apparent to Schoenhofer that caring was the service that patients overwhelmingly recognized. In addition to her work on caring, including co-authorship with Boykin of *Nursing as Caring: A Model for Transforming Practice* (1993, 2001a), Schoenhofer has written numerous articles on nursing values, primary care, nursing education, support, touch, and mentoring.

Schoenhofer’s career in nursing has been influenced significantly by three colleagues: Lt. Col. Ann Ashjian (Ret.), whose community nursing practice in Brazil presented an inspiring model of nursing; Marilyn E. Parker, PhD, a faculty colleague who mentored her in the idea of nursing as a discipline, the academic role of higher education, and the world of nursing theories and theorists; and Anne Boykin, PhD, who introduced her to caring as a substantive field of study in nursing.

Dr. Schoenhofer serves on the Ethics Advisory Committee at the University of Mississippi Medical Center, where she consults and advises on questions of ethics in clinical situations that arise in practice and health care ethics education in clinical and education settings. She is Professor of Nursing at University of Mississippi Medical Center School of Nursing in Jackson and Adjunct Professor at the Florida Atlantic University College of Nursing, Boca Raton. Dr. Schoenhofer is committed to the study of nursing as caring.

**Theoretical Sources**

The Theory of Nursing as Caring was borne out of the early curriculum development work at Florida Atlantic University College of Nursing. Anne Boykin and Savina Schoenhofer were among the faculty group revising the caring-based curriculum. When the revised curriculum was instituted, each recognized the importance and human necessity of continuing to develop ideas toward a comprehensive conceptual framework that expressed the meaning and purpose of nursing as a discipline and as a profession. The point of departure from traditional thought was the acceptance that caring is the end rather than the means of nursing, and the intention of nursing rather than merely its instrument. This work led Boykin and Schoenhofer to conceptualize the focus of nursing as “nurturing persons living caring and growing in caring” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1993, p. 22).

Further work to identify foundational assumptions about nursing clarified the idea of the nursing situation as a shared lived experience in which the “caring between” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1993, p. 26) enhances personhood. Personhood is illuminated as living grounded in caring. The clarified notions of nursing situation and focus of nursing bring to life the meaning of the assumptions underlying the theory and permit the practical understanding of nursing as both a discipline and a profession. As critique and refinement of the theory and study of nursing situations progressed, the notion of nursing as being primarily concerned with health was seen as limiting. Boykin and Schoenhofer now propose that nursing is concerned with the broad spectrum of human living.

Three bodies of work significantly influenced the initial development of the theory. *Paterson and Zderad’s (1988)* existential phenomenological theory of humanistic nursing, viewed by Boykin and Schoenhofer as the historical antecedent of Nursing as Caring, was the source for such germinal ideas as “the between,” “call for
nursing,” “nursing response,” and “personhood,” and it served as substantive and structural bases for their conceptualization of nursing as caring. Roach’s (1987, 2002) thesis that caring is the human mode of being finds its natural expression and domain in the assumptions of the theory. Her “6 C’s”—commitment, confidence, conscience, competence, compassion, and comportment—contribute to a language of caring (Roach, 2002). Mayeroff’s (1971) work, On Caring, provided rich, elemental language facilitating recognition and description of the practical meaning of living caring in the ordinariness of life. Mayeroff’s (1971) major ingredients of caring—knowing, alternating rhythms, patience, honesty, trust, humility, hope, and courage—describe the wellspring of human living. In the Theory of Nursing as Caring, these concepts are essential for understanding living as caring, and for coming to appreciate their unique expression in the reciprocal relationship of the nurse and the nursed.

Boykin and Schoenhofer’s conception of nursing as a discipline was influenced directly by Phenix (1964), King and Brownell (1976), and Orem (1979), and as a profession by Flexner’s (1910) ideas. In addition to the work of these thinkers, Boykin and Schoenhofer are longstanding members of the community of nursing scholars whose study focuses on caring. Their collegial association and mutual support also undoubtedly influenced the work.

Nascent forms of the Theory of Nursing as Caring were first published in 1990 and 1991, with the first complete exposition of the theory presented at a theory conference in 1992 (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1990, 1991; Schoenhofer & Boykin, 1993). These expositions were followed by Nursing as Caring: A Model for Transforming Practice, published in 1993 (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1993) and re-released with an epilogue in 2001 (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). Gaut points out in Boykin and Schoenhofer (2001a) that the theory is an excellent example of growth by intension, or gradual illumination, characterized by “the development of an extant bibliography, categorization of caring conceptualizations, and the further development of human care/caring theories” (p. xii).

Focus and Intention of Nursing

Disciplines of knowledge are communities of scholars who develop a particular perspective on the world and what it means to be in the world (King & Brownell, 1976). Disciplinary communities hold a value system in common that is expressed in its unique focus on knowledge and practice. The focus of nursing from the perspective of the Theory of Nursing as Caring is that the discipline of knowledge and professional practice is nurturing persons living and growing in caring. The general intention of nursing is to know persons as caring and to support and sustain them as they live caring (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2006). This intention is expressed uniquely when the nurse enters the relationship with the nursed with the intention of knowing the other as a caring person, and affirming and celebrating the person as caring (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). Caring is expressed in nursing and is “the intentional and authentic presence of the nurse with another who is recognized as living in caring and growing in caring” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1993, p. 24). Sensitivity and skill in creating unique and effective ways of communicating caring are developed through the nurse’s intention to care.

Perspective of Persons as Caring

The fundamental assumption is that all persons are caring. Caring is lived by each person moment to moment and is an essential characteristic of being human. Caring is a process, and throughout life, each person grows in the capacity to express caring. Person therefore is recognized as constantly unfolding in caring. From the perspective of the theory, “fundamentally, potentially, and actually each person is caring” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 2), even though every act of the person might not be understood as caring. Knowing the person as living caring and growing in caring is foundational to the theory.

Nursing Situation

Caring is service that nursing offers and lives in the context of the nursing situation (Boykin &
Schoenhofer, 2006). The nursing situation is the locus of all that is known and done in nursing (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a) and is conceptualized as “the shared, lived experience in which caring between nurse and nursed enhances personhood” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1993, p. 33). The nursing situation is what is present in the mind of the nurse whenever the intent of the nurse is “to nurse” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). It is within the nursing situation that the nurse attends to calls for caring or reaching out of the one nursed. The practice of nursing and the practical knowledge of nursing are situated in a relational locus of the person being nursed with the person nursing in the nursing situation. The nursing situation involves an expression of values, intentions, and actions of two or more persons choosing to live a nursing relationship. In this lived relationship, all knowledge of nursing is created and understood (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2006).

**Personhood**

Personhood is a process of living that is grounded in caring. Personhood implies being who we are as authentic caring persons and being open to unfolding possibilities for caring. We are constantly living out the meaning of our caring from moment to moment. Within the nursing situation, the shared lived experience of caring within enhances personhood, and both the nurse and the nursed grow in caring. In the intimacy of caring, respect for self as person and respect for other are values that affirm personhood. “A profound understanding of personhood communicates the paradox of person-as-person and person-in-communion all at once” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2006, p. 336).

**Direct Invitation**

Within the nursing situation, the direct invitation opens the relationship to true caring between the nurse and the one nursed. The direct invitation of the nurse offers the opportunity to the one nursed to share what truly matters in the moment. With the intention of truly coming to know the one nursed, the nurse risks entering the other’s world and comes to know what is meaningful to him or her. The focus is on what is meaningful for the one being nursed. Invitations to share what matters, such as “How might I nurse you in ways that are meaningful to you?” or “What truly matters most to you at this moment?” are communicated in the personal language of the nurse. The power of the direct invitation reaches deep into the humility of the nursing situation, uniting and guiding the intention of both the nurse and the one nursed. These uniquely expressed invitations of caring call forth responses of mutual valuing in the beauty of the caring between.

**Call for Nursing**

Calls for nursing are calls for nurturance perceived in the mind of the nurse (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, 2001b). Intentionality (Schoenhofer, 2002a) and authentic presence open the nurse to hearing calls for nursing. The nurse responds uniquely to the one nursed with a deliberately developed knowledge of what it means to be human, acknowledging and affirming the person living caring in unique ways in the immediate situation (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1993). Because calls for nursing are uniquely situated personal expressions, they cannot be predicted, but originate within persons who are living caring in their lives and who hold hopes and aspirations for growing in caring. “Calls for nursing are individually relevant ways of saying ‘Know me as caring person in the moment and be with me as I try to live fully who I truly am’” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2006, p. 336).

**Caring Between**

When the nurse enters the world of the other person with the intention of knowing the other as a caring person, the encountering of the nurse and the one nursed gives rise to the phenomenon of caring between, within which personhood is nurtured (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). Through presence and intentionality, the nurse comes to know the other, living and growing in caring. Constant and mutual unfolding enhances this loving relation. Without the caring between the nurse and the nursed, unidirectional activity or reciprocal exchange can occur, but nursing in its fullest sense does not occur. It is in the context of caring between that personhood is
nurtured, each expressing self and recognizing the other as caring person (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a).

**Nursing Response**

In responding to thenursing call, the nurse enters the nursing situation with the intention of knowing the other person as caring. This knowing of person clarifies the call for nursing and shapes the **nursing response**, transforming the knowledge brought by the nurse to the situation from general, to particular and unique (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). The nursing response is co-created in the immediacy of what truly matters and is a specific expression of caring nurturance to sustain and enhance the other living and growing in caring. Nursing responses to calls for caring evolve as nurses clarify their understanding of calls through presence and dialogue. Such responses are uniquely created for the moment and cannot be predicted or applied as preplanned protocols (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1997).

**Story as Method for Knowing Nursing**

**Story** is a method for knowing nursing and a medium for all forms of nursing inquiry. Nursing stories embody the lived experience of nursing situations involving the nurse and the nursed. As a repository of nursing knowledge, any single nursing situation has the potential to illuminate the depth and complexity of the experience as lived, that is, the caring that takes place between the nurse and the one nursed. The content of nursing knowledge is generated, developed, conserved, and known through the lived experience of nursing situations (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). The nursing situation as a unit of knowledge and practice is re-created in narrative or story (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1991). Nursing situations are best communicated through aesthetic media such as storytelling, poetry, graphic arts, and dance to preserve the lived meaning of the situation and the openness of the situation through text. These media provide time and space for reflecting and for creativity in advancing understanding (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1991, 2001a, 2006; Boykin, Parker, & Schoenhofer, 1994). Story as method re-creates and re-presents the essence of the experience, making the knowledge of nursing available for further study (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a).

**Use of Empirical Evidence**

The assumptions of Nursing as Caring ground the practice of nursing in knowing, enhancing, and illuminating the caring between the nurse and the one nursed. As such, rather than providing empirical variables from which hypotheses and testable predictions are made, the theory of nursing as caring **qualitatively transforms practice.** In the theory, persons are unique and unpredictable in the moment and therefore cannot and should not be manipulated or objectified as testable, researchable variables. Ellis believed that theories should reveal the knowledge that nurses must, and should, spend time pursuing (Algase & Whall, 1993). The Theory of Nursing as Caring reveals the essentiality of recognizing caring between the nurse and the one nursed as substantive knowledge that nurses must pursue. From this perspective, the outcomes of nursing care reflect the valuing of person in ways that communicate “value added” richness of the nursing experience (Boykin, Schoenhofer, Smith, et al., 2003, p. 225). Characteristics of personhood are essential to the theory, such as unity, wholeness, awareness, and intention. In Nursing as Caring, outcomes of nursing are articulated in terms that are subjective and descriptive, rather than objective and predictive (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1997).

**Major Assumptions**

Fundamental beliefs about what it means to be human undergird the Theory of Nursing as Caring. Boykin and Schoenhofer (2001a) address six major assumptions that reflect a set of values to provide a basis for understanding and explicating the meaning of nursing.
Person

One: Persons are Caring by Virtue of their Humanness

The belief that persons are caring by virtue of their humanness sets forth the ontological and ethical bases on which the theory is grounded. Being a person means living caring, through which being and possibilities are known to the fullest. Each person throughout his or her life grows in the capacity to express caring. The assumption that all persons are caring does not require that each act of a person be caring, but it does require the acceptance that “fundamentally, potentially, and actually, each person is caring” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 2). Through entering, experiencing, and appreciating the life-world of other, the nature of being human is more fully understood. From the perspective of Nursing as Caring, the understanding of person as caring “centers on valuing and celebrating human wholeness, the human person as living and growing in caring, and active personal engagement with others” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 5).

Two: Persons are Whole and Complete in the Moment

Respect for the person is communicated by the notion of person as whole or complete in the moment. Being complete in the moment signifies that there is no insufficiency, no brokenness, and no absence of something. Wholeness, or the fullness of being, is forever present. The view of the person as caring and complete is intentional, offering a unifying lens for being present with the other that prevents segmenting into parts such as mind, body, and spirit. Through this lens, the person is at all times whole, with no insufficiency, brokenness, or absence of something. The idea of wholeness does not preclude the idea of complexity of being. Instead, from the perspective of Nursing as Caring, to encounter a person as less than whole fails to truly encounter the person.

Three: Persons Live Caring, Moment to Moment

Caring is a lifetime process that is lived moment to moment and is constantly unfolding. In the rhythm of life experiences, we continually develop expressions of ourselves as caring persons. Actualization of the potential to express caring varies in the moment. As competency in caring is developed through life, we come to understand what it means to be a caring person, to live caring, and to nurture each other as caring. This awareness of self as a caring person brings forth to consciousness the valuing of caring and becomes the moral imperative, directing the “oughts” of actions with the persistent question, “How ought I act as caring person?” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 4).

Health

Four: Personhood is Living Life Grounded in Caring

Personhood is a process of living caring and growing in caring: It is being authentic, demonstrating congruence between beliefs and behaviors, and living out the meaning of one's life. Personhood acknowledges the potential for unfolding caring possibilities moment to moment. From the perspective of Nursing as Caring, personhood is the universal human call. This implies that the fullness of being human is expressed in living caring uniquely day to day and is enhanced through participation in caring relationships (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a).

Environment

Five: Personhood is Enhanced through Participating in Nurturing Relationships with Caring Others

As a process, personhood acknowledges the potential of persons to live caring and is enhanced through participation in nurturing relationships with caring others. The nature of relationships is transformed through caring. Caring is living in the context of relational responsibilities and possibilities, and it acknowledges the importance of knowing person as person. “Through knowing self as caring person, I am able to be authentic to self, freeing me to truly be with others” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 4).

Nursing

Six: Nursing is Both a Discipline and a Profession

Nursing is an “exquisitely interwoven” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 6) unity of aspects of the discipline and profession of nursing. As a discipline, nursing
is a way of knowing, being, valuing, and living in the world, and is envisaged as a unity of knowledge within a larger unity. The discipline of nursing attends to the discovery, creation, development, and refinement of knowledge needed for the practice of nursing. The profession of nursing attends to the application of that knowledge in response to human needs.

Nursing as caring focuses on the knowledge needed for plenary understanding of what it means to be human and the distinctive methods needed to verify this knowledge. As a human science, knowing nursing means knowing in the realms of personal, empirical, ethical, and aesthetic all at once (Carper, 1978; Phenix, 1964). These patterns of knowing provide an organizing framework for asking epistemological questions of caring in nursing.

**Theoretical Assertions**

The broad philosophical framework of the theory assures its congruence in a variety of nursing situations. As a general theory, Nursing as Caring is appropriate for various nursing roles, such as individual practice, group or institutional practice, and a variety of practice venues such as acute care, long-term care, nursing administration, and nursing education.

The fundamental assumptions of Nursing as Caring underpin the assertions and concepts of the theory. They are as follows: (1) To be human is to be caring, and (2) the purpose of the discipline and profession is to come to know persons and nurture them as persons living caring and growing in caring. These assumptions give rise to the concept of respect for persons as caring individuals and respect for what matters to them. The notion of respect grounds and characterizes relationships and is the starting place for all nursing caring activities.

**Dance of Caring Persons**

The *Dance of Caring Persons* is a visual representation of the theoretical assertion that lived caring between the nurse and the nursed expresses underlying relationships (Figure 19–1). The egalitarian spirit of caring respect characterizes each participant in the dance of caring persons, where the contributions of each dancer, including the one nursed, are honored.

Dancers enter the nursing situation, visualized as a circle of caring that provides organizing purpose and integrated functioning (Boykin, Schoenhofer, Smith, et al., 2003). Dancers move freely; some dancers touch, some dance alone, but all dance in relation to each other and to the circle. Each dancer brings special gifts as the nursing situation evolves. Some dancers may hear different notes and a different rhythm, but all harmonize in the unity of the dance and the oneness of the circle. Personal knowing of self and other is integral to the connectedness of persons in the dance, in which the nature of relating in the circle is grounded in valuing and respecting person (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). All in the nursing situation, including the nurse and the nursed, sustain the dance, being energized and resonating with the music of caring.

**Outcomes of Nursing Care**

Outcomes of nursing care are conceptualized from values experienced in the nursing relationship, and in normative documentation, these outcomes are unacknowledged. Boykin and Schoenhofer (1997) note that it is the responsibility of the courageous advanced practice nurse to “go beyond what is currently accepted in delimiting and languaging the value expressed by persons who participate in nursing situations” (p. 63).
Logical Form

The theory is presented in logical form grounded in nursing as a discipline of knowledge and a profession, and in general assumptions related to persons as caring in nursing. The theory is a broad-based, general theory of nursing rendered in everyday language. Mayeroff’s (1971) work, On Caring, and Roach’s (1987) “5 C’s” provided language that illuminated the practical meaning of caring in nursing situations.

Key concepts of caring, nursing, intention, nursing situation, direct invitation, call for nursing as caring, caring between, and nursing response are described as general assumptions, and interrelated meanings are illustrated in the model of the dance of caring persons. The direct invitation, introduced in the 2001 edition of Nursing as Caring: A Model for Transforming Practice, is an elaboration of the nursing situation and further clarifies the role of the nurse in initiating and sustaining caring responses. Story as a method for knowing focuses on nursing situations as the locus for nursing knowledge as a fluid and logical extension of the framework.

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

Nursing is a way of living caring in the world and is revealed in personal patterns of caring. Foundations for practice of the Theory of Nursing as Caring become illumined when the nurse comes to know self as caring person “in ever deepening and broadening dimensions” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 23). Practicing nursing within this framework requires the acknowledgment that knowing self as caring matters and is integral to knowing others as caring. This is especially important in light of practice environments that depersonalize and support the notion of the nurse as an instrument and a means to an end. Rather than nursing practice focused on activities, the lens for practice becomes the intention to know and nurture the person as caring. Often, realization of the self as caring person does not occur until the nurse articulates and shares the story of the caring transpiring in the nursing situation. When reflecting upon their caring, nurses describe “Aha!” moments, signal realizations of self as always having been caring, and rediscover freedom in caring possibilities within the nursing situation: “freedom to be, freedom to choose, and freedom to unfold” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 23). Honoring caring values in explicit ways reafirms the substance of nursing and refreshes the caring intention of the nurse. Through the sharing of story, new possibilities arise for living nursing as caring.

Nursing Service Administration

In living Nursing as Caring, the nursing administrator makes decisions through a lens in which activities are infused with a concern for shaping a transformative culture that embodies the fundamental values expressed within nursing as caring. All activities of the nursing administrator must be connected to the direct work of nursing and be “ultimately directed to the person(s) being nursed” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 33). These activities include creating, maintaining, and supporting an environment open to hearing calls for nursing and to providing nurturing responses.

Boykin and Schoenhofer (2001a) point out that contrary to the perception of nurse administrators being removed from the direct care of the nursed, they are able to directly or indirectly enter the world of the nursed, respond uniquely, and assist the nurse in securing resources to nurture persons as they live and grow in caring. The nursing administrator is also able to enter the world of the nursed indirectly, through the stories of colleagues in other roles. Other activities of the nursing administrator within the interdisciplinary environment of the organization include facilitating understanding and clarity of the focus of nursing and informing other members of the interdisciplinary health care team of the unique contributions of nurses. Sharing the depth of nursing with others through nursing situations illuminates meanings and allows for fluid reciprocity among colleagues.

The work of the nurse administrator must also reflect the uniqueness of the discipline so that nursing is being reflected, portraying respect for persons as caring and extending through mission statements, goals, objectives, standards of practice, policies, and procedures (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). The following story was related by Nancy Hilton, MSN, RN, Chief Nursing Officer, at a Florida hospital. This nurse administrator, practicing from the perspective of Nursing as Caring, reflects the complexity and
intentional caring expressed in living caring uniquely and courageously:

We are intentionally refocusing our culture from a traditional bureaucratic one to a person-centered, caring-based values organization. In 2007, our Nursing Councils at St. Lucie Medical Center selected the Theory of Nursing as Caring as the theoretical model to guide our nursing practice. As a Nursing Administrator, I pondered how I could intentionally ground our hospital environment, and the practice of the nurses within its walls, in a perspective of caring. I made a deliberate commitment to deepen our knowledge and awareness by allocating time for all of us to participate in dialogues focused on knowing ourselves as caring persons.

I am able to live caring uniquely as the CNO by ministering to the nurses providing direct patient care. As we transform our nursing practice, we live and grow in caring together. What I do best is utilize the art of storytelling to translate the calls for nursing into the language of the boardroom. Through the use of strategic nursing situations, I connect the administrators directly to the one nursed. While these indirect caregivers yearn for connectedness to the patient, it takes the ingredient of courage on my part to convince the administrators why it is critical to transform an entire healthcare system by intentionally grounding it in a perspective of caring. I have been willing to conceptualize and chart the course as well as partner with key leaders in creating an environment to embody the true values of caring.

In practicing through the lens of Nursing as Caring, the nursing administrator assists in creating a community that appreciates, supports, and nurtures persons as they live and grow in caring. Allocation of time for dialogue allows shared meanings to emerge, and demonstrates the commitment of the nursing administrator to enhance the growth of the nurse within the discipline of nursing (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001b). The nursing administrator interfaces with persons of many other disciplines, as well as with the one nursed, and expresses honesty and authenticity in encouraging others to live out who they are: The nature of relating with persons whose roles range from the boardroom to the bedside is grounded in a respect for and valuing of each person.

The theory of Nursing as Caring is gaining acceptance among large nursing organizations. In 2012, Duke University Health System adopted Nursing as Caring as their practice framework for the nursing service department. Boykin and Schoenhofer are serving as consultants with the Duke University Health System on planning, implementation, evaluation, and research related to this endeavor. Boykin is also working with the Professional Practice Council of the Veteran’s Administration in North Carolina as they work to articulate caring in their practice model.

**Education**

From the perspective of Nursing as Caring, the model for organizational design of nursing education is analogous to the Dance of Caring Persons. Faculty, students, and administrators dance together in the study of nursing. Each dancer is recognized, prized, and celebrated for the gifts he or she brings. The role of each person influences how the commitment to nursing education is lived out.

Nursing as Caring assumptions ground the practice of nursing education and nursing education administration (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, 2001b). As expressions of the discipline, the structure and practices of the education program, including the curriculum, reflect the values and assumptions inherent in the statement of focus and the domain of the discipline, that is, nurturing persons living caring and growing in caring. Through the lens of Nursing as Caring, fundamental assumptions of the theory that honor and celebrate the uniqueness of persons as caring should be reflected. Caring, as one of the significant components of nursing knowledge, should be studied and infused throughout the curriculum (Schoenhofer, 2001). Through story, that is, the study of nursing situations, disciplinary and professional knowledge is accessed and nursing responses grounded in caring are conceptualized. All activities of the program of study should therefore be directed toward developing, organizing, and communicating nursing knowledge, the knowledge of nurturing persons living caring and growing in caring. Using the theory of nursing as caring, Eggenberger, Keller, and Locsin (2010) studied how students come to know
persons as caring and how they express caring using a high-fidelity human simulator in emergent nursing situations. This is one exemplar of loci where caring and technology have become a synthesis of creativity in contemporary nursing education grounded in caring.

Caring has been posited as the link between spirituality and higher education and as an ethic for being in relationship (Boykin & Parker, 1997). It is therefore a framework for knowing and the moral basis for relating. Self-discovery through an ongoing search for truth prepares learners “to receive a greater understanding of his/her reality as well as the reality of others; to develop a sense of identification, connectedness and compassion with others, and a deeper understanding of truth” (Boykin & Parker, 1997, p. 32). The challenge in higher education is to create an environment that can sustain and nurture the living of caring and spirituality (Boykin & Parker, 1997).

The role of the dean of a caring-based nursing program is “intrinsically linked” (Boykin, 1994a, p. 17) to an understanding of nursing as both a discipline and a profession and focuses actions on developing and maintaining a caring environment in which the knowledge of the discipline can be discovered. As administrator, the dean “nurtures ideas, secures resources, communicates the nature of the discipline, models living and growing in caring, co-creates a culture in which the study of nursing can be achieved freely and fully, grounds all actions in a commitment to caring as a way of being, and treats others with the same care, concern, and understanding as those entrusted to our nursing care” (Boykin, 1994a, pp. 17–18). Such a broad scope of responsibility rests on the moral obligation inherent in the role of the dean to ensure that all actions originate in caring, and that an environment is created that fosters development of the capacity to care (Boykin, 1990).

**Research**

Boykin and Schoenhofer (2001a) assert that because the nature of nursing exemplified in the Nursing as Caring Theory is one of reciprocal relation, where persons are united in oneness in caring, science in nursing must be commensurate with this perspective. As a human science, nursing calls for methods of inquiry that assure the dialogic circle in the nursing situation and fully encompass that which can be known of nursing. The ontology of nursing, with its locus in person as caring in community with others and with the universe, therefore requires an epistemology consonant with human science values and methods, with “methods and techniques that honor freedom, creativity, and interconnectedness” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 53).

Boykin and Schoenhofer (2001a) have proposed that the systematic study of nursing should include a new creative methodology that recognizes the locus of study in the nursing situation. They postulate that a methodology fully adequate to capture nursing knowledge within the nursing situation might include a “phenomenological-hermeneutical process within an action research orientation” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 62). Such a method would allow the study of nursing meaning as it is being co-created within the lived experience of the nursing situation. The idea of praxis and the theory of communicative action continue to be explored as possible underpinnings for an emergent research methodology. However, the research approach requires further consideration and development of the philosophical underpinning (Schoenhofer, 2002b).

**Research Studies**

Research guided by the Theory of Nursing as Caring is ongoing. The practicality of Nursing as Caring is being tested and implemented in several nursing practice settings. Executive personnel, directors of nursing, and nurse administrators are calling for practice models that speak to the essentiality of caring in nursing, and these calls continue to increase. Several examples of use of the theory in research follow.

In separate research studies within units of two major regional hospitals, JFK Medical Center and Boca Raton Community Hospital, values and outcomes of caring were reframed and rearticulated to reflect integration of the Theory of Nursing as Caring. The significant courage of administrators collaborating in this caring research reflected a growing realization that caring for persons as persons is a value to which persons respond. Outcomes of care were documented within reframed institutional values of caring by nurses who contributed these values in their practice. These studies are briefly described in the following paragraphs.

A 2-year study titled “Demonstration of a Caring-Based Model for Health Care Delivery with the Theory of Nursing as Caring” was funded by the Quantum...
Foundation, and completed at JFK Medical Center in Atlantis, Florida (Boykin, Bulfin, Schoenhofer, et al., 2005). For this study, a practice model based on the Theory of Nursing as Caring was implemented in a telemetry unit. Persons from all stakeholder groups were invited to tell a story illustrating caring as it was lived in a nursing situation on the pilot unit. The model evolved from shared values of Nursing as Caring, including those expressed by patients, patients’ families, nurses, other members and staff of the pilot unit, and members of the administrative team. Themes were uncovered in a narrative analysis and synthesis and served as explicit components of the model. Major themes of the nursing practice model were based on the Theory of Nursing as Caring, and strategies and operational structures were created to reflect these themes. Support for the core of the caring-based model arising from direct invitation (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, 2001b) led to a new and renewed focus on “responding to that which matters” (Boykin, Schoenhofer, Smith, et al., 2003, p. 229), which nurses now recognize as integral to caring in the nursing situation.

This project demonstrated that transformation of care occurs when nursing practice is focused intentionally on coming to know person as caring and on nurturing and supporting the nursed as they live their caring. Within this practice model, the nursed were able to articulate the experience of being cared for, patient and nurse satisfaction increased dramatically, retention increased, and the environment for care became grounded in the values and respect for person (Boykin, Schoenhofer, Smith, et al., 2003). An outcome of use of the model was that nurses sought opportunities to work in a satisfying place with caring others. When nurses transferred from the demonstration unit to other floors, they carried a new focus of nursing with them.

A similar project began in 2003 (Boykin, Bulfin, Baldwin, et al., 2004; Boykin, Bulfin, Schoenhofer, et al., 2005) in the emergency department of Boca Raton Community Hospital. The first phase of a model of care based on the Theory of Nursing as Caring was titled “Emergency Department: Transformation from Object Centered Care to Person Centered Care Through Caring.” In creating the model, staff realized that changes were needed in conceptualizations of nursing practice. Initially, all emergency services staff, including physicians, nurses, and support services staff, were included, emulating the organization of the Dance of Caring Persons. Evaluation began early because of the success of the model in the busy venue of the emergency department. Although integration of the Theory of Nursing as Caring at JFK Medical Center and Boca Raton Community Hospital was carried out on individual units, the theory demonstrated flexibility and broad-based application and has been integrated system-wide at St. Lucie Medical Center, Port St. Lucie, Florida. This continuing integration was described in the Nursing Service Administration section earlier in the chapter.

In 2007, the nursing staff and administrative personnel at St. Lucie Medical Center adopted the Theory of Nursing as Caring throughout the medical center. The first step in this process began with a study conducted to determine how best to uniquely adapt and integrate the Theory of Nursing as Caring. The caring modeled in the theory was extended to all personnel throughout the hospital, from organization executives, to nurses, physicians, managers, technicians, therapists, and maintenance personnel. Living caring authentically and nurturing the wholeness of others within the rigors and ordinariness of daily work were studied and exemplified in all departments and infused throughout the organization (Pross, Boykin, Hilton, et al., 2010; Pross, Hilton, Boykin, et al., 2011).

In 2009, a study was incepted at St. Mary’s Medical Center, Palm Beach County that focused on developing a dedicated education unit grounded in the Theory of Nursing as Caring (Dyess, Boykin, & Rigg, 2010). Participants included health care administrators, staff, students, and faculty. Prior to undertaking this project, the health care organization did not have a specific nursing theory to guide practice, and outcomes of the project proved to be rich. They included a growing appreciation for knowing each other as caring, the development of clinically seasoned and dedicated nurses who supported the advancement of theory-based caring nursing, an administrative team who were eager to mentor staff, and dedicated educators who modeled living theory-based practice to new nurses.

Caring from the heart (Touhy, 2004; Touhy & Boykin, 2008; Touhy, Strews, & Brown, 2005) is a model of practice based on the Theory of Nursing as Caring in a unit at a long-term care facility. The model of practice was designed through collaboration
between project personnel and all stakeholders. All persons on the unit participated in the process to create an innovative approach that blends with the existing facility design. Major themes revolve around responding to that which matters, caring as a way of expressing spiritual commitment, devotion inspired by love for others, commitment to creating a home environment, and coming to know and respect person as person.

In a study titled “The Value Experienced in Relationships Involving Nurse Practitioner-Nursed Dyads,” Thomas, Finch, Green, and Schoenhofer (2004) sought to describe the shared experience of caring between nurse practitioners and those they nurse, and to uncover the caring experienced in the relationship. The approach used was praxis, in which dialogue ensued among the nurse practitioner, the nursed, and the nurse researcher resulted in a portrait of caring relationships between the nurse practitioner and the nursed.

The major nursing models for acute care hospitals and the long-term care facility each reflect themes that are central to Nursing as Caring, but these themes are drawn out in ways that are unique to the setting and to the persons involved in each setting. The differences and similarities demonstrate the power of Nursing as Caring to transform practice in a way that reflects unity without conformity and uniqueness within oneness (Touhy, 2004; Touhy & Boykin, 2008).

Further Development

Theory

As a general theory of nursing, Nursing as Caring serves as a broad, conceptual framework underpinning middle-range theory development. Drawing on Nursing as Caring as the underlying theoretical framework, Locsin (1995) created a model of machine technologies and caring in nursing. Competence in machine technology and caring was presented as nursing practice when grounded in a caring perspective, without which nursing becomes the functional practice of machine proficiency. Locsin (1998) developed this critical understanding in the theory of Technologic Competence as Caring in Critical Care Nursing. In this middle-range theory, the intention to care and to nurture the other as caring is actualized through direct knowing, technological competence, and the medium of technologically produced data. The theory is being tested in critical care settings, with development and refinement ongoing (Kongsuwan & Locsin, 2011; Parcells & Locsin, 2011).

Purnell (2006) created a Model for Nursing Education grounded in caring, with three major aspects characterizing the model: the Theory of Nursing as Caring, the metaphor of the Dance of Caring Persons (see Figure 19–1) as organizing construct, and intentionality in nursing with its transformative aspect of aesthetic knowing. Caring intention that guides the creation of the course and environment is understood as a vital energy that flows through and critically interconnects every aspect of the course. The model is intended for us in traditional and online environments with caring intention flowing throughout. From this perspective, caring nursing intention in all its dimensions is essential in the shaping of teaching and learning. Touhy and Boykin (2008) have proposed caring as the central domain for nursing education.

Research

Research and development efforts are focused on expanding the language of caring by uncovering personal ways of living caring in everyday life (Schoenhofer, Bingham, & Hutchins, 1998) and on reconceptualizing nursing outcomes as “value experienced in nursing situations” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1997; Schoenhofer & Boykin, 1998a, 1998b). In consultation with graduate students, nursing faculties and health care agencies are using aspects of the theory to ground research, teaching, and practice. Developmental efforts include the following areas: (1) clarification of the concept of personhood, (2) expansion of the understanding of enhancing personhood as the general outcome of nursing, (3) illuminating understanding of the concept of direct invitation, (4) innovations in nursing research, (5) use of the theory in middle-range theory work, and (6) use of the theory in the critical analysis of caring. Over the last 10 years, however, in response to calls for transformation by health care systems to a framework grounded in caring, particular attention has focused on development and research of implementation and outcomes of caring. In a development that signifies grass roots acceptance of the theory, Nursing as Caring has been translated into Japanese.
Critique

Clarity
Boykin and Schoenhofer achieve semantic clarity by developing the Theory of Nursing as Caring with everyday language. The major assumptions that undergird the theory are clearly stated and interrelated. Meanings are understood intuitively and reflectively. The assumption that all persons are caring is necessary for understanding the theory because Boykin and Schoenhofer assert that the caring between the nurse and the nursed is the source and ground of nursing. The assumption that nursing is both a discipline and a profession provides a conceptual locus for the creation of research methodologies that fluidly unite the discipline and the profession within the notion of research within praxis, or praxis as research.

Simplicity
The simplicity of the theory rests in the everyday language and in the reciprocal nature of nursing, characterized by the fundamental grounding in person as caring. The assumptions of the theory encompass a broad sweep of human understanding and lay plain conceptual groundwork for living caring. In this regard, however, the theory becomes more complex, in that assumptions and conceptual meanings are densely interconnected as the nurse comes to know self as caring person in ever greater dimensions (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a). The lived meaning of Nursing as Caring is illuminated best in a nursing situation in which the notion of living caring enhances the knowing of self and other.

Generality
Boykin and colleagues (2003) describe the Theory of Nursing as Caring as a general or grand nursing theory that offers a broad philosophical framework with practical implications for transforming practice. From the perspective of Nursing as Caring, the focus of nursing knowledge and nursing action is nurturing persons who are living caring and growing in caring. The theory may be used to guide individual practice or to guide practice for the organizational level of institutions. The Theory of Nursing as Caring underpins middle-range theory development such as Locsin's (1998) theory of technological competence as caring, Dunphy & Winland-Brown's (2001) caring model for advanced practice nursing, Purnell's (2006) model of nursing education grounded in caring, and Eggenberger and Keller's (2008) approach for simulation in caring.

Accessibility
The Theory of Nursing as Caring lends itself to research methodologies with approaches of a human science. Because the locus of nursing inquiry is the nursing situation, the systematic study of nursing calls for a method of inquiry that can encompass the dialogic circle of understanding of persons connected in caring. Boykin and Schoenhofer (2001a) distinguish clearly between inquiry about nursing and inquiry of nursing.

Importance
When integrated into nursing practice, the Theory of Nursing as Caring illuminates and brings into consciousness and articulation the values of nursing care. These include the direct, unmediated worth of nursing care in economic terms, the value of nursing as a social and human service, the value of nursing caring as a rich, satisfying practice for nurses, and the value of regenerative nursing for the discipline. The significance of Nursing as Caring is evidenced by the adoption of the theory at multiple levels ranging from individual practice to hospital department, to nursing administration, and now, for institution-wide and system-wide adoptions. Nursing values are being translated into values for general well-being, and caring is being infused into the domains of non-nursing personnel.

Summary
The Theory of Nursing as Caring is a general or grand nursing theory that offers a broad philosophical framework with practical implications for transforming practice (Boykin Schoenhofer, Smith, et al., 2003). From the perspective of Nursing as Caring, the focus and aim of nursing as a discipline of knowledge and a professional service is “nurturing persons living caring and growing in caring” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2001a, p. 12). The theory is grounded in fundamental assumptions that (1) to be human is to be caring, and (2) the activities of the discipline and the profession of nursing coalesce in coming to know persons
as caring, and nurturing them as persons living and growing in caring.

Formed intention and authentic presence guide the nurse in selecting and organizing empirically based knowledge for practical use in each unique and unfolding nursing situation. Because caring is uniquely created in the moment in response to a uniquely experienced call for nursing caring, there can be no prescribed outcome. The caring that is experienced by the nursed and others in the nursing situation can, however, be described and valued (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1997; Schoenhofer & Boykin, 1998a, 1998b), and in the theory of nursing as caring, becomes a substantive focus for study and research.

Caring in nursing is “an altruistic, active expression of love, and is the intentional and embodied recognition of value and connectedness” (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 2006, p. 336). Although caring is not unique to nursing, it is uniquely lived in nursing. The understanding of nursing as a discipline and as a profession uniquely focuses on caring as its central value, its primary interest, and the direct intention of its practice.

Models for practice are being developed in several institutional practice areas, and Nursing as Caring is being used as a conceptual basis for developing middle-range theories. As the Theory of Nursing as Caring becomes more widely known, consideration and referential inclusion in disciplinary journals have steadily increased. The theory has been used as a theoretical basis for master’s and doctoral research (Herrington, 2002; Linden, 1996, 2000).

### CASE STUDY

**A Study of the Nursing Situation**

From the perspective of Nursing as Caring, the nursing situation is the unit of knowledge for study for a focus on personhood as a process of living that is grounded in caring (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1991; Touhy, 2004). The mutual relationship shared by the nurse and the nursed is one of reciprocity and subjectivity. Because nursing knowledge is found in the nursing situation, the shared, lived experience in the caring between the nurse and the nursed enhances personhood. Thus the study of story in the nursing situation is the method for knowing nursing.

Carper’s (1978) fundamental patterns of knowing, personal, empirical, ethical, and aesthetic open useful pathways for organizing and understanding the rich content of nursing situations. Personal knowing centers on encountering, experiencing, and knowing self and other. Empathy, the shared knowing of other, is an expression of aesthetic knowing. Empirical knowing is factual and addresses the empirical science of nursing. Ethical knowing is concerned with moral obligations inherent in nursing situations and what ought to be. Aesthetic knowing is the subjective appreciation of phenomena as lived in the nursing situation: Nursing stories, therefore, represent both the process of aesthetic knowing (creative appreciation) and the product of aesthetic knowing (illumination and integration) (Boykin & Schoenhofer, 1991). The outcomes of nursing are the values experienced within the nursing situation. For this study of a nursing situation, read the following story slowly, allowing yourself to be one with the nurse and with the ones nursed sharing in the feelings of each and dwelling in your reflections.

Jane was a young woman who suffered from metastatic cancer. The very first time that I entered her room I remember thinking of just how beautiful she was. I could not understand why someone so young and so needed in the world would have to endure such a drastic circumstance. Immediately, my heart poured with compassion for her and her family.

Jane was a long-term admit, requiring numerous interventions for her nausea, vomiting, and pain. Her husband came every single day with the children, and they would sit at her bedside as they completed their school assignments. The family had filled her room with pictures that lined the windowsill, personal blankets from home, and a small bottle of perfume that Jane wore every day. The fragrance was amazing, and the environment was saturated with love.

As Jane’s condition worsened, the case manager pushed for a hospice consult. Jane’s husband adamantly refused. He firmly expressed his desire to
take his wife home. He held onto the slightest change in her labs or if she experienced a brief period without nausea. To him, these minute circumstances indicated that she was not dying. I cry when I think of his love for her.

One day when the family was gone, I sat with Jane. She wanted me to help her put on some makeup and fix up what little hair she had left. Her father was coming from California to see her, and she wanted to look nice. As I applied foundation to her face, Jane told me that she knew that she was going to die soon. I listened in silence, as it was the only therapeutic technique that I could utilize to hold back my own emotion. She went on about her own personal desire to see her family and to spend her last days surrounded by them. I combed through her hair as I asked her if there was anything that she wanted me to do. Jane looked straight into my eyes and said, “Get me out of this place.”

I went home that night and hugged my husband and my son a little tighter. As I fell asleep, I could not stop thinking of Jane. The next morning, as I spoke with the oncologist, I told him of my time with Jane the previous day. Collaboratively, we decided that she could go home with a nasogastric tube and various prescriptions with which to control her pain. We consulted with case management and arranged for a home health nurse to visit her around the clock. I entered the room to tell the news to the family, and one would have thought that I told them that they had won the lottery. There was so much joy in the room; everyone was hugging and crying. I looked at Jane and she winked at me. I will never forget it.

Two days later I reported to work to find Jane’s husband and her youngest son waiting in the lobby of the unit. Jane’s husband had red and swollen eyes and he didn’t need to say a word. I walked up to him and wrapped my arms around him. He just broke down. We spoke for a long while; a conversation filled with compliments and graciousness for the care that I had provided to his lovely wife. I told him that I knew no other way to care for her and that she would never leave my thoughts. As they turned to leave, Jane’s little boy pulled out a small bottle of perfume. As he handed it to me he said, “This was my mommy’s”. “She said that you love it... I want for you to have it”. As I embraced him and thanked him for the gesture, I looked up at Jane’s husband and he nodded his head in approval. At that moment I knew that I had made a strong impact on this family through the simple essence of caring.

*The author thanks Tahseen Nizam Silva for sharing this nursing practice story.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

**A Nursing Situation Reflection**

Find a comfortable space in which to pause, recall, and reflect. Close your eyes and dwell upon the meanings and the caring that took place within the nursing situation; then fully engage in the moment and in the meanings that emerge as you consider these questions:

1. How is the nurse expressing caring in her responses to calls for nursing from Jane? Put yourself in the nurse’s shoes and see Jane through her eyes. Describe the calls for caring perceived by the nurse.
2. How is the father expressing his caring in the nursing situation? How are her children living out their hope?
3. Enter again into Jane’s world and be vulnerable to her pain. What values of caring did she express in the nursing situation?
4. Did the nurse express her compassion? How would you have responded to Jane’s calls for caring? Are there other ways to express caring that might have been meaningful to Jane?
5. Place yourself in the shoes of the nurse, and describe the mutuality of living and growing in caring. What difference did caring nursing make in this nursing situation?
6. Record your own story of caring in a journal, and reflect on your intention in that nursing situation. Review the story from time to time to see how you have grown in your caring.
POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- Archives of Caring in Nursing, Christine E. Lynn Center for Caring, College of Nursing, Florida Atlantic University at: http://nursing.fau.edu/archives.
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**Masters Theses**


Afaf Ibrahim Meleis was born in Alexandria, Egypt. In personal communication with Meleis (December 29, 2007), she reckons that nursing has been part of her life since she was born. Her mother is considered the Florence Nightingale of the Middle East; she was the first person in Egypt to obtain a BSN degree from Syracuse University, and the first nurse in Egypt who obtained an MPH and a PhD from an Egyptian university. Meleis admired her mother’s dedication and commitment to the profession and considered nursing to be in her blood. Under the influence of her mother, Meleis became interested in nursing and loved the potential of developing the discipline. Yet, when she chose to pursue nursing, her parents objected to her choice because they knew how much nurses struggle with having a voice and affecting quality of care. However, they eventually approved of her choice and had faith that Afaf could do it.

Meleis completed her nursing degree at the University of Alexandria, Egypt. She came to the United States to pursue her graduate education as a Rockefeller Fellow to become an academic nurse (Meleis, personal communication, December 29, 2007). From the University of California, Los Angeles, she received an MS in nursing in 1964, an MA in sociology in 1966, and a PhD in medical and social psychology in 1968.

After receiving her doctoral degree, Meleis worked as administrator and acting instructor at the University of California, Los Angeles, from 1966 to 1968 and as assistant professor from 1968 to 1971. In 1971, she moved to the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), where she spent the next 34 years and where "I believe very strongly that, while knowledge is universal, the agents for developing knowledge must reflect the nature of the questions that are framed and driven by the different disciplines about the health and well-being of individuals or populations" (Meleis, 2007, ix).
Transitions Theory was developed. In 2002, Meleis was nominated and became the Margret Bond Simon Dean of the School of Nursing at the University of Pennsylvania.

Meleis, a prominent nurse sociologist, is a sought-after theorist, researcher, and speaker on the topics of women's health and development, immigrant health care, international health care, and knowledge and theoretical development. She is currently on the Counsel General of the International Council on Women's Health Issues. Meleis received numerous honors and awards as well as honorary doctorates and distinguished and honorary professorships around the world. She received the Medal of Excellence for professional and scholarly achievements from Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak in 1990. In 2000, Meleis received the Chancellor's Medal from the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. In 2001, she received UCSF’s Chancellor Award for the Advancement of Women for her role as a worldwide activist on women's issues. In 2004, she received the Pennsylvania Commission for Women Award in celebration of women's history month and the Special Recognition Award in Human Services from the Arab American Family Support Center in New York. In 2006, Meleis was presented the Robert E. Davies Award from the Penn Professional Women's Network for her advocacy on behalf of women. In 2007, she received four distinguished awards: an honorary doctorate of medicine from Linkoping University, Sweden; the Global Citizenship Award from the United Nations Association of Greater Philadelphia; the Sage Award from the University of Minnesota; and the Dr. Gloria Twine Chisum Award for Distinguished Faculty at the University of Pennsylvania for community leadership and commitment to promoting diversity. In 2008, she received the Commission on Graduates of Foreign Nursing Schools (CGFNS) International Distinguished Leadership Award based on outstanding work in the global health care community. In 2010, Meleis was inducted to the UCLA School of Nursing Hall of Fame for her work in advancing and transforming nursing science.


The development of Transitions Theory began in the mid-1960s, when Meleis was working on her PhD, and it can be traced through years of research with students and colleagues. In *Theoretical Nursing: Development and Progress* (Meleis, 2007), she describes her theoretical journey from her practice and research interests. Her master's and PhD research investigated phenomena of planning pregnancies and mastering parenting roles. She focused on spousal communication and interaction in effective or ineffective planning of the number of children in families (Meleis, 1975) and later reasoned that her ideas were incomplete because she did not consider transitions.

Subsequently, her research focused on people who do not make healthy transitions and the discovery of interventions to facilitate healthy transitions. Symbolic interactionism played an important role in efforts to conceptualize the symbolic world that shapes interactions and responses. This shift in her theoretical thinking led her to role theories as noted in her publications in the 1970s and 1980s.

Meleis’ earliest work with transitions defined unhealthy transitions or ineffective transitions in relation to role insufficiency. She defined role insufficiency as any difficulty in the cognizance and/or performance of a role or of the sentiments and goals associated with the role behavior as perceived by the self or by significant others (Meleis, 2007). This conceptualization led Meleis to define the goal of healthy transitions as mastery of behaviors, sentiments, cues, and symbols associated with new roles and identities and nonproblematic processes. Meleis called for knowledge development in nursing to be about nursing therapeutics rather than to understand phenomena related to responses to health and illness situations. Consequently, she initiated the development of role supplementation as a nursing therapeutic as seen in her earlier research (Meleis, 1975; Meleis & Swendsen, 1978; Jones, Zhang, & Meleis, 1978).
The gist of Meleis' works published in the 1970s defined role supplementation as any deliberate process through which role insufficiency or potential role insufficiency can be identified by the role incumbent and significant others. Thus, role supplementation includes both role clarification and role taking, which may be preventive and therapeutic.

With these changes in Meleis' theoretical thinking, role supplementation as a nursing therapeutic entered her research projects. Her main research questions were to further define components, processes, and strategies related to role supplementation, which she proposed would make a difference by helping patients complete a healthy transition. This led Meleis to define health as mastery, and she tested that definition through proxy outcome variables such as fewer symptoms, perceived well-being, and ability to assume new roles.

Meleis' theory of role supplementation was used not only in her studies on the new role of parenting (Meleis & Swendsen, 1978), but in other studies among post–myocardial infarction patients (Dracup, Meleis, Baker, & Edlefsen, 1985), older adults (Kaas & Rousseau, 1983), parental caregivers (Brackley, 1992), caregivers of Alzheimer's patients (Kelley & Lakin, 1988), and women who were unsuccessful in becoming mothers and who maintained role insufficiency (Gaffney, 1992). These studies using role supplementation theory led Meleis to question the nature of transitions and the human experience of transitions. During this period, her research population interests shifted to immigrants and their health. This shift led Meleis to review and question transitions as a concept.

Norma Chick's visit to the University of California, San Francisco, from Massey University in New Zealand accelerated the development of the concept of transitions (Chick & Meleis, 1986) and Meleis' first transitions article as a nursing concept. These studies using role supplementation theory led Meleis to question the nature of transitions and the human experience of transitions. During this period, her research population interests shifted to immigrants and their health. This shift led Meleis to review and question transitions as a concept.

To further develop this theoretical work, Meleis initiated extensive literature searches with Karen Schumacher, a doctoral student at the University of California, San Francisco, to discover how extensively transition was used as a concept or framework in nursing literature. They reviewed 310 articles on transitions and developed the transition framework (Schumacher & Meleis, 1994), which was later developed as a middle-range theory. Publication of the transition framework was well received by scholars and researchers who began using it as a conceptual framework in studies that examined the following:

- Description of immigrant transitions (Meleis, Lipson, & Dallafar, 1998)
- Women's experience of rheumatoid arthritis (Shaul, 1997)
- Recovery from cardiac surgery (Shih, Meleis, Yu, et al., 1998)
- Family caregiving role for patients in chemotherapy (Schumacher, 1995)
- Early memory loss for patients in Sweden (Robinson, Ekman, Meleis, et al., 1997)
- Aging transitions (Schumacher, Jones, & Meleis, 1999)
- African-American women's transition to motherhood (Sawyer, 1997)

Using the transition framework, a middle-range theory for transition was developed by the researchers who had used transition as a conceptual framework. They analyzed their findings related to transition experiences and responses, identifying similarities and differences in the use of transition; findings were compared, contrasted, and integrated through extensive reading, reviewing, and dialoguing, and in group meetings. The collective work was published in 2000 (Meleis, Sawyer, Im, et al., 2000) and has been widely used in nursing studies. See Figure 20–1 for a diagram of the middle-range Transitions Theory.

Based on the early works of Transitions Theory, situation-specific theories that Meleis (1997) had called for were developed, including specifics in level of abstraction, degree of specificity, scope of context, and connection to nursing research and practice (Im & Meleis, 1999a; Im & Meleis, 1999b; Schumacher, Jones, & Meleis, 1999). For example, Im and Meleis (1999b) developed a situation-specific theory of low-income Korean immigrant women's menopausal transition based on research findings, using the transition framework of Schumacher and Meleis (1994). Schumacher, Jones, and Meleis (1999) developed a situation-specific theory of elderly transition. Im (2006) also developed a situation-specific theory of Caucasian cancer patients' pain experience. These situation-specific theories were derivative of the middle-range Transitions Theory. In 2010, Meleis collected all the theoretical works in the literature
related to Transitions Theory and published them in a book entitled *Transitions Theory: Middle-Range and Situation-Specific Theories in Nursing Research and Practice*. In 2011, Im analyzed the literature related to Transitions Theory and proposed a trajectory of theoretical development in nursing based on the theoretical works related to Transitions Theory in nursing.

### Theoretical Sources

Theoretical sources for Transitions Theory are multiple. First, Meleis’ background in nursing, sociology, symbolic interactionism, and role theory and her educational background led to the development of Transitions Theory as described earlier in the chapter. Indeed, findings and experience from research projects, educational programs, and clinical practice in hospital and community settings have been frequent sources for theoretical development in nursing (Im, 2005). A systematic, extensive literature review was another source for development of Transitions Theory as suggested by Walker and Avant (1995, 2005) for compiling existing knowledge about nursing phenomenon. Collaborative efforts among researchers who used the transition theoretical framework and middle-range Transitions Theory in their studies were a source for development of Transitions Theory. Finally, Meleis’ mentoring process could be another source for development of Transitions Theory. Meleis’ mentoring of Schumacher led to an integrated literature review through which the first Transitions Theory was proposed (Schumacher & Meleis, 1994). Also, the most recent version of Transitions Theory by Meleis Sawyer, Im, Schumacher, and Messias in 2000 could be also considered a product of mentoring students in the ongoing theoretical work.
Here, the major concepts and definitions from the most current Transitions Theory—the middle-range theory of transition suggested by Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000)—are presented. Some concepts are defined in greater detail based on the transition framework by Schumacher and Meleis (1994).

Major concepts of the middle-range theory of transition include: (1) types and patterns of transitions; (2) properties of transition experiences; (3) transition conditions (facilitators and inhibitors); (4) patterns of response (or process indicators and outcome indicators); and (5) nursing therapeutics.

Types and Patterns of Transitions
Types of transitions include developmental, health and illness, situational, and organizational. Developmental transition includes birth, adolescence, menopause, aging (or senescence), and death. Health and illness transitions include recovery process, hospital discharge, and diagnosis of chronic illness (Meleis & Trangenstein, 1994). Organizational transitions refer to changing environmental conditions that affect the lives of clients, as well as workers within them (Schumacher & Meleis, 1994).

Patterns of transitions include multiplicity and complexity (Meleis, Sawyer, Im, et al., 2000). Many people experience multiple transitions simultaneously rather than experiencing a single transition, which cannot be easily distinguished from the contexts of their daily lives. Indeed, Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000) noted that each of the studies that were the basis for the theoretical development involved people who simultaneously experienced a minimum of two types of transitions, which could not be disconnected or mutually exclusive. Thus, they suggested considering if the transitions happen sequentially or simultaneously, the degree of overlap among the transitions, and the essence of the associations between the separate events that initiate transitions for a person.

Properties of Transition Experiences
Properties of the transition experience include five subconcepts: (1) awareness; (2) engagement; (3) change and difference; (4) time span; and (5) critical points and events. Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000) asserted that these properties of transition experience are not fundamentally disconnected, but are interrelated as a complex process.

Awareness is defined as “perception, knowledge, and recognition of a transition experience,” and level of awareness is frequently reflected in “the degree of congruency between what is known about processes and responses and what constitutes an expected set of responses and perceptions of individuals undergoing similar transitions” (Meleis, Sawyer, Im, et al., 2000). While asserting that a person in transition may be somewhat aware of the changes that they are experiencing, Chick and Meleis (1986) posited that a person's unawareness of change could mean that the person may not have begun his or her transition yet; Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and associates (2000) later proposed that this lack of awareness does not necessarily mean that the transition has not begun.

Engagement is another property of transition suggested by Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000). Engagement refers to “the degree to which a person demonstrates involvement in the process inherent in the transition.” The level of awareness is considered to influence the level of engagement; there is no engagement without awareness. Meleis and colleagues (2000) suggested that the level of engagement of a person who has this awareness of changes is different from that of a person who does not have this awareness.

Changes and differences are a property of transitions (Meleis, Sawyer, Im, et al., 2000). Changes that a person experiences in her or his identities, roles, relationships, abilities, and behaviors are supposed to bring a sense of movement or direction to internal as well as external processes (Schumacher & Meleis, 1994). Meleis and associates (2000) asserted that all transitions associate changes, although not all changes are associated with transitions. They then suggested that to comprehend a transition completely, it is essential to disclose and explain the meanings and influences of the changes and the scopes of the changes (e.g., “nature, temporality,
perceived importance or severity, personal, familial, and societal norms and expectations”). Differences are also suggested as a property of transitions. Meleis and associates (2000) believed that challenging differences could be demonstrated by unsatisfied or atypical expectations, feeling dissimilar, being realized as dissimilar, or viewing the world and others in dissimilar ways, and they suggested that nurses would need to recognize “a client’s level of comfort and mastery in dealing with changes and differences.”

Time span is also a property of transitions—all transitions may be characterized as flowing and moving over time (Meleis, Sawyer, Im, et al., 2000). Based on the assertion by Bridges (1980, 1991), in the middle-range theory of transition, transition is defined as “a span of time with an identifiable starting point, extending from the first signs of anticipation, perception, or demonstration of change; moving through a period of instability, confusion, and distress; to an eventual “ending” with a new beginning or period of stability.” However, Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000) also noted that it would be problematic or infeasible, and possibly even prejudicial, to frame the time span of some transition experiences.

Critical points and events are the final property of transitions suggested by Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and associates (2000). Critical points and events are defined as “markers such as birth, death, the cessation of menstruation, or the diagnosis of an illness.” Meleis and colleagues (2000) also acknowledge that specific marker events might not be evident for some transitions, although transitions usually have critical points and events. Critical points and events are usually linked to intensifying awareness of changes or dissimilarities or to a more exertive engagement in the transition process. Also, Transitions Theory conceptualizes that final critical points are differentiated by a sense of counterpoise in new schedules, competence, lifestyles, and self-care behaviors, and that the duration of uncertainty is characterized by variations, consecutive changes, and interruptions in existence.

Transition Conditions

Transition conditions are “those circumstances that influence the way a person moves through a transition, and that facilitate or hinder progress toward achieving a healthy transition” (Schumacher & Meleis, 1994). Transition conditions include personal, community, or societal factors that may expedite or bar the processes and outcomes of healthy transitions.

**Personal conditions** include meanings, cultural beliefs and attitudes, socioeconomic status, preparation, and knowledge. Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000) considered that the meanings attached to some events accelerating a transition and to the transition process itself would expedite or bar healthy transitions. Cultural beliefs and attitudes such as stigma associated with a transition experience (e.g., Chinese stigmatization of cancer) would influence the transition experience. Socioeconomic status could influence people's transition experiences. Anticipatory preparation or lack of preparation could facilitate or inhibit people's transition experiences. **Community conditions** (e.g., community resources) or **societal conditions** (e.g., marginalization of immigrants in the host country) could be facilitators or inhibitors for transitions. Compared with personal transition conditions, the subconcepts of community conditions and societal conditions tend to be underdeveloped.

Patterns of Response or Process and Outcome Indicators

Indicators of healthy transitions in the framework by Schumacher and Meleis (1994) were replaced by patterns of response in the middle-range theory of transitions. Patterns of response are conceptualized as process indicators and outcome indicators. These process indicators and outcome indicators characterize healthy responses. **Process indicators** that direct clients into health or toward vulnerability and risk make nurses conduct early assessment and intervention to expedite healthy outcomes. Also, **outcome indicators** may be used to check if a transition is a healthy one or not, but Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and associates (2000) warned that outcome indicators could be associated with irrelevant events in people's lives if they are appraised early in a transition
process. The process indicators suggested by Meleis and colleagues (2000) include “feeling connected, interacting, being situated, and developing confidence and coping.” “The need to feel and stay connected” is a process indicator of a healthy transition; if immigrants add new contacts to their old contacts with their family members and friends, they are usually in a healthy transition. Through interactions, the meaning attached to the transition and the behaviors caused by the transition can be disclosed, analyzed, and understood, which usually results in a healthy transition. Location and being situated in terms of time, space, and relationships are usually important in most transitions; these indicate whether the person is turned in the direction of a healthy transition. The extent of increased confidence that people in transition are experiencing is another important process indicator of a healthy transition. The outcome indicators suggested by Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000) include mastery and fluid integrative identities. “A healthy completion of a transition” can be decided by the extent of mastery of the skills and behaviors that people in transition show to manage their new situations or environments. Identity reformulation can also represent a healthy completion of a transition.

Nursing Therapeutics

Schumacher and Meleis (1994) conceptualized nursing therapeutics as “three measures that are widely applicable to therapeutic intervention during transitions.” First, they proposed assessment of readiness as a nursing therapeutic. Assessment of readiness needs to be interdisciplinary efforts and based on a full understanding of the client; it requires assessment of each of the transition conditions in order to generate a personal sketch of client readiness, and to allow clinicians and researchers to determine diverse patterns of the transition experience. Second, the preparation for transition is suggested as a nursing therapeutic. The preparation of transition includes education as the main modality for generating the best condition to be ready for a transition. Third, role supplementation was proposed as a nursing therapeutic. Role supplementation was suggested by Meleis (1975) and used by several researchers (Brackley, 1992; Dracup, Meleis, Clark, Clyburn, Shields, & Staley, 1985; Gaffney, 1992; Meleis & Swendsen, 1978). Yet, in the middle-range theory of transitions, there is no further development of the concept of nursing therapeutics.

Use of Empirical Evidence

In the development of the transition framework by Schumacher and Meleis (1994), a systematic extensive literature review of more than 300 articles related to transitions provided empirical evidence of the conceptualization and theorizing. Then, as mentioned earlier in the chapter, the transition framework was tested in a number of studies to describe immigrants’ transitions (Meleis, Lipson, & Dallafar, 1998), women’s experiences with rheumatoid arthritis (Shaul, 1997), recovery from cardiac surgery (Shih, Meleis, Yu, et al., 1998), development of the family caregiving role for chemotherapy patients (Schumacher, 1995), Korean immigrant low-income women in menopausal transition (Im, 1997; Im & Meleis, 2000, 2001; Im, Meleis, & Lee, 1999), early memory loss for patients in Sweden (Robinson, Ekman, Meleis, et al., 1997), the aging transition (Schumacher, Jones, & Meleis, 1999), African-American women’s transition to motherhood (Sawyer, 1997), and adult medical-surgical patients’ perceptions of their readiness for hospital discharge (Weiss, Piacentine, Lokken, et al., 2007).

Development of the middle-range theory of transition builds on empirical evidence from five research studies for conceptualization and theorizing (Sawyer, 1997; Im, 1997; Messias, Gilliss, Sparacino, et al., 1995; Messias, 1997; Schumacher, 1994). These studies were conducted among culturally diverse groups of people in transition, including African-American mothers, Korean immigrant midlife women, parents of children diagnosed with congenital heart defects, Brazilian women immigrating to the United States, and family caregivers of persons receiving chemotherapy for cancer. Empirical
findings of these five studies provided the theoretical basis for the concepts of the middle-range theory of transition, and the concepts and their relationships were developed and formulated based on a collaborative process of dialogue, constant comparison of findings across the five studies, and analysis of findings. For example, one of the personal conditions, meanings, was proposed based on the findings from two studies (Im, 1997; Sawyer, 1997). According to Meleis Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000), although Korean immigrant midlife women had ambivalent feelings toward menopause in Im’s study, menopause itself did not have special meaning attached to it. Im found that most participants did not connect any special health/illness problems/concerns they were having to their menopausal transitions. Rather, women went through their menopause without perceiving any health/illness problems/concerns, which means that “no special meaning” might have facilitated the women’s menopausal transition. Yet, Sawyer’s study reported that African-American women related intense enjoyment of their roles as mothers and described motherhood in terms of being responsible, protecting, supporting, and needed. Thus, Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000) proposed meanings as a personal transition condition because, in both studies, neutral and positive meanings might have facilitated the women’s menopausal transition. The middle-range theory of transition has been used in studies to develop situation-specific theories (Im, 2006; Im, 2010; Im & Meleis, 1999b; Schumacher, Jones, & Meleis 1999) and to test the theory in a study of relatives’ experience of a move to a nursing home (Davies, 2005).

Major Assumptions

Based on Meleis’ former works on role supplementation, the transition framework by Schumacher and Meleis (1994), and the middle-range theory of transitions by Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000), the following assumptions of Transitions Theory may be inferred.

Nursing

- Nurses are the primary caregivers of clients and their families who are undergoing transitions.
- Transitions both result in change and are the result of change.

Person

- Transitions involve a process of movement and changes in fundamental life patterns, which are manifested in all individuals.
- Transitions cause changes in identities, roles, relationships, abilities, and patterns of behavior.
- The daily lives of clients, environments, and interactions are shaped by the nature, conditions, meanings, and processes of their transition experiences.

Health

- Transitions are complex and multidimensional. Transitions have patterns of multiplicity and complexity.
- All transitions are characterized by flow and movement over time.
- Change and difference are not interchangeable, nor are they synonymous with transition.

Environment

- Vulnerability is related to transition experiences, interactions, and environmental conditions that expose individuals to potential damage, problematic or extended recovery, or delayed or unhealthy coping.

Theoretical Assertions

Theoretical assertions in Transitions Theory were inferred in the early works of Meleis. This includes her work on role supplementation, the transition framework by Schumacher and Meleis (1994), and the middle-range theory of transitions by Meleis, Sawyer, Im, and colleagues (2000). Following are the theoretical assertions made in the theoretical works:

- Developmental, health and illness, and organizational transitions are central to nursing practice.
- Patterns of transition include (a) whether the client is experiencing a single transition or multiple transitions; (b) whether multiple transitions are sequential or simultaneous; (c) the extent of overlap among transitions; and (d) the nature of the relationship between the different events that are triggering transitions for a client.
- Properties of transition experience are interrelated parts of a complex process.
- The level of awareness influences the level of engagement, in which engagement may not happen without awareness.
Humans’ perceptions of and meanings attached to health and illness situations are influenced by and in turn influence the conditions under which a transition occurs.

Healthy transition is characterized by both process and outcome indicators.

Negotiating successful transitions depends on the development of an effective relationship between the nurse and the client (nursing therapeutic). This relationship is a highly reciprocal process that affects both the client and the nurse.

Logical Form

Transitions Theory was formulated and theorized through induction using existing research literature and findings. It was initially developed as a central concept of nursing and later as a middle-range theory. Transitions Theory was formulated with the goal of integrating what is known about transition experiences across different types of transitions with nursing therapeutics for people in transition. The theory provides a framework for understanding the results of previous transitions research more clearly and for proposing concepts for further study.

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Over recent decades, transitions have emerged as a central concept of nursing phenomenon, and Transitions Theory has been widely used throughout the world. Transitions Theory was translated and used extensively in Sweden, Taiwan, South Korea, Portugal, Spain, and Singapore.

Practice

Transitions Theory provides a comprehensive perspective on transition experience while considering the contexts within which people are experiencing a transition. Because of its comprehensiveness, applicability, and affinity with health, Transitions Theory has been applied to many human phenomena of interest and concern to nurses, such as illness, recovery, birth, death, and loss, as well as immigration. Transitions Theory is useful in explaining health/illness transitions such as the recovery process, hospital discharge, and diagnosis of chronic disease (Meleis & Trangenstein, 1994).

Indeed, studies have indicated that Transitions Theory could be applied to nursing practice with diverse groups of people, including geriatric populations, psychiatric populations, maternal populations, family caregivers, menopausal women, Alzheimer patients, immigrant women, and people with chronic illness, among others (Aroian & Prater, 1988; Brackley, 1992; Im, 1997; Kaas & Rousseau, 1983; Schumacher, Dodd, & Paul, 1993; Shaul, 1997). Transitions Theory could provide direction for nursing practice with people in various types of transitions by providing a comprehensive perspective on the nature and type of transitions, transition conditions, and process and outcome indicators of patterns of response to transitions. Also, Transitions Theory leads to development of nursing therapeutics that are congruent with the unique experience of clients and their families in transition, thus promoting healthy responses to transition.

Education

Transitions Theory is used widely in graduate education and undergraduate education throughout the world (Meleis, personal communication, December 29, 2007). There is a growing international interest in integrating Transitions Theory into nursing curricula across countries (Meleis, personal communication, January 2008). Transitions Theory was used as a curriculum framework in a number of places, including the University of Connecticut and Clayton State University in Morrow, Georgia, where Transitions Theory has been used in their education programs for the past 15 years (www.clayton.edu). In response to an increasing learning need of graduate students, Meleis taught an independent graduate elective course on transitions and health at the University of California, San Francisco. At University of Pennsylvania, a center called Transitions and Health, directed by Mary Naylor, was established in 2007 with a $5 million dollar endowment for support and Transitions Theory as its theoretical basis.

Research

Internationally, a number of researchers have used Transitions Theory in their studies as a theoretical basis for research. Meleis’ research program is naturally based on Transitions Theory, and other researchers have tested the empirical precision of Transitions Theory through their studies (Davies, 2005; Weiss,
As mentioned earlier in the chapter, Transitions Theory was often used as a parent theory for situation-specific theories (Im & Meleis, 1999a; Im, 2006; Schumacher, Jones, & Meleis, 1999). A number of doctoral students, including Shellye Vardaman at the University of Texas at Tyler, have used Transitions Theory in their doctoral dissertations.

Further Development

Transitions Theory was an emerging framework that could be further developed, tested, and refined, reflecting Meleis’ philosophical position on theory development as cyclic, dynamic, and evolving. Transitions Theory continues to be refined and tested to explain the major concepts and relationships among diverse groups of populations in various types of transition. Because sufficient empirical support by a number of studies using Transitions Theory exists, future studies will aim at intervention studies to test Transitions Theory–based interventions, through which Transitions Theory gains power to direct nursing practice. Also, as Meleis (2007) envisioned, situation-specific theories continue to be developed based on Transitions Theory.

Critique

Clarity

The conceptual definitions of Transitions Theory are clear and provide a comprehensive understanding of the complexity of transitions. The relationships among the major concepts are clearly depicted in a visually simple diagram (see Figure 20–1). The variables are independent of each other, yet the interactive effects among the variables are clearly depicted by arrows.

Simplicity

Transitions Theory is simple and clear to understand. The major concepts are logically linked, and the relationships are obvious in their theoretical assertions.

Generality

Transitions Theory is a middle-range theory in scope. Middle-range theories have more limited scope and less abstraction than grand theories, and they address specific phenomena or concepts, which make them applicable in nursing practice. Transitions Theory tends to be generalizable to people in transitions. When diverse types of transitions are considered, Transitions Theory is relevant for any population in transition, depending on the type of transition the population is experiencing. The research used to derive Transitions Theory was based on the participation of different gender and ethnic groups in various settings. This makes Transitions Theory more easily generalizable than theories developed for research with specific client populations.

Accessibility

Transitions Theory has been tested and supported by Meleis and others as a framework for explaining the transition experiences of diverse groups of populations in different types of transitions. Transitions Theory continues to evolve through planned programs of research, and continuous empirical research studies will further refine the theory. The development of situation-specific theories derived from Transitions Theory will further reduce its distance from the empirical world as well.

Importance

Transitions Theory with a focus on people in diverse types of transitions provides a comprehensive and evolving guide for all health-related disciplines. Health-related disciplines always deal with a type of transition, whether single or multiple. Especially with an increasing need for culturally competent health care for diverse groups of health care clients, Transitions Theory provides a more appropriate theoretical fit for current health care. The inherent consideration of diversities of health care clients and its basis in research among diverse groups contribute to its importance.

Summary

Current health care systems are frequently characterized by changes, diversities, and complexities. Transitions Theory, which evolved from research studies among diverse groups of people in various types of transitions, could adequately direct nursing practice, education, and practice in the current health care system. Meleis made her theoretical journey from the 1960s, and her journey continues. Transitions Theory continues to develop through a number of studies...
based on the theory and the many colleagues Meleis has mentored. Her visionary leadership throughout the world influences nursing practice, education, and research.

**CASE STUDY**

Sue Kim, 49 years of age, emigrated from South Korea to the United States 6 years ago. Her family came to the United States to educate their children and moved in with family members in Los Angeles. Sue and her husband graduated from a top-ranked university in South Korea, and her husband also had a master's degree in business. However, their English skills were not adequate for them to get jobs in the United States. Instead, they opened a Korean grocery store with the money that they brought from South Korea, and they managed to settle down in Los Angeles, where a number of Koreans are living. They have two children: Mina, a 25-year-old daughter who is now the manager of a local shop, and Yujun, a 21-year-old son who is a college student. Both children were born in South Korea and moved to the United States with Sue. The children had a hard time, especially Mina, who came to the United States in her senior year of high school. However, the children finally adapted to their new environment. Now, Mina is living alone in a one-bedroom apartment near downtown, and Yujun is living in a university dormitory. The Kim's are a religious family and attend their community's Protestant church regularly. They are involved in many church activities.

Sue and her husband have been too busy to have regular annual checkups for the past 6 years. About 1 year ago, Sue began to have serious indigestion, nausea, vomiting, and upper abdominal pain; she took some over-the-counter medicine and tried to tolerate the pain. Last month, her symptoms became more serious; she visited a local clinic and was referred to a larger hospital. Recently, she was diagnosed with stomach cancer after a series of diagnostic tests and had surgery; she now is undergoing chemotherapy.

You are the nurse who is taking care of Sue during this hospitalization. Sue is very polite and modest whenever you approach her. Sue is very quiet and never complains about any symptoms or pain. However, on several occasions, you think that Sue is in serious pain, when considering her facial expressions and sweating forehead. You think that Sue's English skills may not allow her to adequately communicate with health care providers. Also, you find that Sue does not have many visitors—only her husband and two children. You frequently find Sue praying while listening to some religious songs. You also find her sobbing silently. About 2 weeks are left until Sue finishes chemotherapy. You think that you should do something for Sue so she will not suffer through pain and symptoms that could be easily controlled with existing pain-management strategies. Now, you begin some preliminary planning.

1. **Describe your assessment of the transition(s) Sue is experiencing. What are the types and patterns of the transition(s)? What properties of transitions can you identify from her case?**

2. **What personal, community, and societal transition conditions may have influenced Sue's experience? What are the cultural meanings attached to cancer, cancer pain, and symptoms accompanying chemotherapy, in this situation? What are Sue's cultural attitudes toward cancer and cancer patients? What factors may facilitate or inhibit her transition(s)?**

3. **Consider the patterns of response that Sue is showing. What are the indicators of healthy transition(s)? What are the indicators of unhealthy transition(s)?**

4. **Reflect on how Transitions Theory helped your assessment and nursing care for Sue.**

5. **If you were Sue's nurse, what would be your first action/interaction with her? Describe a plan of nursing care for Sue.**
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Consider a transition you are personally engaged in now. Identify characteristics of the transition as defined in Transitions Theory that you have observed. Does consideration of this specific transition make you more aware of other transitions you are experiencing?

2. Analyze the changes that you are experiencing due to the specific transition. Consider how your level of awareness of these changes influences your transition experience. Think about how long the transition has been and what have been the landmark events and critical points of the transition.

3. Analyze personal, community, and societal conditions that may have influenced the transition that you are experiencing. List influences such as cultural beliefs and attitudes, socioeconomic status, and level of your preparation that impact your approach to the transition.

4. Review your responses to the transition, and look for patterns in the responses. Ask five friends or family members of different ages or ethnicity to describe their responses to the transition. Compare the descriptions given by those individuals with yours.

5. Consider the outcomes of the personal transition in question 1. What would facilitate successful outcomes to the transition? What might inhibit successful outcomes?

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- To respond to researchers’ increasing interest in Transitions Theory, a website was established at the University of Pennsylvania at: http://www.nursing.upenn.edu/dean/ transitions/
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“Middle range theories that have been tested in research provide evidence for evidence-based practice, thus facilitating translation of research into practice”

(Pender, personal communication, April 2008).

Health Promotion Model

Teresa J. Sakraida

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Nola J. Pender’s first encounter with professional nursing occurred at 7 years of age, when she observed the nursing care given to her hospitalized aunt. “The experience of watching the nurses caring for my aunt in her illness created in me a fascination with the work of nursing,” noted Pender (Pender, personal communication, May 6, 2004). This experience and her subsequent education instilled in her a desire to care for others and influenced her belief that the goal of nursing was to help people care for themselves. Pender contributes to nursing knowledge of health promotion through her research, teaching, presentations, and writings.

Pender was born August 16, 1941, in Lansing, Michigan. She was the only child of parents who advocated education for women. Family encouragement to become a registered nurse led her to the School of Nursing at West Suburban Hospital in Oak Park, Illinois. This school was chosen for its ties with Wheaton College and its strong Christian foundation. She received her nursing diploma in 1962 and began working on a medical-surgical unit and subsequently in a pediatric unit in a Michigan hospital (Pender, personal communication, May 6, 2004).

In 1964, Pender completed her baccalaureate in nursing at Michigan State University. She credits Helen Penhale, assistant to the dean, who streamlined her program for fostering her options for further education. As was common in the 1960s, Pender changed
Nola J. Pender earned a master's degree in human growth and development at Michigan State University in 1965. “The M.A. in growth and development influenced my interest in health over the human life span. This background contributed to the formation of a research program for children and adolescents,” stated Pender. She completed her PhD in psychology and education in 1969 at Northwestern University. Pender's (1970) dissertation research investigated developmental changes in encoding processes of short-term memory in children. She credits Dr. James Hall, doctoral program advisor, with “introducing me to considerations of how people think and how a person's thoughts motivate behavior.” Several years later, she completed master's-level work in community health nursing at Rush University (Pender, personal communication, May 6, 2004).

After earning her PhD, Pender notes a shift in her thinking toward defining the goal of nursing care as the optimal health of the individual. A series of conversations with Dr. Beverly McElmurry at Northern Illinois University and reading *High-Level Wellness* by Halpert Dunn (1961) inspired expanded notions of health and nursing. Her marriage to Albert Pender, an Associate Professor of business and economics who has collaborated with his wife in writing about the economics of health care, and the birth of a son and a daughter provided increased personal motivation to learn more about optimizing human health.

In 1975, Pender published “A Conceptual Model for Preventive Health Behavior,” as a basis for studying how individuals made decisions about their own health care in a nursing context. This article identified factors that were found in earlier research to influence decision making and actions of individuals in preventing disease. Pender's original Health Promotion Model (HPM) was presented in the first edition of her text, *Health Promotion in Nursing Practice*, which was published in 1982. Based on subsequent research, the HPM was revised and presented in a second edition in 1987 and in a third edition in 1996. The fourth edition of *Health Promotion in Nursing Practice* was co-authored by Pender, Carolyn L. Murdaugh (PhD), and Mary Ann Parsons (PhD) and published in 2002, and a fifth edition was published in 2006.

In 1988, Pender and colleagues conducted a study at Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, which was funded by the National Institutes of Health. Susan Walker, Karen Sechrist, and Marilyn Frank-Stromborg tested the validity of the HPM (Pender, Walker, Sechrist, & Stromborg, 1988). The research team developed the Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile, an instrument used to study the health-promoting behavior of working adults, older adults, patients undergoing cardiac rehabilitation, and ambulatory patients with cancer (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002). Results from these studies supported the HPM (Pender, personal communication, July 19, 2000). Subsequently, more than 40 studies tested the predictive capability of the model for health-promoting lifestyle, exercise, nutrition practices, use of hearing protection, and avoidance of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (Pender, 1996; Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002).

Pender provided leadership in the development of nursing research in the United States. Her support of the National Center for Nursing Research in the National Institutes of Health was instrumental to its formation. She has promoted scholarly activity in nursing through involvement with Sigma Theta Tau International, as president of the Midwest Nursing Research Society from 1985 to 1987, and as chairperson of the Cabinet on Nursing Research of the American Nurses Association. She has served as a Trustee of the Midwest Nursing Research Society since 2009 (http://nursing.umich.edu/faculty-staff/nola-j-pender). Inducted as a fellow of the American Academy of Nursing in 1981, she served as President of the Academy from 1991 until 1993. In 1998, she was appointed to a 4-year term on the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, an independent panel charged to evaluate scientific evidence and to make age-specific and risk-specific recommendations for clinical preventive services (http://nursing.umich.edu/faculty-staff/nola-j-pender).

As a leader in nursing education, Dr. Pender guided many students and mentored others. Over her 40 years as an educator, she facilitated the learning of baccalaureate, masters, and PhD students. She has mentored a number of postdoctoral fellows. In 1998, the University of Michigan School of Nursing honored Pender with the Mae Edna Doyle Award for excellence in teaching. She is a Distinguished Professor at Loyola University of Chicago School of Nursing.

A recipient of many awards and honors, Dr. Pender has served as a distinguished scholar at a number of
is now available in the Japanese and Korean languages (Pender, 1997a, 1997b). Dr. Pender continues influencing the nursing profession by providing leadership as a consultant to research centers and providing early scholar consultation (http://nursing.umich.edu/faculty-staff/nola-j-pender). As a nationally and internationally known leader, Pender speaks at conferences and seminars. She collaborates with the editor of the American Journal of Health Promotion, advocating for legislation to fund health promotion research (Pender, personal communication, May 6, 2004).

Pender’s future plans include travel to offer consultation and her speaking opportunities. She engages in some graduate teaching, including courses on theories of nursing and scientific writing as a Distinguished Professor at Loyola University in Chicago (Pender, personal communication, February 27, 2008). She continues active mentoring through e-mail exchanges with scholars beginning research programs (Pender, personal communication, May 6, 2004).

Theoretical Sources

Pender’s background in nursing, human development, experimental psychology, and education led her to use a holistic nursing perspective, social psychology, and learning theory as foundations for the HPM. The HPM (Figure 21–1) integrates several constructs. Central to the HPM is the social learning theory of Albert Bandura (1977), which postulates the importance of cognitive processes in the changing of behavior. Social learning theory, now titled social cognitive theory, includes the following self-beliefs: self-attribution, self-evaluation, and self-efficacy. Self-efficacy is a central construct of the HPM (Pender, 1996; Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002). The expectancy value model of human motivation described by Feather (1982) proposes that behavior is rational and economical and was important to the model’s development.

The HPM is similar in construction to the health belief model (Becker, 1974), which explains disease prevention behavior; but the HPM differs from the health belief model in that it does not include fear or threat as a source of motivation for health behavior. The HPM expands to encompass behaviors for enhancing health and applies across the life span (Pender, 1996; Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002).
## Major Concepts & Definitions

The major concepts and definitions presented are found in the revised HPM (Pender et al., 2006). The following are individual characteristics and experiences that affect subsequent health actions (Pender, curriculum vitae, 2000).

### Prior Related Behavior

Frequency of the same or similar behavior in the past. Direct and indirect effects on the likelihood of engaging in health-promoting behaviors.

### Personal Factors

Categorized as biological, psychological, and sociocultural. These factors are predictive of a given behavior and are shaped by the nature of the target behavior being considered.

#### Personal Biological Factors

Included in these factors are variables such as age, gender, body mass index, pubertal status, menopausal status, aerobic capacity, strength, agility, and balance.

#### Personal Psychological Factors

These factors include variables such as self-esteem, self-motivation, personal competence, perceived health status, and definition of health.

#### Personal Sociocultural Factors

Factors such as race, ethnicity, acculturation, education, and socioeconomic status are included.

The following are behavioral-specific cognitions and affects that are considered of major motivational significance; these variables are modifiable through nursing actions (Pender, 1996).

### Perceived Benefits of Action

Perceived benefits of action are anticipated positive outcomes that will result from health behavior.

### Perceived Barriers to Action

Perceived barriers to action are anticipated, imagined, or real blocks and personal costs of undertaking a given behavior.

### Perceived Self-Efficacy

Perceived self-efficacy is judgment of personal capability to organize and execute a health-promoting behavior. Perceived self-efficacy influences perceived barriers to action, so higher efficacy results in lowered perceptions of barriers to the performance of the behavior.

### Activity-Related Affect

An activity-related affect describes subjective positive or negative feelings that occur before, during, and following behavior based on the stimulus properties of the behavior itself. Activity-related affect influences perceived self-efficacy, which means the more positive the subjective feeling, the greater is the feeling of efficacy. In turn, increased feelings of efficacy can generate further positive affect.

### Interpersonal Influences

These influences are cognitions concerning behaviors, beliefs, or attitudes of others. Interpersonal influences include norms (expectations of significant others), social support (instrumental and emotional encouragement), and modeling (vicarious learning through observing others engaged in a particular behavior). Primary sources of interpersonal influences are families, peers, and health care providers.

### Situational Influences

Situational influences are personal perceptions and cognitions of any given situation or context that can facilitate or impede behavior. They include perceptions of available options, demand characteristics, and aesthetic features of the environment in which given health-promoting behavior is proposed to take place. Situational influences may have direct or indirect influences on health behavior.

The following are immediate antecedents of behavior or behavioral outcomes. A behavioral event is initiated by a commitment to action unless there is a competing demand that cannot be avoided, or a competing preference that cannot be resisted (Pender, personal communication, July 19, 2000).
Commitment to a Plan of Action
This commitment describes the concept of intention and identification of a planned strategy that leads to implementation of health behavior.

Immediate Competing Demands and Preferences
Competing demands are alternative behaviors over which individuals have low control, because there are environmental contingencies such as work or family care responsibilities. Competing preferences are alternative behaviors over which individuals exert relatively high control, such as choice of ice cream or an apple for a snack.

Health-Promoting Behavior
A health-promoting behavior is an end point or action outcome that is directed toward attaining positive health outcomes such as optimal well-being, personal fulfillment, and productive living. Examples of health-promoting behavior are eating a healthy diet, exercising regularly, managing stress, gaining adequate rest and spiritual growth, and building positive relationships.

Use of Empirical Evidence

The HPM, as depicted in Figure 21–1, served as a framework for research aimed at predicting overall health-promoting lifestyles and specific behaviors such as exercise and use of hearing protection (Pender, 1987). Pender and colleagues conducted a program of research funded by the National Institute of Nursing Research to evaluate the HPM in the following populations: (1) working adults, (2) older community-dwelling adults, (3) ambulatory patients with cancer, and (4) patients undergoing cardiac rehabilitation. These studies tested the validity of the HPM (Pender, personal communication, May 24, 2000). A summary of findings from earlier studies is included in the 1996 edition of Health Promotion in Nursing Practice (Pender, 1996). Studies further testing the model are discussed in the fifth edition of Health Promotion in Nursing Practice (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2006). The fifth edition includes an emphasis on the HPM as applied to diverse and vulnerable populations and addresses evidence-based practice.

The rationale for revision of the HPM stemmed from the research. The process of refining the HPM, as published in 1987, led to several changes in the model (see Figure 21–1) (Pender, 1996). First, importance of health, perceived control of health, and cues for action were deleted. Second, definition of health, perceived health status, and demographic and biological characteristics were repositioned as personal factors in the 1996 revision of the HPM (Pender, 1996) and the fourth edition of Health Promotion in Nursing Practice (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002) (Figure 21–2). Third, the revised HPM (see Figure 21–2) added three new variables that influenced the individual to engage in health-promoting behaviors (Pender, 1996):

- Activity-related affect
- Commitment to a plan of action
- Immediate competing demand and preferences

The revised HPM focuses on 10 categories of determinants of health-promoting behavior. The revised model identifies concepts relevant to health-promoting behaviors and facilitates the generation of testable hypotheses (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002).

The HPM provides a paradigm for the development of instruments. The Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile and the Exercise Benefits-Barriers Scale (EBBS) are two examples.* These instruments serve to test the model and support further model development.

The purpose of the Health Promotion Lifestyle Profile instrument is to measure health-promoting lifestyle (Pender, 1996). The Health Promotion Lifestyle Profile II (HPLP-II), is a revision of the original instrument for research.† The 52-item, four-point, Likert-style instrument has six subscales: (1) health responsibility, (2) physical activity, (3) nutrition, (4) interpersonal relations, (5) spiritual growth, and (6) stress management. The mean can be derived for each subscale, or a total mean signifying overall health-promoting lifestyle (Walker, Sechrist, & Pender, 1987). The instrument provides assessment of a health-promoting lifestyle of individuals and is used clinically by nurses for patient support and education.

The HPM identifies cognitive and perceptual factors as major determinants of health-promoting behavior. The EBBS measures the cognitive and perceptual factors of perceived benefits and perceived barriers to exercise (Sechrist, Walker, & Pender, 1987). The 43-item, four-point, Likert-styled instrument consists of a 29-item benefits scale and a 14-item barriers scale that may be scored separately or as a whole. The higher the overall score on the 43-item instrument, the more positively the individual perceives the benefits to exercise in relation to barriers to exercise (Sechrist, Walker, & Pender, 1987). The EBBS is useful clinically for evaluating exercise perceptions.

Major Assumptions

The assumptions reflect the behavioral science perspective and emphasize the active role of the patient in managing health behaviors by modifying

---

*The EBBS can be obtained from the Health Promotion Research Program, Social Science Research Institute, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115.
†The HPLP-II can be obtained through the faculty-staff profile for Dr. Susan Noble Walker, EdD, RN, at the College of Nursing, University of Nebraska Medical Center. [http://www.unmc.edu/nursing/Health_Promoting_Lifestyle_Profile_II.htm](http://www.unmc.edu/nursing/Health_Promoting_Lifestyle_Profile_II.htm)
the environmental context. In the third edition of her book, *Health Promotion in Nursing Practice*, Pender (1996) stated the major assumptions of the HPM that address person, environment, and health as follows:

1. Persons seek to create conditions of living through which they can express their unique human health potential.

2. Persons have the capacity for reflective self-awareness, including assessment of their own competencies.

3. Persons value growth in directions viewed as positive and attempt to achieve a personally acceptable balance between change and stability.

4. Individuals seek to actively regulate their own behavior.
5. Individuals in all their biopsychosocial complexity interact with the environment, progressively transforming the environment and being transformed over time.

6. Health professionals constitute a part of the interpersonal environment, which exerts influence on persons throughout their life spans.

7. Self-initiated reconfiguration of person-environment interactive patterns is essential to behavioral change (pp. 54–55).

### Theoretical Assertions

The model depicts the multifaceted natures of persons interacting with the environment as they pursue health. The HPM has a competence- or approach-oriented focus (Pender, 1996). Health promotion is motivated by the desire to enhance well-being and to actualize human potential (Pender, 1996). In her first book, *Health Promotion in Nursing Practice*, Pender (1982) asserts that complex biopsychosocial processes motivate individuals to engage in behaviors directed toward the enhancement of health. Fourteen theoretical assertions derived from the model appear in the fourth edition of the book, *Health Promotion in Nursing Practice* (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002):

1. Prior behavior and inherited and acquired characteristics influence beliefs, affect, and enactment of health-promoting behavior.

2. Persons commit to engaging in behaviors from which they anticipate deriving personally valued benefits.

3. Perceived barriers can constrain the commitment to action, the mediator of behavior, and the actual behavior.

4. Perceived competence or self-efficacy to execute a given behavior increases the likelihood of commitment to action and actual performance of behavior.

5. Greater perceived self-efficacy results in fewer perceived barriers to specific health behavior.

6. Positive affect toward a behavior results in greater perceived self-efficacy, which, in turn, can result in increased positive affect.

7. When positive emotions or affect is associated with a behavior, the probability of commitment and action is increased.

8. Persons are more likely to commit to and engage in health-promoting behaviors when significant others model the behavior, expect the behavior to occur, and provide assistance and support to enable the behavior.

9. Families, peers, and health care providers are important sources of interpersonal influences that can increase or decrease commitment to and engagement in health-promoting behavior.

10. Situational influences in the external environment can increase or decrease commitment to or participation in health-promoting behavior.

11. The greater the commitment to a specific plan of action, the more likely health-promoting behaviors are to be maintained over time.

12. Commitment to a plan of action is less likely to result in the desired behavior when competing demands over which persons have little control require immediate attention.

13. Commitment to a plan of action is less likely to result in the desired behavior when other actions are more attractive and thus preferred over the target behavior.

14. Persons can modify cognitions, affect, and the interpersonal and physical environments to create incentives for health actions (pp. 63–64).

### Logical Form

The HPM was formulated through induction by use of existing research to form a pattern of knowledge about health behavior. The HPM is a conceptual model from which middle-range theories may be developed. It was formulated with the goal of integrating what is known about health-promoting behavior to generate questions for further testing. This model illustrates how a framework of previous research fits together, and how concepts can be manipulated for further study.

### Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

Wellness as a nursing specialty has grown in prominence, and current state-of-the-art clinical practice includes health promotion education. Nursing professionals find the HPM relevant, as it applies across the
life span and is useful in a variety of settings (Pender, 1996; Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002). The model applies the formation of community partnerships with its consideration of the environmental context and extends to global health promotion (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2010).

Clinical interest in health behaviors represents a philosophical shift that emphasizes quality of lives alongside the saving of lives. In addition, there are financial, human, and environmental burdens upon society when individuals do not engage in prevention and health promotion. The HPM contributes a nursing solution to health policy and health care reform by providing a means for understanding how consumers can be motivated to attain personal health.

Education
The HPM is used widely in graduate education and increasingly in undergraduate nursing education in the United States (Pender, personal communication, May 24, 2000). In the past, health promotion was placed behind illness care, because clinical education was conducted primarily in acute care settings (Pender, Baraukas, Hayman, et al., 1992). Increasingly, the HPM is incorporated in nursing curricula as an aspect of health assessment, community health nursing, and wellness-focused courses (N. Pender, personal communication, May 24, 2000). Growing international efforts across a number of countries are working to integrate the HPM into nursing curricula (Pender, personal communication, May 6, 2004; Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2002).

Research
The HPM is a tool for research. Pender’s research agenda and that of other researchers have tested and report the empirical precision of the model. The Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile, derived from the model, serves as the operational definition for health-promoting behaviors. Drawing upon the HPLP, the Adolescent Lifestyle Profile demonstrates the adaptability of the HPM to the life span (Hendricks, Murdaugh, & Pender, 2006). The HPM model has applications emphasizing the importance for the assessment of factors believed to influence health behavior changes. Further research is indicated to examine the environmental context and expand its application to include global health-promotion strategies.

Further Development
The model continues to be refined and tested for its power to explain the relationships among factors believed to influence changes in a wide array of health behaviors. Sufficient empirical support for model variables now exists for some behaviors to warrant design and conduct of intervention studies to test model-based nursing interventions. Lusk and colleagues (Lusk, Hong, Ronis, et al., 1999; Lusk, Kwee, Ronis, & Eakin, 1999) used important predictors of construction workers’ use of hearing protection from the HPM (self-efficacy, barriers, interpersonal influences, and situational influences) to develop an interactive, video-based program to increase use. This large, multiple-site study found that the intervention increased the use of worker hearing protection by 20% compared with the group without intervention—a statistically significant improvement from baseline (Lusk, Hong, Ronis, et al., 1999). Additional intervention studies represent the next step in the use of the model to build nursing science.

Critique
Clarity
The conceptual definitions provide clarity and lead to greater understanding of the complexity of health behavior phenomena. Visual diagrams illustrate the relationships clearly (see Fig. 21–2).

Simplicity
The HPM is easy to understand. The factors in each set are linked logically and the relationships are clarified in the theoretical assertions. The sets of factors, which are direct or indirect influences, are clear in visual diagrams that display their associations. Factors are seen as independent, but the sets have an interactive effect that results in action.

Generality
The model is middle range in scope. It is highly generalizable to adult populations. The research used to derive the model was based on male, female, young, old, well, and ill samples. The research agenda includes
application in a variety of settings. A research program tested the applicability of the model to children 10 to 16 years of age (Robbins, Gretebeck, Kazanis, & Pender, 2006). Cultural and diversity considerations support model testing in diverse populations.

**Accessibility**

Pender and others have supported the model through empirical testing as a framework for explaining health promotion. The Health Promoting Lifestyle Profile is an instrument used to assess health-promoting behaviors (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2006). The model continues to evolve through planned programs of research. Continued empirical research, especially intervention studies, further refine the model. Research foci continue upon evidence-based and effective health promotion strategies that serve the individual within the context of the community (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2010).

**Importance**

Pender identified health promotion as a goal for the twenty-first century, just as disease prevention was a task of the twentieth century. The model describes the interaction between the nurse and the consumer while considering the role of environment in health promotion (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2010). Pender responded to the political, social, and personal environment of her time to clarify nursing's role in delivering health promotion services to persons of all ages. The model fosters thinking about future opportunities and influences the use of technological advances such as the electronic health record as a means to achieve prevention and health promotion (Pender, Murdaugh, & Parsons, 2010).

**Summary**

The movement to greater responsibility and accountability for successful personal health practices requires the support of the nursing profession through development of evidence-based practice. The HPM evolved from a substantive research program and continues to provide direction for better health practices. The model guides further research in various populations. Dr. Pender's visionary leadership continues to influence health promotion–related education, research, and policy.

**CASE STUDY**

Thomas, a 26-year-old graduate student of Cuban descent, comes to the college health clinic to discuss his perceived weight problem. He tells you that he wants a more business-like look and wants to have more energy. He says that he is tired of having his belly fall over his belt. In your physical assessment, you find that Thomas is 5 feet 11 inches, weighs 260 pounds, and has mild hypertension (132/90 mm Hg). His mother has a history of diabetes mellitus, and he tells you that high blood pressure runs in the family. His 64-year-old father had a heart attack 1 year ago. His electrocardiogram demonstrates normal sinus rhythm. He does not smoke. He says that his stress level is high, because he is working on his master's thesis. Thomas leaves to have some screening blood work and makes an appointment to see you next week. You begin some preliminary planning. Analysis of this case study follows to illustrate the use of the HBM in action with Thomas:

1. What online state-of-the-science resources would you use to help you in planning disease prevention and health promotion?
   - The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality provides a “Guide to Clinical Preventive Services,” which lists the latest available recommendations on preventive interventions: screening tests, counseling, immunizations, and medication regimens for more than 80 conditions. Age-specific periodic screenings based on gender and individual risk factors are available from the website (http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/uspsfix.htm). The consumer section offers downloadable files for your personal digital assistant as another resource.
   - Healthy People 2020 includes a comprehensive set of disease prevention and health promotion objectives developed to improve the health of all people in the United States during the first decade of the twenty-first century (http://www.healthypeople.gov).

2. What were some of the emotional and behavioral cues provided that suggest Thomas is ready for a weight loss management plan?
   - Thomas demonstrated self-direction, because he came to the clinic on his own.
   - He told you that he wants a more business-like look and wants to have more energy.
   - He stated that he is tired of having his belly fall over his belt.
   - He stated that his stress level is high.

3. In establishing a behavior change plan with Thomas, what are some interpersonal facilitators and potential barriers to change?
   - **Facilitators:** Self-direction, motivation by family medical history, desire for change.
   - **Potential barriers:** Graduate students may have limited financial resources; stress level is high, and Thomas may view self with limited time for physical activity, possibly using eating as a coping mechanism. (Additional assessment is indicated to validate barriers.)

4. List some alternatives in the behavior change plan that you will discuss with Thomas at your next meeting. In general, discuss diet, physical activity, and stress management.
   - Complete a behavioral contract as a commitment to a plan of action. In the plan, establish a long-term weight loss goal and short-term progress goals.
   - Review kinds of foods he enjoys, while assessing dietary concerns, if any.
   - Discuss ways to increase physical activity and which of the activities he intends to carry out, and establish a calendar.
   - Provide a referral to the campus physical activity trainer.
   - Discuss stress management.
   - Establish follow-up.
   - Schedule weight checks every week.
   - Begin reward-reinforcement planning.

---

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. Choose one health-promoting behavior in which you personally could but don't engage. Identify factors, as defined in the HPM, which contribute to your decision not to participate. Include immediate competing alternatives.

2. Analyze factors that contribute to your participation in a health-promoting activity and place each factor under the appropriate label from the HPM.

3. Consider your own philosophy of health and prepare your description of wellness. Is absence of disease more prominent than positive, active statements of health?

4. Anticipate the health-promoting behaviors important at various stages of development across the life span. What health promotion topics do you include in your practice?

---

**POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY**

- Nola J. Pender, Faculty-Staff profile, University of Michigan School of Nursing. Retrieved from http://nursing.umich.edu/faculty-staff/nola-j-pender
- Pender, N. J. (1986, Oct.). Enhancing wellness through nursing research (Videotape). Recorded at the Nursing Conference, October 16–17, Memphis, TN. Available through University of Tennessee, Memphis, School of Nursing.
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Culture Care Theory of Diversity and Universality

Marilyn R. McFarland

“Care is the essence of nursing and a distinct, dominant, central and unifying focus”

(Madeleine Leininger, 2002e, p. 192).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Madeleine M. Leininger is the founder of transcultural nursing and a leader in transcultural nursing and human care theory. She was the first professional nurse with graduate preparation in nursing to hold a PhD in cultural and social anthropology. Leininger was born in Sutton, Nebraska, and began her nursing career after graduating from the diploma program at St. Anthony's School of Nursing in Denver where she was also in the U.S. Army Nurse Corps. In 1950, she obtained a bachelor’s degree in biological science from Benedictine College in Atchison, Kansas, with a minor in philosophy and humanistic studies. After graduation, she was instructor, staff nurse, and head nurse on a medical-surgical unit and opened a psychiatric unit while director of nursing service at St. Joseph’s Hospital in Omaha. During this time, she pursued advanced study in nursing at Creighton University in Omaha, Nebraska (Leininger, 1995c, 1996b).

In 1954, Leininger obtained a master’s degree in psychiatric nursing from Catholic University of America in Washington, D.C. She became employed at the University of Cincinnati College of Health, where she began the first master’s-level clinical specialist program in child psychiatric nursing. She initiated the first graduate nursing program in psychiatric nursing at the University of Cincinnati and a Therapeutic Psychiatric Nursing Center at the...
University Hospital in Cincinnati. During this time, she wrote a basic psychiatric nursing text with Hofling entitled *Basic Psychiatric Concepts in Nursing*, which was published in 1960 and in 11 languages (Hofling & Leininger, 1960).

While in Cincinnati, Leininger discovered that the staff lacked understanding of cultural factors influencing the behavior of children. Among these children of diverse cultural backgrounds, she observed differences in responses to care and psychiatric treatments that deeply concerned her. She became increasingly concerned that her nursing decisions and actions, and those of other staff, did not appear to help these children adequately. Leininger posed many questions to herself and the staff about cultural differences among children and therapy outcomes and observed that few staff members were knowledgeable about cultural factors in the diagnosis and treatment of clients. Margaret Mead became a visiting professor at the University of Cincinnati Department of Psychiatry, and Leininger discussed potential interrelationships between nursing and anthropology with Mead. Although not encouraged by Mead, Leininger decided to pursue doctoral study focused on cultural, social, and psychological anthropology at University of Washington, Seattle.

As a doctoral student, Leininger studied many cultures. She found anthropology fascinating and believed it should be of interest to all nurses. She focused on the Gadsup people of the Eastern Highlands of New Guinea, where she lived with the indigenous people for 2 years and undertook an ethnographical and ethnonursing study of two villages (Leininger, 1995c, 1996b). Not only was she able to observe unique features of the culture, she also observed a number of marked cultural differences related to caring health and well-being practices. From her in-depth study and first-hand experiences with the Gadsup, she developed her Culture Care Theory of Diversity and Universality (Culture Care Theory) and the ethnonursing method (Leininger, 1978, 1981, 1991b, 1995c). Leininger’s research and theory have helped nursing students understand cultural differences in human care, health, and illness. She has been a major nurse leader encouraging many students and faculty to pursue graduate education and practice. Her enthusiasm in developing transcultural nursing with a human care focus sustained her for over 5 decades.

Leininger (1970, 1978) identified several common areas of knowledge and theoretical research interests between nursing and anthropology, formulating transcultural nursing concepts, theory, principles, and practices. Her book, *Nursing and Anthropology: Two Worlds to Blend* (1970), laid the foundation for developing transcultural nursing, the Culture Care Theory, and culturally based health care. Her second book, *Transcultural Nursing: Concepts, Theories, and Practice* (1978), identified major concepts, theoretical ideas, and practices in transcultural nursing, the first definitive publication on transcultural nursing. Leininger established, explicating, and used the Culture Care Theory to study many cultures in the United States and worldwide. She developed the ethnonursing qualitative research method to fit the theory and to discover the insider or emic view of cultures (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c). The ethnonursing research method was the first nursing research method developed for nurses to examine complex care and cultural phenomena. Over 50 nurses with doctoral degrees and many master’s and baccalaureate students have been prepared in transcultural nursing and have used Leininger’s Culture Care Theory (Leininger, 1990a, 1991b; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a; Leininger & Watson, 1990).

The first transcultural nursing course was offered at the University of Colorado in 1966, where Leininger was professor of nursing and anthropology (the first joint appointment of a professor of nursing in the United States) and where she initiated and directed the nurse scientist program (PhD). In 1969, she was appointed Dean and Professor of Nursing and Lecturer in Anthropology at the University of Washington, Seattle, where she established an academic nursing department for master’s and doctoral programs in transcultural nursing. She initiated several transcultural nursing courses and guided the first nurses in a PhD program in transcultural nursing. She initiated the Committee on Nursing and Anthropology with the American Anthropological Association in 1968.

In 1974, Leininger was appointed Dean and Professor of Nursing at the College of Nursing and Adjunct Professor of Anthropology at the University of Utah in Salt Lake City. There she initiated master’s and doctoral programs in transcultural nursing (Leininger, 1978). These programs were the first to offer substantive courses focused specifically on transcultural nursing. In 1981, Leininger was recruited to Wayne State University in Detroit, where she was Professor of Nursing, Adjunct
Professor of Anthropology, and Director of Transcultural Nursing Offerings until her semi-retirement in 1995. She directed the Center for Health Research there for 5 years. While at Wayne State University, she developed courses and seminars in transcultural nursing, caring, and qualitative research methods for baccalaureate, master’s, doctoral, and postdoctoral nursing students and for non-nursing students. Dr. Leininger taught and mentored students and nurses in field research in transcultural nursing. One of the first nurse leaders to use qualitative research methods in the 1960s, she taught these methods at various universities in the United States and worldwide. Leininger studied 14 cultures and continues to consult for research projects and institutions that are using her Culture Care Theory.

Leininger’s academic vitae includes nearly 600 conferences, keynote addresses, workshops, and services as a consultant in the United States, Canada, Europe, Pacific Island nations, Asia, Africa, Australia, and the Nordic countries. Educational and service organizations requested consultation on transcultural nursing, humanistic caring, ethnonursing research, Culture Care Theory, and trends in health care worldwide.

In addition to transcultural nursing with care as a central focus, Leininger’s interests include comparative education and administration, nursing theories, politics, ethical dilemmas of nursing and health care, qualitative research, future nursing and health care, and nursing leadership. Her Culture Care Theory is used worldwide and is growing in relevance with the discovery of knowledge from diverse cultures. Leininger initiated the National Transcultural Nursing Society in 1974 and established the National Research Care Conference in 1978 for nurses to study human care phenomena (Leininger, 1981, 1984a, 1988a, 1990a, 1991b; Leininger & Watson, 1990). She initiated the Journal of Transcultural Nursing in 1989 and was editor until 1995.

Leininger worked enthusiastically to persuade nursing educators and practitioners to incorporate transcultural nursing and culture-specific care concepts into nursing curricula and clinical practices for all aspects of nursing (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a; Leininger & Watson, 1990). She remained active in two disciplines and continued to contribute to nursing and anthropology at national and international conferences and meetings. Dr. Leininger resides in Omaha, Nebraska, and is semi-retired but active in consulting, writing, and lecturing. Her goal is to establish transcultural nursing institutes to educate and facilitate research on transcultural nursing and health phenomena.

Leininger has written or edited more than 30 books listed in the bibliography of this chapter along with more than 200 articles and 45 book chapters. She has been featured in numerous films, videos, DVDs, and research reports focused on transcultural nursing, human care and health phenomena, the future of nursing, and topics relevant in nursing and anthropology. She served on eight editorial boards and refereed publications, and is involved with the Transcultural Nursing Scholars Group and her website (www.madeleine-leininger.com). She is one of the most creative, productive, innovative, and futuristic authors in nursing, providing new and substantive research-based transcultural nursing content to advance nursing as a discipline and a profession.

Leininger has received many awards and honors for her lifetime professional and academic accomplishments. She is in Who’s Who of American Women, Who’s Who in Health Care, Who’s Who in Community Leaders, Who’s Who of Women in Education, International Who’s Who in Community Service, Who’s Who in International Women, and other such listings. Her name appears on the National Register of Prominent Americans and International Notables, International Women, and the National Register of Prominent Community Leaders. She has received honorary degrees, including the LHD from Benedictine College in Atchison, Kansas; a PhD from University of Kuopio, Finland; and a DS from the University of Indiana, Indianapolis. In 1976 and 1995, Leininger was recognized for her significant contributions to the American Association of Colleges of Nursing as its first full-time president. She received the Russell Sage Outstanding Leadership Award in 1995 and is designated as a Fellow of the American Academy of Nursing and the Society for Applied Anthropology. Her affiliations include Sigma Theta Tau International, Delta Kappa Gamma, and the Scandinavian College of Caring Science in Stockholm, Sweden. She was a distinguished visiting scholar and lecturer at 85 universities in the United States and worldwide and was a visiting professor at universities in Sweden, Wales, Japan, China, Australia, Finland, New Zealand, and the Philippines. While at Wayne State University, Leininger received the Board of Regents’ Distinguished Faculty Award, the
Distinguished Research Award, the President’s Excellence in Teaching, and the Outstanding Graduate Faculty Mentor Award. In 1996, Madonna University, Livonia, Michigan, honored her with the dedication of the Leininger Book Collection and a Leininger Reading Room for her outstanding contributions to nursing and the social sciences and humanities.

**Theoretical Sources**

Leininger’s theory is derived from the disciplines of anthropology and nursing (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002b, 2006). She defined *transcultural nursing* as a major area of nursing focused on the comparative study and analysis of diverse cultures and subcultures in the world with respect to their caring values, expressions, health–illness beliefs, and patterns of behavior.

The purpose of the theory was to discover human care diversities and universalities in relation to worldview, social structure, and other dimensions cited, and then to discover ways to provide culturally congruent care to people of different or similar cultures in order to maintain or regain their well-being or health, or to face death in a culturally appropriate way (Leininger, 1985b, 1988b, 1988c, 1988d; as cited in 1991b). The goal of the theory is to improve and provide culturally congruent care to people—care that is beneficial and useful to the client, family, or culture group (Leininger, 1991b).

Transcultural nursing goes beyond an awareness state to that of using Culture Care nursing knowledge to practice culturally congruent and responsible care (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c). Leininger has stated that there will be nursing practice that reflects nursing practices that are culturally defined, grounded, and specific to guide nursing care provided to individuals, families, groups, and institutions. She contends that because culture and care knowledge are the most holistic means to conceptualize and understand people, they are central to and imperative to nursing education and practice (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). She states that transcultural nursing is one of the most important, relevant, and highly promising areas of formal study, research, and practice because we live in a multicultural world (Leininger, 1984a, 1988a, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). She predicts cultural nursing knowledge and competencies will be imperative to guide all nursing decisions and actions for effective and successful outcomes (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c, 1996a, 1996b; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006).

Leininger (2002a) distinguishes between *transcultural nursing* and *cross-cultural nursing*. The former refers to nurses prepared in transcultural nursing who are committed to develop knowledge and practice in transcultural nursing, whereas cross-cultural nursing refers to nurses who apply anthropological concepts (Leininger, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a). She specifies international nursing and transcultural nursing as follows: international nursing focuses on nurses functioning between two cultures; and, transcultural nursing focuses on several cultures with a comparative theoretical and practice base (Leininger, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a).

Leininger describes the transcultural nurse generalist as a nurse prepared at the baccalaureate level who is able to apply transcultural nursing concepts, principles, and practices generated by transcultural nurse specialists (Leininger, 1989a, 1989b, 1991c, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a). The transcultural nurse specialist prepared in graduate programs receives in-depth preparation and mentorship in transcultural nursing knowledge and practice. This specialist has acquired competency skills through postbaccalaureate education. “This specialist has studied selected cultures in sufficient depth (values, beliefs, and lifeways) and is highly knowledgeable and theoretically based about care, health, and environmental factors related to transcultural nursing perspectives” (Leininger, 1984b, p. 252). The transcultural nurse specialist is an expert field practitioner, teacher, researcher, and consultant with respect to select cultures. This individual values and uses nursing theory to develop and advance knowledge within the discipline of transcultural nursing (1995c, 2001).

Leininger (1996b) holds and promotes a new and different type of theory. She defines *theory* as the systematic and creative discovery of knowledge about a domain of interest or a phenomenon that is important to understand or to account for some unknown phenomenon. She believes nursing theory should take into account creative discovery about individuals, families, and groups, and their caring, values, expressions, beliefs, and actions or practices based on their cultural lifeways to provide effective, satisfying, and culturally congruent care. If nursing practices fail to recognize the cultural aspects of human needs, there will be evidence of dissatisfaction with nursing services, which

Leininger (1991b) developed her Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality, based on the belief that people of different cultures can inform and are capable of guiding professionals to receive the kind of care they desire or need from others. Culture is the patterned and valued lifeways of people that influence their decisions and actions; therefore, the theory is directed toward nurses to discover and document the world of the client and to use their emic viewpoints, knowledge, and practices with appropriate etic (professional knowledge) as bases for making culturally congruent professional care actions and decisions (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c). Culture Care is a broad nursing theory because it takes into account the holistic perspective of human life and existence over time, including the social structure factors, worldview, cultural history and values, environmental context (Leininger, 1981), language expressions, and folk (generic) and professional patterns viewed in terms of culture. These are some of the essential bases for discovery of grounded care knowledge, which is the essence of nursing leading to the well-being of clients and therapeutic nursing practice.

The Culture Care Theory is inductive and deductive, derived from emic (insider) and etic (outsider) knowledge (1991b). The theory is neither a middle-range nor macro theory but is best viewed broadly with specific domains of interest (1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). According to Leininger (2002c), the Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality has several distinct features. It is focused explicitly on discovering holistic and comprehensive Culture Care, and it can be used in Western and non-Western cultures because of multiple holistic factors found universally. It is purposefully to discover comprehensive factors influencing human care such as worldview, social structure factors, language, generic and professional care, ethnohistory, and the environmental context. It has three theoretical practice modalities to arrive at culturally congruent care decisions and actions to support well-being, health, and satisfactory lifeways for people. The theory is designed to ultimately discover care—what is diverse and what is universally related to care and health—and has a comparative focus to identify different or contrasting transcultural nursing care practices with specific care constructs. The ethnonursing method has enablers designed to tease out in-depth informant emic data that can be used for cultural health care assessments. The theory may generate new knowledge in nursing and health care for culturally congruent, safe, and responsible care.

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

Leininger developed terms relevant to the theory. The major terms are defined here, and one can access the full theory from her works (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006).

**Human Care and Caring**

The concept of human care and caring refers to the abstract and manifest phenomena with expressions of assistive, supportive, enabling, and facilitating ways to help self or others with evident or anticipated needs to improve health, a human condition, or lifeways, or to face disabilities or dying.

**Culture**

Culture refers to patterned lifeways, values, beliefs, norms, symbols, and practices of individuals, groups, or institutions that are learned, shared, and usually transmitted from one generation to another.

**Culture Care**

Culture Care refers to the synthesized and culturally constituted assistive, supportive, enabling, or facilitative caring acts toward self or others focused on evident or anticipated needs for the client’s health or well-being, or to face disabilities, death, or other human conditions.

**Culture Care Diversity**

Culture Care diversity refers to cultural variability or differences in care beliefs, meanings, patterns, values, symbols, and lifeways within and between cultures and human beings.

Continued
### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS—cont’d

**Culture Care Universality**

*Culture Care universality* refers to commonalities or similar culturally based care meanings (“truths”), patterns, values, symbols, and lifeways reflecting care as a universal humanity.

**Worldview**

*Worldview* refers to the way an individual or a group looks out on and understands the world about them as a value, stance, picture, or perspective about life and the world.

**Cultural and Social Structure Dimensions**

*Cultural and social structure dimensions* refer to the dynamic, holistic, and interrelated patterns of structured features of a culture (or subculture), including religion (or spirituality), kinship (social), political characteristics (legal), economics, education, technology, cultural values, philosophy, history, and language.

**Environmental Context**

*Environmental context* refers to the totality of an environment (physical, geographic, and sociocultural), situation, or event with related experiences that give interpretative meanings to guide human expressions and decisions with reference to a particular environment or situation.

**Ethnohistory**

*Ethnohistory* refers to the sequence of facts, events, or developments over time as known, witnessed, or documented about a designated people of a culture.

**Emic**

*Emic* refers to local, indigenous, or the insider’s views and values about a phenomenon.

**Etic**

*Etic* refers to the outsider’s or more universal views and values about a phenomenon.

**Health**

*Health* refers to a state of well-being or a restorative state that is culturally constituted, defined, valued, and practiced by individuals or groups and that enables them to function in their daily lives.

**Transcultural Nursing**

*Transcultural nursing* refers to a formal area of humanistic and scientific knowledge and practices focused on holistic Culture Care (caring) phenomena and competencies to assist individuals or groups to maintain or regain their health (or well-being) and to deal with disabilities, dying, or other human conditions in culturally congruent and beneficial ways.

**Culture Care Preservation or Maintenance**

*Culture Care preservation or maintenance* refers to those assistive, supportive, facilitative, or enabling professional actions and decisions that help people of a particular culture to retain or maintain meaningful care values and lifeways for their well-being, to recover from illness, or to deal with handicaps or dying.

**Culture Care Accommodation or Negotiation**

*Culture Care accommodation or negotiation* refers to those assistive, supportive, facilitative, or enabling professional actions and decisions that help people of a designated culture (or subculture) to adapt to or to negotiate with others for meaningful, beneficial, and congruent health outcomes.

**Culture Care Repatterning or Restructuring**

*Culture Care repatterning or restructuring* refers to the assistive, supportive, facilitative, or enabling professional actions and decisions that help clients reorder, change, or modify their lifeways for new, different, and beneficial health outcomes.

**Culturally Competent Nursing Care**

*Culturally competent nursing care* refers to the explicit use of culturally based care and health knowledge in sensitive, creative, and meaningful ways to fit the general lifeways and needs of individuals or groups for beneficial and meaningful health and well being, or to face illness, disabilities, or death.
Use of Empirical Evidence

For more than 6 decades, Leininger has held that care is the essence of nursing and the dominant, distinctive, and unifying feature of nursing (1970, 1981, 1988a, 1991b; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). She has found that care is complex, elusive, and embedded in social structure and other aspects of culture (1991b; Leininger & McFarland, 2006). She holds that different forms, expressions, and patterns of care are diverse, and some are universal (Leininger, 1991b; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). Leininger (1985a, 1990b) favors qualitative ethnomethods, especially ethnonursing, to study care. These methods are directed toward discovering the people-truths, views, beliefs, and patterned lifeways of people. During the 1960s, Leininger developed the ethnonursing method to study transcultural nursing phenomena specifically and systemically. The method focuses on the classification of care beliefs, values, and practices as cognitively or subjectively known by a designated culture (or cultural representatives) through their local emic people-centered language, experiences, beliefs, and value systems about actual or potential nursing phenomena such as care, health, and environmental factors (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). Although nursing has used the words care and caring for more than a century, the definitions and usage have been vague and used as clichés without specific meanings to the culture of the client or nurse (Leininger, 1981, 1984a). “Indeed, the concepts about caring have been some of the least understood and studied of all human knowledge and research areas within and outside of nursing” (Leininger, 1978, p. 33).

With the transcultural care theory and ethnonursing method based on emic (insider views) beliefs, a person gets close to the discovery of people-based care, because data come directly from people rather than the etic (outsider views) beliefs and practices of the researcher. An important purpose of the theory is to document, know, predict, and explain systematically through field data what is diverse and universal about generic and professional care of the cultures being studied (Leininger, 1991b).

Leininger (1984a, 1988a) holds that detailed and culturally based caring knowledge and practices should distinguish nursing’s contributions from those of other disciplines. The first reason for studying care theory is that the construct of care has been critical to human growth, development, and survival for human beings from the beginning of the human species (Leininger, 1981, 1984a). The second reason is to explicate and fully understand cultural knowledge and the roles of caregivers and care recipients in different cultures to provide culturally congruent care (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c, 2002a, 2002b, 2002c). Third, care knowledge is discovered and can be used as essential to promote the healing and well-being of clients, to face death, or to ensure the survival of human cultures over time (Leininger, 1981, 1984a, 1991b). Fourth, the nursing profession needs to systematically study care from a broad and holistic cultural perspective to discover the expressions and meanings of care, health, illness, and well-being as nursing knowledge (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c, 2002a, 2002b, 2002c). Leininger (1991b, 1995c, 2002a, 2002b, 2002c) finds that care is largely an elusive phenomenon often embedded in cultural lifeways and values. However, this knowledge is a sound basis for nurses to guide their practice for culturally congruent care and specific therapeutic ways to maintain health, prevent illness, heal, or help people face death (Leininger, 1994). A central thesis of the theory is that if the meaning of care can be fully grasped, the well-being or health care of individuals, families, and groups can be predicted, and culturally congruent care can be provided (Leininger, 1991b). Leininger (1991b) views care as one of the most powerful constructs and the central phenomenon of nursing. However, such care constructs and patterns must be fully documented, understood, and used to ensure that culturally based care becomes the major guide to transcultural nursing therapy and is used to explain or predict nursing practices (Leininger, 1991b).

To date, Leininger has studied several cultures in depth and has studied many cultures with undergraduate and graduate students and faculty using qualitative research methods. She has explicated care constructs throughout cultures in which each culture has different meanings, cultural experiences, and uses by people of diverse and similar cultures (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). New knowledge continues to be discovered by transcultural nurses in the development of transcultural care practices with diverse and similar cultures. In time, Leininger (1991b) contends, diverse and universal features of care and
health will be documented as the essence of nursing knowledge and practice.

Leininger believes that nurses must work toward explicating care use and meanings so that culture care, values, beliefs, and lifeways can provide accurate and reliable bases for planning and effectively implementing culture-specific care and for identifying any universal or common features about care. She maintains that nurses cannot separate worldviews, social structures, and cultural beliefs (folk and professional) from health, wellness, illness, or care when working with cultures, because these factors are closely linked. Social structure factors such as religion, politics, culture, economics, and kinship are significant forces affecting care and influencing illness patterns and well-being. She emphasizes the importance of discovering generic (folk, local, and indigenous) care from the cultures and comparing it with professional care (Leininger, 1991b). She has found that cultural blindness, shock, imposition, and ethnocentrism by nurses continue to reduce the quality of care offered to clients of different cultures (Leininger, 1991a, 1994, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). She points out that nursing diagnoses and medical diagnoses that are not culturally based are known to create serious problems for some cultures that lead to unfavorable outcomes (Leininger, 1990c). Culturally congruent care is a powerful healing force for the quality health care that clients seek most when they come for care by nurses, and it is realized when culturally derived care is known and used.

Major Assumptions

Major assumptions of Leininger's Culture Care Theory of Diversity and Universality were derived from Leininger's definitive works on the theory (Leininger, 1991b; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006).

Nursing

1. Care is the essence of nursing and a distinct, dominant, central, and unifying focus.
2. Culturally based care (caring) is essential for well-being, health, growth, and survival, and to face handicaps or death.
3. Culturally based care is the most comprehensive and holistic means to know, explain, interpret, and predict nursing care phenomena and to guide nursing decisions and actions.
4. Transcultural nursing is a humanistic and scientific care discipline and profession with the central purpose to serve individuals, groups, communities, societies, and institutions.
5. Culturally based caring is essential to curing and healing, for there can be no curing without caring, but caring can exist without curing.
6. Culture Care concepts, meanings, expressions, patterns, processes, and structural forms of care vary transculturally with diversities (differences) and some universalities (commonalities).

Person

7. Every human culture has generic (i.e., lay, folk, or indigenous) care knowledge and practices and usually professional care knowledge and practices, which vary transculturally and individually.
8. Culture Care values, beliefs, and practices are influenced by and tend to be embedded in the worldview, language, philosophy, religion (and spirituality), kinship, social, political, legal, educational, economic, technological, ethnohistorical, and environmental context of cultures.

Health

9. Beneficial, healthy, and satisfying culturally based care influences the health and well-being of individuals, families, groups, and communities within their environmental contexts.
10. Culturally congruent and beneficial nursing care can occur only when care values, expressions, or patterns are known and used explicitly for appropriate, safe, and meaningful care.
11. Culture Care differences and similarities exist between professional and client-generic care in human cultures worldwide.

Environment

12. Cultural conflicts, cultural impositions practices, cultural stresses, and cultural pain reflect the lack of Culture Care knowledge to provide culturally congruent, responsible, safe, and sensitive care.
13. The ethnonursing qualitative research method provides an important means to accurately discover and interpret emic and etic embedded, complex, and diverse Culture Care data (Leininger, 1991b, pp. 44–45).
The universality of care reveals the common nature of human beings and humanity, whereas diversity of care reveals the variability and selected, unique features of human beings.

### Theoretical Assertions

**Tenets** are the positions one holds or the givens that the theorist uses with a theory. In developing the Culture Care Theory, four major tenets were conceptualized and formulated (Leininger, 2002c, 2006):

1. Culture Care expressions, meanings, patterns, and practices are diverse, and yet there are shared commonalities and some universal attributes.
2. The worldview consists of multiple social structure factors (e.g., religion, economics, cultural values, ethnohistory, environmental context, language, and generic and professional care), which are critical influencers of cultural care patterns to predict health, well-being, illness, healing, and ways people face disabilities and death.
3. Generic emic (folk) and professional etic care in different environmental contexts can greatly influence health and illness outcomes.
4. From an analysis of the previously listed influencers, three major actions and decision guides were predicted to provide ways to give culturally congruent, safe, and meaningful health care to cultures. The three culturally based action and decision modes were the following: (1) Culture Care preservation or maintenance, (2) Culture Care accommodation or negotiation, and (3) Culture Care repatterning or restructuring. Decision and action modes based on culture care were predicted as key factors to arrive at congruent, safe, and meaningful care.

Leininger has maintained that documentation of these tenets was necessary in order to provide meaningful and satisfying care to people, and they are predicted to be powerful influencers on culturally based care. These factors needed to be discovered directly from the informants as influencing factors related to health, well-being, illness, and death. The modes set forth in the four tenets are Culture Care preservation or maintenance; Culture Care accommodation and negotiation; and Culture Care repatterning or restructuring. The researcher draws upon findings from the social structure, generic and professional practices, and other influencing factors while studying culturally based care for individuals, families, and groups. These factors are studied, assessed, and responded to in a dynamic and participatory nurse-client relationship (Leininger 1991a, 1991b, 2002b; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a).

### Logical Form

Leininger's theory (1995c) is derived from anthropology and nursing but is reformulated to become transcultural nursing theory with a human care perspective. She developed the ethnonursing research method and has emphasized the importance of studying people from their emic or local knowledge and experiences and later contrasting them with the etic (outsider) beliefs and practices. Her book, *Qualitative Research Methods in Nursing* (Leininger, 1985a) and related publications (Leininger, 1990b, 1995c, 2002c; Leininger & McFarland, 2006) provide substantive knowledge about qualitative methods in nursing.

Leininger is skilled in using ethnonursing, ethnography, life histories, life stories, photography, and phenomenological methods that provide a holistic approach to study cultural behavior in diverse environmental contexts. With these qualitative methods, the researcher moves with people in their daily living activities to grasp their world. The nurse researcher inductively obtains data of documented descriptive and interpretative accounts from informants through observation and participation explicating care as a major challenge within the method. The qualitative approach is used to develop basic and substantive grounded data-based knowledge about cultural care to guide nurses in their work. Although other methods of research such as hypothesis testing and experimental quantitative methods can be used to study transcultural care, the method of choice depends upon the researcher's purposes, the goals of the study, and the phenomena to be studied. Creativity and experience of the nurse researcher to use different research methods to discover nursing knowledge are encouraged. However, Leininger holds that qualitative methods are important to establish meanings and accurate cultural knowledge.

Leininger developed the Sunrise Enabler (Figure 22–1) in the 1970s to depict the essential components of the theory. She has refined the sunrise, and thus the evolved enabler is more definitive and valuable to
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FIGURE 22-1 Leininger’s Sunrise Enabler. (Copyright Madeleine Leininger, 2004. Used by permission.)
study the diverse elements or components of the theory, and to make culturally congruent clinical assessments. Selected information is offered here to introduce the reader to Leininger’s creative work of evolving theory and Sunrise Enabler over time. The Sunrise Enabler symbolizes the rising of the sun (care) (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). The upper half of the circle depicts components of the social structure and worldview factors that influence care and health through language, ethnohistory, and environmental context. These factors also influence the folk, professional, and nursing system(s), which are the middle part of the model. The two halves together form a full sun, which represents the universe that nurses must consider to appreciate human care and health (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). According to Leininger, nursing acts as a bridge between folk (generic) and the professional system. Three kinds of nursing care and decisions and actions are predicted in the theory: Culture Care preservation or maintenance, Culture Care accommodation or negotiation, and Culture Care repatterning or restructuring (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006).

The Sunrise Enabler depicts human beings as inseparable from their cultural background and social structure, worldview, history, and environmental context as a basic tenet of Leininger’s theory (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). Gender, race, age, and class are embedded in social structure factors and are studied. Biological, emotional, and other dimensions are studied from a holistic view and are not fragmented or separate. Theory generation from this model may occur at multiple levels from the micro range (small-scale specific individuals) to study groups, families, communities, or large-scale phenomena (several cultures). Leininger has also developed several enablers to facilitate studying phenomena using the four phases of qualitative data analysis. Most importantly, qualitative criteria are used to analyze the data; they are credibility, confirmability, meaning-in-context, saturation, repatterning, and transferability (Leininger, 1995c, 2002c).

Leininger has developed four other enablers to assist nurse researchers in their use of the ethnonursing method. “Enablers sharply contrast with mechanistic devices such as tools, scales, measurement instruments, and other impersonal objective distancing tools generally used in quantitative studies. These tools are often viewed as unnatural and [are] frightening to cultural informants” (Leininger, 2002c, p. 89).

1. The observation participation reflection enabler is used to facilitate the researcher in entering and remaining with informants in their familiar or natural context during the study. The researcher gradually moves from the role of observer and listener, transitioning to that of participant and reflector with the informants. By moving slowly and politely with permission, the researcher does not disrupt and therefore is able to observe what is naturally occurring in the environment or with the people.

2. With the stranger to trusted friend enabler, the nurse researcher is able to learn much about oneself and the people and culture being studied. The goal with this guide is to become a trusted friend as one moves from distrusted stranger to trusted friend and different attitudes, behaviors, and expectations can be identified. This process is essential for the researcher to become trusted such that honest, credible, and in-depth data may be discovered from informants.

3. The domain of “inquiry enabler” is a process used by nurse researchers in each study to clearly establish the researcher’s interest and area of focus. The domain of inquiry is a “succinct tailor made statement focused directly and specifically on Culture Care and health phenomena” (Leininger, 2002c, p. 92), stating questions or ideas related to the focus of the study, its purpose, and goals.

4. The acculturation health assessment enabler is another important guide used with the method. It is essential when studying cultures to assess the extent of the informants’ acculturation as to whether they are more “traditionally or nontraditionally oriented in their values, beliefs, and general lifeways” (Leininger, 2002c, p. 92). This enabler is used for both cultural assessments and ethnonursing research studies.

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

Leininger identifies several factors related to the slowness of nurses to recognize and value transcultural nursing and cultural factors in nursing practices and education (Leininger, 1991b; Leininger & McFarland, 2006). First, the theory was conceptualized during the
1950s, when virtually no nurses were prepared in anthropology or cultural knowledge to understand transcultural concepts, models, or theory. In the early days, most nurses had little knowledge of anthropology and how anthropological knowledge might contribute to human care and health behaviors, or serve as background knowledge to understand nursing phenomena or problems. Second, although people had longstanding and inherent cultural needs, many clients were reluctant to push health personnel to meet their cultural needs and therefore did not demand that their cultural and social needs be recognized or met (Leininger, 1970, 1978, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a). Third, transcultural nursing articles submitted early for publication were rejected because editors did not know, value, or understand the relevance of cultural knowledge to transcultural nursing or as essential to nursing. Fourth, the concept of care was of limited interest to nurses until the late 1970s, when Leininger began promoting the importance of nurses studying human care, obtaining background knowledge in anthropology, and obtaining graduate preparation in transcultural nursing, research, and practice. Fifth, Leininger contends that nursing tends to remain too ethnocentric and far too involved in following medicine’s interest and directions. Sixth, nursing has been slow to make substantive progress in the development of a distinct body of knowledge, because many nurse researchers have been far too dependent on quantitative research methods to obtain measurable outcomes rather than qualitative data outcomes. The recent acceptance and use of qualitative research methods in nursing provides new insights related to nursing and transcultural nursing (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a). There is growing interest in using transcultural nursing knowledge, research, and practice by nurses worldwide.

Nurses are now realizing the importance of transcultural nursing, human care, and qualitative methods. Leininger (personal communication, April 2002) has stated:

We are entering a new phase of nursing as we value and use transcultural nursing knowledge with a focus on human caring, health, and illness behaviors. With the migration of many cultural groups and the rise of the consumer cultural identity, and demands in culturally based care, nurses are realizing the need for culturally sensitive and competent practices. Most countries and communities of the world are multicultural today, and so health personnel are expected to understand and respond to clients of diverse and similar cultures. Immigrants and people from unfamiliar cultures expect nurses to respect and respond to values, beliefs, lifeways, and needs. No longer can nurses practice unicultural nursing.

As the world becomes more culturally diverse, nurses need to be prepared to provide culturally competent care. Some nurses are experiencing culture shock, conflict, and clashes as they move from one area to another and from rural to urban communities without transcultural nursing preparation. As cultural conflicts arise, families are less satisfied with nursing and medical services (Leininger, 1991b). Nurses who travel and seek employment internationally experience cultural stresses; therefore, transcultural nursing education is imperative for all nurses worldwide. Certification of transcultural nurses by the Transcultural Nursing Society provides a major step toward protecting the public from unsafe and culturally incompetent nursing practices (Leininger, 1991a, 2001). Accordingly, more nurses are seeking transcultural certification to protect themselves and their clients. The Journal of Transcultural Nursing provides research reports and theoretical perspectives of more than 100 cultures worldwide to guide transcultural nurses in their practices.

**Education**

The inclusion of culture and comparative care in nursing curricula began in 1966 at the University of Colorado, where Leininger was professor of nursing and anthropology. Awareness of the importance of Culture Care to nursing began gradually during the late 1960s, but very few nurse educators were prepared to teach courses about transcultural nursing. Since the first master’s and doctoral programs in transcultural nursing were approved and implemented in 1977 at the University of Utah, more nurses have been prepared specifically in transcultural nursing. Today, with a heightened public awareness of health care costs, different cultures, and human rights, there is a greater demand for comprehensive, holistic, and transcultural people care to protect and provide quality-based care and to prevent legal suits...
related to improper care. Leininger’s demand for culture-specific care based on theoretical insights has been critical for the discovery of diverse and universal aspects of care (Leininger, 1995c, 1996a, 1996b; Leininger & McFarland, 2002b). A critical need remains for nurses to be educated in transcultural nursing in undergraduate and graduate programs and for faculty prepared in transcultural nursing to teach and guide research in nursing schools within the United States and in other countries (Leininger, 1995c, 1996b; Tom-Orne, 2002).

An increasing number of nursing curricula emphasize transcultural nursing and human care. One of the early programs to focus on care was presented during the 1970s at Cuesta College in San Luis Obispo, California, where care was developed as a central theme for an undergraduate program in nursing. Course titles included Caring Concepts I & II, Caring of Families, and Professional Self Care (Leininger, 1984a). During the late 1980s, four master’s and four doctoral programs in the United States offered transcultural nursing courses, research experiences, and guided field study experiences (Leininger, 1995c). Leininger received numerous requests to give courses, lectures, and workshops on human care and transcultural nursing in the United States and other countries. The demand for transcultural nurses exceeded available faculty, money, and other resources. As the last century ended, Leininger put out a call for schools of nursing to offer transcultural programs to meet the worldwide demand for many nurses and cultures (Leininger, 1995a, 1995b, 1996b). The programs are needed for practice and preparation for certification of transcultural nurses. There is a need for research and worldwide consultation. There are still inadequate research funds to study transcultural nursing education and practice. Although the societal demand for transcultural nurses is evident, educational preparation remains weak and limited for nurses worldwide.

Research

Nurses today are using Leininger’s Culture Care Theory worldwide. This nursing theory is focused specifically on Culture Care and with a specific research method (ethnonursing) to examine the theory (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). Approximately 100 cultures and subcultures had been studied as of 1995 (Leininger, 1991b, 1995c, 1996a; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). Funds to support transcultural nursing are limited because biomedical and technical research funds head the priority list. Transcultural nurses and other nurses interested in transcultural nursing research are continuing their research with limited funds. These nurses are leaders in sharing their research at conferences and instructional programs related to transcultural nursing. They have been instrumental in opening doors to transcultural nursing in many organizations. Transcultural nurses have stimulated other nurses to pursue research and discover new knowledge in nursing as reported in the Journal of Transcultural Nursing.

The ethnonursing study by McFarland (1995, 2002), covered 2 years in the late 1980s, and compared Anglo-American and African-American groups living in a residence home for the elderly in one large Midwestern United States city. This in-depth emic and etic culture care investigation revealed significant findings and highlighted the importance of using the three action and decision modes of the theory when caring for older adults. The culturally congruent care findings were as follows:

- Anglo-American and African-American older adults expect Culture Care preservation and maintenance of their lifelong generic or folk care patterns.
- Doing for other residents rather than having a self-care focus was a major care maintenance value for both cultures and was a dominant finding.
- Protective care was more important to African-American than to Anglo-American older adults, but nursing staff provided protective care and practiced Culture Care accommodation for both groups, such as accompanying them when they desired to go for walks in the surrounding inner-city neighborhood.
- African-American nurses practiced culture accommodation when they linked their emic care with generic care values and practices.

Culture Care maintenance-preservation and Culture Care accommodation-negotiation were new ways for nurses to provide culturally congruent and safe lifeways care practices for older adults of both cultures. Based on the findings, several institutional Culture Care policies were developed to guide professional older adult care. Application of the Culture Care Theory to advanced practice nursing has been explicated by McFarland and Eipperle (2008) proposing the
theory as a “...foundational basis for the educational preparation, primary care contextual practice, and outcomes-focused research endeavors of advanced practice nursing” using the three modes of care, the enablers, and the ethnonursing method. The authors emphasized integration of culturally congruent or sensitive care through direct and explicit approaches to be used by the nurse practitioner, who “...needs to be able to sensitively and competently integrate Culture Care into contextual routines, clinical ways, and approaches to primary care practice through role modeling, policy making, procedural performance and performance evaluation, and the use of the advance practice nursing process” (McFarland & Eipperle, 2008). Concepts and methods for integrating emic and etic care approaches into primary care practice modalities and the use of the education-research-practice continuum as the basis for clinical actions and decisions are presented.

**Further Development**

Leininger continues to develop the theory and the application of the theory and the ethnonursing research method. The theorist further explicated the concept of Father Protective Care, which is manifested differently in Western and non-Western cultures. Leininger (2011) focused her research “...on the subtle, hidden, obscure, and diverse expressions and examples of father protective care” in Western and non-Western cultures (p. 1). The construct of Collaborative Care was presented by McFarland (2011) in her keynote address “The Culture Care Theory and a Look to the Future for Transcultural Nursing” at the 37th Annual Conference of the International Society of Transcultural Nursing in which Dr. Leininger participated via videotape. The construct of Collaborative Care refers to those values, meanings, and expressions by persons that reveal a desire for working together in order to attain and preserve health and well-being for oneself and others.

The Ethnonursing Research Method “can be useful for research that addresses providing care in other disciplines including education, administration, physical/occupational/speech therapy, social work, pharmacy, medicine, and disciplines in which the meaning of research findings has implications for human care and health” (McFarland, Mixer, Webhe-Alamah, & Burk, 2012). The method has been adapted for use in retrospective metasynthesis studies as the Metaethnonursing Research Method. McFarland, Webhe-Alamah, Wilson, and Vossos (2011) conducted a retrospective analysis of 24 doctoral dissertations based on the Culture Care Theory, presenting a synopsis of their findings which were found to be “...both interpretive and explanatory, and further conceptualized from the themes and patterns of the original dissertation studies” and entailed “...new theoretical formulations based on the Culture Care Theory [with discovered] recommendations related to nursing practice...[which were] predicted to make a significant contribution to the discipline and practice of nursing as well as the epistemic and ontologic basis of culture care knowledge and evidence-based best practices” (p. 24).

Leininger calls for all professional nurses in the world to be prepared in transcultural nursing and demonstrate competencies in transcultural nursing (Leininger, 1981, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006; McFarland & Eipperle, 2008). Transcultural nursing must become an integral part of education and practice for nurses to be relevant in the twenty-first century (Mixer, 2011). Currently, the demand for prepared transcultural nurses far exceeds the numbers of nurses, faculty, and clinical specialists in the world. More transcultural nurse theorists, researchers, and scholars are urgently needed to continue to develop the body of transcultural knowledge and transform nursing education and practice. By the year 2020, all nurses will need basic knowledge about diverse cultures in the world and in-depth knowledge of at least two or three cultures (Leininger, 1995c, 1996a). Leininger believes that transcultural nursing research has begun to lead to some highly promising and different ways to advance nursing education and practice (Leininger & McFarland, 2002a, 2006). All health disciplines, including medicine, pharmacy, and social work, are incorporating transcultural health knowledge and practice into their programs of study. This trend is increasing the demand for competent faculty in transcultural health care. Leininger (1995c) believes that the development of transcultural institutes is essential to fill the growing need for transcultural nurses prepared to work with other disciplines.
Present and future theories and studies in transcultural nursing are essential to meet the needs of culturally diverse people. The Culture Care Theory continues to grow worldwide. Both universal and diverse care knowledge is extremely important to establish a substantive body of transcultural nursing knowledge, and to make nursing a transcultural profession and discipline. Leininger’s theory has gained worldwide interest and use because it is holistic, relevant, and futuristic, and it deals with specific, yet abstract, care knowledge.

Critique

Clarity

The Sunrise Enabler (see Figure 22–1) and other enablers mentioned earlier remain invaluable as guides to study and practice with people of diverse and similar cultural needs.

Simplicity

Transcultural nursing theory is a broad, holistic, comprehensive perspective of human groups, populations, and species. The broad or generic concepts are well organized and defined for study in specific cultures. Leininger’s Culture Care Theory is relevant worldwide to guide nurse researchers in the conceptualization of research approaches to study culture. The concepts and constructs related to social structure, environment, and language are extremely important and clearly defined for culturally based knowledge grounded in the people’s world. Multiple key concepts and interrelationships of concepts are made explicit, especially to social structure factors. Understanding the theory requires some basic anthropological knowledge as well as considerable transcultural nursing knowledge, to be used in an accurate and scholarly fashion. When the theory has been fully conceptualized, Leininger finds that undergraduate and graduate nursing students are excited to use the theory and discover how practical, relevant, and useful it is in their work. The Sunrise Enabler (see Figure 22–1) becomes imprinted on their minds as a way of knowing.

Generality

The transcultural nursing theory demonstrates the criterion of generality because it is a qualitatively oriented theory that is broad, comprehensive, and worldwide in scope. Transcultural nursing theory addresses nursing care from a multicultural worldview perspective. It is applicable to groups and individuals who have a goal of rendering culture-specific nursing care. The research has led to a vast amount of expert knowledge largely unknown in the past. Aspects of culture, care, and health are identified because they have an impact on nursing. More research is needed for comparative purposes from both culture-specific data and universal care knowledge. More cultural groups need to be studied and compared to validate the caring constructs. The theory is most helpful for the study of any culture and for comparative study of several cultures. Findings from the theory are being used in client care in a variety of health and community settings worldwide to transform nursing education and service. It is valued especially for developing a new and different approach to the traditional community nursing perspective.

Accessibility

The transcultural nursing theory is researchable, and qualitative research has been the primary approach to discover largely unknown phenomena of care and health in diverse cultures. This qualitative approach differs from the traditional quantitative research method, which renders measurement the goal of research. The ethnonursing research method is extremely rigorous and linguistically exacting in nature and outcomes. One hundred thirty-five care constructs have been identified, and more are being discovered each day, with a wealth of other transcultural nursing knowledge. An important attribute is the accuracy of grounded data derived with ethno methods or from an emic or people’s viewpoint is leading to high credibility and confirmability, and a wealth of empirical data. Ongoing and future research will lead to additional care and health findings and implications for ethnonursing practices and education to fit specific cultures and universal features. The qualitative criteria of credibility and confirmability from in-depth studies of informants and their contexts are becoming clearly evident. Unequivocally, the body of transcultural nursing knowledge that has been established over the past decade has had a great impact on nursing and many health care systems (Leininger, 1995c; Leininger & McFarland 2002a, 2006).
Importance

Transcultural nursing theory has important outcomes for nursing. Rendering culture-specific care is an essential goal in nursing. It places the transcultural nursing theory central to the domain of nursing knowledge acquisition and use. The theory is highly useful, applicable, and essential to nursing practice, education, and research. The concept of care as the primary focus of nursing and the base of nursing knowledge and practice is long overdue and essential for advancing nursing knowledge and practices. This theory could be the means to establish a sound and defensible discipline and profession, guiding practice to meet a multicultural world.

Summary

This chapter introduces Dr. Madeline Leininger, who has championed the nature, importance, and major features of the Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality. Leininger's ethnonursing research method and the enablers are presented to show the fit between the theory and the method. Fully understanding the theory and the method (with the enablers) leads to credible and meaningful study findings. With understanding, the research becomes meaningful, exciting, and rewarding to do, and the researcher develops confidence and competence in use of the theory and the method.

As a premier theory in nursing, culture care is greatly valued worldwide. Other disciplines have also found the theory and the method helpful. Nurses who use the theory and the method frequently communicate how valuable it is to discover culturally based ways to know and practice. Practicing nurses now have holistic, culturally based research findings for use as they care for clients of diverse and similar cultures or subcultures in different countries. Newcomers to the theory and the method may benefit from experienced expert mentors in addition to studying transcultural research conducted using the theory and the method. Most important, nurses often express that this theory and method makes sense to use in nursing. They contend that the theory is natural to nursing and leads to fresh insights about care, health, and well-being. Unquestionably, it is the theory of today and tomorrow in our growing and increasingly multicultural world. The research and theory provide a pathway to advance the profession of nursing and the body of transcultural knowledge for application in nursing practice, education, research, and clinical consultation worldwide.

CASE STUDY

An elderly Arab-American Muslim man who spoke little English was admitted to the hospital for increasing pain in his left foot while at rest. His foot was cool and pale, and he had a history of vascular surgical procedures. He had many chronic health problems, including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. He also had had a myocardial infarction and several cerebral vascular accidents. While in the hospital, he developed abdominal pain and underwent a cholecystectomy. This elderly grandfather had a large family, including a wife, nine children, and many grandchildren. His wife insisted that all family members visit him every day while he was in the hospital. The family wanted the man's face turned toward Mecca (toward the East) while they prayed with him. They brought tape-recorded passages from the Koran, which they played at his bedside. Other families who were visiting their sick relatives complained to the nurses that the Arab family was taking up the entire waiting room, and there was no place for anyone else to sit.

As a nurse, how might you use the three modes from the Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality to provide culturally congruent care for this elderly man and his family, as well as for the other clients and their families in the critical care unit?
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Select four research studies reported in the *Journal of Transcultural Nursing* that used Leininger’s Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality. Select studies that represent different cultures, different research settings, and culture that is different from your own.
   a. Review each of the studies and outline the relationship of the theory to the domains of inquiry, purpose, assumptions, definitions, methods, research design, data analysis, nursing decisions, and conclusions.
   b. Identify evidence from these studies, and confirm the Theory in relation to the domain of inquiry theory tenets and derivable consequences.

2. Imagine you are to give a 3-minute class presentation on the usefulness of the Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality in the twenty-first century and prepare an outline of your presentation. Consider the current trends of consumers of health care, cultural diversity factors, and changes in medical and nursing school curricula. Following are some examples of trends and changes you may want to consider:
   a. The importance of transcultural nursing knowledge in an increasingly diverse world
   b. Growth of lay support groups to provide information and sharing of experiences and support for clients, families, and groups experiencing chronic, terminal, or life-threatening illnesses or treatment modalities from diverse or similar (common) cultures
   c. Use of cultural values, beliefs, health practices, and research knowledge in undergraduate and graduate nursing curricula across the life span
   d. Inclusion of alternative or generic care in nursing curricula, such as medicine men (Native American healers, curers, and herbalists in the Southwest) and selected substantiated Chinese methods shown to be effective for the treatment of chronic disease
   e. Use of cultural caring research knowledge as the new and future direction of nursing in the twenty-first century
   f. The increased number of books, audiotapes, and videotapes published on health maintenance, alternative medicine, herbs, vitamins, minerals, and other over-the-counter medications and preparations, which demands a transcultural knowledge base
   g. Spiraling health care costs; forced use of health maintenance organizations; lack of health insurance; increased reliance on self-diagnosis, treatment, and care; and increased availability of diagnostic test kits for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, glucose monitoring, cholesterol screening, ovulation and pregnancy, fecal occult blood, and the like

3. Arrange an interview experience at a health center or public health department with persons of diverse cultures. Ascertain the following:
   a. Identify the cultures represented by the clientele with the use of Leininger’s theory and the Sunrise Enabler.
   b. What is the cultural mix of the staff (physicians, nurses, social workers, and clerics) of the center or health department? How does the cultural background of the staff differ from that of the clientele?
   c. Arrange a conference with the director and nursing staff, and ascertain their culture-based attitudes, values, and beliefs, and those that are reflected in the clients using the center or department. Compare and contrast the values, attitudes, and beliefs of the staff with those of the clients. What are the cultural similarities and differences?
   d. Survey the printed materials (e.g., visual aids, artifacts, and paintings) in the waiting and examination rooms and in the classrooms to identify the cultures and languages that are depicted.
   e. On the basis of data obtained from this experience, how can the Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality be used to provide culturally sensitive and congruent care to clients who use the center or department?

4. Identify the type of prerequisite knowledge, experiences, attitudes, and skills needed to effectively use the Theory of Culture Care Diversity and Universality.
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Health as Expanding Consciousness
Janet Witucki Brown and Martha Raile Alligood

“We have to embrace a new vision of health. Our caring must be linked with a concept of health that encompasses and goes beyond disease. The theory of health as expanding consciousness provides that perspective”


Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Margaret A. Newman was born on October 10, 1933, in Memphis, Tennessee. She earned a bachelor’s degree in home economics and English from Baylor University in Waco, Texas, and a second bachelor’s degree in nursing from the University of Tennessee in Memphis (M. Newman, curriculum vitae, 1996). Her master’s degree in medical-surgical nursing and teaching is from the University of California, San Francisco. She earned her PhD in nursing science and rehabilitation nursing in 1971 from New York University.

After holding academic positions at the University of Tennessee, New York University, and Pennsylvania State University, Newman was a Professor at the University of Minnesota in Minneapolis until her retirement in 1996, where she is Professor Emeritus. During her nursing education career, she was Director of Nursing for the Clinical Research Center at the University of Tennessee, Acting Director of the PhD Program in the Division of Nursing at New York University, and Professor-in-Charge of the Graduate Program and Research in Nursing at Pennsylvania State University (M. Newman, curriculum vitae, 2000).

Newman achieved numerous honors, including admission to the American Academy of Nursing in 1976; the Outstanding Alumnus Award from the University of Tennessee College of Nursing in Memphis in 1975 and 2002; the Distinguished Alumnus Award...

Newman has consulted regarding the expansion of her theory of health in more than 40 states and numerous foreign countries and has served on editorial review panels, including Nursing Research, Western Journal of Nursing Research, Nursing and Health Care, Advances in Nursing Science, Nursing Science Quarterly, and the advisory board of Advances in Nursing Science (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004).

Theoretical Sources


Bohm’s (1980) theory of implicate order supports Newman’s postulate that disease is a manifestation of the pattern of health. Newman (1994) stated that she began to comprehend “the underlying, unseen pattern that manifests itself in varying forms, including disease, and the interconnectedness and
omnipresence of all that there is” (p. xxvi). Young's (1976) theory of human evolution pinpointed the role of pattern recognition for Newman. She explained that Young's ideas provided impetus for her to integrate the basic concepts of her new theory, movement, space, time, and consciousness, into a dynamic portrayal of life and health (Newman, 1994). Moss's (1981) experience of love as the highest level of consciousness was important to Newman, providing affirmation and elaboration of her intuition regarding the nature of health (Newman, 1994). Newman incorporated Prigogine's (1976) theory of dissipative structures as an explanation for the timing of nursing presence as the patient fluctuates from one level of organization to a higher level (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004). Although Newman (1997a) acknowledges the contributions of these theories to her theory, she states that her theory “was enriched by them, but was not based on them” (p. 23).

**MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS**

**Health**

*Health* is the “pattern of the whole” of a person and includes disease as a manifestation of the pattern of the whole, based on the premise that life is an ongoing process of expanding consciousness (Newman, 1986). It is regarded as the evolving pattern of the person and environment and is viewed as an increasing ability to perceive alternatives and respond in a variety of ways (Newman, 1986). Health is “a transformative process to more inclusive consciousness” (Newman, 2008, p. 16).

Using Hegel's dialectical fusion of opposites, Newman explained conceptually how disease fuses with its opposite, nondisease or absence of disease, to create a new concept of health that is relational and is “patterned, emergent, unpredictable, unitary, intuitive, and innovative,” rather than a traditional linear view that is “causal, predictive, dichotomous, rational, and controlling” (Newman, 1994, p. 13). Health and the evolving pattern of consciousness are the same. The essence of the emerging paradigm of health is recognition of pattern. Newman (1994) sees the life process as progression toward higher levels of consciousness.

**Pattern**

*Pattern* is information that depicts the whole and understanding of the meaning of all of the relationships at once (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004). It is conceptualized as a fundamental attribute of all there is, and it gives unity in diversity (Newman, 1986). Pattern is what identifies an individual as a particular person. Examples of explicit manifestations of the pattern of a person are the genetic pattern that contains information that directs becoming, the voice pattern, and the movement pattern (Newman, 1986). Characteristics of pattern include movement, diversity, and rhythm. Pattern is conceptualized as being somehow intimately involved in energy exchange and transformation (Newman, 1994). According to Newman (1987b), "Whatever manifests itself in a person's life is the explication of the underlying implicate pattern . . . the phenomenon we call health is the manifestation of that evolving pattern" (p. 37).

In *Health as Expanding Consciousness,* Newman (1986, 1994) developed pattern as a major concept that is used to understand the individual as a whole being. Newman described a paradigm shift in the field of health care: the shift from treatment of disease symptoms to a search for patterns and the meaning of those patterns. Newman (1994) stated that the patterns of interaction of person-environment constitute health. Individual life patterns according to Newman (2008) move “through peaks and troughs, variations in order-disorder that are meaningful for the person” (p. 6). An event such as a disease occurrence is part of a larger process. By interacting with the event, no matter how destructive the force might be, its energy augments the person's energy and enhances his or her power. One must grasp the pattern of the whole to see this (Newman, 1986).

**Consciousness**

*Consciousness* is both the informational capacity of the system and the ability of the system to interact
with its environment (Newman, 1994). Newman asserts that understanding of her definition of consciousness is essential to understanding the theory. Consciousness includes not only cognitive and affective awareness, but also the “interconnectedness of the entire living system which includes physicochemical maintenance and growth processes as well as the immune system” (Newman, 1990a, p. 38).

In 1978, Newman identified three correlates of consciousness (time, movement, and space) as manifestations of the pattern of the whole. The life process is seen as a progression toward higher levels of consciousness. Newman (1979) views the expansion of consciousness as what life and health is all about, and the sense of time is an indicator in the changing level of consciousness.

Newman (1986) integrates Bentov’s (1977) definition of absolute consciousness as “a state in which contrasting concepts become reconciled and fused. Movement and rest fuse into one” (p. 67). Absolute consciousness is equated with love, where all opposites are reconciled and all experiences are accepted equally and unconditionally, such as love and hate, pain and pleasure, and disease and non-disease. Reed (1996) concurred with Newman’s theory that the phase of evolutionary development is when the person moves beyond a focus on self that is limited by time, space, and physical concerns suggesting transcendence as a process through which the person moves to a high level of consciousness.

**Movement-Space-Time**

Newman emphasizes the importance of examining movement-space-time together as dimensions of emerging patterns of consciousness rather than as separate concepts of the theory (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004).

---

### Use of Empirical Evidence

Evidence for the theory of health as expanding consciousness emanated from Newman’s early personal family experiences. Her mother’s struggle with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and her dependence on Newman, then a young college graduate, sparked her interest in nursing. From that experience, the idea that “illness reflected the life patterns of the person and that what was needed was the recognition of that pattern and acceptance of it for what it meant to that person” (Newman, 1986, p. 3).

Throughout Newman’s writings, terms such as call to nursing, growing conscience-like feeling, fear, power, meaning of life and health, belief of life after death, rituals of health, and love are used, providing a clue concerning Newman’s endeavors to make a disturbing life experience logical. Her life experience triggered beginning maturation toward theory development in nursing. Within her philosophical framework, Newman began to develop a synthesis of disease-nondisease-health as recognition of the total patterning of a person.

Research has been conducted on the theoretical sources (Newman, 1987b). In 1979, Newman wrote that in order for nursing research to have meaning in terms of theory development, it must have three components, as follows: (1) having as its purpose the testing of theory, (2) making explicit the theoretical framework upon which the testing relies, and (3) reexamining the theoretical underpinnings in light of the findings (Newman, 1979). She believed that if health is considered an individual personal process, then research should focus on studies that explore changes and similarities in personal meaning and patterns.

### Major Assumptions

The foundation for Newman’s assumptions (M. Newman, personal communication, 2000) is her definition of health, which is grounded in Rogers’ 1970 model for nursing, specifically, the focus on wholeness, pattern, and unidirectionality. From this, Newman developed the following assumptions that support her theory to this day (Newman, 2008).

1. Health encompasses conditions heretofore described as illness or, in medical terms pathology . . .
2. These “pathological” conditions can be considered a manifestation of the total pattern of the individual . . .
3. The pattern of the individual that eventually manifests itself as pathology is primary and exists prior to structural or functional changes . . .
4. Removal of the pathology in itself will not change the pattern of the individual . . .
5. If becoming “ill” is the only way an individual's pattern can manifest itself then that is health for that person . . .

From these assumptions, Newman set forth the thesis: Health is the expansion of consciousness (Newman, personal communication, 2008).

Newman's implicit assumptions about human nature include being unitary, an open system, in continuous interconnectedness with the open system of the universe, and continuously engaged in an evolving pattern of the whole (M. Newman, personal communication, 2000). She views unfolding consciousness as a process that will occur regardless of what actions nurses perform. However, nurses assist clients in getting in touch with what is going on and in that way facilitate the process (Newman, 1994).

Newman designated “caring in the human health experience” (M. Newman, personal communication 2004; Newman, Sime, & Corcoran-Perry, 1991, p. 3) as the focus of nursing and specified this focus as the metaparadigm of the discipline. She asserts the interrelated concepts of nursing, person, health, and environment as inherent in this focus (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004). Coming from a unitary, transformative paradigm of the discipline, Newman does not see these concepts in isolation, and therefore she does not discuss them separately, but has elaborated on nursing and health. In the following paragraphs, implicit definitions from Newman's work are used to discuss the four components.

Nursing

Newman emphasizes the primacy of relationships as a focus of nursing, both nurse-client relationships and relationships within clients’ lives (Newman, 2008). During dialectic nurse-client relationships, clients get in touch with the meaning of their lives through identification of meanings in the process of their evolving patterns of relating (Newman, 2008). “The emphasis of this process is on knowing/caring through pattern recognition” (Newman, 2008, p. 10). Insight into these patterns provides clients with illumination of action possibilities, which then opens the way for transformation (Newman, 1990a).

Nurses facilitate pattern recognition in clients by forming relationships with them at critical points in their lives and connecting with them in an authentic way. The nurse-client relationship is characterized by “a rhythmic coming together and moving apart as clients encounter disruption of their organized, predictable state” (Newman, 1999, p. 228). She states that the nurse will continue to connect with clients as they move through periods of disorganization and unpredictability to arrive at a higher, organized state (Newman, 1999). The nurse comes together with clients at these critical choice points in their lives and participates with them in the process of expanding consciousness. The relationship is one of rhythmicity and timing, with the nurse letting go of the need to direct the relationship or fix things. As the nurse relinquishes the need to manipulate or control, there is greater ability to enter into this fluctuating, rhythmic partnership with the client (Newman, 1999).

Newman has diagrammed this nurse-client interaction of coming together and moving apart through the processes of recognition, insight, and transformation (Figure 23–1) Nurses are seen as partners in the process of expanding consciousness, and are transformed and have their lives enhanced in the dialogical process (Newman, 2008). As facilitator, the nurse helps an individual, family, or community to focus on patterns of relating (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004). Thus the nursing process is one of pattern recognition.

Newman's early suggestion (Newman, 1995b) was that the NANDA health assessment framework, which was based on unitary person-environment patterns of interaction, be used to facilitate clients' pattern recognition (Roy, Rogers, Fitzpatrick, et al., 1982). At the time, the patterns were intended to guide nurses to make holistic observations of “person-environment behaviors that together depict a very specific pattern of the whole for each person” (Newman, 1995b, p. 261). Newman (2008) since has emphasized concentrating on what is most meaningful to clients in their own stories and patterns of relating.

Within the theory, the role of the nurse in nurse-client interactions is seen as a “caring, pattern-recognizing presence” (Newman, 2008, p. 16). The nurse perceives patterns in client’s stories or sequences of events that change with new information. According to Newman (2008), it is important for nurses to view clients’ stories comprehensively.
Through active listening, nurses enter the whole through the parts and intuit the whole from the pattern. Differences are viewed as part of a unified whole. The nurse facilitates client insight through sharing the process of pattern recognition, opening action possibilities (Newman, 1987b).

**Person**

Throughout Newman's work, the terms *client, patient, person, individual,* and *human being* are used interchangeably. Clients are viewed as participants in the transformative process.

Persons as individuals are identified by their individual patterns of consciousness (Newman, 1986) and defined as “centers of consciousness within an overall pattern of expanding consciousness” (Newman, 1986, p. 31). The definition of *persons* includes family and community (Newman, 1994).

**Environment**

Although environment is not explicitly defined, it is described as being the larger whole, which contains the consciousness of the individual. The pattern of person consciousness interacts within the pattern of family consciousness and within the pattern of community interactions (Newman, 1986). A major assumption is that “consciousness is coextensive in the universe and resides in all matter” (Newman, 1986, p. 33). Client and environment are viewed as a unitary evolving pattern (Newman, 2008).

Newman identifies interaction between person and environment as a key process that creates unique configurations for each individual. Patterns of person-environment evolve to higher levels of consciousness. The assumption is that all matter in the universe-environment possesses consciousness, but at different levels. Interpretation of Newman’s view clarifies that health is the interaction pattern of a person with the environment. Disease in a human energy field is a manifestation of a unique pattern of person-environment interaction.

**Health**

Health is the major concept of Newman's theory of expanding consciousness. A fusion of disease and nondisease creates a synthesis regarded as health (Newman, 1979, 1991, 1992). Disease and non-disease each reflect the larger whole; therefore, a new concept
of health, “pattern of the whole,” is formed (Newman, 1986, p. 12). Newman (1999) further elaborated her view of health by stating that “health is the pattern of the whole, and wholeness is” (p. 228). This wholeness cannot be gained or lost. Becoming ill does not diminish wholeness within this perspective, but wholeness takes on a different form. Newman (2008) states that pattern recognition is the essence of emerging health. “Manifest health, encompassing disease and non-disease, can be regarded as the explication of the underlying pattern of person-environment” (Newman, 1994, p. 11). Therefore, health and evolving pattern of consciousness are the same; specifically, health is viewed “as a transformative process to more inclusive consciousness” (Newman, 2008, p. 16).

Theoretical Assertions

Early Designation of Concepts and Propositions

Early writings focused heavily on the concepts of movement, space, time, and consciousness. In Theory Development in Nursing, Newman (1979) delineated the relationships between movement, space, time, and consciousness. One proposition was that there was a complimentary relationship between time and space (Newman, 1979, 1983). Examples of this relationship were given at the macrocosmic, microcosmic, and humanistic (everyday) levels. At the humanistic level, highly mobile individuals live in a world of expanded space and compartmentalized time. There is an inverse relationship between space and time in that when a person’s life space is decreased, such as by physical or social immobility, then that person’s time is increased (Newman, 1979).

Movement is a “means whereby space and time become a reality” (Newman, 1983, p. 165). Humankind is in a constant state of motion and is constantly changing internally (at the cellular level) and externally (through body movement and interaction with the environment). This movement through time and space is what gives humankind a unique perception of reality. Movement brings change and enables the individual to experience the world (Newman, 1979).

Movement was also referred to as a “reflection of consciousness” (Newman, 1983, p. 165). It is the means of experiencing reality and also the means by which an individual expresses thoughts and feelings about the reality of experiences. An individual conveys awareness of self through the movement involved in language, posture, and body movement (Newman, 1979). An indication of the internal organization of a person and of that person’s perception of the world can be found in the rhythm and pattern of the person’s movement. Movement patterns provide additional communication beyond that which language can convey (Newman, 1979).

The concept of time is seen as a function of movement (Newman, 1979). This assertion was supported by Newman’s (1972) studies of the experience of time as related to movement and gait tempo. Newman’s research demonstrated that the slower an individual walks, the less subjective time is experienced. However, when compared with clock time, time seems to “fly.” Although individuals who are moving quickly subjectively feel that they are “beating the clock,” they report that time seems to be dragging when checking a clock (Newman, 1972, 1979).

Time is also conceptualized as a measure of consciousness (Newman, 1979). Bentov (1977) measured consciousness with a ratio of subjective to objective time and proposed this assertion. Newman applied this measure of consciousness to subjective and objective data from her research. She found that the consciousness index increased with age. Some of her research has also supported the finding of “increasing consciousness with age” (Newman, 1982, p. 293). Newman cited this evidence as support for her position that the life process evolves toward consciousness expansion. However, she asserted that certain moods, such as depression, might be accompanied by a diminished sense of time (Newman & Gaudiano, 1984).

Synthesis of Patterns of Movement, Space-Time, and Consciousness

As the theory evolved, Newman developed a synthesis of the pattern of movement, space, time, and consciousness (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004, 2008). Time was not merely conceptualized as subjective or objective, but was also viewed in a holographic sense (M. Newman, personal communication, 2000). According to Newman (1994), “Each moment has an explicate order and also enfolds all others, meaning that each moment of our lives contains all
others of all time” (p. 62). Newman (1986) illustrated the centrality of space-time in the following example:

Mrs. V. made repeated attempts to move away from her husband and to move into an educational program to become more independent. She felt she had no space for herself, and she tried to distance herself (space) from her husband. She felt she had no time for leisure (self), was overworked, and was constantly meeting other people’s needs. She was submissive to the demands and criticism of her husband (p. 56).

Space, time, and movement later became linked with Newman’s (1986) assertion that the intersection of movement-space-time represented the person as a center of consciousness. Further, this varied from person to person, place to place, and time to time. Newman (1986) also emphasized that the crucial task of nursing is to be able to see the concepts of movement-space-time in relation to each other, and consider them all at once, recognizing patterns of evolving consciousness.

In Health as Expanding Consciousness (Newman, 1986, 1994), Newman’s theory encompassed the work of Young’s spectrum of consciousness (Young, 1976). She saw Young’s central theme as one in which self and universe were of the same nature. This essential nature could not be defined but was characterized by complete freedom and unrestricted choice at both the beginning and the end of life’s trajectory (Newman, 1986).

Newman established a corollary between her model of health as expanding consciousness and Young’s conception of the evolution of human beings (Figure 23–2). She explained that individuals came into being from a state of consciousness, and that they were bound in time, found their identity in space, and, through movement, learned the “law” of the way that things worked; they then made choices that ultimately took them beyond space and time to a state of absolute consciousness (Newman, 1994).

Newman (1994) also stated that restrictions in movement-space-time have the effect of forcing an awareness that extends beyond the physical self.

![Figure 23-2](image-url)
When natural movement is altered, space and time are also altered. When movement is restricted (physical or social), it is necessary for an individual to move beyond self, thereby making movement an important choice point in the process of evolving human consciousness (Newman, 1994). She assumed that the awareness corresponded to the “inward, self-generated reformation that Young [spoke] of as the turning point of the process” (Newman, 1994, p. 46). When a person progresses to the state of timelessness, there is increasing freedom from time. Finally, the last stage is absolute consciousness, which Newman asserted is equated with love (Newman, 1994).

**Emphasis on the Experiential Process of Nurse-Client**

With the realization that the early research testing of propositional statements stemmed from a mechanistic view of movement-space-time consciousness and failed to honor the basic assumptions of her theory, Newman shifted focus to authentic involvement of the nurse researcher as a participant with the client in the unfolding pattern of expanding consciousness (Newman, 2008). The unitary, transformative paradigm demanded that the research honor and reveal the mutuality of interaction between nurse and client, the uniqueness and wholeness of pattern in each client situation, and movement of the life process toward higher consciousness. Newman (2008) states, “The nature of nursing practice is the caring, pattern-recognizing relationship between nurse and client—a relationship that is a transforming presence” (p. 52).

The protocol for this research was first started in 1994, and variations of this guide continue to be implemented in current praxis research. Litchfield (1999) explicated this process as “practice wisdom” in her work with families of hospitalized children, and Endo (1998) analyzed the phases of the process in her work with women with ovarian cancer. The data of this praxis research reveal evidence of expanding consciousness in the quality and connectedness of the client’s relationships and support the importance of the nurse’s creative presence in participants’ insight (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004, 2008). Variations of the praxis research have been utilized in numerous populations and settings (Newman, 2008; Picard & Jones, 2007).

**Logical Form**

Newman used both inductive and deductive logic in early theory development. Inductive logic is based on observing particular instances and then relating those instances to form a whole. Newman’s theory development derived from her earlier research on time perception and gait tempo. Time and movement, with space and consciousness, were subsequently used as central components in her early conceptual framework. These concepts helped explain “the phenomena of the life process and therefore of health” (Newman, 1979, p. 59). Newman (1997a) describes the evolution of the theory as it moved from linear explication and testing of concepts of time, space, and movement to an elaboration of interacting patterns as manifestations of expanding consciousness. Evolution of the theory of health as expanding consciousness as a process of evolving in conjunction with research progressed through several stages (Newman, 1997a, 1997b). These stages included testing the relationships of the concepts of movement, space, and time; identifying sequential person-environmental patterns; and recognizing the centrality of nurse-client relationships or dialogue in the clients’ evolving insight and accompanying potential for action. The process actually became cyclical as the original concepts of movement-space-time emerged as dimensions in the unitary evolving process of consciousness (Newman, 1997a).

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

Newman believes that research within the theory of health as expanding conscious is praxis, which she defines as a “mutual process between nurse and client with the intent to help” (Newman, 2008, p. 21). Further, this process focuses “on transformation from one point to another and incorporates the guidance of an a priori theory” (Newman, 2008, p. 21). Research and practice with the theory are interwoven.

In Newman’s view, the responsibility of professional nurses is to establish a primary relationship with the client for the purpose of identifying meaningful patterns and facilitating the client’s action potential and decision-making ability (Newman, 2008).
Communication and collaboration with other nurses, associates, and health care professionals are essential (Newman, 1989). Nurses as primary care providers who are focused completely on relationships with clients can relate well to her view of the role of professional nursing, which Newman (Newman, Lamb, & Michaels, 1991) refers to as nursing clinician-case manager, which is the sine qua non of the integrative model.

Relating her theory of health as expanding consciousness and acknowledging the contemporary and radical shift in philosophy of nursing that views health as a unitary human field dynamic embedded in a larger unitary field, Newman (1979) believes that “the goal of nursing is not to make people well, or to prevent their getting sick, but to assist people to utilize the power that is within them as they evolve toward higher levels of consciousness” (p. 67). The task of nursing is not to try to change the pattern of a person, but to recognize it as information that depicts the whole and relate to it as it unfolds (Newman, 1994).

From the Newman perspective, nursing is the study of “caring in the human health experience” (Newman, Lamb, & Michaels, 1991, p. 3). The role of the nurse in this experience is to help clients recognize their patterns, which results in the illumination of action possibilities that open the way for transformation.


Litchfield (1999) described the patterning of nurse-client relationships in families with frequent illness and hospitalization of toddlers, and its use in family health. Magan, Gibbon, and Mrozek (1990) reported on implementation of the theory, as one of several theories, in the care of the mentally ill. Weingourt (1998) reported on the use of Newman’s theory of health with elderly nursing home residents, and Capasso (2005) reported increased emotional and physical client healing as a result of use of the theory in nurse-client interactions.

Additional research includes studies that involved recognizing health patterns in persons with multiple sclerosis (Gulick & Bugg, 1992; Neill, 2005) and spousal caregivers of partners with dementia (Brown & Alligood, 2004; Brown, Chen, Mitchell, et al., 2007; Schmitt, 1991), as well as patterns in adolescent males incarcerated for murder (Pharris, 2002) and life experiences of Black Caribbean women (Peters-Lewis, 2006). Additional studies have included life patterns of women who successfully lose weight and maintain weight loss (Berry, 2002); victimizing sexuality and healing patterns (Smith, 1997); meaning of the death of an adult child to an elder (Weed, 2004); experience of family members living through the sudden death of a child (Picard, 2002); nurse facilitation of health as expanding consciousness in families of children with special health care needs (Falkenstern, 2003); and health as expanding consciousness to conceptualize adaptation in burn patients (Casper, 1999).

Newman’s research as praxis has also been used to describe the lived experience of life passing in middle-adolescent females (Shanahan, 1993); patterns of expanding consciousness in women in midlife (Picard, 2000) and women transitioning through menopause (Musker, 2005); pattern recognition of high-risk pregnant women (Schroeder, 1993) and low-risk pregnant women (Batty, 1999); and patterns in families of medically fragile children (Tommet, 2003). It was the framework for analysis of patterns for evidence of empowerment in community health care workers by Walls (1999).
Quinn (1992) reconceptualized therapeutic touch as shared consciousness. Lamb and Stempel (1994) described the role of the nurse as an insider-expert. Newman, Lamb, and Michaels (1991) described the role of the nurse case manager at St. Mary’s as emanating from a philosophical and theoretical base agreeing with the unitary-transformative paradigm and exemplifying an integrated stage of professional nursing. Further, the theory of health as expanding consciousness has been proposed as beneficial for the school nurse working with adolescents with insulin-dependent diabetes (Schlotzhauer & Farnham, 1997).


Education

Newman (1986) stated that ideally, a new role is needed for the nurse in the paradigm of the evolving consciousness of the whole. “Nurses need to be free to relate to patients in an ongoing partnership that is not limited to a particular place or time” (Newman, 1986, p. 89). She suggested that nursing education revolve around pattern as a concept, substance, process, and method. Education by this method would enable nursing to be an important resource for the continued development of health care. Newman (1986) stated that nursing is at the intersection of the focus of the health care industry; therefore, “nursing is in position to bring about the fluctuation within the system that will shift the system to a new higher order of functioning” (p. 90). Newman (2008) proposes that, “attention to the nature of transformative learning will help to establish the priorities of the discipline” (p. 73). As students and teachers directly engage in intuitive awareness, they resonate with each other in a transforming way (Endo, Takaki, Abe, et al., 2007). However, as the paradigm shift has taken place in nurses’ views of their relationships with clients, examples of application of the theory in traditional roles are evident (Newman, personal communication, 2008).

Examining the pragmatic adequacy of Newman’s theory in relation to nursing education reveals that teaching the research method associated with the theory also teaches students a practice method that is congruent with the theory, and it is a means for students to experience transformation through pattern recognition (Newman, 2008). Newman sees theory, practice, and research as a process rather than as separate domains of the nursing discipline. Teaching the theory of health as expanding consciousness necessitates a shift in thinking from a dichotomous view of health to a synthesized view that accepts disease as a manifestation of health. Not only that, learning to let go of the professional’s control and respecting the client’s choices are integral parts of practice within this framework. Students and practicing nurses who plan to use Newman’s theory face personal transformation in learning to recognize patterns through nurse-client interactions. An individual’s personal experience will be the core not just of teaching and practice, but of research as well. Newman (1994) explained that the nurse needs to sense his or her own pattern of relating as an indication of the nurse-client interacting pattern. She emphasized that there needs to be a sense of the process of the relationship with clients from within, giving attention to the “we” in the nurse-client relationship (Newman, 1997b).

Newman’s theory has been used in nursing education to provide some content into a model called the healing web. This model was designed to integrate nursing education and nursing service together with private and public education programs for baccalaureate and associate nursing degree programs in South
Dakota (Bunkers, Bendtro, Holmes, et al., 1992). Jacono and Jacono (1996) suggested that student creativity could be enhanced if nursing faculty applied the theory recognizing that all experience has the potential for expanding the creativity (consciousness) of individuals. Picard and Mariolis (2002, 2005) described the application of the health as expanding consciousness theory to teaching psychiatric nursing. Endo and colleagues (2007) describe faculty becoming involved with students in a project of pattern recognition that resulted in transformation of student relationships. Clarke and Jones (2011) discuss expanding consciousness theory in nursing education and practice.

**Research**

At first, Newman’s theory of health was useful in the practice of nursing because it contained the concepts of movement and time that are used by the nursing profession and intrinsic to nursing interventions such as range of motion and ambulation (Newman, 1987a). Early research with the theory manipulated concepts of space, time, and movement. Besides Newman, several researchers conducted research about time, space, or movement. Newman and Gaudiano (1984) focused on the occurrence of depression in older adults and decreased subjective time. Mentzer and Schorr (1986) used Newman’s model of duration of time as an index to consciousness in a study of institutionalized older adults. Engle (1986) addressed the relationship between movement, time, and assessment of health. Schorr and Schroeder (1989) studied differences in consciousness with regard to time and movement, and in another study found that relationships among type A behavior, temporal orientation, and death anxiety as manifestations of consciousness had mixed results (Schorr & Schroeder, 1991). During the 1980s, Marchione, using health as expanding consciousness, investigated and reported the meaning of disabling events in families, presenting a case study in which an additional person became part of the nuclear family. The addition was a disruptive event for the family and created disturbances in time, space, movement, and consciousness, suggesting that Newman’s work with patterns could be used to understand family interactions (Marchione, 1986). Marchione (1986) and Pharris (2005) both advocate application of the theory to practice with communities.

With evolution of the theory, the praxis research incorporated practice and assisted clients in pattern recognition (Newman, 1990a). Schorr, Farnham, and Ervin (1991) investigated the health patterns in 60 aging women, using the theory as a framework. A study of music and pattern change in chronic pain by Schorr (1993) also supported Newman’s theory of health as expanding consciousness. Fryback’s (1991) dissertation revealed that persons with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection did, in fact, describe health within physical, health promotion, and spiritual domains consistent with Newman’s theory.

Newman observed that her research not only assisted clients who participated, but she and fellow researchers also gained a better understanding of self as a nurse researcher and insight of the limitations of methods in earlier studies. Newman (1994) stated that research should center on investigations that are participatory in which client-subjects are partners and co-researchers in the search for health patterns. This method of inquiry is called cooperative inquiry or interactive, integrative participation. Newman (1989, 1990a) developed a method to describe patterns as unfolding and evolving over time. She used the method of interviewing a subject regarding different time frames to establish a pattern for that subject (Newman, 1987b). Newman (1990a) stated that during the development of a methodology to test the theory of health, “sharing our (researcher’s) perception of the person’s pattern with the person was meaningful to the participants and stimulated new insights regarding their lives” (p. 37). In 1994, she described a protocol for the research and labeled it hermeneutic dialectic. This method allows the pattern of person-environment to reveal itself without disturbing the unity of the pattern (M. Newman, personal communication, 2000). From the inception of Newman’s theory in the 1970s until the present, numerous nurse practitioners and scientists have used the theory to incorporate the concepts into their nursing practice or to elaborate the theory through research. Newman advocates convergence of nursing theories as the basis of the discipline (Newman, 2003). She sees health as expanding consciousness as emerging from a Rogerian perspective, incorporating theories of caring, and projecting a transformative
process (Newman, 2005). Future researchers will be greatly assisted by Smith's (2011) comprehensive review of the theory of health as expanding consciousness research literature.

**Further Development**

Previously discussed research studies have supported the theory of health as expanding consciousness, illuminating the importance of pattern recognition in the process of expanding consciousness. The theory has been used extensively in exploring and understanding the experience of health within illness, supporting a basic premise of the theory, that disruptive situations provide a catalytic effect and facilitate movement to higher levels of consciousness.

**Critique**

**Clarity**

Semantic clarity is evident in the definitions, descriptions, and dimensions of the concepts of the theory.

**Simplicity**

The deeper meaning of the theory of health as expanding consciousness is complex. The theory as a whole must be understood rather than isolating the concepts. As Newman advocated in the 1994 edition of her book, *Health As Expanding Consciousness*, the holistic approach of the hermeneutic dialectic method is consistent with the theory and requires a high level of understanding of the theory on the part of the researcher to extend the theory in praxis research (M. Newman, personal communication, 1996).

**Generality**

The concepts in Newman's theory are broad in scope because they all relate to health. The theory has been applied in many cultures and is applicable across the spectrum of nursing care situations (M. Newman, personal communication, 2004). Application of the theory is universal in nature. The broad scope provides a focus for middle-range theory development.

**Accessibility**

In the early stages of development, aspects of the theory were tested with the traditional scientific mode. However, quantitative methods are inadequate to capture the dynamic, changing nature of this theory. A hermeneutic dialectic approach was developed and has been used extensively for full explication of its meaning and application.

**Importance**

The focus of Newman's theory of health as expanding consciousness provides an evolving guide for all health-related disciplines. In the quest for understanding the phenomenon of health, this unique view of health challenges nurses to make a difference in nursing practice by the application of this theory. The volume and breadth of literature cited in this chapter is evidence that Newman's theory has stood the test of time with global importance.

**Summary**

Although Newman started with a rational, empirical approach that was both inductive and deductive, she found it restrictive and “not consistent with the paradigm from which the theory was drawn” (1997a, p. 23). Little by little, she relinquished some of the experimental control, and her work evolved to a more interactive, integrative approach that continued to be objective and controlled. When that still did not work, she shifted from the scientific paradigm with its objectivity and control and allowed the principles of her theoretical paradigm to guide her research. Then she began to see the core of pattern and process as nursing practice. She saw the evolving pattern as meaning in process that required an approach of mutual process, not just objective observation. Patterns showed that expanding consciousness was related to quality and connectedness of relationships. The nurse researcher's creative presence was important to the participant's insight. Newman (1986) concluded that individuals experience a theory in living it. She labeled her research as hermeneutic dialectic. The theory of health as expanding consciousness, along with the research as praxis method, has been used extensively in nursing practice with a variety of individuals, family and community situations, nursing education, and practice models and nursing research in the United States and many other countries. Newman continues to write, consult, and lecture, advancing her work.
CASE STUDY

Alice is an 81-year-old widow who has lived alone in a low-income apartment complex in a small rural, Appalachian town since her husband’s death 8 years ago. She has one surviving family member, a granddaughter, who lives 30 miles away. Alice has never learned to drive and depends on her granddaughter for all transportation to physician appointments and for shopping and getting medications. Her income is $824 monthly, and she requires several expensive prescriptions for arthritis, hypertension, and cardiac problems. She has osteoarthritis in her knees and requires a quad cane for support and safety when getting around her apartment. A visiting nurse stops by weekly to check her blood pressure and to give her an injection for her arthritis. The visiting nurse notes that Alice’s blood pressure is elevated, and Alice states that she has been unable to get her medication because her granddaughter’s car is broken. Alice mentions that she is low on food in the apartment because she can’t get out to shop.

Alice admits that she hardly knows or speaks to her neighbors despite having lived there for 8 years, and she still feels like a stranger and doesn’t want to “push myself in.” She says that she hates to bother people and “won’t hardly unless I just have to.” She says she sometimes gets lonely for “her people,” who are all deceased.

The visiting nurse, in working with Alice, recognizes the current situation as a choice point, with potential for increased interaction with others and increased consciousness. The old ways no longer work for Alice, and new ways of relating are necessary. The nurse incorporates the elements of Newman’s method to assist Alice in pattern recognition for the purpose of discovering new potentials for action. As the nurse has Alice relate her story, through dialogue and interacting with Alice, she helps Alice recognize past patterns of relating and how present circumstances have changed those patterns. Alice talks about how she and her husband lived for 56 years in a rural mountain cabin with few neighbors except for two sisters and their sole daughter. They were very self-sufficient, grew large gardens, had their own livestock, and rarely went into town. All these family members are now deceased except the granddaughter, who insisted that Alice leave the cabin and move into town after the death of her husband. It is apparent that Alice’s past patterns have been those of independence and limiting social contact to mainly family members.

The nurse shares her perceptions with Alice, who confirms and verifies the pattern identification. Alice states, “I just don’t know how long I am going to manage by myself anymore.” The nurse helps her explore sources of help, besides the granddaughter, that will help Alice remain in her apartment as independently as possible. Alice relates that there is one man, a few doors away who has stopped several times to ask if she needed anything from the grocery store, but she hasn’t asked him because she hates to bother him and doesn’t want “to be beholden.” After further discussion, she decides that she will ask him to pick up staples and medications for her and will pay him back by baking him some bread, saying, “I just love to bake anyway and haven’t had anyone much to bake for.”

In subsequent weekly visits, Alice and the nurse explore the possibility of getting medications at a reduced price through the local nurse-managed clinic. Alice states that she might try getting to know some of her neighbors. The nurse helps Alice make arrangements to be picked up by the Senior Van for physician appointments. As Alice begins to build her own support system, she finds that she relies on the nurse less for help with maintaining her independence, and they resume their previous pattern of the nurse checking her blood pressure and giving her injections weekly. However, Alice and the nurse have now developed a relationship that has transformed them both, and the nurse is often met at the door with the smell of fresh-baked bread and an invitation to “have a bite.” They both enjoy this new relationship.
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. What is the nurse scientist view of nursing with health as expanding consciousness?
2. How does this view direct knowledge development for nursing?
3. What changed Newman’s view of health, health care practice, and nursing practice?
4. Describe your own view of health, health care practice, and nursing practice, and compare your views with those of Newman.
5. Consider a patient you have cared for in the past, and describe how health as expanding consciousness (pattern of the whole) might have changed what you did with that patient.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- [http://www.healthasexpandingconsciousness.org](http://www.healthasexpandingconsciousness.org)
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Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Rosemarie Rizzo Parse is a graduate of Duquesne University in Pittsburgh and received her master’s and doctorate degrees from the University of Pittsburgh. She was a faculty member of the University of Pittsburgh, Dean of the Nursing School at Duquesne University, (1977 to 1982), Professor and Coordinator of the Center for Nursing Research at Hunter College of the City University of New York (1983 to 1993), Professor and Niehoff Chair at Loyola University Chicago (1993 to 2006), and Distinguished Professor Emeritus at Loyola University Chicago (2006 to present). In January 2007, she became a Consultant and Visiting Scholar at New York University College of Nursing, and she is currently adjunct professor. Dr. Parse is founder and current editor of Nursing Science Quarterly, and President of Discovery International. She founded the Institute of Humanbecoming, where she teaches the ontological, epistemological, and methodological underpinnings of the humanbecoming school of thought (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2005, 2007b, 2010, 2011b, 2012b).

Dr. Parse is a Fellow in the American Academy of Nursing, where she initiated and chaired the nursing theory–guided practice expert panel. As editor of Nursing Science Quarterly, she spearheaded a well-known, highly cited venue for nurse scholars to share and debate matters important to nursing research and theory development. For this and other

Humanbecoming
Debra A. Bournes and Gail J. Mitchell

“The assumptions and principles of humanbecoming incarnate a deep concern for the delicate sentiments of being human and show a profound recognition of human freedom and dignity” (Parse, 2007b, p. 310).
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works, Dr. Parse has received several honors. She has received two Lifetime Achievement Awards (one from the Midwest Nursing Research Society and one from the Asian American Pacific Islander Nurses’ Association), the Rosemarie Rizzo Parse Scholarship was endowed in her name at the Henderson State University School of Nursing, her books were twice named “best picks” by Sigma Theta Tau International, and the Society of Rogerian Scholars honored her with the Martha E. Rogers Golden Slinky Award. In 2008, she received the New York Times Nurse Educator of the Year Award, and in 2012 she received the Medal of Honor at the University of Lisbon in Portugal.

Throughout her career, Dr. Parse has made outstanding contributions to the discipline and profession of nursing through progressive leadership in nursing knowledge development, research, education, and practice. She has explored the ethics of human dignity, set forth humanbecoming tenets of human dignity (Parse, 2010), and developed teaching-learning (Parse, 2004), mentoring (Parse, 2008b), leading-following (Parse, 2008a, 2011a), community (Parse, 2003, 2012b), and family (Parse, 2009a) models that are used worldwide. She has published 9 books (Morrow, 2012b; Parse, 1974, 1981, 1985, 1987, 1995, 1998, 1999a, 2001b, 2003) and more than 150 articles and editorials about matters pertinent to nursing and other health-related disciplines. Dr. Parse has shared her knowledge in over 300 local, national, and international presentations and workshops in more than 35 countries on 5 continents. Her works have been translated into many languages, and she consults throughout the world with nursing education programs and health care settings that are utilizing her work to guide research, practice, leadership, education, and regulation of quality standards. She has planned and implemented many international conferences on nursing theory, the humanbecoming school of thought, qualitative research, and quality of life.

Parse has chaired over 40 doctoral dissertations, guided over 300 students with creative research conceptualizations, and mentored faculty and students on qualitative and quantitative research proposals, grant applications, and manuscripts for publications. She developed basic and applied science research methods (Parse, 2001b, 2005, 2011b); conducted multiple qualitative research studies about living experiences of health and quality of life (such as hope, laughing, joy-sorrow, feeling respected, contentment, feeling very tired, and quality of life for persons with Alzheimer’s disease); and taught theory and research courses in institutions of higher learning, for example, Loyola University Chicago, University of Cincinnati, University of Dayton, University of South Carolina, and others.

Parse is an articulate, courageous, and vibrant leader with a strong vision and deliberate determination to advance the discipline of nursing. She is well-known internationally for her humanbecoming school of thought—a nursing perspective focused on quality of life and human dignity from the perspective of patients, families, and communities. She is an inspirational mentor whose diligent loving presence, consistent and willing availability, and respectful and gentle urgings have helped many seasoned and budding nurse scholars to pursue their dreams. Those who have had the honor of working with her as students and colleagues are honored to have been mentored by this truly outstanding nurse leader (Bournes, 2007; Cody, 2012).

**Theoretical Sources**

The humanbecoming school of thought is grounded in human science proposed by Dilthey and others (Cody & Mitchell, 2002; Mitchell & Cody, 1992; Parse, 1981, 1987, 1996, 1998, 2007b, 2010, 2012b). The humanbecoming school of thought is “consistent with Martha E. Rogers’ principles and postulates about unitary human beings, and it is consistent with major tenets and concepts from existential-phenomenological thought, but it is a new product, a different conceptual system” (Parse, 1998, p. 4). She developed her theory while working at Duquesne University in Pittsburgh (during the 1960s and 1970s) when Duquesne was regarded as the center of the existential-phenomenological movement in the United States. Dialogue with scholars such as van Kaam and Giorgi stimulated her thinking on the lived experiences of human beings and their situated freedom and participation in life.

Parse synthesized the science of unitary human beings, developed by Martha E. Rogers (1970, 1992) with the fundamental tenets from existential-phenomenological thought, articulated by Heidegger, Sartre, and Merleau-Ponty and secured nursing as a human science. She contends that humans cannot be
The basic tenet, human subjectivity, means viewing human beings not as things or objects, but as indivisible, unpredictable, everchanging beings (Parse, 1998, 2007b) and as a mystery of being with nonbeing. Human beings live all-at-onceness as the becoming visible—invisible becoming of the emerging now (Parse, 2012b). Parse posits that humans’ presence with the world is personal and that humans live meaning as their becoming who they are. As people choose meanings and projects according to their value priorities, they coparticipate with the world in indivisible, unbounded ways (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Persons are inseparable from the world and craft unique relationships. A person’s becoming is complex and full of explicit—implicit meaning (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b).

Coconstitution means any moment is cocreated with the constituents of the situation (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Human beings choose meaning with the particular constituents of day-to-day life. Life happens, events unfold in expected and unexpected ways, and the human being coconstitutes personal meaning and significance. Coconstitution surfaces with opportunities and limitations for human beings as they live their presence with the world, and as they make choices about what things mean and how to proceed. The term coconstitution refers to creating different meanings from the same situations. People change and are changed through their personal interpretations of life situations. Various ways of thinking and acting unite familiar patterns with newly emerging ones as people craft their unique realities.

Coexistence means “the human is not alone in any dimension of becoming” (Parse, 1998, p. 17). Human beings are always with the world of things, ideas, language, unfolding events, and cherished traditions, and they also are always with others—not only contemporaries, but also predecessors and successors. Humans are community (Parse, 2003). Indeed, Parse posits that “without others, one would not know that one is a being” (Parse, 1998, p. 17). Persons think about themselves in relation to others and how they might be with their plans and dreams. Connected with freedom, Parse describes an abiding respect for human change and possibility.

Finally, situated freedom means that human beings emerge in the context of a time and history,
a culture and language, physicality, and potentiality. Parse suggests that human freedom means “reflectively and prereflectively one participates in choosing the situations in which one finds oneself as well as one’s attitude toward the situations” (Parse, 1998, p. 17). Humans are always choosing what is important in their lives. They decide the attention to give to situations, projects, and people. In day-to-day living, people choose and act on their value priorities, and value priorities shift as life unfolds. Sometimes acting on beliefs is as important as achieving a desired outcome. Personal integrity is intimately connected to situated freedom.

In 2007 and in 2012, Parse published important conceptual refinements for the humanbecoming school of thought. First, in 2007, she changed human becoming and human-universe to humanbecoming and humanuniverse. These changes, according to Parse (2007b), further specify her commitment to the indivisibility of cocreation. Parse’s new concepts of humanbecoming and humanuniverse demonstrate through language that there is no space for thinking that humans can be separated from becoming or the universe—these notions are irreducible.

In addition, Parse (2007b) specified four postulates that permeate all principles of humanbecoming. The four postulates are illimitability, paradox, freedom, and mystery. The four postulates further specify ideas embedded within Parse’s school of thought. Illimitability represents Parse’s thinking about the indivisible, unpredictable, everchanging nature of humanbecoming. Parse (2007b) stated, “Illimitability is the ‘unbounded knowing extended to infinity, the all-at-once remembering and prospecting with the moment’” (p. 308). Indivisible, unbounded knowing “is a privileged knowing accessible only to the individual living the life” (Parse, 2008e, p. 46). Paradox has always been affiliated with humanbecoming, and Parse’s bringing it forth as a postulate that permeates all theoretical principles emphasizes the importance of paradox with humanuniverse cocreation. She stated, “paradoxes are not opposites to be reconciled or dilemmas to be overcome but, rather, are lived rhythms . . . expressed as a pattern preference” (Parse 2007b, p. 309), “incarnating an individual’s choices in day-to-day living” (Parse, 2008e, p. 46). Humans make choices about how they will be with paradoxical experiences and continuously make choices about where to focus their attention.

For example, all humans live paradoxical rhythms of certainty-uncertainty, joy-sorrow, and others, and they move with the rhythm of their paradoxical experiences—at times focusing on certainty or joy, for instance, yet always having an awareness of living the uncertainty or sorrow inherent in situations. Likewise, freedom, although a cornerstone of Parse’s early thinking, is seen in a new light in her most recent thinking. Parse (2007b) stated that freedom is “contextually construed liberation” (p. 309). People have freedom with their situations to choose ways of being. Finally, mystery, the fourth postulate, is presented in a more specific way as something special that transcends the conceivable and as the unfathomable and unknowable that always accompanies the “indivisible, unpredictable, everchanging humanuniverse” (p. 309).

In 2012, Parse introduced new conceptualizations that further specify the meaning of the all-at-onceness of human experience from a humanbecoming perspective. Her belief system (ontology) underpinning humanbecoming “specifies that with humanuniverse the human is an august presence, a seamless symphony of becoming, living the emerging now. Becoming visible–invisible becoming of the emerging now is the living moment that brings to the fore the idea that meaning changes with each unfolding living experience incarnating the remembered with the prospected all-at-once” (Parse, 2012b, p. 44). The becoming visible–invisible becoming of the emerging now is the universe of histories and experiences and hopes and dreams that cocreate each moment, as humans live and shape their lives with their illimitable, unbounded knowing. Human living experiences surface moment to moment like waves surfacing from an ocean. What is becoming visible in human experience is what is happening in the moment that is explicitly known and described by the person living it. It is like waves that are swelling to the top of the ocean—visible for a moment, yet always shifting and changing and being cocreated with what is happening in the entirety of the ocean, invisible beneath the surface yet cocreating the waves that are becoming visible with their invisible becoming.

Based on her latest thinking, Parse (2007b, 2012b) refined the wording of the three principles of her theory as indicated in the following.
Three principles constitute the humanbecoming theory flowing from these themes—meaning, rhythmicity, and transcendence (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Each principle contains three concepts that require thoughtful exploration to understand the depth of the humanbecoming theory. The principles (Parse, 2012b) are as follows:

1. Structuring meaning is the imaging and valuing of languaging.
2. Configuring rhythmical patterns is the revealing-concealing and enabling-limiting of connecting-separating.
3. Cotranscending with possibles is the powering and originating of transforming (p. 45).

**Principle I: Structuring Meaning**

“Structuring meaning is the imaging and valuing of languaging” (Parse, 2012b, p. 45), proposing that persons structure, or choose, the meaning of their realities, and this choosing happens with explicit-tacit knowing. Sometimes questions are not answerable, since people may not know why they think or feel one way or another. This first principle posits that people create their reality illimitably with others, and they show or language their reality in the ways they speak and remain silent and in the ways they move and stay still. As people language their realities, they language their value priorities and meanings according to this principle. This principle has three concepts: (1) imaging, (2) valuing, and (3) languaging.

**Imaging**

*Paradoxes: Explicit-Tacit and Reflective-Prereflexive*

Imaging is the first concept of the first principle. The paradoxes of imaging are explicit-tacit and reflective-prereflexive (Parse, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Imaging is an individual's view of reality. It is the shaping of personal knowledge in explicit and tacit ways (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Some knowing is a reflective, deliberate process, while other knowing is prereflexive. For Parse, people are inherently curious and seek answers. The answers to questions emerge as persons explore meaning in light of reality and their view of things. Imaging is a personal interpretation of meaning, possibility, and consequence. Nurses cannot completely know another's imaging, but they explore, respect, and bear witness as people struggle with shaping, exploring, integrating, rejecting, and interpreting.

**Valuing**

*Paradox: Confirming–Not Confirming*

Valuing is the second concept of the first principle. The paradox of valuing is confirming–not confirming (Parse, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). This concept is about how persons confirm and do not confirm beliefs in light of a personal perspective or worldview (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Persons are continuously confirming–not confirming beliefs as they are making choices about how to think, act, and feel. These choices may be consistent with prior choices, or they may be radically different and require a shifting of value priorities. Sometimes people may think about anticipated choices, and once the choice arrives they change their thinking and direction in life. Values reflect what is important in life to a person or a family. For Parse, living one's value priorities is how an individual expresses health and humanbecoming. Nurses learn about persons' values by asking them what is most important.

**Language**

*Paradoxes: Speaking–Being Silent and Moving–Being Still*

Languaging is the third concept of the first principle. The paradoxes of languaging are speaking–being silent and moving–being still (Parse, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Languaging is a concept that is visible and relates to how humans symbolize and express their imaged realities and their value priorities. When languaging is visible to others, it is expressed in patterns that are shared with those who are close. Family members or close friends often share similar patterns, such as speaking, moving, and being quiet (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). People disclose things about themselves when they language and when they are silent and remain still. Nurses witness the languaging that people show, but cannot know the meaning of the languaging. To understand the languaging, nurses ask people what their words, actions,
and gestures mean. It is possible that persons still may not know the meaning of their languaging, and in that case the nurse respects the process of coming to understand the meaning of a situation. Explicating meaning takes time, and people know when it is right to illuminate the meaning and significance of an event or happening.

**Principle 2: Configuring Rhythmical Patterns**

The second principle of humanbecoming is “configuring rhythmical patterns is the revealing-concealing and enabling-limiting of connecting-separating” (Parse, 2012b, p. 45). This principle means that human beings create patterns in day-to-day life, and these patterns tell about personal meanings and values. In the patterns of relating that people create, many freedoms and restrictions surface with choices; all patterns involve complex engagements and disengagements with people, ideas, and preferences. The second principle has three concepts: (1) revealing-concealing, (2) enabling-limiting, and (3) connecting-separating.

**Revealing-Concealing**

**Paradox: Disclosing-Not Disclosing**

Revealing-concealing is the first concept of the second principle. The paradox of revealing-concealing is disclosing–not disclosing (Parse, 2007b, 2012b). Revealing-concealing is the way persons disclose and keep hidden the persons they are becoming with the becoming visible–invisible becoming of the emerging now (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). There is always more to tell and more to know about self as well as others. Sometimes people know what they want to say, and they deliver messages about what is becoming visible to them with great clarity; at other times, people may surprise themselves with the messages they give as what is becoming visible shifts and changes with the invisible becoming of their emerging now. Some aspects of reality and experience remain concealed. People also disclose–not disclose differently in different situations and with different people. Patterns of revealing-concealing are cocreated and intimately connected with the intentions of those persons cocreating the moment. In choosing how to be with others, nurses cocreate what happens when they are with persons.

**Enabling-Limiting**

**Paradox: Potentiating-Restricting**

Enabling-limiting is the second concept of the second principle. It is connected with the paradox potentiating-restricting (Parse, 2007b, 2012b). Enabling-limiting is related to the potentials and opportunities that surface with the restrictions and obstacles of everyday living. Every choice, even those made prereflexively, has potentials and restrictions. It is not possible to know all the consequences of any given choice; therefore, people make choices amid the reality of ambiguity. Every choice is pregnant with possibility in both opportunity and restriction. This is verified in practice daily when patients and families say things like, “This is the worst thing that could have happened to our family, but it has helped us in many ways.” Enabling-limiting is about choosing from the possibilities and living with the consequences of those choices. Nurses help others as they contemplate the options and anticipated consequences of difficult choices.

**Connecting-Separating**

**Paradox: Attending-Distancing**

Connecting-separating is the third concept of the second principle. The paradox connected with connecting-separating is attending-distancing (Parse, 2007b, 2012b). This concept relates to the ways persons create patterns of connecting and separating with people and projects. Patterns created reveal value priorities. Connecting-separating is about communion-aloneness and the ways people separate from some to join with others. Connecting-separating is also about the paradox attending-distancing and explains the way two people can be very close and yet separate. Sometimes there is connecting when people are separating because persons can dwell with an absent presence with great intimacy, especially when grieving for another (Bournes, 2000a; Cody, 1995b; Pilkington, 1993). Nurses learn about persons’ patterns of connecting-separating by asking about their important relationships and projects.

Continued
Principle 3: Cotranscending with Possibles

The third principle of humanbecoming is “cotranscending with possibles is the powering and originating of transforming” (Parse, 2012b, p. 45). The meaning of this principle is that persons continuously change and unfold in life as they engage with and choose from infinite possibilities about how to be, what attitude or approach to have, whom to relate with, and what interests or concerns to explore. Choices reflect the person's ways of moving and changing with the becoming visible–invisible becoming of the emerging now. The three concepts of this principle are as follows: (1) powering, (2) originating, and (3) transforming.

Powering

Paradoxes: Pushing-Resisting, Affirming–Not Affirming, Being-Nonbeing

Powering, the first concept of the third principle, is connected with the paradoxes pushing-resisting, affirming–not affirming, and being-nonbeing (Parse, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Powering is a concept that conveys meaning about struggle and life and the will to go on despite hardship and threat. Parse (1981, 1998, 2012b) describes powering as pushing-resisting that is always happening and that affirms being in light of the possibility of nonbeing. People constantly engage being and nonbeing. Nonbeing is about loss and the risk of death and rejection. Powering is the force exerted, the pushing to act and live with purpose amid possibilities for affirming and holding what is cherished while simultaneously living with loss and the threat of nonbeing. There is resistance with the pushing force of powering, because persons live with others who are powering with different possibilities in the visible-invisible becoming of the emerging now. Conflict, according to Parse (1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b), presents opportunities to clarify meanings and values, and nurses enhance this process by being present with persons who are exploring issues, conflicts, and options.

Originating

Paradoxes: Certainty-Uncertainty, Conforming–Not Conforming

Originating, the second concept of the third principle, is about human uniqueness and holds the following two paradoxes: (1) conforming–not conforming and (2) certainty-uncertainty (Parse, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). People strive to be like others, and yet they also strive to be unique. Choices about originating occur with the reality of certainty-uncertainty. It is not possible to know all that may come from choosing to be different or from choosing to be like others. For some, there is danger in being too much like others; for others, the danger is in being different. Each person defines and lives originating in light of their worldview and values. Originating and creating anew is a pattern that coexists with constancy and conformity (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Humans craft their unique patterning of originating as they engage the possibilities of everyday life. Nurses witness originating with persons choosing how they are going to be with their changing health patterns.

Transforming

Paradox: Familiar-Unfamiliar

Transforming, the third concept of the third principle, is explicated with the paradox familiar-unfamiliar (Parse, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Transforming is about the continuously changing and shifting views that people have about their lives as they live what is becoming visible to them with the invisible becoming of their emerging now. People are always struggling to integrate the unfamiliar with the familiar in living everydayness. When new discoveries are made, people change their understanding and life patterns, and worldviews shift with insights that illuminate a familiar situation in a new light. Transforming is the ongoing change cocreated as new information and insights become visible in the emerging now, as people find ways to change in the direction of their cherished hopes and dreams (Parse, 1981, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Nurses, in the way they are present with others, help or hinder a person's efforts to clarify their hopes, dreams, and desired directions.
Use of Empirical Evidence

Research guided by the humanbecoming theory is meant to enhance understanding of the theoretical foundation, or the knowledge contained in the assumptions, postulates, principles, and concepts of humanbecoming (Doucet & Bournes, 2007; Parse, 1998, 2007b, 2012b). Research is not used to test Parse’s theory. Nurses assume people have unique meanings of life situations; persons have freedom; humans are indivisible, unpredictable, ever changing beings; and persons relate with others and the universe in paradoxical patterns. To test these beliefs would be comparable to testing the assumption that humans are spiritual beings or that people are composed of complex systems. These statements are abstract beliefs based on experience, observation, and beliefs about the nature of reality. The foundational or ontological statements are value laden, and, as noted earlier, a nurse either has an attraction and commitment to these foundational beliefs or not. The idea of a human being who is indivisible, unpredictable, everchanging and free to choose meaning is an assumption that is either believable or not. Assumptions about human beings are theoretical, not factual. A student or a nurse relates to one notion of human being or another. According to Parse (1991, 1999b, 2008c, 2008d, 2009b) this is why there is a need for multiple views; the discipline of nursing can and does accommodate different views and different theories about the phenomenon of concern to nursing—human-universe-health. In agreement with Hall, Parse (1993) stated the following when discussing the issue of testing the humanbecoming theory:

The human becoming theory does not lend itself to testing, since it is not a predictive theory and is not based on a cause-effect view of the human-universe process. The purpose of the research is not to verify the theory or test it but, rather, the focus is on uncovering the essences of lived phenomena to gain further understanding of universal human experiences. This understanding evolves from connecting the descriptions given by people to the theory, thus making more explicit the essences of being human. (p. 12)

Therefore, research with Parse’s theory expands understanding about human living experiences and builds new knowledge about humanbecoming (Doucet & Bournes, 2007; Parse, 2012b). Knowledge of humanbecoming contributes to the substantive knowledge of the nursing discipline. Disciplinary knowledge is different from the practical or technical knowledge that nurses use in health care settings. Disciplinary knowledge is theoretical that identifies the phenomenon of concern for nurses—for Parse (1998, 2007b, 2012b) is humanbecoming. According to Parse (1998), “scholarly research is formal inquiry leading to the discovery of new knowledge with the enhancement of theory” (p. 59). The idea of new knowledge with enhancement of theory requires attention to clarify distinctions among different ways of thinking.

Research guided by humanbecoming explores universal living experiences with people as they live them with the becoming visible–invisible becoming of their day-to-day lives. Parse contends there are universal human experiences, such as hope, joy, sorrow, grief, fear, and confidence. Research participants’ accounts of their living experiences in humanbecoming-guided research are descriptions of their “remembering-prospecting of the phenomenon [being studied] as it is appearing with the emerging now. It is living the experience being described” (Parse, 2012b, p. 49) in light of what is becoming visible to them about the experience in the moment. This means that research guided by humanbecoming explores universal experiences as people live them. People live in the moment, and what is remembered and what is hoped for are always viewed within the context of what is becoming visible in the emerging now. Universal experiences are not reduced to linear time frames because living experiences are cocreated with “indivisible, unbounded knowing” (Parse, 2007b, p. 308). A nurse researcher conducting a Parse method study invites persons to speak about a particular universal experience. For instance, a participant might talk about his or her experience of grieving (Cody, 1995a, 2000; Pilkington, 1993). The researcher guided by humanbecoming knows that the person’s reality encompasses what is remembered and what is imagined or hoped for as it is appearing in the moment (Parse, 2007b). The researcher assumes that the person knows his or her experience and can offer an account of the experience as he or she lives and knows it. What is shared about the experience under study is what
Parse (2008e) calls “truth for the moment” (p. 46). Truth for the moment is the person’s description of his or her reality, an expression of “personal wisdom” (Parse, 2008e, p. 46) about the phenomenon under study in light of what is happening and known in that instant. Truth, from this perspective, is “unfolding evidence, testimony to everchanging knowing, as new insights shift meaning and truth for the moment” (Parse, 2008e, p. 46). Thus, research evidence is “truth for the moment” (p. 46).

In 1987, Parse first developed a specific research method consistent with the humanbecoming theory; since then, her humanbecoming hermeneutic method has been articulated (Cody, 1995c; Parse, 1998, 2001b, 2005, 2007a, 2011b). A third applied science method (qualitative descriptive preproject-process-postproject) has also been articulated (Parse, 1998, 2001b, 2005, 2011b). For information about these methods, see The Human Becoming School of Thought: A Perspective for Nurses and Other Health Professionals (Parse, 1998) and Qualitative Inquiry: The Path of Sciencing (Parse, 2001b). Additional detail and updates about the humanbecoming modes of inquiry are found in Parse’s and others articles (Doucet & Bournes, 2007; Parse, 2005, 2011b). The Parse research method records accounts of personal experiences and systematically examines these accounts to identify the aspects of living experiences shared across participants. Core concepts, or ideas shared across all participants, form a structure of the phenomenon under study. The structure defined by Parse (2011b) is “a description of the emerging now…The emerging now incarnates remembering-prospecting about the [living] experience” (p. 13). New knowledge is embedded in the core concepts and, once discovered, enhances theory and understanding in ways beyond the particular study. The weaving of new knowledge with the theoretical concepts expands understanding of the content of the humanbecoming theory, and new knowledge develops disciplinary and interdisciplinary thinking and dialogue.

A metaphor of panning for gold describes the Parse method. The researcher gathers descriptions from participants like a person panning for gold gathers up the earth. The extraction-synthesis processes of the Parse method is likened to the gathering, sifting, swirling, seeking, and separating, as when panning. Researchers following the Parse method work to separate particular context from core ideas. The gathering and discovering happen over and over as context and earth are separated from the core ideas or nuggets that eventually stand out from the surrounding context or earth. Panning for gold is backbreaking work, and Parse’s research method is also arduous. Both processes include excitement and anticipation of what is to be discovered. The extraction-synthesis processes of the Parse method separates out core ideas that are present in all participants’ descriptions of the living experience under study. Core ideas, like gold nuggets, are isolated but not yet refined to a form that makes them meaningful in the world at large. Gold nuggets are refined into coins or jewelry. Core ideas are refined to the language of humanbecoming and nursing science, so other nurses see not only the gold nuggets but also the meaningfulness of the newly refined ideas in light of a language of nursing science. Because all research is theory driven, research findings are interpreted in light of the guiding frame of reference to advance disciplinary knowledge.

### Major Assumptions

Parse (1998) synthesized “principles, tenets, and concepts from Rogers, Heidegger, Merleau-Ponty, and Sartre . . . in the creation of the assumptions about the human and becoming, underpinning a view of nursing grounded in the human sciences. Each assumption is unique and represents a synthesis of three of the postulates and concepts drawn from Rogers’ work and from existential phenomenology” (p. 19). Parse draws upon the work of other theorists to build a solid foundation for a new nursing science. Accordingly, the assumptions underpinning humanbecoming focus on beliefs about humans and about their becoming visible–invisible becoming, which is health (Parse, 2012a). Parse does not specify separate assumptions about the universe because the universe is illimitable and cocreated with humans—rather than separate from humans as evident in Parse’s (2012b) newly updated assumptions about humans and becoming:

Parse (1998; 2012b) synthesized the original nine assumptions about humans and becoming into four assumptions about humanbecoming as follows:

1. **Humanbecoming is structuring meaning, freely choosing with situation.**
2. **Humanbecoming is configuring rhythmical humanuniverse patterns.**
3. Humanbecoming is cotranscending illimitably with emerging possibles.
4. Humanbecoming is humanuniverse cocreating a seamless symphony.

(Parse, 2012b, p. 45)

Three themes arise from the assumptions of the humanbecoming school of thought. These include (1) meaning, (2) rhythmicity, and (3) transcendence (Parse, 1998). The postulates illimitability, paradox, freedom, and mystery (Parse, 2007b) permeate the three themes. Meaning is borne in the messages that persons give and take with others in speaking, moving, silence, and stillness (Parse, 1998, 2012b). Meaning indicates the significance of something and is chosen by people. Outsiders cannot decide the meaning or significance of something for another person. Nurses cannot know what it will mean for a family to hear news of an unexpected illness or change in health until they learn the meaning it holds from the family’s perspective. Sometimes the significance of something is not known until meaning is explored and possibilities examined. Personal meanings are shared with others when people express their views, concerns, hopes, and dreams. According to Parse (1998) meaning is connected with moments of day-to-day living, as well as with the meaning or purpose of life.

Rhythmicity is about patterns and possibility. Parse (1981, 1998) suggests that people live unrepeattable patterns of relating with others, ideas, objects, and situations. Their patterns of relating incarnate their priorities, and these patterns are changing constantly as they integrate new experiences and ideas with what is becoming visible-invisible in the emerging now. For Parse, people are recognized by their unique patterns. People change their patterns when they integrate new priorities, ideas, and dreams, and show consistent patterns that continue like threads of familiarity and sameness throughout life.

Transcendence is the third major theme of the humanbecoming school of thought. Transcendence is about change and possibility, the infinite possibility that is humanbecoming. “The possibilities arise with . . . [humanuniverse] . . . as options from which to choose personal ways of becoming” (Parse, 1998, p. 30). To believe one thing or another, to go in one direction or another, to be persistent or let go, to struggle or acquiesce, to be certain or uncertain, to hope or despair—all these options surface in day-to-day living. Considering and choosing from these options is cotranscending with the possibles.

Nursing

Consistent with her beliefs, Parse writes about nursing as a basic science. Parse (2000) wrote, “It is the hope of many nurses that nursing as a discipline will enjoy the recognition of having a unique knowledge base and the profession will be sufficiently distinct from medicine that people will actually seek nurses for nursing care, not medical diagnoses” (p. 3). For over 30 years, Parse has been advanced the belief that nursing is a basic science, and that nurses require theories that are different from other disciplines. Parse believes that nursing is a unique service to humankind. This does not mean that nurses do not benefit from and employ knowledge from other disciplines and fields of study. It means that nurses primarily rely on and value the knowledge of nursing theory in their practice and research activities. Parse (1992) has articulated clearly that she believes “nursing is a science, the practice of which is a performing art” (p. 35). From this view, nursing is a learned discipline, and nursing theories guide research and practice. These beliefs reflect those of Rogers (1970).

Nursing practice for those choosing Parse’s theory is guided by a methodology that emerges directly from humanbecoming ontology. The practice dimensions and processes are illuminating meaning (explicating), synchronizing rhythms (dwelling with), and mobilizing transcendence (moving beyond). For practice methodology, refer to The Human Becoming School of Thought: A Perspective for Nurses and Other Health Professionals (Parse, 1998). For humanbecoming-guided practice, refer to Bournes & Naef, 2006; Bunkers, 2011, 2012b; Hayden, 2010; Hegge, 2012; Jasovsky, Morrow, Clementi, & Hindle, 2010; Jonas-Simpson, 2010; Oaks & Drummond, 2009; Peterson-Lund, 2011; Smith, 2010; and Tanaka, Katsumo, & Takahashi, 2012. Parse (1993) describes nursing practice as living the art of humanbecoming in the following way:

The nurse is in true presence with the individual (or family) as the individual (or family) uncovers the personal meaning of the situation and makes choices to move forward in the now moment with
cherished hopes and dreams. The focus is on the meaning of the living experience for the person (or family) unfolding “there with” the presence of the nurse . . . The living of the theory in practice is indeed what makes a difference to the people touched by it. (p. 12)

Nursing, for Parse, is a science, and the performing art of nursing is practiced in relationships with persons (individuals, groups, and communities) in their processes of becoming. Parse (1989) sets forth the following set of fundamentals for practicing the art of nursing:

- Know and use nursing frameworks and theories.
- Be available to others.
- Value the other as a human presence.
- Respect differences in view.
- Own what you believe and be accountable for your actions.
- Move on to the new and untested.
- Connect with others.
- Take pride in self.
- Like what you do.
- Recognize the moments of joy in the struggles of living.
- Appreciate mystery and be open to new discoveries.
- Be competent in your chosen area.
- Rest and begin anew. (p. 111)

**Person, Environment, Health Viewed as Humanuniverse, Humanbecoming, and Health**

Parse (1998, 2007b, 2012b) views the concepts human, universe, and health as inseparable and irreducible. To emphasize this inseparability, she specified humanuniverse and humanbecoming as one word (Parse, 2007b). For Parse, health is humanbecoming. It is the becoming visible-invisible of the emerging now as humans live their lives structuring meaning, configuring rhythmical patterns, and cotranscending with possibles (Parse, 2012a). Parse (1990) speaks of health as a personal commitment, which means, “an individual’s way of becoming is cocreated by that individual, incarnating his or her own value priorities” (p. 136). For Parse (1990), health is a flowing process, a personal creation, and a personal responsibility. Personal health may be changed as commitment is changed, which “include[s] creative imagining, affirming self, and spontaneous glimpsing of the paradoxical” (Parse, 1990, p. 138).

Human beings come into the world through others and live their life cocreating patterns of communion-aloneness. This means that persons change and are changed in relating with others, ideas, objects, and events. People become known and understood as they cocreate patterns of relating with people, ideas, culture, history, meanings, and hopes. To understand human life and human beings, an individual must start from the premise that all people are interconnected with predecessors, contemporaries, and even people who are not yet present in the world. Parents may imagine and have a relationship with a child long before the child is conceived and long after a child is lost through death (Jonas-Simpson, 2010; Pilkington, 1993). That people have relationships with their parents and other loved ones who are no longer in this world are examples of the indivisibility, mystery, and complexity of humanuniverse and humanbecoming.

**Theoretical Assertions**

Parse’s (1981, 1998, 2012b) principles are the assertions of the humanbecoming theory. Each principle interrelates the nine concepts of humanbecoming: (1) imaging, (2) valuing, (3) languaging, (4) revealing-concealing, (5) enabling-limiting, (6) connecting-separating, (7) powering, (8) originating, and (9) transforming (Figure 24–1). Research projects generate structures that further specify relationships among theoretical concepts. For example, Naef and Bournes (2009) studied the experience of waiting for persons on a list to receive a lung transplant, and presented the following theoretical structure: “The lived experience of waiting is enabling-limiting the imaging-valuing of powering connecting-separating” (Naef & Bournes, 2009, p. 145). Theoretical structures are used to enhance understanding of phenomena as readers consider participant descriptions that connect to the concepts of humanbecoming. For more humanbecoming research, the reader is referred to an overview of studies (Doucet and Bournes, 2007 and other recent publications; Baumann, 2008, 2012b; Bournes & Milton, 2009; Bunkers, 2010b, 2012a; Condon, 2010a, 2010c; Doucet, 2012a, 2012b; Florczak, 2010, 2012; Maillard-Struby, 2012; Morrow, 2010; Parse, 2005, 2008e, 2009c, 2011b, 2012b; Peterson-Lund, 2012; Smith, 2012).
Logical Form

The inductive-deductive process was central to the creation of the humanbecoming theory. The theory originated from Parse's personal experiences with her readings and in nursing practice. She deductively-inductively crafted major components of humanbecoming from the science of unitary human beings and existential-phenomenological thought. She intuitively and methodically derived the assumptions, postulates, principles, concepts, and practice and research methodologies of the humanbecoming school of thought. Figure 24–1 illustrates how the principles, concepts, and theoretical structures connect in simplicity and complexity. Abstraction and complexity create possibility for growth, scholarship, and sustainability.

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

The range of publications about humanbecoming demonstrates the broad scope of acceptance by the nursing community (Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 2007; Bournes & Flint, 2003; Bournes & Naef, 2006; Bunkers, 2010a, 2010c, 2011, 2012b; Hayden, 2010; Hegge, 2012; Jasovsky Morrow, Clementi, et al., 2010; Mitchell, Bournes, & Hollett, 2006; Oaks & Drummond, 2009; Peterson-Lund, 2011; Smith, 2010; Tanaka, Katsuno, & Takahashi, 2012; and others). A community of nurse scholars is advancing humanbecoming in practice, research, and education. The theory has made a difference to nurses and to persons (patients) experiencing humanbecoming practice. This includes nurses who work with older adults and with children. The theory guides practice for nurses who work with families (Parse, 2009a) and with persons in hospital settings, clinics, and community settings (Parse, 2003, 2012b). A community-based health action model, for instance, has been developed and has received support from the local community and other funding agencies (Crane, Josephson, & Letcher, 1999). The theory was used as an overarching theoretical guide to develop a decisioning model for nurse regulators at a State Board of Nursing
The theory has generated controversy and scholarly dialogue about nursing as an evolving discipline and a distinct human science. It is not a question of whether or not the theory works in a particular area of practice; it has been lived by nurses in the operating theater, in parishes, in shelters, in boards of nursing, in acute care hospitals, in long-term and community settings, and in any setting where nurses have relationships with persons and families.

**Education**

The humanbecoming school of thought and the philosophical assumptions and theoretical beliefs specified by Parse (1981, 1998, 2012b) have fueled many scholarly dialogues about outcomes in practice, research, and education when different theories guide practice. In *Nursing Science Quarterly* and other journals, nurses have advanced dialogue and debate about the role of theory in nursing practice, the limitations and contributions of the medical model, the ethics of nursing diagnoses and the nurse-person relationship, paternalism and health care, the knowledge of advanced nursing practice, paradigmatic issues in nursing, the limitations of evidence-based nursing, the possibilities and politics of human science, freedom and choice, the focus of community-based nursing, the nature of truth, leadership and nursing theory, and the scope of mistakes in nursing.

Parse (2004) created a humanbecoming teaching-learning model that has been used in a variety of ways with students in academic settings (Baumann, 2012a; Bunkers, 2009; Condon, 2009, 2012a, 2012b; Condon & Hegge, 2011; Delis, 2012; Letcher & Yancey, 2004; Milton, 2012b; Ursel & Aquino-Russell, 2010) and practice settings (Bournes & Naef, 2006). Teachers in academic and practice settings have contributed new understanding and new processes of teaching-learning, and Parse's theory was used as a model for explicating pros and cons of teleapprenticeship (Norris, 2002). The humanbecoming school of thought is included in many schools of nursing.

In *Man-Living-Health: A Theory of Nursing*, Parse (1981) presented a sample master's in nursing curriculum. She outlined this process-based curriculum in detail, including course descriptions and course sequencing. The curriculum plan was updated in 1998 in *The Human Becoming School of Thought: A Perspective for Nurses and Other Health Professionals*. Parse outlined philosophy, goals, conceptual framework, themes, program indicators, culture content, and evaluation in a sample curriculum plan consistent with humanbecoming.

A master's curriculum consistent with humanbecoming was developed at Olivet Nazarene University in Kankakee, Illinois (Milton, 2003a). To date, most students who study the humanbecoming school of thought and are guided by the theory in their practice and research activities were introduced to it at the master's level. Parse's ideas and theory are increasingly integrated into undergraduate programs to expand options for students being taught that nursing is an art and a science. For example, an undergraduate curriculum was designed, implemented, and accredited at California Baptist University in Riverside, California (C. Milton, personal communication, July 6, 2012). In addition, undergraduate and graduate students at York University and at Humber College in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, have opportunity to study humanbecoming.

**Research**

Humanbecoming theory has guided research studies in many different countries about numerous living experiences, including feeling loved, feeling very tired, having courage, waiting, feeling cared for, grieving, caring for a loved one, persisting while wanting to change, feeling understood, and being listened to, as well as time passing, quality of life, health, lingering presence, hope, and contentment (Doucet & Bournes, 2007). The Parse and humanbecoming hermeneutic method generate new knowledge about universal living experiences (Cody, 1995b, 1995c; Parse, 2001a, 2001b, 2005, 2007a, 2011b, 2012b). Research findings have enhanced understanding of how people experience hope while imaging new possibilities and how people create moments of respite amid the anguish of grieving a loss. Research findings are woven with the theory, so findings also inform thinking beyond any particular study.

In the grieving and loss studies, researchers described a rhythm of engaging and disengaging with the one lost and with others who remind the one
grieving about the one lost (Cody, 1995a; Florczak, 2008; Pilkington, 1993, 2008). Women who had a miscarriage already had a relationship with their babies, and the anguish of losing the child was so intense that women invented ways to distance themselves from the reality of the lost child. When they were alone, the pain was unbearable, and when they were with others, the anguish was both eased and intensified as consoling expressions mingled with words acknowledging the reality of the lost child (Pilkington, 1993; MacDonald & Jonas-Simpson, 2009). Women described rhythms of engaging-disengaging with the lost child and close others, pain, and respite. Connecting the rhythm to the theoretical concept connecting-separating and to the idea of lingering presence means nurses can think about and be present with those experiencing grieving and loss. How do families in palliative care express their engaging and distancing from the one who is moving toward death? How do parents losing adult children engage and disengage with the absent children? Research studies about loss and grieving may further enhance understanding about connecting-separating with knowledge for nursing practice.

In 2004, Mitchell developed a framework for critiquing humanbecoming research that expanded options for critics engaging humanbecoming-guided nursing science. Parse (2011b) continues to refine the research method. Parse changed the name of the participant proposition to language-art, and she added a process requiring the researcher to select or create an artistic expression showing how the researcher was transfigured through the research process (Parse, 2005). The artistic expression enhances understanding of what the researcher learned about the phenomenon under study. For instance, in a study on the experience of feeling respected, Parse (2006) reported that 10 adult participants in her study described feeling respected as “an acknowledgement of personal worth” (p. 54). They described, for example, feeling confident, being trusted, feeling appreciated, and experiencing joy when feeling respected (Parse, 2006). Parse showed that in each case, the participant spoke about feeling respected as a “fortifying assuredness amid potential disregard emerging with the fulfilling delight of prized alliances” (p. 54). Parse’s (2006) artistic expression for this study—that is, her depiction of her own learning about the phenomenon of feeling respected that surfaced through the research process—was the following poem:

\[
\text{The oak tree stands} \\
\text{noble on the hill} \text{ even in} \\
\text{cherry blossom time.}
\]

\textit{Basho (1644–1694/1962)}

Parse (2006) interpreted the artistic expression saying, “The oak tree stands noble, acknowledged as such with the potential of being disregarded amid the beauty of cherry blossoms, yet there is delight in the fortification of being known as oak tree. Oak tree and cherry blossoms live a mutuality of being prized as individually unique and uniquely together” (p. 55). Subsequently, Parse (2011b) introduced metaphorical emergings to the Parse research method. She did this after examining reports of many Parse research method studies and noting:

\textit{Linguistic descriptions of universal [living] experiences by participants were rife with metaphors that creatively expressed the meaning of universal [living] experiences. Metaphors are phrases, attributions to objects or ideas that offer surprise twists on meanings . . . . To extract metaphors expressed by participants from dialogues about universal [living] experiences and to creatively conceptualize them in light of the ontology of humanbecoming expands knowledge of the experiences.} (p. 13)

Parse (2011b) used the 2006 study on feeling respected to illustrate the use of metaphorical emergings with the Parse research method. The metaphorical emergings that arose from the participants’ descriptions of feeling respected in that study (Parse, 2006) included: “Feeling respected feels like everything is firing on all cylinders; I’m just euphoric for half an hour after class” (p. 53). Describing what can be learned from this metaphor in light of the study, Parse (2011b) wrote the following:

\textit{This metaphor further illuminates the meaning of feeling respected when connected to the core concepts (fortifying assuredness amid potential disregard, fulfilling delight, and prized alliances) and when elaborated with the ontology}
of human becoming. Firing on all cylinders is the driving force of pushing-resisting in powering onward with the buoyant momentum of fortifying assuredness. The fortifying assuredness with feeling respected arises with illimitable imaginings that cocreate anew the familiar-unfamiliar preferred preferences lived with opportunities amid limitations. The euphoric feeling in the metaphor is the fulfilling delight of being elevated with regard, yet with the remembered and ever-present potential for disregard. The living paradox of regard-disregard reveals and at once conceals the diversity in connecting-separating with prized alliances that surface with feeling respected. The metaphor “firing on all cylinders . . . [with euphoria]” brings feeling respected to light as a powerful force of unwavering buoyancy in confirming human engagements. It shows the lived experience of feeling respected as a cocreation languaged in the emerging now that all-at-once incarnates the mystery of being human. (p. 14)

**Critique**

**Clarity**

Human becoming is an abstract and complex school of thought that includes the human becoming theory (the principles). It is a theory, rather than a model, since its concepts and interrelationships are in principles written at an abstract level of discourse—the language of science. The theory penetrates the foundations of traditional nursing and health care in general. This penetration may be limited to cracklike fissures or streams of activity to expand opportunities and advance thinking. This requires nurses to explore ways that are helpful to enhance the quality of life for patients.

**Simplicity**

In keeping with the theoretical discourse, the major concepts of human becoming are defined in abstract philosophical terms. The language has been a source of comfort and discomfort for nurses (Mitchell & Bournes, 2000; Mitchell, Bournes, & Hollett, 2006). Discomfort with the language is sometimes related more with unfamiliar beliefs and assumptions about human beings and how they relate with the universe than with the actual concepts. The nondirectional statements that do not specify causal or predictive relationships about human universe are discomforting for some.

The concepts of human becoming often resonate with people when considered at the level of human experience. For instance, the concept of valuing at the level of human experiences focuses on the ways persons choose and act on what is important in their lives. This idea should be inherently familiar, as should the idea that people sometimes disclose intimate details about their lives and sometimes keep secrets from others (revealing-concealing). Pickrell, Lee, Schumacher, and Twigg (1998) noted that a first-time reader might be tempted to dismiss the concepts as too simple to convey the complexity inherent in the theory, but they caution that to do so would be a mistake. Parse’s principles describe a complex and realistic picture of human becoming that provides a meaningful framework for understanding the illimitability, mystery, freedom, and paradox of human universe.

**Generality**

The human becoming school of thought was selected as a theoretical guide by nurses and other health professionals in different settings, including acute care, long-term care, and community. The theory helped nurses be with individuals, families, and groups and was evaluated in practice settings where patients commented on the positive difference it made (Jonas, 1995; Mitchell, Bernardo, & Bournes, 1997; Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 2007; Northrup & Cody, 1998; Williamson, 2000). Human becoming has helped leaders to create beneficial change in organizational culture, and it has informed development of standards of care (Mitchell & Bournes, 1998), best-practice guidelines (Nelligan, Grinspun, Jonas-Simpson, et al., 2002; Registered Nurses Association of Ontario, 2002), decision-making tools for nurse regulators (Benedict, Bunkers, Damgaard, et al., 2000; Damgaard & Bunkers, 1998, 2012), mentoring programs for novice nurses (Bournes & Plummer, 2011; Bournes, Plummer, Hollett, et al., 2011), and leadership and research programs for nurses who work at the point of care (Bournes, 2013). The theory of human becoming changes what professionals see when they engage with persons in practice and research. The theory changes the thinking, acting, attitudes, and approaches that professionals rely on to fulfill their intentions with others.
Indeed, the humanbecoming theory changes the intentions and purposes of professionals, and there is no limit to how this learning may contribute to meaningful practices and approaches for all professional activities linked with research, education, and leadership.

**Accessibility**

Accessibility of the theory is evaluated with evidence addressed in the following questions:

- Does evidence (taken here to mean “does reality”) support the theory?
- Do the principles and concepts of the humanbecoming theory make sense to nurses when they are with people in practice?
- Does the humanbecoming theory help nurses be with people in ways that are helpful and that make a difference from the patient’s perspective?
- Is the theory useful for administrators and researchers?
- Do research findings expand knowledge and enhance the theoretical base?

The answer to these questions is, clearly, an enthusiastic “yes.” The theory is useful because it provides a meaningful foundation that is helpful for nurses who want to live certain values in practice and research (Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 2007; Mitchell, Jonas-Simpson, & Ivonoffski, 2006).

A nurse who is learning the theory might ask the following questions:

- What does humanbecoming theory say about people, and do I believe in these ideas as they are presented?
- Am I comfortable with the basic beliefs espoused in the humanbecoming theory?

The answers to the initial questions about evidence or congruence with reality often lead to a decision to pursue the more difficult task of studying the theory. A commitment to learn more requires some attraction to the basic underlying values and assumptions about humanuniverse and health. These values recognize that people have their own unique views about life and their health situations. They speak about what things mean on a personal level; value their priorities and pursue what is important to them; want to make their own choices; and speak about paradoxical thoughts and feelings, saying on the one hand this and on the other hand that. Finally nurses ask, how do I believe people change? Do people make choices that help them move in the direction of their own hopes and dreams? Humanbecoming theory explores these questions.

**Importance**

Parse calls nursing a human science, and, as such, it represents particular beliefs that have been around for longer than 100 years. The humanbecoming theory has taken human science beliefs into service and knowledge development in new and important ways. The humanbecoming research and practice methodologies are generating transformations in care and a renewed sense of professional purpose. Consider these examples:

1. Nurses in two Canadian provinces spent 24 months evaluating humanbecoming-guided care, and these acute care nurses reported enhanced satisfaction and purpose in their work as professional nurses (Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 2007; Mitchell, Bournes, & Hollett 2006).

2. Teams of humanbecoming researchers, practitioners, artistic writers, actors, and consumers produced a research-based drama called *I'm Still Here* about living with Alzheimer’s disease. The Murray Alzheimer Research and Education Program at the University of Waterloo funded the production of a DVD version of the drama, as well as an educational guide informed by humanbecoming theory, research, and self-reflective practice (Mitchell, Jonas-Simpson, & Ivonoffski, 2006). Hundreds of health professionals and families in countries around the globe have purchased the DVD and educational guide (available at [http://www.marep.uwaterloo.ca/products/still.html](http://www.marep.uwaterloo.ca/products/still.html)). Researchers Mitchell, Dupuis, and Jonas-Simpson toured a live performance of *I'm Still Here* to complete a longitudinal study funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC); this study evaluated knowledge translation through artistic performance.

3. Jonas-Simpson’s work on loss for mothers who experience the loss of their baby has been informed by humanbecoming and findings presented in New York in an interactive exhibit of stories, poetry, photographs, and research-inspired paintings by artist Ann Bayly for professionals and mothers invited to share their own stories in a
journal. Most recently, it has informed the ccrea- 
tion of two videos: *Enduring Love: Transforming 
Loss* is about how mothers and their families live 
with the loss of a child, and *Why Did Baby Die? 
Mothering Children Living with the Loss, Love and 
Continuing Presence of a Baby Sibling* focuses on 
the surviving children. Both videos are available 
from http://bookstore.yorku.ca.

4. The humanbecoming mentoring model ([Parse, 
2008b](#)) was used in a study ([Bournes & Plummer, 
2011](#)) that examined the impact of a mentoring 
program with experienced critical care nurses and 
new graduate nurses interested in a career in crit- 
cical care. It was designed to address critical issues 
relating to recruitment and retention of critical 
care nurses, to enhance nurse mentoring capacity 
at a university-affiliated teaching hospital, to eval- 
uate a mentoring program for staff nurses, and to 
extend knowledge about the effectiveness and gen- 
eralizability the humanbecoming 80/20 model 
([Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 2007](#)). A total of 11 
experienced critical care nurse participants and 13 
new graduate nurse participants engaged in the 
program together. They spent 80% of their time in 
direct patient care and 20% on professional devel- 
opment, with a focus on learning about humanbe- 
coming-guided nursing practice and mentoring 
and working together in mentoring dyads. The 
experienced critical care nurses also participated 
in separate humanbecoming mentor development 
workshops throughout the study. Findings dem- 
strated an overall increase in satisfaction of 
critical care nurses shown in the results of serial 
employee opinion surveys. Sick time, overtime, 
and turnover trended downward among the par- 
ticipant group as compared to nonparticipants. 
Mentor group participants shifted in the ways in 
which they described the importance of the men- 
torship experience. Mentor participants, though 
frustrated at times with having to learn theory, ap- 
preciated the refreshing and satisfying opportunity 
to engage with their protégés. They described feel- 
ing respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 
lenged in their learning to view the importance 
of nursing in a new way. They also described feeling 
respected, feeling supported, and being chal- 

5. The humanbecoming leading-following and teaching- 
learning models are used in Geneva, Switzerland, 
in health care settings and community centers for 
families of persons living with cancer.

There are convincing indications that the humanbe- 
coming theory is a fitting guide for practitioners 
who want to create respectful partnerships with peo- 
ples seeking assistance with health and quality of life. 
More than 2 decades ago, [Phillips (1987)](#) sug- 
gested that Parse’s work would transform the knowledge base 
and the practice of nursing to a unitary perspective. 
Indeed, the humanbecoming theory is transforming 
practice in numerous settings, and evaluations are 
positive ([Bournes, 2002b](#); [Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 
2007](#); [Bournes, Plummer, Hollett, et al., 2011](#); 
[Jonas, 1995](#); [Legault & Ferguson-Paré, 1999](#)). 
The humanbecoming theory directs attention to persons’ meanings 
of health and quality of life and to their wishes, needs, 
concerns, and preferences for information and care. 
The future of health care is based on the development 
of theories and practices that honor and respect peo- 
ples as experts about life experience and health. At least 
five of the largest teaching hospitals in Canada have
supported nurses piloting and implementing standards of practice that are explicitly informed by humanbecoming. University Health Network, the largest teaching hospital affiliated with the University of Toronto, supported nurses to use 20% of their time to participate in teaching-learning sessions informed by humanbecoming. A 2-year-long pilot study evaluated changes when a surgical unit used humanbecoming patient-centered care (Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 2007). This pilot was replicated on a cardiosciences unit at Regina General Hospital in Saskatchewan, Canada (Bournes, Ferguson-Paré, Plummer, & Kyle, 2009) and on two additional units at University Health Network in Toronto, Canada, with similar results (Bournes & Ferguson-Paré, 2007).

**Summary**

Work with the humanbecoming school of thought continues to evolve, as does the theory itself. An important development happened in 1998, when Parse extended the humanbecoming school of thought and introduced the text, *Community: A Humanbecoming Perspective* (2003), which offers new concepts about change in community. Further explication of the community model is found in Parse’s (2012b) article, “New Humanbecoming Conceptualizations and the Humanbecoming Community Model: Expansions with Sciencing and Living the Art.” Parse has created humanbecoming teaching-learning (Parse, 2004), mentoring (Parse, 2008b), leading-following (Parse, 2008a, 2011a), and family models (Parse, 2009a) that are being utilized in research, leadership, practice, and education settings (Bournes, 2013; Condon, 2010b, 2011; Maillard-Struby, 2012; Florczak, Falkenstern, & Baumann, 2010; Kim, Lee, & Baumann, 2011; Milton, 2010a, 2010b, 2011, 2012a; Morrow, 2012a). Ongoing research expands understanding and illuminates new relationships among theoretical concepts. As schools of nursing introduce and teach the humanbecoming school of thought, more nurses explore the theory in practice. Learning the theory requires formal study, quiet contemplation, and creative synthesis. As more nurses use the theory in practice and research and leadership, their scholarly dialogue advances the nursing discipline.

The theory of humanbecoming continues as a theory for the future. As nurses question how they are relating with others and question the knowledge base of the discipline, the humanbecoming theory provides a perspective and field of possibilities for change and growth. Administrators who engage nurses are continuing to clarify not only what they want from professionals but also how they want professionals to perform. The mechanistic approach continues to lose appeal for health care professionals whose mandate is to relate to people as human beings living with health and illness, hope and no hope, joy and sorrow, and life and death. This theory is a humanbecoming approach for nurses and even more as humankind evolves.

**CASE STUDY**

Mrs. Brown, a 48-year-old woman, is living with a diagnosis of breast cancer. She has just come into the oncology clinic for her third round of chemotherapy. When asked how she is doing, Mrs. Brown starts speaking about how tired she is and how she is feeling burdened with keeping secrets from her daughter. Mrs. Brown has not told her daughter about her cancer diagnosis because she is afraid of how her daughter might react. Mrs. Brown says she is just barely holding on to things at this time, and she cannot take much more. She is also concerned about the chemotherapy and what she can expect, because the side effects are getting more intense. Consider Mrs. Brown in the critical thinking activities that follow.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. Think about Parse’s (1998) practice methodology—illuminating meaning (explicating), synchronizing rhythms (dwelling with), and mobilizing transcendence (moving beyond). Nurses live true presence with persons, and this means centering and preparing to bear witness to Mrs. Brown’s reality. In order to invite Mrs. Brown to speak, the nurse may initially ask her to say more about her situation. In the cadence of speech, Mrs. Brown may pause, giving the nurse an opening to pose questions that assist Mrs. Brown’s exploration of how she is feeling. The nurse may ask: What is the
burden about? What does it mean? What does Mrs. Brown think will happen if her daughter gets upset? Thinking about and picturing an anticipated event is, according to Parse (1990, 1998), an opportunity to rehearse and to clarify how best to be in light of anticipated consequences. In this way, the person is helped with decisions about how best to go forward or how to change the situation. The practice dimensions and processes happen all-at-once as nurses honor the other’s unfolding meanings, rhythms, and ways of moving forward.

2. Articulate the judgments that are called for in the humanbecoming theory. The nurse refrains from summarizing, comparing, judging, or labeling Mrs. Brown, as she struggles with the possibilities and choices in her situation. The unconditional regard called for by the humanbecoming theory is extremely challenging. It can be much easier to give advice or to try to teach, but the outcomes in the nurse-person process, the opportunities for Mrs. Brown to see her situation differently, will vary according to different nursing words and actions. What might you say to Mrs. Brown?

3. Where does experience lie for nurses guided by the humanbecoming theory? The nurse guided by humanbecoming theory believes that Mrs. Brown knows the best way to proceed—the nurse cannot possibly know the way for another person’s quality of life. Mrs. Brown said she cannot take much more in her life, and yet she is burdened with her secret. This struggle is hers to wrestle with and choose a way to move on. The mother knows her daughter, and she also knows how much upset she can take in her life. The nurse’s true presence and theory-guided questions can help Mrs. Brown to figure out how to be in light of her value priorities in the moment. The nurse also knows that Mrs. Brown’s value priorities may change at any time, leading to a different course of action.

4. Mrs. Brown spoke about being tired. The nurse might explore this further. How does the tiredness show itself? What does Mrs. Brown find helpful? What would she like to do about it? Until these things are known, the nurse cannot know how to proceed. The nurse may discover helpful suggestions to offer. The nurse guided by humanbecoming offers information as people indicate their readiness to hear it. The nurse believes that providing information or suggestions as persons seek it in the flow of dialogue and listening is the most respectful and meaningful way of teaching.

5. Specify three benefits for humanity when nurses follow the humanbecoming theory. Humanbecoming practice is consistent with what people say they want from health professionals. Persons have indicated in numerous reports and publications that they want to be listened to, respected, involved in their care, and provided with meaningful information—when they want and need it. People want competent professionals, but if respect for the client’s reality is not the foundation of the nurse-person process, it does not matter how expert or knowledgeable the professional. People do not want to be judged or labeled when it comes to their choices or ways of living. Persons want to be believed, understood, and respected. Humanbecoming theory provides a guide for nurses who want to practice in ways that clients want. It has been shown that nurses guided by the humanbecoming perspective are more vigilant, more inclined to act on client concerns, and more likely to involve clients and families in their care (Mitchell & Bourne, 1998; Parse, 2011c).
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**Secondary Sources**


Unconditional acceptance of the person as a human in the process of Being and Becoming is basic to the Modeling and Role-Modeling paradigm. It is a prerequisite to facilitating holistic growth . . . Unconditional acceptance of the person as a human being who has an inherent need for dignity and respect from others, and for connectedness—that kind of Unconditional Acceptance is based on Unconditional Love” (Erickson, 2006, p. 343).

Credentials and Background of the Theorists

Helen C. Erickson

Helen C. Erickson received a diploma in 1957 from Saginaw General Hospital in Saginaw, Michigan. Her degrees include a baccalaureate in nursing in 1974, dual master’s degrees in psychiatric nursing and medical-surgical nursing in 1976, and a doctor of educational psychology in 1984, all from the University of Michigan. Erickson’s professional experience began in the emergency room of the Midland Community Hospital in Midland, Texas, where she was Head Nurse; she then worked as Night Supervisor at the Michigan State Home for the Mentally Impaired and Handicapped in Mount Pleasant. In 1960, she moved to Puerto Rico with her husband and was Director of Health Services at Inter-American University in San German, Puerto Rico, until 1964. On return to the United States, she was a staff nurse at St. Joseph’s and University Hospitals in Ann Arbor, Michigan. Erickson later was a mental health nurse.
consultant to the Pediatric Nurse Practitioner Program at University of Michigan and University of Michigan Hospitals—Adult Care.

Erickson’s academic career began as Assistant Instructor in the RN Studies Program at the University of Michigan School of Nursing, and later as Chairperson of the Undergraduate Program and Dean for Undergraduate Studies. She was Assistant Professor at the University of Michigan from 1978 to 1986. In 1986, she moved to the University of South Carolina College of Nursing, where she served as Associate Professor, Assistant Dean for Academic Programs, and Associate Dean for Academic Affairs. In 1988, she became Professor of Nursing, Chair of Adult Health, and Special Assistant to the Dean, Graduate Programs, at the University of Texas School of Nursing in Austin. In 1997, she became Emeritus Professor at the University of Texas at Austin. She has maintained an independent nursing practice since 1976.

Erickson is a member of the American Nurses Association, American Nurses Foundation, the Charter Club, American Holistic Nurses Association, Texas Nurses Association, Sigma Theta Tau, and the Institute for the Advancement of Health. She served as President of the Society for the Advancement of Modeling and Role-Modeling from 1986 to 1990; as chairperson of the First National Symposium on Modeling and Role-Modeling in 1986; and on the planning boards for many national biannual conferences.

Erickson was in Who’s Who Among University Students and is a member of Phi Kappa Phi. She received the Sigma Theta Tau Rho Chapter Award of Excellence in Nursing in 1980 and the Amoco Foundation Good Teaching Award in 1982. She was accepted into ADARA (a University of Michigan honor society for women in leadership) in 1982. In 1990, she received the Faculty Teaching Award from the University of Texas School of Nursing, a Founders award from the Sigma Theta Tau International Honor Society in Nursing. She also received the Excellence in Education Award from the Epsilon Theta Chapter in 1993 and the Graduate Faculty Teaching Award from the University of Texas School of Nursing in 1995. Erickson was inducted as a Fellow into the American Academy of Nursing in 1996. She received the Distinguished Faculty citation from Humboldt State University in California in 2001. The Helen Erickson Endowed Lectureship in Holistic Health Nursing was established in her honor in 1997 at the University of Texas at Austin. The biennial lectureship highlights international holistic nursing leaders.

Erickson consults on research with the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory and presents seminars, conferences, and papers on the theory nationally and internationally. She consults on implementation of the theory in practice at the University of Michigan Medical Center, Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston, Oregon Health Science University Hospital in Portland, and the University of Pittsburgh hospitals. She consults with faculty members on use of the theory into their curricula and practice in schools of nursing and service agencies. Humboldt University School of Nursing in Arcata, California, was first to use the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory as a conceptual curriculum base. Metropolitan State University at St. Paul adopted the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory for RN, baccalaureate, and master's programs. St. Catherine's College in St. Paul, Minnesota, and the Joanne Gay Dishman Department of Nursing at Lamar University have adopted it for their associate degree program.

Erickson has been invited to speak at many national and international conferences. She has been involved in activities of the American Holistic Nurses Association, served as a content expert for certification curricula, and was included in a book featuring nurse healers (H. Erickson, personal communication, July 1992). Although retired from the University of Texas at Austin, Erickson continues to be actively involved in the promotion of holistic nursing, serving as Chair for the Board of Directors of the American Holistic Nurses’ Certification Corporation (AHNCC) from 2002 to 2012, and she remains involved and committed to the work of the AHNCC. She provides consultation, educational programs nationally and internationally, and is actively involved in the Society for the Advancement of Modeling and Role-Modeling (H. Erickson, personal communication, June 10, 2000).

Evelyn M. Tomlin

Evelyn M. Tomlin’s nursing education began in Southern California. She attended Pasadena City College, Los Angeles County General Hospital School of Nursing, and the University of Southern California, where she received her bachelor of science
degree in nursing. She received a master of science degree in psychiatric nursing from the University of Michigan in 1976.

Tomlin's professional experiences are varied. She began as a clinical instructor at Los Angeles County General Hospital School of Nursing and later lived in Kabul, Afghanistan, where she taught English at the Afghan Institute of Technology. She served as a school nurse and practiced family nursing in the overseas American and European communities where she lived and participated in more than 46 home deliveries with a certified nurse-midwife. After she established medical services at the United States Embassy Hospital, she practiced as a staff nurse. Upon returning to the United States, she was employed by the Visiting Nurse Association (VNA) in Ann Arbor, Michigan. At the VNA, she was coordinator and clinical instructor for student practical nurses. In addition, she was a staff nurse in a coronary care unit, worked in the respiratory intensive care unit, and was Head Nurse in the emergency department at St. Joseph's Mercy Hospital in Ann Arbor. She later taught fundamentals of nursing as Assistant Professor in the RN Studies Program at the University of Michigan. During this time, she served as mental health consultant to the pediatric nurse practitioner program at the University of Michigan.

Tomlin was among the first 16 nurses in the United States to be certified by the American Association of Critical Care Nurses. With several colleagues, she opened one of the first offices for independent nursing practice in Michigan and continued independent practice until 1993. She is a member of Sigma Theta Tau Rho Chapter, California Scholarship Federation, and the Philomathian Society. Tomlin presented programs based on the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory, with emphasis on clinical applications. She was the first editor for the newsletter of the Society for the Advancement of Modeling and Role-Modeling (E. Tomlin, curriculum vitae, 1992).

In 1985, Tomlin moved to Big Rock, Illinois, where she enjoyed teaching small community and nursing groups and working in a community shelter serving the women and children of Fox Valley. She later moved to Geneva, Illinois, where she resides with her husband. Tomlin identifies herself as a Christian in retirement from nursing for pay, but not from nursing practice. She is pursuing interests in the practice of healing prayer, stating that she has always been interested in the interface of the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory and Judeo-Christian principles. She is now retired after many years on the board of directors and as a volunteer at Wayside Cross Ministries in Aurora, Illinois, where she taught and counseled homeless women, many of whom were single mothers.

Mary Ann P. Swain

Mary Ann P. Swain’s educational background is in psychology. She received her bachelor's degree in psychology from DePauw University and her master's and doctoral degrees in psychology from the University of Michigan. Swain taught psychology, research methods, and statistics as a teaching assistant at DePauw University and later as a lecturer and professor of psychology and nursing research at the University of Michigan. At the University of Michigan, she was Director of the Doctoral Program in Nursing in 1975 for 1 year, Chairperson of Nursing Research from 1977 to 1982, and became Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs in 1983.

Swain is a member of the American Psychological Association and an associate member of the Michigan Nurses Association. She developed and taught classes in psychology, research, and nursing research methods and collaborated with nurse researchers on projects, including health promotion among diabetic patients and ways to influence compliance among patients with hypertension. She helped Erickson publish a model that assessed an individual’s potential to mobilize resources and adapt to stress, which is significant to the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory.

Swain received the Alpha Lambda Delta, Psi Chi, Mortar Board, and Phi Beta Kappa awards while at DePauw University. In 1981, she was recognized by the Rho Chapter of Sigma Theta Tau for Contributions to Nursing, and in 1983 she became an honorary member of Sigma Theta Tau. In 1994, she moved to Appalachia, New York, with her husband, where she served as Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs for Binghamton University for nearly 20 years. She is director of the doctoral (PhD in Nursing) program at Decker School of Nursing and Chair of the Department of Student Affairs. Her research interests are health development across the life span and interrelationships among life stressors, healthy development, and illness.
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Theoretical Sources

The theory and paradigm Modeling and Role-Modeling was developed with a retroductive process. The original model was derived inductively from Erickson’s clinical and personal life experiences. The works of Maslow, Erikson, Piaget, Engel, Selye, and M. Erickson MD were then integrated and synthesized into the original model to label, further articulate, and refine a holistic theory and paradigm for nursing. H. Erickson (1976) argued that people have mind-body relations and an identifiable resource potential that predicts their ability to contend with stress. She articulated a relationship between needs status and developmental processes, satisfaction with needs and attachment objects, loss and illness, and health and need satisfaction. Tomlin and Swain validated and affirmed Erickson’s practice model and helped her expand and articulate labeled phenomena, concepts, and theoretical relationships.

Maslow’s theory of human needs was used to label and articulate their personal observations that “all people want to be the best that they can possibly be; unmet basic needs interfere with holistic growth whereas satisfied needs promote growth” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 56; Erickson, M., 1996a, 1996b, 2006; Jensen, 1995). Erickson further developed the model to state that unmet basic needs create need deficits that can lead to initiation or aggravation of physical or mental distress or illness, while need satisfaction creates assets that provide resources needed to contend with stress and promote health, growth, and development.

Piaget’s theory of cognitive development provides a framework for understanding the development of thinking, while integration of Erik Erikson’s work on the stages of psychosocial development through the life span provides a theoretical basis for understanding the psychosocial evolution of the individual. Each of his eight stages represents developmental tasks. As an individual resolves each task, he or she gains strengths that contribute to character development and health. As an outcome of each stage, people develop a sense of their own worth and projection of themselves into the future. “The utility of Erikson’s theory is the freedom we may take to view aspects of people’s problems as uncompleted tasks. This perspective provides a hopeful expectation for the individual’s future since it connotes something still in progress” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, pp. 62–63).

The works of Winnicott, Klein, Mahler, and Bowlby on object attachment were integrated with the original model to develop and articulate the concept of affiliated individuation (AI). Object relations theory proposes that an infant initially forms an attachment to his or her caregiver after having repeated positive contacts. As the child grows and begins to move toward a more separate and individuated state, a sense of autonomy develops and he or she usually transfers some attachment to an inanimate object such as a cuddly blanket or a teddy bear. Later, the child may attach to a favorite baseball glove, doll, or pet, and finally onto more abstract things in adulthood, such as an educational degree, professional role, or relationship. Erickson drew on the work of these individuals and proposed a theoretical relationship between object attachment and need satisfaction, theorizing that when an object repeatedly meets an individual’s basic needs, attachment or connectedness to that object occurs. From synthesis of these theoretical linkages and research findings, a new concept of AI was identified and defined as the inherent need to be connected with significant others at the same time that there is a sense of separateness from them (Erickson, H., 2006, 2010; Erickson, Erickson, & Jensen, 2006; Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 1983; Erickson, M., 1996b). From the time of birth until a person takes their last breath, AI and object attachment are essential to need satisfaction, adaptive coping, and healthy growth and development. Furthermore, “object loss results in basic need deficits” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 88). Loss is real, threatened, or perceived; it may be a normal part of the developmental process, or it may be situational. Loss always results in grief; normal grief is resolved in approximately 1 year. When loss occurs and only inadequate or inappropriate objects are available to meet needs, morbid grief results. Morbid grief interferes with the individual’s ability to grow and develop to their maximal potential (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002; Erickson, M., 2006). The work of Selye and Engel, as cited by Erickson, Tomlin, and Swain (1983), provided additional conceptual support for the propositions regarding loss and an individual’s
stress responses to loss or losses. Selye's theory pertains to an individual’s biophysical responses to stress, and Engel explores the psychosocial responses to stressors.

The integration and synthesis of these theories, with the integration of Erickson's clinical observations and lived experiences, resulted in the conception of the Adaptive Potential Assessment Model (APAM). The APAM focuses on an individual’s ability to mobilize resources when confronted with stressors rather than adapt to them. This model was first developed by Erickson (1976) and later described in publication by Erickson and Swain (1982).

Erickson credits Milton H. Erickson with influencing her clinical practice and providing inspiration and direction in the development of this theory. Initially, he articulated the formulation of the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory when he urged Erickson to “model the client’s world, understand it as they do, then role-model the picture the client has drawn, building a healthy world for them” (H. Erickson, personal communication, November 1984).

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

#### Modeling
The act of *Modeling* is the process the nurse uses as she develops an image and an understanding of the client’s world—an image and understanding developed within the client’s framework and from the client’s perspective. The act of Modeling is the development of a mirror image of the situation from the client’s perspective. The science of Modeling is the scientific aggregation and analysis of data collected about the client’s model (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 95). Modeling occurs as the nurse accepts and understands her client (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 96).

#### Role-Modeling
The art of *Role-Modeling* occurs when the nurse plans and implements interventions that are unique for the client. The science of Role-Modeling occurs as the nurse plans interventions with respect to her theoretical base for the practice of nursing. Role-Modeling is the essence of nurturance. Role-Modeling requires an unconditional acceptance of the person as the person is while gently encouraging the facilitating growth and development at the person’s own pace and within the person’s own model (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 95). Role-Modeling starts the second the nurse moves from the analysis phase of the nursing process to the planning of nursing interventions (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 95).

#### Nursing
*Nursing* is the holistic helping of persons with their self-care activities in relation to their health. This is an interactive, interpersonal process that nurtures strengths to enable the development, release, and channeling of resources for coping with one’s circumstances and environment. The goal is to achieve a state of perceived optimum health and contentment (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 49).

#### Nurturance
*Nurturance* fuses and integrates cognitive, physiological, and affective processes, with the aim of assisting a client to move toward holistic health. Nurturance implies that the nurse seeks to know and understand the client’s personal model of his or her world, and to appreciate its value and significance for that client from the client’s perspective (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 48).

#### Unconditional Acceptance
Being accepted as a unique, worthwhile, important individual—with no strings attached—is imperative if the individual is to be facilitated in developing his or her own potential. The nurse's use of empathy helps the individual learn that the nurse accepts and respects him or her as is. The acceptance will facilitate the mobilization of resources needed as this individual strives for adaptive equilibrium (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 49).

#### Person
People are alike because they have holism, lifetime growth and development, and their need for AI. They are different because they have inherent
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endowment, adaptation, and self-care knowledge (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 1983).

How People are Alike

Holism

Human beings are holistic persons who have multiple interacting subsystems. Permeating all subsystems are the inherent bases. These include genetic makeup and spiritual drive. Body, mind, emotion, and spirit are a total unit, and they act together. They affect and control one another interactively. The interaction of the multiple subsystems and the inherent bases creates holism: Holism implies that the whole is greater than the sum of the parts (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, pp. 44–45).

Basic Needs

All human beings have basic needs that can be satisfied, but only from within the framework of the individual (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 58).

Basic needs are met only when the individual perceives that they are met (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 57).

Lifetime Development

Lifetime development evolves through psychological and cognitive stages, as follows:

- Psychological Stages
  Each stage represents a developmental task or a decisive encounter resulting in a turning point, a moment of decision between alternative basic attitudes (e.g., trust versus mistrust or autonomy versus shame and doubt). As a maturing individual negotiates or resolves each age-specific crisis or task, the individual gains enduring strengths and attitudes that contribute to the character and health of the individual’s personality in his or her culture (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 61).

- Cognitive Stages
  Consider how thinking develops rather than what happens in psychosocial or affective development . . . Piaget believed that cognitive learning develops in a sequential manner, and he has identified several periods in this process. Essentially, there are four periods: sensorimotor, preoperational,

concrete operations, and formal operations (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, pp. 63–64).

Affiliated Individuation

Individuals have an instinctual need for affiliated individuation. They need to be able to depend on support systems while simultaneously maintaining independence from these support systems. They need to feel a deep sense of both the “I” and the “we” states of being, and to perceive freedom and acceptance in both states (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 47).

How People are Different

Inherent Endowment

Each individual is born with a set of genes that will to some extent predetermine appearance, growth, development, and responses to life events . . . Clearly, both genetic makeup and inherited characteristics influence growth and development. They might influence how one perceives oneself and one’s world. They make individuals different from one another, each unique in his or her own way (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, pp. 74–75).

Adaptation

Adaptation occurs as the individual responds to external and internal stressors in a health-directed and growth-directed manner. Adaptation involves mobilizing internal and external coping resources. No subsystem is left in jeopardy when adaptation occurs (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002).

The individual’s ability to mobilize resources is depicted by the APAM. The APAM identifies three different coping potential states: (1) arousal, (2) equilibrium (adaptive and maladaptive), and (3) impoverishment. Each of these states represents a different potential to mobilize self-care resources. “Movement among the states is influenced by one’s ability to cope [with ongoing stressors] and the presence of new stressors” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, pp. 80–81).

Nurses can use this model to predict an individual’s potential to mobilize self-care resources in response to stress.

Continued
Mind-Body Relationships
We are all biophysical, psychosocial beings who want to develop our potential, that is, to be the best we can be (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 70).

Self-Care
Self-care involves the use of knowledge, resources, and actions, as follows:
- Self-Care Knowledge
  At some level, a person knows what has made him or her sick, lessened his or her effectiveness, or interfered with his or her growth. The person also knows what will make him or her well, optimize his or her effectiveness or fulfillment (given circumstances), or promote his or her growth (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 48).
- Self-Care Resources
  Self-care resources are “the internal resources, as well as additional resources, mobilized through self-care action that help gain, maintain, and promote an optimum level of holistic health” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, pp. 254–255).
- Self-Care Action
  Self-care action is “the development and utilization of self-care knowledge and self-care resources” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 254).

Use of Empirical Evidence
Several studies provided initial evidence for philosophical premises and theoretical linkages implied in the original book by Erickson, Tomlin, and Swain (1983) and later specified by Erickson (1990b). The APAM (Figures 25–1 and 25–2) has been tested as a classification model (Barnfather, 1987; Erickson, 1976; Kleinbeck, 1977) as a predictor for health status (Barnfather, 1990b) for length of hospital stay (Erickson & Swain, 1982), and as it relates to basic needs status (Barnfather, 1993). Findings from these studies provide beginning evidence for the proposed three-state model across populations, a relationship between health and ability to mobilize resources, and an ability to mobilize resources and needs status. Two other studies have shown relationships among stressors (measured as life events) and propensity for accidents (Babcock & Mueller, 1980) and resource state and ability to take in and use new information (Clementino & Lapinske, 1980). Benson (2003, 2006, 2011) studied the APAM as applied to small groups.

Relationships among self-care knowledge, resources, and activities have been demonstrated in several studies (Acton, 1993; Baas, 1992; Irvin, 1993; Jensen, 1995; Miller, 1994). The self-care knowledge construct, first studied by Erickson (1985), was replicated and found to be significantly associated with perceived control (Cain & Perzynski, 1986); perceived autonomy (Hertz & Anschutz, 2002; Matsui & Capezuti, 2008); and quality of life (Baas, Fontana, & Bhat, 1997). Self-directedness,
need for harmony (affiliation), and need for autonomy (individuation) were found when multidimensional scaling was used to explore relationships among self-care knowledge, resources, and actions. The author concluded that a positive attitude was a major factor when health-directed self-care actions were assessed (Rosenow, 1991). Physical activity in patients after myocardial infarction was shown to be affected by life satisfaction (not physical condition); life satisfaction was predicted by availability of self-care resources and resources needed; and resources needed served as a suppressor for resources available (Baas, 1992). In a sample of caregivers, social support predicted for stress level and self-worth had an indirect effect on hope through self-worth (Irvin, 1993; Irvin & Acton, 1997), whereas persons with diabetes with spiritual well-being were better able to cope (Landis, 1991).

When the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory was used as a guideline, interviews were used to determine the client’s model of the world. The following seven themes emerged (Erickson, 1990a):

1. Cause of the problem, which was unique to the individual
2. Related factors, also unique to the individual
3. Expectations for the future
4. Types of perceived control
5. Affiliation
6. Lack of affiliation
7. Trust in the caregiver

Each was unique and warranted individualized interventions. Other qualitative research studies on self-care knowledge showed that acutely ill patients perceived monitoring, caring, presence, touch, and voice tones as comforting (Kennedy, 1991); healthy adults sought need satisfaction from the nurse practitioner in primary care (Boodley, 1990, 1986); and hospice patients benefited from nurse empathy (Raudonis, 1991). Additional studies addressed the experience of persons 85 years of age and older as they manage their health (Beltz, 1999), the perceptions of hope in elementary school children (Baldwin, 1996), the experiences and perceptions of mothers utilizing child health services in South Africa (Jonker, 2012), the experiential meaning of well-being and the lived experience of employed mothers (Weber, 1995, 1999), the meaning and impact of suffering in people with rheumatoid arthritis (Dildy, 1992), the relationship between experiences of prolonged family suffering and evolving spiritual identity (Clayton, 2001), the quality of life of older adults with urinary incontinence (Liang, 2008), and the human-environment relationship when healing from an episodic illness (Bowman, 1998).

Case study methods have shown relationships among needs, attachment, and developmental residual needs (Kinney, 1990, 1992; Kinney & Erickson, 1990) and coping (Jensen, 1995), and challenges in the treatment of Factitious Disorder (Hagglund, 2009).

Studies revealed relationships among mistrust and length of stay in hospitalized subjects (Finch, 1990); perceived enactment of autonomy, self-care, and holistic health in older adults (Anschutz, 2000; Hertz & Anschutz, 2002); perceived enactment of autonomy and self-care resources among senior center users (Matsui & Capestuti, 2008); perceived enactment of autonomy, self-care, perceived support, control, and well being in older adults (Chen, 1996); perceived enactment of autonomy and related sociodemographic factors among older adults (Hwang & Lin, 2004); loss, morbid grief, and onset of symptoms of Alzheimer’s disease (Erickson, Kinney, Becker, et al., 1994; Irvin & Acton, 1996); and basic needs satisfaction and health-promoting self-care behaviors in adults (Acton & Malathum, 2000).

Other studies addressed linkages between role-modeled interventions and outcomes (Erickson, Kinney, Becker, et al., 1994; Hertz, 1991; Irvin, 1993; Jensen, 1995; Kennedy, 1991, Lamb, 2005; Sung & Yu, 2006). University students who perceived satisfaction of needs were more successful in school (Smith, 1980), older adults who felt supported reported higher need satisfaction and were better able to cope (Keck, 1989), adolescent mothers who felt supported and perceived need satisfaction had a more positive maternal-infant attachment (Erickson, M., 2006; Erickson, 1996a, 1996b), those with a strong social network reported better health (Doornbos, 1983), and persons convicted of sexual offenses who were provided with support to remodel their worlds were able to develop new behaviors and move on with their lives (Scheela, 1991). Families and post–myocardial infarction patients who were able to participate in planning their own care through contracting had less anxiety and better perceived control and perceived support (Holl, 1992). Caregivers of adults with
dementia who experienced theory-based nursing using the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory perceived that their needs were met and were healthier (Hopkins, 1995), and they were encouraged, which helped them accept the situation and transcend the experience of caregiving (Hopkins, 1995). Self-care resources, measured as needs, are related to perceived support and coping in women with breast cancer (Keck, 1989), physical well-being in persons with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Leidy, 1990; Kline, 1988), and anxiety in hospitalized patients who have had cardiac surgery and their families (Holl, 1992). Finally, when AI was tested as a buffer between stress and well-being, a mediation effect was found (Acton, 1997; Acton, 1993; Acton, Irvin, Jensen, et al., 1997).

Additional studies that operationalize self-care resources by measuring developmental residuals have shown that identity resolution in adolescents with facial disfiguration can be predicted by previous developmental residual (Miller, 1986). Chen (1996) found that feelings of control over one’s health (health control orientation) status in older adults with hypertension correlated highly with self-efficacy and self-care. In addition, her work supported that health control orientation, self-efficacy, and self-care were associated with well-being. Through interviews of older adults living independently, Hertz, Rossetti, and Nelson (2006) were able to identify categories of self-care actions that encompassed important self-care activities.

Other researchers found that trust predicts for adolescent clients’ involvement in the prescribed medical regimen (Finch, 1987); perceived support and adaptation are related to developmental residual in families with newborn infants (Darling-Fisher, 1987; Darling-Fisher & Leidy 1988); and mistrust predicts length of hospital stay, and positive residual serves as a buffer (Finch, 1987). Positive residual in the intimacy stage of healthy adults predicts for health behaviors (MacLean, 1987, 1990, 1992). Developmental residual predicts for hope, trust-mistrust residual predicts for generalized hope, autonomy-shame and doubt residual predicts for particularized hope in the elderly (Curl, 1992); and negative residual is related to speed and impatience behaviors in a healthy sample of military personnel (Kinney, 1992).

Studies have also been used to explore perceived enactment of autonomy and life satisfaction in older adults (Anschutz, 2000), self-care knowledge in informants in the hospital (Erickson, 1985), developmental growth in adults with heart failure (Baas, Beery, Fontana, & Wagoner, 1999), the ability to mobilize coping resources and basic needs (Barnfather, 1990a), the relationship between basic needs satisfaction and emotionally motivated eating (Timmerman & Acton, 2001; Cleary, & Crafti, 2007), and relations among hostility, self-esteem, self-concept, and psychosocial residual in persons with coronary heart disease (Sofhauser, 1996, 2003). Research has also been conducted that explores the relationship between spiritual well-being and heart failure (Beery, Baas, Fowler, & Allen, 2002); spirituality in caregivers of family members with dementia (Acton & Miller, 1996); the implementation of a mind, body, spirit self-empowerment program for adolescents (Nash, 2007a); and spirituality in women with breast cancer (Kinney, Rodgers, Nash, & Bray, 2003). Baas (2004) studied self-care resources and quality of life in patients following myocardial infarction and self-care resources and well-being in clients with cardiac disorders (2011). She and colleagues also examined the psychosocial aspects of heart failure management (Baas & Conway, 2004), explored body awareness in heart failure or transplant patients (Baas, Beery, Allen, et al., 2004), and reported patient adjustments to the cardiac devices (Beery, Baas, & Henthorn, 2007).

Tools that have been developed to test the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory include the Basic Needs Satisfaction Inventory (Kline, 1988), the Erikson Psychosocial Stage Inventory (Darling-Fisher & Leidy, 1988), the Perceived Enactment of Autonomy tool designed to measure a prerequisite to self-care actions in the elderly (Hertz, 1991, 1999; Hertz & Anschutz, 2002), the Self-Care Resource Inventory (Baas, 1992, 2011), an adjustment scale designed to measure self-report with implanted devices in cardiac patients (Beery, Baas, Mathews, et al., 2005), the Robinson Self-Appraisal Inventory designed to measure denial (the first stage in the grief process) in patients after myocardial infarction (Robinson, 1992), the Erickson Maternal Bonding-Attachment Tool designed to measure self-care knowledge as motivational style (deficit or being motivated) and self-care resource (maternal need satisfaction) (Erickson, 1996b),
a theory-based nursing assessment (Finch, 1990), and the Hopkins Clinical Assessment of the APAM (Hopkins, 1995).

### Major Assumptions

#### Nursing

“The nurse is a facilitator, not an effector. Our nurse-client relationship is an interactive, interpersonal process that aids the individual to identify, mobilize, and develop his or her own strengths to achieve a perceived optimal state of health and well-being” (H. Erickson, personal communication, 2004). Rogers (1996) has defined this relationship as facilitative-affiliation. The five aims of nursing interventions are to build trust, affirm and promote client strengths, promote positive orientation, facilitate perceived control, and set health-directed mutual goals (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002).

#### Person

Differentiation is made between patients and clients in this theory. A patient is given treatment and instruction; a client participates in his or her own care. “Our goal is for nurses to work with clients” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 21). “A client is one who is considered to be a legitimate member of the decision-making team, who always has some control over the planned regimen, and who is incorporated into the planning and implementation of his or her own care as much as possible” (Erickson, Kinney, Stone, et al., 1990, p. 20; Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 253).

#### Health

“Health is a state of physical, mental, and social well-being, not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. It connotes a state of dynamic equilibrium among the various subsystems [of a holistic person]” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 46).

#### Environment

“Environment is not identified in the theory as an entity of its own. The theorists see environment in the social subsystems as the interaction between self and others both cultural and individual. Biophysical stressors are seen as part of the environment” (H. Erickson, personal communication, March 30, 1988).

### Theoretical Assertions

The theoretical assertions of the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory are based on the linkages between completion of developmental tasks and basic needs satisfaction; among basic needs satisfaction, object attachment and loss, and developmental tasks; and between the ability to mobilize coping resources and need satisfaction. Three generic theoretical assertions constitute theoretical linkages implied in the theory as follows:

1. “The degree to which developmental tasks are resolved is dependent on the degree to which human needs are satisfied” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 87).

2. “The degree to which needs are satisfied by object attachment depends on the availability of those objects and the degree to which they provide comfort and security as opposed to threat and anxiety” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 1983, p. 90).

3. “An individual’s potential for mobilizing resources, the person’s state of coping according to the APAM, is directly associated with the person’s need satisfaction level” (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002, p. 91).

### Logical Form

The Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory was formulated using retroductive thinking. The theorists went through four levels of theory development and then cycled from inductive to deductive to inductive to deductive reasoning (H. Erickson, personal communication, March 30, 1988). Erickson identified theoretical concepts and relationships to label and define her practice-based observations. These observations were then tested within the context of the theoretical bases identified. Integration and synthesis of the theoretical concepts and linkages with the clinical observations resulted in the development of a “new multidimensional theory and paradigm for nursing—Modeling and Role-modeling” (H. Erickson, personal communication, November 1984). Modeling and Role-Modeling may be viewed as a theory and a paradigm according to Merton (1968), who said that paradigms “provide a compact arrangement of central concepts and their interrelations that are utilized for description and analysis” (p. 70).
Acceptance by the Nursing Community

Practice

The book *Modeling and Role-Modeling: A Theory and Paradigm for Nursing* (Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 2002), chapters in nursing theory texts, and published research studies have exposed nurses in practice to this theory. Based on the applicability and interest in using this theory to guide holistic nursing practice, the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory has been implemented in many hospitals throughout the country. For example, nurses on surgical units at the University of Michigan Medical Center use an assessment tool based on the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory. The tool is used to gather information to identify the client's need assets, deficits, developmental residual, attachment-loss and grief status, and potential therapeutic interventions (see Appendix at the end of this chapter) (Bowman, 1998; H. Erickson, personal communication, 1988).

Helen Erickson has lectured extensively, nationally and internationally, and held one-on-one consultations with nurses from various practice and educational backgrounds. Nurses who practice in adult health; case management; community health; critical and intensive care; infant, adolescent, and family health; gerontology; mental health; emergency rooms; and hospices use this theory. The beauty of the theory is that it can be applied within any setting and with any population. Erickson noted that what seemed to be a revolutionary idea as recently as 1972 (calling for the client to be the head of the healthcare team) has gained acceptance, as has the notion that nurses can practice independently (H. Erickson, personal communication, November 1984). According to Erickson, negative responses to the theory came from individuals who cannot accept the idea of listening to the client first, or who do not take the concept of holism seriously (H. Erickson, personal communication, November 1984).

Brigham and Women’s Hospital in Boston has used the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory as a theoretical basis for the professional practice model for years. The nurses use the theory as a framework to structure care planning and case conferences. Jenny James, former vice president for nursing, stated that “consistency of language, the way care is talked about and planned” is one of the major advantages of using this theoretical basis (J. James, personal communication, July 6, 1992). Nurses at Brigham and Women’s Hospital use an adaptation of the assessment tool developed at the University of Michigan Medical Center. At the Fourth National Conference on Modeling and Role-Modeling (Boston, October 1992) implementation of the professional practice model at Brigham and Women’s Hospital and case studies were first presented by the staff nurses (J. James, personal communication, July 6, 1992). Nurses at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Children’s Hospital of the University of Wisconsin at Madison, University of Tennessee Medical Center in Knoxville, Oregon Health Sciences University Hospital, University Health System in San Antonio, Texas, Salina Regional Health Center, and other hospitals and state agencies across the United States have also adopted the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory as the foundation for their professional practice. Finally, the theory has provided a theoretical foundation for the implementation of nursing projects and care in diverse settings (Alligood, 2011; Haylock, 2008, 2010; Raudonis & Acton, 1997;).

Education

The Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory is introduced into the curriculum in nursing programs throughout the country. Faculty members have contacted and continue to contact Erickson regarding the use of the theory in their curricula and for specific courses. Metropolitan State University in St. Paul, Minnesota, selected Modeling and Role-Modeling as the conceptual framework for their curriculum, and students are taught theory-based practice throughout the program. Other programs that use Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory as a basis for curriculum include but are not limited to: St. Catherine’s University in St. Paul, Minnesota; the Alternate Entry Master’s nursing program at the University of Texas at Austin; the University of Texas at Brownsville; Lamar University, Joanne Gay Dishman Department of Nursing in Beaumont, Texas; State University of New York at Buffalo; University of Tennessee at Knoxville; Capital University in Columbus, Ohio; and Foo Yin College of Nursing and Medical Technology in Taiwan.

Research

Nurses throughout the world use Modeling and Role-Modeling as the theoretical framework for their
research. Findings from research studies continue to support and validate the self-care knowledge construct and the importance of support and control as well as other theoretical linkages. Erickson’s initial study provided evidence that psychosocial factors are significantly related to physical health problems (1976). A follow-up study in 1988 conducted by Erickson, Lock, and Swain (H. Erickson, curriculum vitae, February 1988) supported these findings, and subsequent research has provided for the expansion and enrichment of major theoretical concepts. As described earlier in the chapter, perceived support, perceived control, hope for the future, satisfaction with daily life, need satisfaction, perceived autonomy, AI, self-care knowledge, self-care actions, and self-care resources are some of the key concepts that have been supported and validated through research studies. Several master’s and doctoral students at the University of Michigan School of Nursing, the University of Texas at Austin, and other universities have pursued various research questions based on this theory. Campbell, Finch, Allport, Erickson, and Swain (1985) conducted a research study at the University of Michigan Medical Center and hypothesized that the length of hospital stay correlated with the stages of development. They used a nursing assessment tool adapted from the assessment model to measure a patient’s psychosocial development and to relate developmental status to the length of hospitalization and the number of health problems identified during hospitalization. Results indicated that the balance of trust-mistrust accounts for a large percentage of the variance in the length of hospitalization. No significant relationship was evident between psychosocial coping skills and the number of health problems identified.

Erickson was the principal investigator of a research project, Modeling and Role-Modeling with Alzheimer’s Patients, funded by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Nursing Research. This research project included 10 other investigators. Results supported the constructs of self-care knowledge, adaptive potential, and AI (H. Erickson, personal communication, July 1, 1992).

Numerous graduate students have used the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory as a basis for theses and dissertations. In addition, extensive work has been published that substantiates many of the major constructs and theoretical linkages of the theory (Erickson, 1990a). Hertz, Baas, Curl, and Robinson (1994) conducted an integrative review of research from 1982 to 1992 using Modeling and Role-Modeling as a theoretical basis. Empirical evidence has provided bases for validation, refinement, and revision of the theory. Research will continue to expand the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory.

Further Development

As this theory is practiced, explored, examined, and researched, much potential exists for further development. The theory continues to gain national and international attention. One reason for this increased attention was the founding of the Society for the Advancement of Modeling and Role-Modeling. The society was formed to develop a network of colleagues who could advance the development and application of the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory. One of the society’s goals is to promote continued research related to the theory. The society held its first national symposium in 1986 and has met biennially thereafter. At the 1988 conference, held at Hilton Head, South Carolina, the membership chair announced that society members came from 12 states (H. Erickson, personal communication, 1988). By the 1990 conference in Austin, Texas, members represented more than 33 states (H. Erickson, personal communication, July 1, 1992). These conferences continue to provide a forum for researchers, educators, and practitioners to disseminate knowledge pertaining to the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory and paradigm (H. Erickson, personal communication, 1988).

The Fourth National Conference on Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory and Paradigm, held in Boston in October 1992, demonstrated the breadth and depth of the use and research for the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory. Presentations included studies based in critical care units and community-based practice, in multiple types of educational settings, and across the age span. In 2010, nurses from all over the United States as well as Egypt, Canada, South Africa, China, and Great Britain attended the conference held in San Antonio, Texas. The international biennial conferences continue to provide an opportunity for
nurses to discuss interrelationships among holistic nursing practice, theory, research, and education.

Many of the research data related to the theory are yet to be published. Erickson stated, “Every part of it [the theory] needs further development . . . There are a thousand research questions in that book . . . You can take any one statement we make and ask a research question about it . . . Modeling and Role-Modeling has only begun” (H. Erickson, personal communication, November 1984).

**Critique**

**Clarity**

Erickson, Tomlin, and Swain present their theory clearly. Definitions in the theory are denotative, with the concepts explicitly defined. They use everyday language and offer many examples to illustrate their meaning. Their definitions and assumptions are consistent, and there is a logical progression from assumptions to assertions.

**Simplicity**

The theory appears simple at first. However, on closer inspection, its complexity appears. It is based on biological and psychological theories and on several of the theorists’ own assumptions. The interactions among the major concepts, assumptions, and assertions add depth to the theory and increase its complexity.

**Generality**

The Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory is generalizable to all aspects and most settings of professional nursing practice. Major assumptions that deal with developmental tasks, basic needs satisfaction, object attachment and loss, and adaptive potential are broad enough to be applicable in diverse nursing situations. Numerous examples of the applicability of the theory and their concepts in the educational, clinical practice, and research settings have been cited. It could be argued that the theory lacks applicability in nonverbal or comatose patients, however the theory could be applied in these situations by creative clinicians.

**Accessibility**

*Accessibility* refers to the testability, application of a *theory*, and the extent that defined concepts are grounded in observable reality. The theory has operationally defined concepts, identifiable subconcepts, and clearly defined and denotative definitions. The major concepts, Modeling and Role-Modeling, are reality-based, making them empirical. Definitions are clearly articulated, making it possible to test the concepts. The theorists have provided an outline for collecting, analyzing, and synthesizing data and guidelines for implementing the theory. These explicit guidelines increase empirical precision, allowing any practitioner to test the theory using these tools.

Midrange theories are identified, supported, and substantiated. Data obtained through critical analyses and testing provides evidence for and validation of the theory. Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory gains greater empirical precision with new and ongoing studies. The need for practicing nurses to continue research with the theory is recognized and welcomed.

**Importance**

One of the challenges facing the profession of nursing is the development of its unique, scientific knowledge base and the use of nursing theory as a basis for professional practice. The Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory contributes to steady progress toward this goal. Although relatively young, the theory has gained recognition in the nursing community. Interest has grown, and research supporting its theoretical statements has been generated. Numerous nurses have engaged in research based on this theory. Publications of findings lend more and more credence to the theoretical propositions.

Chinn and Kramer (2011) propose that the importance of a theory is relative to how it addresses nursing practice, education, and administration goals. Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory guides research, directs practice, and generates new ideas, thus this theory possesses inherent value and importance for the discipline of nursing (Alligood, 2011).

**Summary**

Nurses have the opportunity to share in important, intimate life experiences with clients. We have the ability and responsibility to facilitate healing and achievement of clients’ perceived maximal state of
health and well-being. The Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory provides nurses with a practice-based theoretical framework to attain these goals, in any setting and with any population. Numerous research studies and ongoing scientific work provide empirical support for this nursing theory. As the theory matures, the extent of its merit and worth will become evident.

---

**CASE STUDY**

Robert, a 75-year-old rancher with a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), is admitted with shortness of breath, angina, and nausea (unmet physiological needs). It is his fourth admission in 6 months (he is having difficulty adapting to stressors in his life). The nurse introduces herself in a quiet, calm voice and tells him she will be his primary nurse during his stay (interventions designed to establish trust and a sense of safety and security and to facilitate a sense of connectedness). She asks him why he came in (he is the primary data source). He states, “I can’t breathe, and my chest hurts.” After he is stabilized (physiological needs are met, so the nurse can focus on his other needs), she says, “I notice that you have had multiple admissions in the last few months. Why do you think you are here today?” (The nurse seeks information from the client who is the primary data source and facilitates a sense of client control.) He replies, “My wife of 49 years died a few months ago; she took care of me, and my heart is broken. My life no longer has meaning.” (He is experiencing unmet needs, is having problems with the developmental stage of generativity, and is grieving the loss of his wife.)

During her assessment, the nurse discovers that Robert lives on a ranch by himself. His nearest neighbor is 4 miles away, his son lives out of state, he has no help with his daily living activities, he is housebound because he can no longer drive, he has no support system, and he feels unable to get on with his life without his wife. The nurse asks him what he needs to feel better and to help him get through the next few weeks (promoting positive future orientation). He replies, “I need to be closer to my friends and the hospital. I am so lonely and afraid out there by myself” (unmet love and belonging and safety and security needs).

After a lengthy discussion, they decide together to implement a plan of care. (The nurse is facilitating client control, affirming his strengths and his self-care knowledge that he knows what will make him heal; together they are setting mutual goals.) Robert calls and speaks to his son, who plans to visit (this action facilitates his sense of perceived support and AI). His minister is called, and grief counseling is arranged (support is perceived, facilitation of grief resolution is initiated, client is facilitated in being future-focused).

Robert decides that he will move to town into a senior citizen apartment that provides meals and other services, and arrangements are made for him to have help with the moving process. He will be closer to the hospital and other people if he needs them (this will help him feel safer and more secure). He can then choose when to visit with friends or participate in social activities that are offered at the complex (his love and belonging needs can be met, and this facilitates his sense of control). He can also receive assistance with basic physiological needs when needed (meals, housekeeping services). After he is settled into his new home, the nurse provides him with her telephone number, so he can call if he needs anything or if he just wants to check in (support and love and belonging needs are met). This action facilitates the client’s trust and AI. His control is maintained, and his strengths and self-care knowledge are affirmed (he will know and be able to call when he needs assistance, or will be connected to the nurse). Finally, the nurse schedules regular telephone calls (based on the client’s schedule) to check in and see how he is doing and to address any concerns or questions he has. This action facilitates trust, his safety and security and love and belonging, and A-I needs are met.
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Interview a client, and use the theory to interpret the data. Identify nursing diagnoses based on the interpretations.

2. Propose a nursing plan of care based on the interview and interpretation in question one.

3. Assuming the goal is to promote the client’s health and development, predict the client outcome based on the proposed nursing plan of care. Predict the client outcome if the care is not given.

4. Assess the client from primary, secondary, and tertiary sources. Compare for congruency among the three types of sources.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- http://www.mrmnursingtheory.org/
- Research and conceptual references are available on the website on mid-range theories, major constructs, and philosophical assumptions of the Modeling and Role-Modeling Theory.
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Appendix

Assessment Tool Based on Modeling and Role-Modeling

I. Description of the situation
   A. Overview of the situation
   B. Etiology
      1. Eustressors
      2. Stressors
      3. Distressors
   C. Therapeutic needs

II. Expectations
   A. Immediate
   B. Long-term

III. Resource potential
   A. External
      1. Social network
      2. Support system
      3. Health care system
   B. Internal
      1. Strengths
      2. Adaptive potential
         a. Feeling states
         b. Physiological parameters

IV. Goals and life tasks
   A. Current
   B. Future

Data Interpretation Tool Based on Modeling and Role-Modeling

I. Interpret data for ability to mobilize resources (APAM)

II. Interpret data for needs status (assets and deficits related to type of need), attachment objects, loss, grief (normal or morbid), life tasks (developmental: actual and chronological)

Data Analysis Tool Based on Modeling and Role-Modeling

I. Step one
   A. Articulate relationships between stressors and needs status.
   B. Articulate relationships between needs status and ability to mobilize resources.
   C. Articulate relationships between needs status and loss of attachment.
   D. Articulate relationships between loss and type of grief response.
   E. Articulate relationships between the type of need assets and deficits and the developmental residual.
   F. Articulate relationships between chronological developmental task and developmental residual.

II. Step two
   A. Articulate relationships among stressors, resource potential, needs status, loss, grief status, developmental residual, chronological task, and attachment potential.
   B. Articulate relationships among needs status, potential resources, developmental residual, and personal goals.

AI, Affiliated individuation; APAM, Adaptive Potential Assessment Model.


Planning Tool Based on Modeling and Role-Modeling

I. Aims of interventions
   A. Build trust
   B. Promote positive orientation
   C. Promote client control
   D. Promote strengths
   E. Set health-directed goals

II. Intervention goals
   A. Develop a trusting and functional relationship between yourself and your client.
   B. Facilitate a self-projection that is futuristic and positive.
   C. Promote AI with the minimal degree of ambivalence possible.
   D. Promote a dynamic, adaptive, and holistic state of health.
   E. Promote and nurture a coping mechanism that satisfies basic needs and permits growth-need satisfaction.
   F. Facilitate congruent actual and chronological developmental stages.

“Symphonology (from ‘symphonia,’ a Greek word meaning agreement) is a system of ethics based on the terms and preconditions of an agreement” (Husted & Husted).

Credentials and Background of the Theorists

Gladys Husted was born in Pittsburgh, where her life, practice, education, and teaching continue to influence the nursing profession. Husted received a Bachelor of Science in Nursing degree from the University of Pittsburgh in 1962 and began practice in public health and acute inpatient medical-surgical care. Observations of interactions between nurses and patients initiated her interest in ethical issues. In 1968, she earned a master’s degree in nursing education while teaching at the Louise Suyden School of Nursing at St. Margaret’s Memorial Hospital in Pittsburgh. Her love of teaching prompted doctoral study that resulted in a terminal degree from the University of Pittsburgh Department of Curriculum and Supervision.

G. Husted is currently professor emeritus at Duquesne University School of Nursing, where in 1998 she was awarded the title of School of Nursing Distinguished Professor. She continues to teach part time and direct dissertations. The school has also recognized her teaching excellence at all levels of the curriculum through the Duquesne University School of Nursing Recognition Award for Excellence 1990/1991 and the Faculty Award for Excellence in Teaching 1994/1995. The Medical College of Ohio chose Husted as Distinguished Lecturer in 2000. She is a member of Sigma Theta Tau International, Phi Kappa Phi, and the National League for Nursing.

G. Husted served as consultant for Western Pennsylvania Hospital Nursing Division regarding the development of an ethics committee, including educating staff and management, and providing guidance for the newly formed committee. She also provided consultation for the Allegheny General Medical Center for staff development and the National Nursing Ethics Advisory Group for the Department of
The name of the theory is derived from the Greek word *symphonia*, which means “agreement.” Ethics is “a system of standards to motivate, determine, and justify actions directed to the pursuit of vital and fundamental goals” (Husted & Husted, 2008, p. 8). Ethics examines what ought to be done, within the realm of what can be done, to preserve and enhance human life. The Husteds, therefore, described ethics as the science of living well.

Bioethics is concerned with the ethics of interactions between a patient and a health care professional, what ought to be done to preserve and enhance human life within the health care arena. Within the past century, the expanding knowledge base and growth of technology altered existing health care practice and created threatening and confusing circumstances not previously encountered. Increasing numbers and types of treatment options allowed patients to survive conditions they would not have in the past. However, the morbidity of the survivors brought new questions: Who should receive treatment? What is the appropriateness of treatments under particular circumstances? Who should decide what treatments are appropriate? In this way, bioethics became a central issue in what previously had been a prescriptive environment. It became essential to consider ethical concerns, as well as scientific solutions, to questions of health (Jecker, Jonsen, & Pearlman, 1997). Through personal experience and observation of nurses, the Husteds recognized the increasingly complex nature of bioethical dilemmas and the failure of the health care system to adequately address the problem.

To clarify the reasons for the deficiency of the health care system in addressing the issue of delivering ethical care, the Husteds examined traditional ideas and concepts used to guide ethical behavior. These ideas include deontology, utilitarianism, emotivism, and social relativism. Deontology is a duty-based ethic in which the consequences of one’s actions are irrelevant. One acts in accordance with preset standards regardless of the outcome. The inappropriateness of this type of guideline is obvious in relation to health care professionals, because they are responsible for foreseeing the effects of their actions and acting only in ways that benefit a patient. Utilitarian thought would have health care professionals acting to bring about the greatest good for the greatest
number of people. This is inconsistent with the practice of health care professionals who act as agents for individual patients. Emotivism promotes ethical actions in accordance with the emotions of those involved. Rational thought has no place in emotive choices, making this type of decision-making process inappropriate in the health care arena. Social relativism imposes the beliefs of a society onto the individual. This approach is incongruous with the increasing diversity of our emerging global society. The authors recognized that the inappropriateness of traditional methods of ethical reasoning brought about the failure of the health care system to successfully address bioethical issues.

Because traditional models proved inadequate to guide ethical behavior for health care professionals, the Husteds began to conceive and develop a method by which health care professionals might determine appropriate ethical actions. The theory was based on logical thinking, emphasizing the provision of holistic, individualized care. They drew from the work of Aristotle, Benedict Spinoza, and Michael Polanyi. These philosophers adhere to rational thought and value persons as individuals. Aristotle was a student of Plato who advanced his teacher’s work by recognizing that there is more to understanding phenomena than simple rationality. He believed that one must develop insight and perception to recognize how principles can be applied to each situation (McKeon, 1941).

The Dutch philosopher, Spinoza, examined the nature of humans and human knowledge. He recognized that, although the process and outcomes of reasoning may be comparable for each person, intuitive and discerning thought is unique to each. Spinoza believed that reason must be coupled with intuitive thought for true understanding (Lloyd, 1996). Spinoza was noted for taking well-worn philosophical concepts and transforming them into new and engaging ideas. This is true of the Husteds’ development of Symphonology, particularly in the evolution of the meaning of the bioethical standards.

Polanyi proposed that understanding is derived from awareness of the entirety of a phenomenon, that the lived experience is greater than separate, observable parts. Tacit knowledge, that which is implied, is necessary to understand and interpret that which is explicit (Polanyi, 1964). These concepts, the uniqueness of the individual and the extension of reason and rationality with insight and discernment to create true understanding, are the foundations of the symphonological method.

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

#### Agency

*Agency* is the capacity of an agent to initiate action toward a chosen goal. The shared goal of a nurse and patient is to restore the patient’s agency (Husted & Husted, 2008).

#### Context

The “context is the interweaving of the relevant facts of a situation” (Husted & Husted, 2008, p. 84). There are three interrelated elements of context: the context of the situation, the context of knowledge, and the context of an agent’s awareness. The context of the situation includes all aspects of the situation that provide understanding of the situation and promote the ability to act effectively within it. The context of knowledge is an agent’s preexisting knowledge, which includes factors usually found within the situation. In the context of an agent’s awareness, the first two contexts are interwoven. It is an agent’s present awareness of all the relevant aspects (knowledge and circumstances) of the situation that are necessary to understand and act effectively within it (Husted & Husted, 2008).

#### Environment-Agreement

The *environment* established by Symphonology is formed by agreement within a context. *Agreement* is a shared state of awareness on the basis of which interaction occurs (Husted & Husted, 2008). Agreement creates the realm in which nursing and all other human interactions occur. Every agreement is aimed toward a final value to be attained through interactions made possible by understanding.

The health care professional–patient agreement is formed by a meeting of the professional’s and the patient’s needs. Their agreement is one in which the
Beginning in 1990, Duquesne University offered a course devoted to this bioethical theory. The authors continued to seek critique and examples about their work from students, practitioners, and other experts. The third edition of the book, *Ethical Decision Making in Nursing and Healthcare: The Symphonological Approach* (Husted & Husted, 2001), offered a clarified description of the theory, with advanced concepts separated from the basic concepts. In addition, the model was redrawn to better represent the nonlinear nature of the theory in practice. The fourth edition offers further clarification of concepts and the integration of concepts in the theory as a whole. In addition, the text is rearranged to present the concepts from simple to more complex.

As the theory emerged, the need for an emphasis on the individual became apparent and essential. In recent years, it has become accepted practice in the literature to designate patients and nurses as “he/she,” or simply use the plural form, referring to nurses and their patients. The authors recognized that these needs and desires of the patient are central. The professional’s commitment is defined in terms of the patient’s needs. Without this agreement, there would be no context for interaction between the two; the relationship would be unintelligible to both (Husted & Husted, 1999).

**Health**

*Health* is a concept applicable to every potential of a person’s life. Health involves not only thriving of the physical body, but also happiness. Happiness is realized as individuals pursue and progress toward the goals of their chosen life plan (Husted & Husted, 2001). Health is evident when individuals experience, express, and engage in the fundamental bioethical standards.

**Nursing**

A nurse acts as the agent of the patient, doing for her patient what he would do for himself if he were able (Husted & Husted, 2008). The nurse’s ethical responsibility is to encourage and strengthen those qualities in the patient that serve life, health, and well-being through their interaction (Fedorka & Husted, 2004).

**Person-Patient**

A person is an individual with a unique character structure, possessing the right to pursue vital goals as he chooses (Husted & Husted, 2001). These characteristics are unique to an individual and also may be shared by others (Husted & Husted, 2008). Vital goals are related to survival and the enhancement of life. A person takes on the role of patient when he has a loss or a decrease in agency resulting in an inability to take the actions required for survival or happiness (Husted & Husted, 1998).

**Rights**

The product of an implicit agreement among rational beings, by virtue of their rationality, not to obtain actions or the product of actions from others except through voluntary consent, objectively gained (Husted & Husted, 2001). The term *rights* is a singular term that represents the critical agreement of nonaggression among rational people (Husted & Husted, 1997b).

### Use of Empirical Evidence

Study and dialogue between the two theorists, coupled with experience of the overall evolution of health care and observation of individual nurse-patient relationships, provided the impetus to develop Symphonology Theory. G. Husted’s dissertation focused on the effect of teaching ethical principles on a student’s ability to use these in practical ways through case studies. J. Husted was very instrumental in the selection of the dissertation topic and was used as a consultant during the process. Development of G. Husted’s doctoral work led to numerous publications and presentations before the first edition of the book *Ethical Decision Making in Nursing and Healthcare: The Symphonological Approach* (Husted & Husted, 2001), offered a clarified description of the theory, with advanced concepts separated from the basic concepts. In addition, the model was redrawn to better represent the nonlinear nature of the theory in practice. The fourth edition offers further clarification of concepts and the integration of concepts in the theory as a whole. In addition, the text is rearranged to present the concepts from simple to more complex.

As the theory emerged, the need for an emphasis on the individual became apparent and essential. In recent years, it has become accepted practice in the literature to designate patients and nurses as “he/she,” or simply use the plural form, referring to nurses and their patients. The authors recognized that these
awkward and anonymous terms distract readers from thinking in terms of real people within the context of a particular situation. Therefore, they chose to refer to individuals as he, in the case of patients, and she, in the case of health care professionals in particular situations and examples. This chapter will continue with this practice.

**Major Assumptions**

The assumptions from this theory arise from the practical reasoning. The model is meant to provide nurses and other health care professionals with a logical method of determining appropriate ethical actions. Although many of the terms are familiar to nurses and health care professionals, some have been redefined to support the reality of human interaction and ethical delivery of health care.

**Nursing**

Symphonology holds that a nurse or any other health care professional acts as the agent of the patient. Using her education and experience, a nurse does for her patient what he would do for himself if he were able. Nursing cannot occur without both nurse and patient. “A nurse takes no actions that are not interactions” (Husted & Husted, 2001, p. 37). The nurse’s ethical responsibility is to encourage and strengthen those qualities in the patient that serve life, health, and well-being through their interaction (Fedorka & Husted, 2004).

Agency is the capacity of an agent to take action toward a chosen goal. A nurse as agent takes action for a patient, one who cannot act on his own behalf. The shared goal of a nurse and a patient is to restore the patient’s agency. The nurse acts with and for the patient toward this end.

**Person or Patient**

The Husteds define a person as an individual with a unique character structure possessing the right to pursue vital goals as he chooses (Husted & Husted, 2001). Vital goals are concerned with survival and the enhancement of life. A person takes on the role of patient when he has lost or experienced a decrease in agency resulting in his inability to take the actions required for survival or happiness. The inability to take action may result from physical or mental problems, or from a lack of knowledge or experience (Husted & Husted, 1998).

**Health**

The authors do not address or define health directly. The entire theory is driven by the concept of health in the broadest, most holistic sense. Health is a concept applicable to every potential of a person’s life. Health involves not only thriving of the physical body, but also happiness. Happiness is realized as individuals pursue and progress toward the goals of their chosen life plan (Husted & Husted, 2001). Health is evident when individuals experience, express, and engage in the fundamental bioethical standards.

**Environment or Agreement**

The environment established by Symphonology is formed by agreement. “Agreement is a shared state of awareness on the basis of which interaction occurs” (Husted & Husted, 2001, p. 61). Agreement creates the realm in which nursing and all other human interactions occur. Every agreement is aimed toward a final value to be attained through interactions made possible by understanding.

The health care professional–patient agreement is formed by a meeting of the professional’s and the patient’s needs. Their agreement is one in which the needs and desires of the patient are central. The professional’s commitment is defined in terms of the patient’s needs. Without this agreement, there would be no context for interaction between the two. The relationship would be unintelligible to both (Husted & Husted, 1999).

Symphonology Theory is not a compilation of traditional cultural platitudes. It is a method of determining what is practical and justifiable in the ethical dimensions of professional practice. Symphonology recognizes that what is possible and desirable in the agreement is dependent on the context.

The context is the interweaving of the relevant facts of a situation—the facts that are necessary to act upon to bring about a desired result (Husted & Husted, 2001). There are three interrelated elements of context: the context of the situation, the context of knowledge, and the context of awareness. The context of the situation includes all facts relevant to the situation that provide understanding of the situation and promote the ability to act effectively within it. The context of knowledge is an agent’s preexisting knowledge of the relevant facts of the situation. The context of awareness
represents an integration of the agent’s awareness of the facts of the situation and her preexisting knowledge about how to most effectively deal with these facts (Husted & Husted, 2008).

**Theoretical Assertions**

Symphonology is classified as a grand theory because of its broad scope. Grand theories structure goals related to a specific view of the discipline (Walker & Avant, 2011). Grand theories are broader than conceptual models and may be used as a model to guide practice and research (Fawcett & Garity, 2009). The authors developed Symphonology Theory not from natural progression of other work, but from the recognition of a need for theoretical guidelines related to the ethical delivery of health care. The understanding and use of this theory are based on a fundamental ethical element that describes the rational relationship between human beings: human rights.

**Rights**

The Husteds describe rights as the fundamental ethical element. Traditionally, rights are viewed as a list of options to which one is entitled, such as a list of items or actions to which one has a just claim. Symphonology holds rights as a singular concept. It is the implicit, species-wide agreement that one will not force another to act, or take by force the products of another’s actions. Rights are viewed as the critical agreement among rational people, the agreement of nonaggression (Husted & Husted, 1997a). This agreement emerged as humans became rational and developed a civilized social structure. A nonaggression agreement is preconditional to all human interaction. It serves as a foundation on which all other agreements rest. The formal definition is as follows: “the product of an implicit agreement among rational beings, held by virtue of their rationality, not to obtain actions or the products of actions from others except through voluntary consent, objectively gained” (Husted & Husted, 2001, p. 4). The operation of this is evident in human interaction.

According to the Husteds, Symphonology Theory can ensure ethical action in the provision of health care. Agreement is the foundation of Symphonology. Agreements can occur based on the implicit understanding of human rights. The understanding of nonaggression that exists among rational persons constitutes human rights. This understanding makes negotiation and cooperation among individuals possible.

**Ethical Standards**

Ethical standards have been the benchmarks of ethical behavior. The standards include terms familiar to health care professionals such as beneficence, veracity, and confidentiality. However, the authors have conceived new meanings for ethical standards that correspond to the foundational concepts of Symphonology: the person as a unique individual, and the use of insight and discernment in addition to reason and rationality in order to achieve a deeper understanding.

Traditionally, bioethical concepts have been used to guide ethical action by mandating concrete directives for action. For instance, the concept of beneficence conventionally maintains that one must see that no harm comes to a patient. However, it is not always possible to predict how and when harm will occur, making adherence to this directive an unrealistic goal. The concept of beneficence, viewed as a mandate, could also imply that defending yourself against a physical attack is unethical. Similarly, veracity, or truth telling, holds that one must always speak the truth regardless of the consequences. Therefore, it is unethical to withhold potentially harmful information, regardless of the consequences. Adhering to veracity may interfere with one’s commitment to beneficence. Clearly, ethical standards taken as concrete directives do not allow for the consideration of context.

The authors have redefined the ethical standards, not as concrete rules, but as human qualities or character structures that can and must be recognized and respected in the individual (Husted & Husted, 1995b). For example, in Symphonological terms, beneficence includes the idea of acting in the patient’s best interest, but it begins with the patient’s evaluation of what is beneficial. In this way, ethical standards are presuppositions in the health care professional–patient agreement and ethical guides to decision making. The participants work together with the implicit understanding that each is possessed of human characteristics. The description and names of the bioethical standards have changed over time based on feedback from practitioners. Symphonological theory holds that patients have a right to receive the benefits specified in the bioethical standards. **Box 26–1** provides definitions and examples of bioethical standards.
As stated earlier, recognition of these standards is preconditional to the implicit patient–health care professional agreement. When recognized and respected in each individual, these human qualities and capabilities form the basis for ethical interaction. When they are disregarded, the context of the situation is lost. Interaction is then based on whatever is served by concrete directives or on the whim of the participants.

**Certainty**

There are circumstances in health care when a patient is unable to communicate his unique character structure, as in the case of an infant or a comatose patient. Health care professionals also interact with individuals from different cultures for whom a common language is lacking. In these cases, the bioethical standards can provide a measure of certainty when knowledge of an individual’s unique character is unobtainable.

If you know nothing whatever about an individual’s uniqueness, then you are justified in acting on the basis that, as a member of the human species, he shares much in common with every other individual (Fedorka & Husted, 2004, p. 58).

These commonalities are the bioethical standards. Each person needs the power to sustain his unique nature, the power to be objectively aware of his surroundings, and the power to control his time and effort, to pursue benefit, and to avoid harm. Lacking other information, nurses and health care professionals are justified to do all they can to restore these powers to the individual.

**Decision-Making Model**

Figure 26–1 demonstrates the way the concepts of the theory interact with direct decision making. The elements of ethical decision making interact in the following way:

- A person is a rational being with a unique character structure. Each person has the right to choose and pursue, without interference, a course of action in accordance with his needs and desires.
- Agreements between individuals are demonstrated by a shared state of awareness directed toward a goal.
The health care professional–patient agreement is directed toward preserving and enhancing the life of the patient.

Context is the basis for determining what actions are ethical within the health care professional–patient agreement. “Context is the interweaving of the relevant facts of the situation—the facts that are necessary to act upon to bring about a desired result, an agent’s awareness of these facts, and the knowledge an agent has of how to deal most effectively with these facts” (Husted & Husted, 2008, p. 84). In this way, there are no universal ethical principles.

Ethical decisions are the result of reasoning from the context to a decision rather than applying a decision or principle to a situation without regard for the context.

The Husteds described the ultimate application and practice of these assumptions by health care professionals...
in the following way. The professional will come to understand and work from the philosophy that:

My patient’s virtues (autonomy) are such that he is moving (self-assertion) toward his goal (freedom) in these circumstances (objectivity) for this reason (beneficence). My virtues (autonomy) are such that I must act with him (interactive self-assertion) to assist him (his freedom) within the possibilities (of beneficence) in his circumstances to achieve every possible benefit that can be discovered (by objective awareness)

(Husted & Husted, 2001, p. 154).

An interactive model can be found at: http://www.nursing.duq.edu/faculty/husted/index.html

 Logical Form

Abductive reasoning, like induction and deduction, follows a pattern:

• A is a collection of data (the process of discerning ethical action).
• B (if true) explains A (Symphonology).
• No other hypothesis explains A as well as B does (traditional methods).
• Therefore B is probably correct.

The strength of an abductive conclusion depends on how solidly B can stand by itself, how clearly B exceeds alternatives, how comprehensive was the search for alternatives, the cost of B being wrong and the benefits of being right, and how strong the need is to come to a conclusion at all (Josephson & Josephson, 1994).

The abductive method is evident in the inception and evolution of Symphonology. The strength of this theory is evident as well. The concepts of Symphonology clearly can be observed not only in health care but also in other walks of life. It is clear that ethical action based on the context of an individual’s particular circumstances is far superior to the imposition of concrete directives that often contradict each other or have little relationship to the situation at hand. The authors’ extensive study of the philosophy of knowledge, science, and the human condition attests to the comprehensive search for alternative answers. The benefit to patients and health care professionals of receiving practice-based ethical care would be immeasurable. Finally, the need to address the problem of how to achieve ethical action in health care could not be more critical.

Since the initial development of Symphonology, inductive reasoning based on observation and feedback from practitioners has provided for refinement of the concepts and clarification of the relationships among concepts.

 Acceptance by the Nursing Community

 Practice

The Husted Symphonological model for ethical decision making (Husted & Husted, 2001, p. 201) was developed as a practice model for applying the concepts of Symphonology. This model, stressing the centrality of the individual and the necessity of reason directed by context, is vital in existing and emerging health care systems. The model provides a philosophical framework to ensure ethical care delivery by nurses and all other disciplines of health care. Unlike traditional models, the Symphonological model provides for logically justifiable ethical decision making. The North Memorial Medical Center in Robbinsdale, Minnesota, has adopted the model for use by their nursing ethics committee.

The call to care in nursing is central to the profession. Hartman (1998) asserted that caring is demonstrated when nurses recognize that the bioethical standards are so intertwined with caring that together they provide a perfect circle of ethical justification. Enns and Gregory (2006) proposed that nursing is losing the essence and practice of caring because of the changing health care environment. Symphonology offers a practice-based approach to care, as follows:

A practice-based approach is derived from, and therefore is intended to be appropriate to the situation of a patient, the purpose of the health care setting, and the role of the nurse. The more an ethical system restricts practice based on abstract principles the more nurse and patient become alienated from each other

(Husted & Husted, 1997b, p. 14).

Many nurses practice within systems bound by protocols and critical pathways. Using a Symphonological approach can ensure that nursing practice remains
ethical and does not become prescriptive. This is particularly important when considering making decisions for those who can no longer make decision for themselves (Gropelli, 2005). Often clinical emergency patients are unable to participate in decision making. Symphonology offers a method of ensuring that ethical conclusion and actions are based on the best interests of the individual (Fedorka & Husted, 2004).

Offering culturally sensitive care is increasingly important as our health care systems change in response to a global society (Wehbe-Alamah, 2008; Zoucha & Broome, 2008; Chenowethm, Jeon, Goff, et al., 2006). Although cultural factors can be helpful in directing care for a patient, nurses must also consider the individual's personal commitment to the traditions and beliefs of his culture. In this way, the nurse provides care for the patient rather than the culture (Zoucha & Husted, 2000). Using the Husted model, care is directed within the context of the individual’s circumstances. Imposition of a false context, cultural or otherwise, is avoided.

Brown (2001b) advocated the use of Symphonology Theory to direct discussion and education of patients regarding advance directives. Bioethical standards are used to guide discussion about what types of treatment an individual would or would not want, given particular circumstances. Hardt (2004) has proposed an intervention for nurses in ethical dilemmas.

The emergence of health care teams as a method of delivering comprehensive care brings many disciplines together to serve patients’ needs. Overlapping roles and disparate goals can cause confusion among team members. Symphonological theory, with its patient-centered focus, can serve as common ground to initiate and promote collaboration among health care professionals of all disciplines.

Symphonology can be applied to all caring disciplines. Khechane (2008) developed a model for pastoral care practice based on Symphonology. Using the decision-making model, pastoral care practitioners provide for the relief of suffering using the bioethical standards.

**Education**

As Symphonology is disseminated, it is easy to integrate it into nursing education. More and more ethics is addressed throughout nursing curricula rather than as a separate topic, particularly for advanced nursing students. The broad applicability for Symphonology makes it an excellent framework for nursing curricula. Beginning students can easily grasp and apply the theoretical concepts. Using this theory as a basis for nursing interactions directs the student in ethical practice from the beginning of learning nursing practice. The concept of context can be used as the basis for assessment. The bioethical standards direct the student in choosing appropriate approaches, timing, and type of interventions for each patient. Because of the holistic approach and central concern for the patient, Symphonology can be incorporated easily into existing nursing curricula.

Brown (2001a) addressed the importance of ethical interaction between nurse educator and student. The agreement in this case is more explicit, because both parties are more aware of the commitments and responsibilities. Recognizing the bioethical standards in both the educator and the student serves to direct ethical actions between them. Above all, the educator and student recall that the educator-student-patient agreement is central to the learning process.

Steckler (1998) agreed with Brown’s application of Symphonology in the educational process and recommended incorporating the theory in continuing education. The Husted model not only identifies and organizes professional values and ethical principles for learners, but it helps the educator to develop a consistent professional ethical orientation. Cutilli (2009) utilized case study applications with the Symphonological Theory approach to patient and family education.

**Administration**

Health care administrators make decisions at several levels. They have a responsibility to the community at large and the financial viability of the institution within the community, the employees, and those receiving care. Hardt (2004) described how administrators use the principles of Symphonology to guide their decision making to produce ethically justifiable outcomes.

With regard to issues at the community and institutional levels, one considers the needed services provided by the institution. In cases in which the services needed would not be feasible for the institution, resources within the community can be shared and supported by the institution so that needed services are available with the least amount of loss to the
institution. At the employee level, the administrators are concerned with care delivery as well as interpersonal relations. Symphonology guides decision making into equitable rather than equal solutions. For example, an employer may choose to forego the use of a harsh sanction for absenteeism when the employee is able to show extenuating circumstances that prevented his attendance. This is also true for the development of policy regarding employees' behavior. Ethical policy provides guidelines for examining situations rather than prescribed rules with concrete directives for action. With regard to individual patients, administrators act as role models and consultants when addressing ethical issues.

Hardt and Hopey (2001) described the problematic situations that occur within managed care systems. Difficulties that have been identified include the refusal of the organization to provide care deemed appropriate by health care professionals and the inappropriate demands of patients and families. Using the principles of Symphonology, health care professionals can examine the context and determine appropriate ethical actions within the implicit and explicit agreements.

Nurse administrators and managers can also use Symphonology to mediate inappropriate situations between patients and nurses (Bavier, 2007). For example, cases in which patients wish to give an inappropriate gift as a sign of appreciation to a particular nurse.

Research

Symphonology in research is useful in relation to the researcher-subject agreement. The health care professional–patient relationship is to some extent implicit, but the relationship between a researcher and a subject must be thoroughly explicit. Brown (2001c) suggested using the bioethical standards to develop an ethical informed consent protocol. Particularly when the research involves vulnerable populations, the consent of surrogates is made more acceptable and is obtained more easily if the good of the individual is made central by using the bioethical standards.

Further Development

Initial testing of Symphonological Theory included two phases. First, a qualitative study examined the perceptions and satisfaction of nurses and patients and their significant others as they engaged in ethical decision making for health care issues (Husted, 2001). The themes that emerged from this study were used to develop visual analog tools to measure these feelings in nurses and patients. In the second phase, a pilot study to test the tool was completed. The Cronbach alpha was reported as 0.74 for the nurse's tool and 0.82 for the patient's tool (Husted, 2004).

Irwin (2004) used a sample of 30 participants involved in a variety of decisions about health care and treatment during hospitalization in an acute care setting. The study included a decision support intervention for patients to determine the following: (1) whether key concepts of Symphonological Theory describe the experience of individuals making health care decisions, and (2) whether application of the decision-making framework will enable nurses and patients to make ethically justifiable decisions. Results confirmed that patients expressed all the concepts of Symphonology when discussing their experiences with health care decision making. Statistical analysis of pretest and posttest scores on the Bioethical Decision Making Preference Scale for Patients demonstrated that subjects had a more positive experience of being involved in decision making \( (p = 0.02) \) and felt more sufficiency of knowledge \( (p = 0.013) \), less frustration \( (p = 0.014) \), and more sense of power \( (p = 0.009) \) after the intervention. These findings support the validity of Symphonology Theory. The theory can be used to describe the experience of being involved in decision making, and Symphonology has utility as a model for assisting patients through the decision-making process.

A graduate student used the nursing visual analog tool to discover how nurses felt when dealing with disclosure issues with patients. The Cronbach alpha for this study was 0.82 (Bavier, 2003). Further testing of the theory is underway as a doctoral student is analyzing data from a study designed to determine the effect of a Symphonology-based educational intervention on the ethical decision-making performance of advanced-level nursing students, and to compare how students understand the application of Symphonology and other theories (Mraz, 2012).

Critique

Clarity

In Ethical Decision Making in Nursing (Husted & Husted, 1995a), the authors presented the emerging concepts
of Symphonology and the relationships among the concepts. The book may be difficult for beginning nurses to read because deeper concepts meant for advanced practitioners are included along with basic ideas. The third edition, Ethical Decision Making in Nursing and Healthcare: The Symphonological Approach, begins with the basic concepts for understanding and using the theory and then moves to more advanced concepts in later sections (Husted & Husted, 2001). Along with this improved organization, the third edition shows the emergence of increasing clarity for all concepts, the bioethical standards in particular. The fourth edition provides yet further clarity using tables and figures and includes a user-friendly teacher manual.

This work challenges traditional methods of thought and requires the reader to develop a new understanding of familiar concepts. Storytelling and examples provide the opportunity to recognize and understand the importance of alternative and extended meanings for familiar terms. The conversational tone of the writing is appealing and creates a comfortable atmosphere for a complex subject.

**Simplicity**

The authors first challenge the truth and efficacy of traditional ideas about ethical behavior and decision making. This is a simple matter if the reader is open-minded to a different view of nursing events. As the reader accepts the challenge, the simplicity of the theory is evident. There are few concepts, and the relational statements flow logically from the definitions. The model clearly demonstrates the elements of the process of ethical reasoning and the manner in which these elements interact.

**Generality**

Symphonology is applicable at all levels of nursing practice and in all areas of health care. The principles can be applied between nurse and patient, researcher and subject, manager and employee, and educator and student. Health care professionals of all types can use this method to determine appropriate ethical behaviors in practice. This theory can also be applied to the process of establishing health care policy that is ethical in nature. Indeed, these principles can be applied in all walks of life, depending on the nature of the agreement between the parties involved.

**Accessibility**

Symphonology is a theory grounded in ethical principles and based in reality. Evidence has demonstrated support of the theory in nursing practice decision research, and the reality of the usefulness of the theory in practice is evident. Nurses and other health care professionals can easily understand the concepts and apply them in all situations. The result of using the Symphonological model is a patient-centered, ethically justifiable decision.

**Importance**

Being able to identify ethical actions in health care is of vital importance to patients, health care professionals, and the health care industry itself. Understanding the ethical dilemmas of nursing practice is an important issue for nursing education, research, and practice. Before a nurse or any health care professional takes action (regardless of how effective the action has been in the past), the action must be justified as ethical with regard to the particular patient at hand. Reliance on concrete directives to guide action serves the directives, but only by chance serves the patient. Therefore, the pursuit of a practice-based ethical theory is essential for nursing practice and health care.

**Summary**

The Husteds recognized that the traditional methods of decision making were insufficient to address the bioethical problems emerging in the evolving health care system. They developed a theory of ethics and a decision-making model based on rational thought combined with ethical principles, insight, and understanding. Their theory is founded on the singular concept of human rights, the essential agreement of nonaggression among rational people that forms the foundation of all human interaction. Upon this foundation, health care professionals and patients enter into an agreement to act to achieve the patient’s goals. Preconditional to this agreement are recognition and respect for each person’s unique character structure and the attendant properties of that structure: freedom, objectivity, beneficence, self-assertion, and fidelity. Ethical decisions are established within the context of a particular situation, using knowledge pertaining to the situation. Symphonological theory and the model for practice ensure ethically justifiable, individualized decisions.
**CASE STUDY**

Alvin, 66 years of age, has been in the hospital for 12 weeks with multiple trauma following a motor vehicle accident. His condition worsens each day, and his prognosis is very grave. He is not alert, but he grimaces and withdraws from stimulation. Prior to his injury, Alvin signed a living will and discussed with his family his desire not to be kept alive in the event he was ill or injured and recovery was not possible. The health care team tells his family that, despite aggressive treatment, many of Alvin’s body systems are failing. Even if Alvin survives, there is no hope that he will be able to live without a ventilator because of extensive lung damage. The team suggests supportive care for Alvin and a do-not-resuscitate order. Most of the family members express the desire to ensure Alvin’s comfort. Two family members believe Alvin will survive and recover. They refuse the team’s suggestion and demand that Alvin receive every available treatment to keep him alive.

**Analysis**

- **Autonomy:** Alvin’s desires should be given priority over his family members’ desires.
- **Freedom:** Not to honor Alvin’s wishes is a violation of his freedom.
- **Objectivity:** The subjective feelings of two family members are in conflict with objective reality. Only the patient’s feelings are considered in ethical decisions.
- **Self-assertion:** It is not justifiable to substitute family members’ values for the patient’s.
- **Beneficence:** The patient’s goals cannot be obtained by aggressive treatment; however, aggressive treatment may well cause the patient further harm.
- **Fidelity:** The health care professional’s agreement with Alvin was to act as his agent in pursuing goals that are possible to attain.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

Using the Husted model, analyze the following ethical situations from an ethical perspective.

1. Christina, 46 years of age, has been in the hospital for 2 weeks following a traumatic injury. Her condition was very grave, but she is beginning to show signs of recovery. The health care team suggests that a blood transfusion will provide the necessary support to continue her improvement. Christina and her family practice a religious faith that does not permit blood transfusions. Christina’s husband and religious leader insist that she not be given the transfusion regardless of the consequences. When the visitors leave, Christina tells the nurse that she would like to receive the transfusion, but only if it could be kept secret from her family. What should the nurse do?

2. Angela, 34 years of age, is dying of lung cancer. Despite counseling and support, she is very frightened. When her death is imminent, she screams over and over, “Don’t let me die! Don’t let me die!” Despite all efforts, Angela succumbs before her husband arrives. He asks, “How was she? Was she afraid?” What should the nurse say?

3. Johnny, 7 years of age, is a psychiatric inpatient with a diagnosis of trichomania (hair pulling). His parents are very concerned about stopping his destructive behavior and have developed a series of punishments for incidents of hair pulling. Johnny has been seen pulling his hair out several times during the day. His parents arrive and ask how many times Johnny pulled his hair. What should the nurse say?

4. Eugene, 47 years of age, has several chronic illnesses. Despite education and support, he declines to adhere to prescribed health care practices. Mark, a home health care nurse, has been seeing Eugene for several months and has made no progress in helping Eugene to improve his health. While discussing the situation, Eugene tells Mark that he has no intention of changing any of his behaviors. Is Mark justified in asking the physician to discontinue home health visits?

5. Agnes is a nurse on a busy medical nursing unit. Mr. Brown frequently asks Agnes to interrupt her
work to answer questions and perform nonemergency tasks for him. Agnes’s other patients complain of neglect. What should Agnes do, and how can she justify her actions?

6. Burt, 34 years of age, has a diagnosis of manic depression. He lives in a group home with several others like himself. Several times a year, Burt stops taking his medication and disappears for weeks at a time. Occasionally Burt is arrested for vagrancy, but he has never been violent with himself or others. He states he enjoys his “vacations” because his medicine makes his life seem boring, dull, and difficult. Burt’s family calls the director of the group home and insists that Burt be required to take his medicine each morning under supervision. What should the director say, and how could he justify various courses of action?

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

- Husted & Husted’s Theory website at: http://www.nursing.duq.edu/faculty/husted/details.html
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Middle Range Nursing Theories

- Middle range theories are the least abstract and contain the details of practice application.
- Middle range theories develop evidence for nursing practice outcomes.
- Middle range theories include characteristics of nursing practice and/or nursing situations.
- Middle range theories are theoretical evidence of applicability and outcome.
- The nursing situation details that make middle range theories recognizable as such are:
  - The situation or health condition of the client/patient
  - Client/patient population or age-group
  - Location or area of practice (e.g., community)
  - Action of the nurse or intervention
  - The client/patient outcome anticipated
Maternal Role Attainment—Becoming a Mother

Molly Meighan

“The process of becoming a mother requires extensive psychological, social, and physical work. A woman experiences heightened vulnerability and faces tremendous challenges as she makes this transition. Nurses have an extraordinary opportunity to help women learn, gain confidence, and experience growth as they assume the mother identity” (Mercer, 2006, p. 649).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Ramona T. Mercer began her nursing career in 1950, when she received her diploma from St. Margaret’s School of Nursing in Montgomery, Alabama. She graduated with the L.L. Hill Award for Highest Scholastic Standing. She returned to school in 1960 after working as a staff nurse, head nurse, and instructor in the areas of pediatrics, obstetrics, and contagious diseases. She completed a bachelor’s degree in nursing in 1962, graduating with distinction from the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque. She went on to earn a master’s degree in maternal-child nursing from Emory University in 1964 and completed a Ph.D. in maternity nursing at the University of Pittsburgh in 1973.

After receiving her Ph.D., Mercer moved to California and accepted the position of Assistant Professor in the Department of Family Health Care Nursing at the University of California, San Francisco. She was promoted to associate professor in 1977 and
to full professor in 1983. She remained in that role until her retirement in 1987. Currently, Dr. Mercer is Professor Emeritus in Family Health Nursing at the University of California, San Francisco (Mercer, curriculum vitae, 2002).

Mercer received awards throughout her career. In 1963, while working and pursuing studies in nursing, she received the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Public Health Service Nurse Trainee Award at Emory University and was inducted into Sigma Theta Tau. She received this award again during her years at the University of Pittsburgh. She also received the Bixler Scholarship for Nursing Education and Research, Southern Regional Board, for doctoral study. In 1982, she received the Maternal Child Health Nurse of the Year Award from the National Foundation of the March of Dimes and American Nurses Association, Division of Maternal Child Health Practice. She was presented with the Fourth Annual Helen Nahm Lecturer Award at the University of California, San Francisco School of Nursing, in 1984. Mercer’s research awards include the American Society for Psychoprophylaxis in Obstetrics (ASPO)/Lamaze National Research Award in 1987; the Distinguished Research Lectureship Award, Western Institute of Nursing, Western Society for Research in Nursing in 1988; and the American Nurses Foundation’s Distinguished Contribution to Nursing Science Award in 1990 (Mercer, curriculum vitae, 2002). Mercer has authored numerous articles, editorials, and commentaries. In addition, she has published six books and six book chapters.

In early research efforts, Mercer focused on the behaviors and needs of breastfeeding mothers, mothers bearing infants with defects, and teenage mothers. Her first book, Nursing Care for Parents at Risk (1977), received an American Journal of Nursing Book of the Year Award in 1978. Her study of teenage mothers over the first year of motherhood resulted in the 1979 book, Perspectives on Adolescent Health Care, which also received an American Journal of Nursing Book of the Year Award in 1980. Preceding research led Mercer to study family relationships, antepartal stress as related to familial relationships and the maternal role, and mothers of various ages. In 1986, Mercer’s research on three age groups of mothers was drawn together in her third book, First-Time Motherhood: Experiences from Teens to Forties (1986a). Mercer’s fifth book, Parents at Risk, published in 1990, also received an American Journal of Nursing Book of the Year Award. Parents at Risk (1990) focused on strategies for facilitating early parent-infant interactions and promoting parental competence in relation to specific risk situations. Mercer’s sixth book, Becoming a Mother: Research on Maternal Identity from Rubin to the Present, was published by Springer Publishing Company of New York in 1995. This book contains a more complete description of Mercer’s Theory of Maternal Role Attainment and her framework for studying variables that impact the maternal role.

Since her first publication in 1968, Mercer has written numerous articles for both nursing and non-nursing journals. She published several online courses for Nurseweek during the 1990s and through early 2000, including “Adolescent Sexuality and Childbearing,” “Transitions to Parenthood,” and “Helping Parents When the Unexpected Occurs.”

Mercer maintained membership in several professional organizations, including the American Nurses Association and the American Academy of Nursing, and was an active member on many national committees. From 1983 to 1990, she was Associate Editor of Health Care for Women International. Mercer served on the review panel for Nursing Research and Western Journal of Nursing Research and on the editorial board of the Journal of Adolescent Health Care, and she was on the executive advisory board of Nurseweek. She also served as a reviewer for numerous grant proposals. Additionally, she was actively involved with regional, national, and international scientific and professional meetings and workshops (Mercer, curriculum vitae, 2002). She was honored as a Living Legend by the American Academy of Nursing during the Annual Meeting and Conference in Carlsbad, California, in November 2003. Mercer was honored by the University of New Mexico in 2004, receiving the first College of Nursing Distinguished Alumni Award. In 2005, she was recognized as among the most outstanding alumni and faculty, and her name appears on the Wall of Fame at the University of California, San Francisco.

**Theoretical Sources**

Mercer’s Theory of Maternal Role Attainment was based on her extensive research on the topic beginning
in the late 1960s. Mercer’s professor and mentor, Reva Rubin at the University of Pittsburgh, was a major stimulus for both research and theory development. Rubin (1977, 1984) was well known for her work in defining and describing maternal role attainment as a process of binding-in, or being attached to, the child and achieving a maternal role identity or seeing oneself in the role and having a sense of comfort about it. Mercer’s framework and study variables reflect many of Rubin’s concepts.

In addition to Rubin’s work, Mercer based her research on both role and developmental theories. She relied heavily on an interactionist approach to role theory, using Mead’s (1934) theory on role enactment and Turner’s (1978) theory on the core self. In addition, Thornton and Nardi’s (1975) role acquisition process helped shape Mercer’s theory, as did the work of Burr, Leigh, Day, and Constantine (1979). Werner’s (1957) developmental process theories also contributed. In addition, Mercer’s work was influenced by von Bertalanffy’s (1968) general system theory. Her model of maternal role attainment depicted in Figure 27–1 uses Bronfenbrenner’s (1979) concepts of nested circles as a means of portraying interactional environmental influences on the maternal role. The complexity of her research interest led Mercer to rely on several theoretical sources to identify and study variables that affect maternal role attainment. Although much of her work involved testing and extending Rubin’s theories, she has consistently looked to the research of others in the development and expansion of her theory.

**Use of Empirical Evidence**

Mercer selected both maternal and infant variables for her studies on the basis of her review of the literature and findings of researchers in several disciplines.
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Maternal Role Attainment

*Maternal role attainment* is an interactional and developmental process occurring over time in which the mother becomes attached to her infant, acquires competence in the caretaking tasks involved in the role, and expresses pleasure and gratification in the role (Mercer, 1986a). “The movement to the personal state in which the mother experiences a sense of harmony, confidence, and competence in how she performs the role is the end point of maternal role attainment—maternal identity” (Mercer, 1981, p. 74).

Maternal Identity

*Maternal identity* is defined as having an internalized view of the self as a mother (Mercer, 1995).

Perception of Birth Experience

A woman's perception of her performance during labor and birth is her perception of the birth experience (Mercer, 1990).

Self-Esteem

Mercer, May, Ferketich, and DeJoseph (1986) describe self-esteem as “an individual's perception of how others view oneself and self-acceptance of the perceptions” (p. 341).

Self-Concept (Self-Regard)

Mercer (1986a) outlines self-concept, or self-regard, as “The overall perception of self that includes self-satisfaction, self-acceptance, self-esteem, and congruence or discrepancy between self and ideal self” (p. 18).

Flexibility

Roles are not rigidly fixed; therefore, who fills the roles is not important (Mercer, 1990). “Flexibility of childrearing attitudes increases with increased development... Older mothers have the potential to respond less rigidly to their infants and to view each situation in respect to the unique nuances” (Mercer, 1986a, p. 43; 1990, p. 12).

Child-Rearing Attitudes

*Child-rearing attitudes* are maternal attitudes or beliefs about child rearing (Mercer, 1986a).

Health Status

*Health status* is defined as “The mother’s and father’s perception of their prior health, current health, health outlook, resistance-susceptibility to illness, health worry concern, sickness orientation, and rejection of the sick role” (Mercer, May, Ferketich, et al., 1986, p. 342).

Anxiety

Mercer and colleagues (1986) describe anxiety as “a trait in which there is specific proneness to perceive stressful situations as dangerous or threatening, and as a situation-specific state” (p. 342).

Depression

According to Mercer and colleagues (1986), depression is “having a group of depressive symptoms and in particular the affective component of the depressed mood” (p. 342).

Role Strain–Role Conflict

Role strain is the conflict and difficulty felt by the woman in fulfilling the maternal role obligation (Mercer, 1985a).

Gratification-Satisfaction

Mercer (1985b) describes gratification as “the satisfaction, enjoyment, reward, or pleasure that a woman experiences in interacting with her infant, and in fulfilling the usual tasks inherent in mothering.”

Attachment

*Attachment* is a component of the parental role and identity. It is viewed as a process in which an enduring affectional and emotional commitment to an individual is formed (Mercer, 1990).
Infant Temperament
An easy versus a difficult temperament is related to whether the infant sends hard-to-read cues, leading to feelings of incompetence and frustration in the mother (Mercer, 1986a).

Infant Health Status
Infant health status is illness causing maternal-infant separation, interfering with the attachment process (Mercer, 1986a).

Infant Characteristics
Characteristics include infant temperament, appearance, and health status (Mercer, 1981).

Infant Cues
Infant cues are infant behaviors that elicit a response from the mother (R. T. Mercer, personal communication, September 3, 2003).

Family
Mercer and colleagues (1986) define family as “a dynamic system that includes subsystems—individuals (mother, father, fetus/infant) and dyads (mother-father, mother-fetus/infant, and father-fetus/infant) within the overall family system” (p. 339).

Family Functioning
Family functioning is the individual’s view of the activities and relationships between the family and its subsystems and broader social units (Mercer & Ferketich, 1995).

Father or Intimate Partner
The father or intimate partner contributes to the process of maternal role attainment in a way that cannot be duplicated by any other person (R. T. Mercer, personal communication, January 4, 2003). The father’s interactions help diffuse tension and facilitate maternal role attainment (Donley, 1993; Mercer, 1995).

Stress
Stress is made up of positively and negatively perceived life events and environmental variables (Mercer, 1990).

Social Support
According to Mercer and colleagues (1986), social support is “the amount of help actually received, satisfaction with that help, and the persons (network) providing that help” (p. 341).

Four areas of social support are as follows:
1. Emotional support: “Feeling loved, cared for, trusted, and understood” (Mercer, 1986a, p. 14)
2. Informational support: “Helping the individual help herself by providing information that is useful in dealing with the problem and/or situation” (Mercer, 1986a, p. 14)
3. Physical support: A direct kind of help (Mercer, Hackley, & Bostrom, 1984)
4. Appraisal support: “A support that tells the role taker how she is performing in the role; it enables the individual to evaluate herself in relationship to others’ performance in the role” (Mercer, 1986a, p. 14)

Mother-Father Relationship
The mother-father relationship is the perception of the mate relationship that includes intended and actual values, goals, and agreements between the two (Mercer, 1986b). The maternal attachment to the infant develops within the emotional field of the parent’s relationship (Donley, 1993; Mercer, 1995).

She found that many factors may have a direct or indirect influence on the maternal role, adding to the complexity of her studies. Maternal factors in Mercer’s research included age at first birth, birth experience, early separation from the infant, social stress, social support, personality traits, self-concept, child-rearing attitudes, and health. She included the infant variables of temperament, appearance, responsiveness, health status, and ability to give cues. Mercer (1995) and Ferketich and Mercer (1995a, 1995b, 1995c) also noted the importance of the father’s role and applied many of Mercer’s previous findings in
studying the paternal response to parenthood. Her research required numerous instruments to measure the variables of interest.

Mercer has studied the influence of these variables on parental attachment and competence over several intervals, including the immediate postpartum period and 1 month, 4 months, 8 months, and 1 year following birth (Mercer & Ferketich, 1990a, 1990b). In addition, she has included adolescents, older mothers, ill mothers, mothers dealing with congenital defects, families experiencing antepartal stress, parents at high risk, mothers who had cesarean deliveries, and fathers in her research (Mercer, 1989; Mercer & Ferketich, 1994, 1995; Mercer, Ferketich, & DeJoseph, 1993). As a recent step, she compared her findings and the basis for her original theory with current research. As a result, Mercer (2004) has proposed that the term maternal role attainment be replaced with becoming a mother, because this more accurately describes the continued evolvement of the role across the woman's life span. In addition, she proposed using more recent nursing research findings to describe the stages and process of becoming a mother.

### Major Assumptions

For maternal role attainment, Mercer (1981, 1986a, 1995) stated the following assumptions:

- A relatively stable core self, acquired through life-long socialization, determines how a mother defines and perceives events; her perceptions of her infant's and others' responses to her mothering, with her life situation, are the real world to which she responds (Mercer, 1986a).
- In addition to the mother's socialization, her developmental level and innate personality characteristics also influence her behavioral responses (Mercer, 1986a).
- The mother's role partner, her infant, will reflect the mother's competence in the mothering role through growth and development (Mercer, 1986a).
- The infant is considered an active partner in the maternal role-taking process, affecting and being affected by the role enactment (Mercer, 1981).
- The father's or mother's intimate partner contributes to role attainment in a way that cannot be duplicated by any other supportive person (Mercer, 1995).
- Maternal identity develops concurrently with maternal attachment, and each depends on the other (Mercer, 1995; Rubin, 1977).

### Nursing

Mercer (1995) stated that “Nurses are the health professionals having the most sustained and intense interaction with women in the maternity cycle” (p. xii). Nurses are responsible for promoting the health of families and children; nurses are pioneers in developing and sharing assessment strategies for these patients, she explained. Her definition of nursing provided in a personal communication is as follows:

> Nursing is a dynamic profession with three major foci: health promotion and prevention of illness, providing care for those who need professional assistance to achieve their optimal level of health and functioning, and research to enhance the knowledge base for providing excellent nursing care. Nurses provide health care for individuals, families, and communities. Following assessment of the client's situation and environment, the nurse identifies goals with the client, provides assistance to the client through teaching, supporting, providing care the client is unable to provide for self, and interfacing with the environment and the client


In her writing, Mercer (1995) refers to the importance of nursing care. In Becoming a Mother: Research on Maternal Identity from Rubin to the Present, Mercer does not specifically mention nursing care, however she emphasizes that the kind of help or care a woman receives during pregnancy and the first year following birth can have long-term effects for her and her child. Nurses in maternal-child settings play a sizable role in providing both care and information during this period.

### Person

Mercer (1985a) does not specifically define person, but refers to the self or core self. She views the self as separate from the roles that are played. Through maternal individuation, a woman may regain her own personhood as she extrapolates herself from the
mother-infant dyad (Mercer, 1985b). The core self evolves from a cultural context and determines how situations are defined and shaped (Mercer, 1985a). The concepts of self-esteem and self-confidence are important in attainment of the maternal role. The mother as a separate person interacts with her infant and with the father or her significant other. She is both influential and is influenced by both of them (Mercer, 1995).

**Health**

In her theory, Mercer defines health status as the mother's and father's perception of their prior health, current health, health outlook, resistance-susceptibility to illness, health worry or concern, sickness orientation, and rejection of the sick role. Health status of the newborn is the extent of disease present and infant health status by parental rating of overall health (Mercer, 1986b). The health status of a family is affected negatively by antepartum stress (Mercer, Ferketich, DeJoseph, May, & Sollid, 1988; Mercer, May, Ferketich, & DeJoseph, 1986). Health status is an important indirect influence on satisfaction with relationships in childbearing families. Health is also viewed as a desired outcome for the child. It is influenced by both maternal and infant variables. Mercer (1995) stresses the importance of health care during the childbearing and childrearing processes.

**Environment**

Mercer conceptualized the environment from Bronfenbrenner's definition of the ecological environment and based her earliest model in Figure 27–1 on it (Mercer, 1995; R. Mercer, personal communication, June 24, 2000). This model illustrates the ecological interacting environments in which maternal role attainment develops. During personal communication on January 4, 2003, Mercer explained, “Development of a role/person cannot be considered apart from the environment; there is a mutual accommodation between the developing person and the changing properties of the immediate settings, relationships between the settings, and the larger contexts in which the settings are embedded.” Stresses and social support within the environment influence both maternal and paternal role attainment and the developing child.

**Theoretical Assertions**

Mercer’s original Theory and Model of Maternal Role Attainment were introduced in 1991 during a symposium at the International Research Conference sponsored by the Council of Nursing Research and American Nurses Association in Los Angeles, California (Mercer, 1995). It was refined and presented more clearly in her 1995 book, Becoming a Mother: Research on Maternal Identity from Rubin to the Present (see Figure 27–1).

Mercer’s (2004) more recent revision of her theory has focused on the woman's transition in becoming a mother. Motherhood involves an extensive change in a woman's life that requires her ongoing development. According to Mercer, becoming a mother is more extensive than just assuming a role. It is unending and continuously evolving. Therefore, she proposed that the term *maternal role attainment* be retired. She based that recommendation on the published research of Walker, Crain, and Thompson (1986a, 1986b), Koniak-Griffin (1993), and McBride and Shore (2001), who had examined the process of mothering and raised questions about the appropriateness of maternal role attainment as an end point in the process.

**Maternal Role Attainment: Mercer’s Original Model**

Mercer’s Model of Maternal Role Attainment was placed within Bronfenbrenner’s (1979) nested circles of the *microsystem*, *mesosystem*, and *macrosystem* (see Figure 27–1). The original model proposed by Mercer was altered in 2000, changing the term *exosystem*, originally found in the second circle, and replacing it with the term *mesosystem*. Mercer (personal communication, January 4, 2003) explained that this change made the model more consistent with Bronfenbrenner’s terminology, as follows:

1. The *microsystem* is the immediate environment in which maternal role attainment occurs. It includes factors such as family functioning, mother-father relationships, social support, economic status, family values, and stressors. The variables contained within this immediate environment interact with one or more of the other variables in affecting the transition to motherhood. The infant as an individual is embedded within the family system. The family is viewed as a semi-closed system maintaining boundaries and control over interchange
between the family system and other social systems (Mercer, 1990). The microsystem is the most influential on maternal role attainment (Mercer, 1995; R. Mercer, personal communication, January 4, 2003). In 1995, Mercer expanded her earlier concepts and model to emphasize the importance of the father in role attainment, stating that he helps “diffuse tension developing within the mother-infant dyad” (p. 15). Maternal role attainment is achieved through the interactions of father, mother, and infant. Figure 27–2, first introduced in Mercer’s (1995) sixth book, Becoming a Mother: Research on Maternal Identity from Rubin to the Present, depicts this interaction. The layers a through d represent the stages of maternal role attainment from anticipatory to personal (role identity) and the infant’s growth and developmental stages (Mercer, 1995).

2. The mesosystem encompasses, influences, and interacts with persons in the microsystem. Mesosystem interactions may influence what happens to the developing maternal role and the child. The mesosystem includes day care, school, work setting, places of worship, and other entities within the immediate community.

3. The macrosystem refers to the general prototypes existing in a particular culture or transmitted cultural consistencies. The macrosystem includes the social, political, and cultural influences on the other two systems. The health care environment and the current health care system policies that affect maternal role attainment originate in this system (Mercer, 1995). National laws regarding women and children and health priorities that influence maternal role attainment are within the macrosystem.

Maternal role attainment is a process that follows four stages of role acquisition; these stages have been adapted from Thornton and Nardi’s 1975 research. The following stages are indicated in Figure 27–2 as the layers a through d:

a. Anticipatory: The anticipatory stage begins during pregnancy and includes the initial social and psychological adjustments to pregnancy. The mother learns the expectations of the role, fantasizes about the role, relates to the fetus in utero, and begins to role-play.

b. Formal: The formal stage begins with the birth of the infant and includes learning and taking on the role of mother. Role behaviors are guided by formal, consensual expectations of others in the mother’s social system.

c. Informal: The informal stage begins as the mother develops unique ways of dealing with the role not conveyed by the social system. The woman makes her new role fit within her existing lifestyle based on past experiences and future goals.

d. Personal: The personal or role-identity stage occurs as the woman internalizes her role. The mother experiences a sense of harmony, confidence, and competence in the way she performs the role, and the maternal role is achieved.

Stages of role attainment overlap and are altered as the infant grows and develops. A maternal role identity may be achieved in a month, or it can take several months (Mercer, 1995). The stages are influenced by social support, stress, family functioning, and also by the relationship between mother and father or significant other.

Traits and behaviors of both the mother and the infant may influence maternal role identity and child outcome. Maternal traits and behaviors included in Mercer’s model are empathy, sensitivity to infant cues, self-esteem and self-concept, parenting received as a child, maturity and flexibility, attitudes, pregnancy and birth experience, health, depression, and role conflict. Infant traits having an impact on maternal role identity include temperament, ability to send cues, appearance, general characteristics, responsiveness, and health. Examples of the infant’s developmental responses that
interact with the mother’s developing maternal identity, depicted as a through d in Figure 27–2, include the following:

a. Eye contact with the mother as she talks to her or him, grasp reflex
b. Smile reflex and quieting behavior in response to the mother’s care
c. Consistent interactive behaviors with the mother
d. Eliciting responses from the mother; increasingly more mobile


The personal role identity stage is reached when the mother has integrated the role into her self system with a congruence of self and other roles; she is secure in her identity as mother, is emotionally committed to her infant, and feels a sense of harmony, satisfaction, and competence in the role (p. 14).

Using Burke and Tully’s (1977) work, Mercer (1995) stated that a role identity has internal and external components: the identity is the internalized view of self (recognized maternal identity), and role is the external, behavioral component.

**Becoming a Mother: A Revised Model**

Mercer has continued to use both her own research and the research of others as building blocks for her theory. In 2003, she began reexamining the Theory of Maternal Role Attainment, proposing that the term becoming a mother more accurately reflects the process based on recent research. According to Mercer (2004), the concept of role attainment suggests an end point rather than an ongoing process and may not address the continued expansion of the self as a mother. Mercer’s conclusions are based largely on current nursing research about the cognitive and behavioral dimensions of women becoming mothers. Walker, Crain, and Thompson’s (1986a, 1986b) questions about maternal role attainment as a continuing process contributed to Mercer’s reexamination of her theory. Koniak-Griffin (1993) also questioned the behavioral and cognitive dimensions of maternal role attainment. Hartrick (1997) reported in her study that mothers of children from 3 to 16 years of age undergo a continual process of self-definition. McBride and Shore (2001) in their research on mothers and grandmothers suggested that there may be a need to retire the term maternal role attainment because “it implies a static situation rather than fluctuating process” (p. 79). Finally, in a synthesis of nine qualitative studies, Nelson (2003) described continued growth and transformation in women as they become mothers. Mercer (2004) acknowledged that new challenges in motherhood require making new connections to regain confidence in the self and proposed replacing the term maternal role attainment with becoming a mother.

Qualitative studies have identified stages of maternal role attainment using the descriptive terms of participants. A compilation of the results of several of these studies has led Mercer (2004, 2006) to the following proposed changes in the names of stages leading to maternal role identity:

- Commitment and preparation (pregnancy)
- Acquaintance, practice, and physical restoration (first 2 weeks)
- Approaching normalization (second week to 4 months)
- Integration of maternal identity (approximately 4 months)

These stages parallel the original stages in Mercer’s theory, but they embrace the maternal experience more completely and use terminology derived from new mothers’ descriptions of their experiences.

Theory building, according to Mercer (personal communication, September 3, 2003), is a continual process as research provides evidence for clarifying concepts, additions, and deletions. Although many of the more recent studies support the findings of both Rubin and Mercer, Mercer (2004) recognized the evidence for needed changes in her original theory for greater clarity and consistency. It is with this insight that she proposed retiring the term maternal role attainment. Mercer (2004) acknowledges that becoming a mother, which connotes continued growth in mothering, is more descriptive of the process, which is much larger than a role. Although some roles may be terminated, motherhood is a lifelong commitment.

Mercer has continued to use Bronfenbrenner’s concept of interacting nested ecological environments. However, she renamed them to reflect the living environments: family and friends, community, and society at large (Figure 27–3). This model places the interactions between mother, infant, and father at the center of the interacting, living environments (R. Mercer, personal communication, September 3,
Variables within the family and friends environment include physical and social support, family values, cultural guidelines for parenting, knowledge and skills, family functioning, and affirmation as a mother. The community environment includes day care, places of worship, schools, work settings, health care facilities, recreational facilities, and support groups. Within the society at large, influences come from laws affecting woman and children, evolving reproductive and neonatal science, national health care programs, various social programs, and funding for research promoting becoming a mother.

The newest model (Figure 27–4) shows interacting environments that affect the process of becoming a mother. The model was developed in 2006 based on a review of the nursing research about the effectiveness or interventions aimed at fostering the process of becoming a mother. This model depicts the complex issues that have the potential to either facilitate or inhibit the process of becoming a mother (Mercer & Walker, 2006). According to Mercer and Walker (2006), the model presents both environmental variables and maternal-infant characteristics that are important considerations for both nursing practice and future research.

**Logical Form**

Mercer used both deductive and inductive logic in developing the theoretical framework for studying factors that influence maternal role attainment during the first year of motherhood and in her theory. Deductive logic is demonstrated in Mercer’s use of works from other researchers and disciplines. Role and developmental theories and the work of Rubin on maternal role attainment provided a base for the original framework. Mercer also used inductive logic in the development of her Theory of Maternal Role
Attainment. Through practice and research, she observed adaptation to motherhood from a variety of circumstances. She noted that differences existed in adaptation to motherhood when maternal illness complicated the postpartum period, when a child with a defect was born, and when a teenager became a mother. These observations directed the research about those situations and the subsequent development of her theory. Changes to her original theory have been based on more recent research and deductive reasoning coupled with her belief in continually improving the clarity and usefulness of her theory.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

Mercer’s theory is highly practice oriented. The concepts in her theory have been cited in many obstetrical textbooks and have been used in practice by nurses and those in other disciplines. Both the theory and the model are capable of serving as a framework for assessment, planning, implementing, and evaluating nursing care of new mothers and their infants. The utility of Mercer’s theory in nursing practice is described and illustrated by Meighan (2010) in Chapter 17 of the fourth edition of *Nursing Theory: Utilization & Application* by Alligood. Mercer’s theory is useful to practicing nurses across many maternal-child settings. Mercer (1986a, 1986b) linked her research findings with nursing practice at each interval from birth through the first year, making her theory applicable in a variety of pediatric settings.

In addition, Mercer’s theory has been used in organizing patient care. Concepts in the research conducted by Neeson, Patterson, Mercer, and May...
“Pregnancy Outcome for Adolescents Receiving Prenatal Care by Nurse Practitioners in Extended Roles,” were used in setting up a clinical practice. Clark, Rapkin, Busen, and Vasquez (2001) used Mercer’s theory to establish and test a parent education curriculum for substance-abusing women in a residential treatment facility. Meighan and Wood (2005) used the theory of maternal role attainment to explore the impact of hyperemesis gravidarum on maternal role assumption.

**Education**

Mercer’s work has appeared extensively in both maternity and pediatric nursing texts. Many of the current concepts in maternal-child nursing are based on Mercer’s research. Her theory and models help simplify the very complex process of becoming a parent. The Theory of Maternal Role Attainment is credited with enhancing understanding and making Mercer’s contribution extremely valuable to nursing education. The Theory of Maternal Role Attainment provides a framework for students as they learn to plan and provide care for parents in a wide variety of settings. The Theory of Becoming a Mother has rapidly gained acceptance since its introduction in 2004. Mercer’s theory and research have also been used in other disciplines as they relate to parenting and maternal role attainment. It has been shown to be helpful to students in psychology, sociology, and education.

**Research**

Mercer advocated the involvement of students in faculty research. During her tenure at the University of California, San Francisco, she chaired committees and was a committee member for numerous graduate theses and dissertations. Collaborative research with a graduate student and junior faculty member in 1977 and 1978 led to the development of a highly reliable, valid instrument to measure mothers’ attitudes about the labor and delivery experience. Numerous researchers have requested and received permission to use the instrument.

Mercer’s work has served as a springboard for other researchers. The theoretical framework for her correlational study exploring the differences between three age groups of first-time mothers (15 to 19, 20 to 29, and 30 to 42 years of age) has been tested by others, including Walker and colleagues (1986a, 1986b). Sank (1991) used Mercer’s theory in her doctoral dissertation research at the University of Texas, Austin, entitled *Factors in the Prenatal Period That Affect Parental Role Attainment During the Postpartum Period in Black American Mothers and Fathers*. Mercer’s Theory of Maternal Role Attainment also served as the framework for Washington’s (1997) dissertation, *Learning Needs of Adolescent Mothers When Identifying Fever and Illnesses in Infants Less Than Twelve Months of Age* at the University of Miami. Bacon (2001), a student at the Chicago School of Professional Psychology, used Mercer’s theory in her dissertation, *Maternal Role Attainment and Maternal Identity in Mothers of Premature Infants*. Dilmore (2003) based her study, *A Comparison of Confidence Levels of Postpartum Depressed and Non-Depressed First Time Mothers*, on Mercer’s research.

McBride (1984) wrote the following:

*Maternal role attainment has been a fundamental concern of nursing since the pioneering work of Mercer’s mentor, Rubin, almost two decades ago. It is now becoming the research-based, theoretically sound construct that nurse researchers have been searching for in their analysis of the experience of new mothers* (p. 72).

**Further Development**

Mercer used her initial research as a building block for other studies. In later research, she aimed at identifying predictors of maternal-infant attachment on the basis of maternal experience with childbirth and maternal risk status. Mercer also examined paternal competence on the basis of experience with childbirth and pregnancy risk status. In another study, she developed and tested a causal model to predict partner relationships in high-risk and low-risk pregnancy. More work and refinement of the original model and theory have taken place during the past few years, as described earlier. She included the importance of the father in maternal role attainment, adding this to her model and theory in a section of her 1995 book, *Becoming a Mother: Research on Maternal Identity from Rubin to the Present*.

In *First-Time Motherhood: Experiences from Teens to Forties*, Mercer (1986a) presented a model of the following four phases occurring in the process of
maternal role attainment during the first year of motherhood:
1. The physical recovery phase (from birth to 1 month)
2. The achievement phase (from 2 to 4 or 5 months)
3. The disruptions phase (from 6 to 8 months)
4. The reorganization phase (from after the eighth month and still in process at 1 year)

Additionally, adaptation to the maternal role was proposed to occur at three levels (biological, psychological, and social), which are interacting and interdependent throughout the phases. These phases and levels of adaptation were described briefly and were applied to her research. In 2003, Mercer proposed additional changes to the theory (2004), which included abandoning the term maternal role attainment for the term becoming a mother. Changes to the model and adoption of the following four descriptive phases to the process of becoming a mother were also proposed:
1. Commitment and preparation (pregnancy)
2. Acquaintance, practice, and physical restoration (first 2 weeks)
3. Approaching normalization (second week to 4 months)
4. Integration of maternal identity (approximately 4 months)

These changes were based on the research studies by other nurses and are evidence of Mercer's continued scrutiny and critique of her theory to improve its utility in practice and research.

According to Mercer and Walker (2006), research into specific nursing interventions that foster becoming a mother is needed. They encourage the involvement of nursing staff, students, and faculty in the development and testing of assessment guidelines and instruments to measure outcomes of nursing interventions that support maternal role identity and the process of becoming a mother. Mercer and Walker also encourage further research in dealing with mothers who face special challenges, including mothers who face childbirth complications, mothers with low social and economic resources, adolescent mothers, and mothers with high-risk infants. According to Mercer and Walker, development and testing of nursing interventions that support and empower parents are warranted. They encourage research to determine how best to foster becoming a mother in culturally diverse groups, explaining that each culture has customs and values attached to childbearing that have an impact on the transition to motherhood.

Mercer's concern for the utility and applicability of her theory is evident in her continued work toward clarity and usefulness. Revisions of her theory in 2003, although based on nursing research, are still being tested in other studies. Mercer's (2004) proposal of abandoning the term maternal role attainment for the term becoming a mother is argued logically, but few studies put it to use in practice or research. Although qualitative research to describe the phases of becoming a mother uses the exact words of women experiencing this transition, these phases have not been confirmed among women in other cultures or in different circumstances.

Critique

Clarity

The concepts, variables, and relationships have not always been defined explicitly, but they were described and implied in Mercer's earlier work. However, the concepts were defined theoretically and operationalized consistently. Work toward improving clarity is evident. Concepts, assumptions, and goals have been organized into a logical and coherent whole, so that understanding the interrelationships among the concepts is relatively easy. Some interchanging of terms and labels used to identify concepts, such as adaptation and attainment, social support, and support network, is potentially confusing for the reader, and maternal role attainment has not been defined consistently, which can obstruct clarity. Maternal identity, a term that Mercer defines as the final stage of role attainment (personal or role identity stage) is sometimes substituted for maternal role attainment. According to Mercer (1995), when the maternal role has been attained, the mother has achieved a maternal identity, the internalized role of mother. However, the terms attainment and role identity are sometimes confusing.

Mercer has continued to work toward greater clarity. She has proposed using terms derived from nursing researchers that would be understood more clearly by users of her theory. She has questioned the use of the term maternal role attainment, because it connotes a static state rather than the continuously evolving role as a mother. Mercer has examined qualitative research
containing the exact words of women experiencing motherhood, and she favors using these words to describe the stages of becoming a mother.

**Simplicity**

Despite numerous concepts and relationships, the theoretical framework for maternal role attainment or becoming a mother organizes a rather complex phenomenon into an easily understood and useful form. The theory is predictive in nature and readily lends itself as a guide for practice. Concepts are not specific to time and place and although abstract, they are described and operationalized to the extent that meanings are not easily misinterpreted. It should be noted that the research to define and support the theoretical relationships was very complex, which was due largely to the great number of concepts. The process of becoming a mother is multifaceted and varies considerably according to the individual and to environmental influences. Mercer’s theory provides a framework for understanding this complex, multidimensional process.

**Generality**

Mercer’s theory is derived from and is specific to parent-child nursing but has been used by other disciplines concerned with mothering and parenting. The theory can be generalized to all women during pregnancy through the first year after birth, regardless of age, parity, or environment. It is among the few theories applicable to high-risk perinatal patients and their families. As previously mentioned, it can be applied to a variety of pediatric settings. Mercer (1995) specified her theory for the study and prediction of parental attachment, including that of the pregnant woman’s partner. Therefore, it is useful for studying and working with family members following birth. Mercer’s work has broadened the range of application of previously existing theories on maternal role attainment, because her studies have spanned various developmental levels and situational contexts, a quality that many other studies do not share.

**Accessibility**

Mercer’s work has evolved from extensive research efforts. The concepts, assumptions, and relationships are grounded predominantly in empirical observations and are congruent. The degree of concreteness and the completeness of operational definitions increase the empirical precision. The theoretical framework for exploring differences among age groups of first-time mothers lends itself well to further testing and is being used by others for this purpose. The continued scrutiny by Mercer herself has continually improved her theory and solidified her concepts. Mercer’s proposed changes to improve clarity of concepts are based on research studies of others within the discipline of nursing.

**Importance**

The theoretical framework for maternal role attainment during the first year has proved to be useful, practical, and valuable to nursing. Mercer’s work is used repeatedly in nursing research, practice, and education. The framework is also applicable to any discipline that works with mothers and children during the first year of motherhood. McBride (1984) wrote, “Dr. Mercer is the one who developed the most complete theoretical framework for studying one aspect of parental experience, namely, the factors that influence the attainment of the maternal role in the first year of motherhood” (p. 72).

Throughout her career, Mercer consistently has linked research and practice. Applications for nursing care or nursing interventions are addressed and provide the bond between research and practice in her works. As she has said, nursing research is the “bridge to excellence” in nursing practice (Mercer, 1984, p. 47).

**Summary**

The Theory of Maternal Role Attainment has been shown to be useful in both research and practice for nurses, as well as other disciplines concerned with parenting. Mercer’s continued devotion to improving the usefulness and clarity of her theory and model is evident and has served well those who use her theory. Mercer’s use of both her own research and the research of others strengthens her work. Her proposal to adopt the Theory of Becoming a Mother is based solidly on the research process. Motherhood and attainment of the parenting role is a very complex, multilevel process. Mercer’s theory and her work make this process logical and understandable and provide a solid foundation for practice, education, and research.
CASE STUDY

Susan, a 19-year-old woman, delivered her first infant prematurely 5 days ago. Although her postpartum course has been relatively uneventful, the infant has had difficulty and must remain hospitalized. Susan and her young husband visit the nursery every afternoon to be with the baby, but they ask very few questions. In talking with the couple, the nurse learns that the only living grandparents of the baby live a great distance away. Susan will not have any family or friends to turn to when she takes the baby home.

In this high-risk perinatal case, Mercer’s framework should be useful for nursing assessment and intervention to facilitate maternal role attainment. How would you use it as a guide in planning care for Susan?

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. In your own practice, consider Mercer’s Theory and Model of Maternal Role Attainment as a guide. List the ways it was useful to you in the care of a new mother.

2. High-risk families often continue to experience problems for years after the birth of a child with a congenital problem. Can Mercer’s theory be adapted to help in assessment and intervention for these mothers and their families beyond the first year? What areas need further research and development?

3. Consider the current health care environment. Does the model proposed by Mercer adequately address current changes in health care delivery and the impact on the family? What changes in Mercer’s model, if any, do you see that need to be addressed?

4. Mercer proposed changing her theory from Maternal Role Attainment to Becoming a Mother to address the evolving role of motherhood. Do you agree or disagree with this change? Is it possible that becoming a mother and attaining the maternal role are both relevant to the theory and nursing care of new mothers/families in the clinical setting?
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“My theory can be applied to both practice and research. It has been used to explain clinical situations and design interventions that lead to evidence-based practice. Current and future nurse scientists have and will continue to extend the theory to different patient populations. This work has the potential to transform health care. (Mishel, personal communication, May 28, 2008)”

The original scale has been used as the basis for the following three additional scales:
1. A community version (MUIS-C) for chronically ill individuals who are not hospitalized or receiving active medical care
2. A measure of parents’ perceptions of uncertainty (PPUS) with regard to their child’s illness experience
3. A measure of uncertainty in spouses or other family members when another member of the family is acutely ill (PPUS-FM)

Early in her professional career, Mishel practiced as a psychiatric nurse in acute care and community settings. While pursuing her doctorate, she was on...
faculty in the Department of Nursing at the California State University at Los Angeles, rising from assistant professor to full professor. She practiced as a nurse therapist in community and private practice settings from 1973 to 1979. After completing her doctorate in social psychology, Mishel became associate professor at the University of Arizona College of Nursing in 1981 and full professor in 1988. She was Division Head of Mental Health Nursing from 1984 to 1991. While at Arizona, Mishel received numerous intramural and extramural research grants that supported the continued development of the theoretical framework of uncertainty in illness. During this period, she continued practicing as a nurse therapist with the heart transplant program at the University Medical Center. She was inducted as a fellow in the American Academy of Nursing in 1990.

Mishel moved back east in 1991 and joined the faculty at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Nursing as professor, and she was awarded the endowed Kenan Professor of Nursing Chair in 1994. Friends of the National Institute of Nursing Research presented her with a Research Merit Award in 1997 and invited her to present her research as an exemplar of federally funded nursing intervention studies at a Congressional Breakfast in 1999. She is Director of the T-32 Institutional National Research Service Award Training Grant, Interventions for Preventing and Managing Chronic Illness that awards predoctoral and postdoctoral fellowships to nurses who are interested in developing interventions for underserved chronically ill patients. Mishel’s research program is noteworthy for being funded continually by the National Institutes of Health from 1984 through 2011. Each research grant has built upon findings from prior studies to move systematically toward theoretically derived scientifically tested nursing interventions. Currently Mishel is co-leader of the Hillman Scholars Program designed to produce a new generation of nurse innovators with knowledge and research skills to solve complex health problems and improve patient care.

Among her many awards, Mishel received a Sigma Theta Tau International Sigma Xi Chapter Nurse Research Predoctoral Fellowship from 1977 to 1979 and received the Mary Opal Wolanin Research Award in 1986. In 1987, Mishel was first alternate for a Fulbright Award. She has been a visiting scholar at many institutions throughout North America, including University of Nebraska, University of Texas at Houston, University of Tennessee at Knoxville, University of South Carolina, University of Rochester, Yale University, and McGill University. Mishel was doctoral program consultant for the University of Cincinnati College of Nursing from 1991 to 1992 and Rutgers University School of Nursing in 1993. In 2004, she received the Linnea Henderson Research Fellowship Program Award from the Kent State University School of Nursing. Over the last 20 years, she has presented more than 80 invited addresses at schools of nursing throughout the United States and Canada. With growing international interest in her theory and measurement models, Mishel conducted an International Symposium on Uncertainty at Kyungpook National University in Daegu, South Korea, was a visiting scholar at Mahidol University in Bangkok, Thailand, and delivered the keynote address for the Japanese Society of Nursing Research annual convention, in Sapporo, Japan.

Mishel is a member of many professional organizations, including the American Academy of Nursing, Sigma Theta Tau International, the American Psychological Association, the American Nurses Association, the Society of Behavioral Medicine, the Oncology Nursing Society, the Southern Nursing Research Society, and the Society for Education and Research in Psychiatric Nursing. She served as a grant reviewer for the National Cancer Institute, the National Center for Nursing Research, and the National Institute on Aging, and she was a charter member of the study section on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) at the National Institute of Mental Health.

Theoretical Sources

When Mishel began her research into uncertainty, the concept had not been applied in the health and illness context. Her original Uncertainty in Illness Theory (Mishel, 1988) drew from existing information-processing models (Warburton, 1979) and personality research (Budner, 1962) from psychology that characterized uncertainty as a cognitive state resulting from insufficient cues with which to form a cognitive schema or internal representation of a
situation or event. Mishel attributes the underlying stress-appraisal-coping-adaptation framework in the original theory to the work of Lazarus and Folkman (1984). The unique aspect of this framework was its application to uncertainty as a stressor in the context of illness, a particularly meaningful proposal for nursing.

With the reconceptualization of the theory, Mishel (1990) recognized that the Western approach to science supported a mechanistic view with emphasis on control and predictability. She used critical social theory to recognize bias inherent in the original theory, an orientation toward certainty and adaptation. Mishel incorporated tenets from chaos theory and open systems for a more accurate representation of how chronic illness creates disequilibrium and how people incorporate continual uncertainty to find new meaning in illness.

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

**Uncertainty**

Uncertainty is the inability to determine the meaning of illness-related events, occurring when the decision maker is unable to assign definite value to objects or events, or is unable to predict outcomes accurately (Mishel, 1988).

**Cognitive Schema**

Cognitive schema is a person's subjective interpretation of illness, treatment, and hospitalization (Mishel, 1988).

**Stimuli Frame**

Stimuli frame is the form, composition, and structure of the stimuli that a person perceives, which are then structured into a cognitive schema (Mishel, 1988).

**Symptom Pattern**

Symptom pattern is the degree to which symptoms occur with sufficient consistency to be perceived as having a pattern or configuration (Mishel, 1988).

**Event Familiarity**

Event familiarity is the degree to which a situation is habitual or repetitive, or contains recognized cues (Mishel, 1988).

**Event Congruence**

Event congruence refers to the consistency between the expected and the experienced in illness-related events (Mishel, 1988).

**Structure Providers**

Structure providers are the resources available to assist the person in the interpretation of the stimuli frame (Mishel, 1988).

**Credible Authority**

Credible authority is the degree of trust and confidence a person has in his or her health care providers (Mishel, 1988).

**Social Supports**

Social supports influence uncertainty by assisting the individual to interpret the meaning of events (Mishel, 1988).

**Cognitive Capacities**

Cognitive capacities are the information-processing abilities of a person, reflecting both innate capabilities and situational constraints (Mishel, 1988).

**Inference**

Inference refers to the evaluation of uncertainty using related, recalled experiences (Mishel, 1988).

**Illusion**

Illusion refers to beliefs constructed out of uncertainty (Mishel, 1988).

**Adaptation**

Adaptation reflects biopsychosocial behavior occurring within persons' individually defined range of usual behavior (Mishel, 1988).
Use of Empirical Evidence

The Uncertainty in Illness Theory grew out of Mishel's dissertation research with hospitalized patients, using both qualitative and quantitative findings to generate the first conceptualization of uncertainty in the context of illness. With the publication of Mishel’s Uncertainty in Illness Scale (Mishel, 1981), extensive research began into adults' experiences with uncertainty related to chronic and life-threatening illnesses. Considerable empirical evidence has accumulated to support Mishel's theoretical model in adults. Several integrative reviews of uncertainty research have comprehensively summarized and critiqued the state of the science (Cahill, Lobiondo-Wood, Bergstrom, et al., 2012; Hansen, Rørtveit, Leiknes, et al., 2012; Mishel, 1997a, 1999; Stewart & Mishel, 2000). The authors included studies that directly support the elements of Mishel's uncertainty model.

Most empirical studies have been focused on two antecedents of uncertainty, stimuli frame and structure providers, and the relationship between uncertainty and psychological outcomes. Mishel tested other elements of the model, such as the mediating roles of appraisal and coping, early in her program of research (Mishel & Braden, 1987; Mishel, Padilla, Grant, et al., 1991; Mishel & Sorenson, 1991), and these elements, as well as cognitive capacity as an antecedent to uncertainty, generated less research attention.

Several studies have shown that objective or subjective indicators of the severity of life-threat or illness symptoms associate positively with uncertainty (Baird & Eliasziw, 2011; Grootenhuis & Last, 1997; Somjaivong, Thanasilp, Preechawong, et al., 2011). Across a sustained illness trajectory, unpredictability in symptom onset, duration, and intensity has been related to perceived uncertainty (Arroll, Dancey, Attree, et al., 2012; Becker, Jason-Bjerklie, Benner, et al., 1993; Kim, Lee, & Lee, 2012; Murray, 1993). Similarly, the ambiguous nature of illness symptoms and the consequent difficulty in determining the significance of physical sensations have been identified as sources of uncertainty (Cohen, 1993; Hilton, 1988; Nelson, 1996).

Social support has been shown to have a direct impact on uncertainty by reducing perceived complexity and an indirect impact through its effect on the predictability of symptom pattern (Lin, 2012; Mishel & Braden, 1988; Somjaivong, Thanasilp, Preechawong, et al., 2011; Scott, Martin, Stone, et al., 2011). The perception of stigma associated with some conditions, particularly HIV infection (Regan-Kubinski & Sharts-Hopko, 1995) and Down's syndrome (Van Riper & Selder, 1989), served to create uncertainty when families were unsure about how others would respond to the diagnosis. Family members have been shown to experience high levels of uncertainty as well, which may further reduce the amount of support experienced by the patient (Baird & Eliasziw, 2011; Brown & Powell-Cope, 1991; Hilton, 1996; Wineman, O'Brien, Nealon, et al., 1993). Uncertainty was heightened by interactions with health care providers when patients and family members received unclear information, received simplistic explanations that did not fit their experience, or perceived that care providers were not expert or responsive enough to help them manage the intricacies of the illness (Becker, Jason-Bjerklie, Benner, et al., 1993; Checton & Greene, 2012; Sharkey, 1995; Step & Ray, 2011).

Numerous studies have reported the negative impact of uncertainty on psychological outcomes, characterized variously as anxiety, depression, hopelessness, psychological distress (Arroll, Dancey, Attree, et al., 2012; Failla, Kuper, Nick, et al., 1996; Grootenhuis & Last, 1997; Kim & So, 2012; Miles, Funk, & Kasper, 1992; Mishel &

In 1990, the original theory was expanded to include the idea that uncertainty may not be resolved but may become part of an individual’s reality. In this context, uncertainty is appraised as an opportunity that prompts the formation of a new, probabilistic view of life. To adopt this new view of life, the patient must be able to rely on social resources and health care providers who themselves accept the idea of probabilistic thinking (Mishel, 1990). When uncertainty is framed as a normal part of life, it becomes a positive force for multiple opportunities and resulting positive mood states (Gelatt, 1989; Mishel, 1990).

Support for the reconceptualized Uncertainty in Illness Theory has been found in predominantly qualitative studies of people with chronic and life-threatening illnesses. The process of formulating a new view of life is described by women with breast cancer and cardiac disease as a revised life perspective (Hilton, 1988), new life goals (Carter, 1993), new ways of being in the world (Mast, 1998; Nelson, 1996), growth through uncertainty (Pelusi, 1997), and new levels of self-organization (Fleury, Kimbrell, & Kruszewski, 1995). In studies of men with chronic illness or their caregivers, the process is described as transformed self-identity and new goals for living (Brown & Powell-Cope, 1991), a more positive perspective on life (Katz, 1996), reevaluating what is worthwhile (Nyhlin, 1990), contemplation and self-appraisal (Charmaz, 1995), uncertainty viewed as opportunity (Baier, 1995), and redefining normal and building new dreams (Mishel & Murdaugh, 1987).

**Major Assumptions**

**Person**

Mishel’s Uncertainty in Illness Theory is middle-range and focused on persons. Mishel’s original Uncertainty in Illness Theory, first published in 1988, included several major assumptions (Figure 28–1).
The first two reflect how uncertainty was conceptualized within psychology’s information-processing models, as follows:

1. Uncertainty is a cognitive state, representing the inadequacy of an existing cognitive schema to support the interpretation of illness-related events.
2. Uncertainty is an inherently neutral experience, neither desirable nor aversive until it is appraised as such.

Two more assumptions reflect the uncertainty theory’s roots in traditional stress and coping models that posit a linear stress → coping → adaptation relationship as follows:

3. Adaptation represents the continuity of an individual’s usual biopsychosocial behavior and is the desired outcome of coping efforts to either reduce uncertainty appraised as danger or maintain uncertainty appraised as opportunity.
4. The relationships among illness events, uncertainty, appraisal, coping, and adaptation are linear and unidirectional, moving from situations promoting uncertainty toward adaptation.

Mishel challenged assumptions 3 and 4 in her reconceptualization of the theory, published in 1990. The reconceptualization came about as a result of contradictory findings when the theory was applied to people with chronic illnesses. The original formulation of the theory held that uncertainty typically is appraised as an opportunity only in conditions that represent a known downward trajectory; in other words, uncertainty is appraised as opportunity when it is the alternative to negative certainty. Mishel and others found that people also appraised uncertainty as an opportunity in situations without a certain downward trajectory, particularly in long-term chronic illnesses, and that in this context people often developed a new view of life.

It was at this time that Mishel turned to chaos theory to explain how prolonged uncertainty could function as a catalyst to change a person’s perspective on life and illness. Chaos theory contributed two of the following theoretical assumptions that replace the linear stress → coping → adaptation outcome portion of the model as follows:

- People, as biopsychosocial systems, typically function in far-from-equilibrium states.
- Major fluctuations in a far-from-equilibrium system enhance the system’s receptivity to change.
- Fluctuations result in repatterning, which is repeated at each level of the system.

In Mishel’s reconceptualized theory, neither the antecedents to uncertainty nor the process of cognitive appraisal of uncertainty as danger or opportunity change. However, uncertainty over time, associated with a serious illness, functions as a catalyst for fluctuation in the system by threatening one’s preexisting cognitive model of life as predictable and controllable. Because uncertainty pervades nearly every aspect of a person’s life, its effects become concentrated and ultimately challenge the stability of the system. In response to the confusion and disorganization created by continued uncertainty, the system ultimately must change in order to survive.

Ideally, under conditions of chronic uncertainty, a person gradually moves away from an evaluation of uncertainty as aversive to adopt a new view of life that accepts uncertainty as a part of reality (Figure 28–2). Thus uncertainty, especially in chronic or life-threatening illness, can result in a new level of organization and a new perspective on life, incorporating the growth and change that result from uncertain experiences.

**Theoretical Assertions**

Mishel asserted the following (1988, 1990):

- Uncertainty occurs when a person cannot adequately structure or categorize an illness-related event because of the lack of sufficient cues.
- Uncertainty can take the form of ambiguity, complexity, lack of or inconsistent information, or unpredictability.
- As symptom pattern, event familiarity, and event congruence (stimuli frame) increase, uncertainty decreases.
- Structure providers (credible authority, social support, and education) decrease uncertainty directly

**FIGURE 28–2** Reconceptualized Model of Uncertainty in Chronic Illness. (Copyright Merle Mishel, 1990.)
by promoting interpretation of events, and indirectly by strengthening the stimuli frame.

- Uncertainty appraised as danger prompts coping efforts directed at reducing the uncertainty and managing the emotional arousal generated by it.
- Uncertainty appraised as opportunity prompts coping efforts directed at maintaining the uncertainty.
- The influence of uncertainty on psychological outcomes is mediated by the effectiveness of coping efforts to reduce uncertainty appraised as danger or to maintain uncertainty appraised as opportunity.
- When uncertainty appraised as danger cannot be reduced effectively, coping strategies can be employed to manage the emotional response.
- The longer uncertainty continues in the illness context, the more unstable the individual’s previously accepted mode of functioning becomes.
- Under conditions of enduring uncertainty, individuals may develop a new, probabilistic perspective on life, which accepts uncertainty as a natural part of life.
- The process of integrating continual uncertainty into a new view of life can be blocked or prolonged by structure providers who do not support probabilistic thinking.
- Prolonged exposure to uncertainty appraised as danger can lead to intrusive thoughts, avoidance, and severe emotional distress.

**Logical Form**

As a middle-range theory derived from and applicable to clinical practice, Mishel’s Uncertainty in Illness Theory is an exemplar of the multiple steps required to develop theory with both heuristic and practical value. Neither purely inductive nor deductive, Mishel’s theoretical work initially arose from questioning the nature of an important clinical problem, followed by systematic qualitative and quantitative inquiry and careful application of theory borrowed from other disciplines. Since publication of the original theory in 1988, Mishel and others have carried out numerous empirical tests of the relationships among the major constructs in the model, applying and largely confirming the theory in illness contexts. Mishel’s reconceptualization of the theory in 1990 was deductive in that it was developed from principles of chaos theory and was confirmed by empirical evidence from qualitative studies that suggested that people’s responses to uncertainty changed over time within the context of serious chronic illnesses. Thus Mishel’s theory represents the bidirectional process where theory informs and is informed by research.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

Mishel’s theory describes a phenomenon experienced by acute and chronically ill individuals and their families. The theory has its beginning in Mishel’s own experience with her father’s battle with cancer. During his illness, he began to focus on events that seemed unimportant to those around him. When asked why he had chosen to focus on such events, he replied that when these activities were being done, he understood what was happening to him. Mishel believed this was her father’s way of taking control and making sense out of an overwhelming situation. She knew early in the development of her concept and theory that nurses could identify the phenomenon from their experiences in caring for patients.

Several nurses have moved the theory from research to practice. Hansen and colleagues (2012) synthesized findings from qualitative studies to yield a typology of patient experiences of uncertainty that guides nursing engagement and intervention. Similarly, the theory has been used in recommendations for the practice of critical, medical-surgical, and enterostomal nursing care (Hilton, 1992; Righter, 1995; Wurzbach, 1992).

Based on review of the database of the Managing Uncertainty in Illness Scale users (Mishel, 1997b), master’s-prepared clinicians seek to understand the experience of uncertainty in a variety of clinical settings and patient populations. The scale and theory are used by clinicians from 15 countries other than the United States.

**Education**

The theory has been widely used by graduate students as the theoretical framework for theses and dissertations, as the topic of concept analysis, and for the critique of middle-range nursing theory. Mishel uses the theory as an exemplar to illustrate how theory guides the development of nursing interventions in
her doctoral-level courses. Mishel frequently presents school of nursing lectures, seminars, and symposia nationally and internationally, sharing her empirical findings and the process of theory development for faculty and students.

**Research**

As described above, a large body of knowledge has been generated by researchers using the Uncertainty in Illness Theory and scales. Mishel's program of research encompassed testing the psychoeducational nursing interventions derived from the theoretical model in samples of adults with breast and prostate cancers. The scales and theory used by nurse researchers and scientists from other disciplines describe and explain psychological responses of people experiencing uncertainty due to illness and test interventions to manage uncertainty in illness contexts. The scales have been translated into 12 languages and applied in research throughout the world. Mishel (1997a, 1999) reviewed research conducted on uncertainty in acute and chronic illness and coauthored a review of the research on uncertainty in childhood illness (Stewart & Mishel, 2000). Current research on uncertainty in illness is focused on theory testing.

**Further Development**

Mishel and colleagues have used the original theory as the framework for seven federally funded nursing intervention studies. The intervention has increased cancer knowledge, reduced symptom burden, and improved quality of life in Mexican-American, Caucasian, and African-American women with breast cancer, in African-American and Caucasian men newly diagnosed with prostate cancer, and in those with localized, advanced, or recurrent prostate cancer and their family members (Gil, Mishel, Belyea, et al., 2004; Gil, Mishel, Belyea, et al., 2006; Gil, Mishel, Germino, et al., 2005; Mishel, Belyea, Germino, et al., 2002; Mishel, Germino, Belyea, et al., 2003; Mishel, Germino, Lin, et al., 2009). The applicability of the theory to the context of serious childhood illness has been supported in parents of children with HIV infection (Santacroce, Deatrick, & Ledlie, 2002) and in children undergoing treatment for cancer (Lin, Yeh, & Mishel, 2010; Stewart, Lynn, & Mishel, 2010; Stewart, Mishel, Lynn, et al., 2010). Bailey uses the theory to support research in chronic hepatitis C, a new and often silent disease (Bailey, Barroso, Muir, et al., 2010; Bailey, Landerman, Barroso, et al., 2009), and she is testing an intervention in patients awaiting liver transplant and their caregivers.

From qualitative data supporting the reconceptualized theory, Mishel and Fleury (1994) developed the Growth Through Uncertainty Scale (GTUS) to measure the new view of life that can emerge from continual uncertainty. Researchers have also used the reconceptualized theory to understand the uncertainty experience of long-term survivors of breast cancer (Mast, 1998) and individuals with schizophrenia and their family members (Baier, 1995). The reconceptualized theory served as the foundation for Mishel and colleagues' nursing intervention study of women younger than 50 years of age facing the enduring uncertainties inherent in surviving breast cancer. Bailey used the theory and data from qualitative interviews with older men who had elected watchful waiting as treatment for their prostate cancer, to develop a nursing intervention to integrate uncertainty into their lives, view their lives in a positive perspective, and improve their quality of life (Bailey, Wallace, & Mishel, 2007). In the first study of the Uncertainty Management Intervention for Watchful Waiting, men came to see their lives in a new and positive light, reported their quality of life as higher than did the control group, and expected it to be high in the future (Bailey, Mishel, Belyea, et al., 2004). Wallace (now, Kazer) and Bailey conducted a pilot test of a web-based version of the intervention for men with prostate cancer undergoing active surveillance (previously referred to as watchful waiting) (Kazer, Bailey, Sanda, et al., 2011).

The substantial empirical evidence supporting the Uncertainty in Illness theories provides a strong foundation to extend the theory to intervention development and improve patient and family outcomes. In addition to Mishel’s own intervention studies in patients with breast and prostate cancer, several researchers tested interventions to help patients manage uncertainty. Many were directed at reducing sources of uncertainty (Chair, Chou, Sit, et al., 2012; Chiou & Chung, 2012; Faithfull, Cockle-Hearne,
Khoo, 2011; Kazer, Bailey, Sanda, et al., 2011; Muthusamy, Leuthner, Gaebler-Uhing, et al., 2012; Schover, Canada, Yuan, et al., 2012). Others focused on the provision of support (Heiney, Adams, Wells, et al., 2012) and specific coping strategies (Faithfull, Cockle-Hearne, & Khoo, 2011) to help patients manage their uncertainty.

Critique

Clarity

Uncertainty is the primary concept of this theory and is defined as a cognitive state in which individuals are unable to determine the meaning of illness-related events (Mishel, 1988). The original theory postulates that managing uncertainty is critical to adaptation during illness and explains how individuals cognitively process illness-associated events and construct meaning from them. The original theory’s concepts were organized in a linear model around the following three major themes:

1. Antecedents of uncertainty
2. Process of uncertainty appraisal
3. Coping with uncertainty

The framework is clear and easy to follow. The antecedents of uncertainty include the stimuli frame, cognitive capacities, and structure providers. In the linear model, these antecedent variables have both a direct and indirect inverse relationship with uncertainty.

The second conceptual component of the model is appraisal. Uncertainty is seen as a neutral state, neither positive nor negative, until it has been appraised by the individual. Appraisal of uncertainty involves the following two processes: (1) inference and (2) illusion. Inference is constructed from the individual’s personality disposition and includes learned resourcefulness, mastery, and locus of control. These characteristics contribute to an individual’s confidence in the ability to handle life events. Illusion is defined as a belief constructed from uncertainty that considers the favorable aspects of a situation. Based on the appraisal process, uncertainty is viewed as either a danger or an opportunity. Uncertainty viewed as a danger results when the individual considers the possibility of a negative outcome. Uncertainty is viewed as an opportunity primarily through the use of illusion, but inference also can lead to the individual appraising the situation as having a positive outcome. In this situation, uncertainty is preferred and the individual remains hopeful.

Coping is the third theme of the original model of uncertainty. Coping occurs in two forms with the end result of adaptation. If uncertainty is appraised as a danger, then coping includes direct action, vigilance, and seeking information from mobilizing strategies, and it affects management using faith, disengagement, and cognitive support. If uncertainty is appraised as an opportunity, coping offers a buffer to maintain the uncertainty.

The original theory was reconceptualized in 1990 to incorporate the idea that chronic illness unfolds over time, possibly years, and with that, uncertainty is reappraised. The person is viewed as an open system exchanging energy within his or her environment, and, rather than seeking to return to a stable state, chronically ill individuals may move toward a complex world orientation, thus forming new meaning for their lives. If uncertainty is framed as a normal view of life, it becomes a positive force for multiple opportunities with resulting positive mood states. To achieve this, the individual must develop probabilistic thinking, which allows one to examine a variety of possibilities and consider ways of achieving them as the individual envisions a variety of responses and realizes that life changes from day to day.

Mishel described this process as a new view of life in which uncertainty shifts from being seen as a danger to being viewed as an opportunity. To adopt this new view of life, the patient must be able to rely on social resources and health care providers who accept probabilistic thinking. The relationship between the health care provider and the patient must focus on recognizing continual uncertainty and teaching the patient how to use the uncertainty to generate different explanations for events. Hence the importance of structure providers, introduced in the original theory, is maintained in the reconceptualized model.

Despite the complexity and dimensionality of the two models, they are presented clearly and conceptualized comprehensively. Mishel published her measurement model in 1981, her original theoretical model in 1988, and her reconceptualized theory in
1990, and these publications fully explicate the model for application in clinical and research contexts.

**Simplicity**

The two uncertainty-in-illness models contain concepts comprising relationships that range from simple to complex and direct to indirect. Eleven major concepts are found in the three themes of the original theory, and several new concepts are introduced in the reconceptualized model. The antecedents of uncertainty are concise, and their definitions are clear and simple. The appraisal component is complex because it considers cognitive processes along with beliefs and values held by the individual. The coping phase of the theory is also complex because it is dependent on the appraisal portion of the model and again involves different kinds of strategies targeted toward adaptation. The outcome portion of the model is differentiated into two conceptualizations of the theory, the first relating to patients with acute illness and the second representing an expansion of the model to accommodate patients with chronic illness. Although the models can hardly be called simple, overall the concept definitions and relationships are well-operationalized and easily understood.

**Generality**

The theory explains how individuals construct meaning from illness-related events. It is broad and generalizable and can be used with individuals experiencing illness, as well as with spouses and parents of those experiencing illness-related uncertainty. The concept of credible authority can be applied to physicians, nurses, and other health care workers. The theory can be applied in many areas of nursing practice and has been used by clinicians for acute and chronic illnesses such as cancer, cardiac disease, and multiple sclerosis.

**Accessibility**

Mishel derived both theoretical models from her program of research. Many of the concepts, assumptions, and relationships among variables draw support from empirical investigation. The concepts are well described, and their relationships are precisely constructed with clear, tested operational definitions. Theory testing has occurred in research and clinical settings. The theory has led to the development and testing of nursing interventions to manage uncertainty.

**Importance**

Derivable consequences are determined by examining whether a theory guides research, informs practice, generates new ideas, and differentiates the focus of nursing from other professions. Mishel’s work represents an exemplar of middle-range theory that informs clinical practice in the encompassing context of acute and chronic illness. The theory has generated considerable empirical research with adults dealing with illness or that of family members and continues to stimulate new research directions, such as uncertainty in ill children, in older men electing watchful waiting as treatment of choice for prostate cancer, and in health care providers informing patients of treatment choices in conditions with uncertain prognoses. Mishel believes that by defining and conceptualizing an important clinical problem, her work supports and enriches nursing practice. The Uncertainty in Illness Theory and its reconceptualization represent frameworks derived from and for practice, a process essential to nursing as a practice discipline.

**Summary**

The Uncertainty in Illness Theory provides a comprehensive framework within which to view the experience of acute and chronic illness and to organize nursing interventions to promote optimal adjustment. The theory helps explain the stresses associated with the diagnosis and treatment of major illnesses or chronic conditions, the processes by which individuals assess and respond to the uncertainty inherent in an illness experience, and the importance of professional caregivers in providing information and supporting individuals in understanding and managing uncertainty. The reconceptualized theory addresses the unique context of continual uncertainty and thereby expands the original theory to encompass the ongoing uncertain trajectory of many life-threatening and chronic illnesses. The original theory and its reconceptualization are well explicated, deriving support from sound theoretical foundations and extensive empirical confirmation, and it can be applied in illness contexts to support evidence-based nursing practice.
CASE STUDY

Part 1: Original Theory

Rosie, a 45-year-old mother of three, has been diagnosed with stage III breast cancer. A mass was detected in her left breast during her annual gynecological appointment, and she has undergone an extensive diagnostic workup, including mammography and sentinel node biopsy. She was referred by her primary physician to a comprehensive breast cancer program at a regional medical center that was 2 hours from her home. The multidisciplinary team has recommended that Rosie undergo preoperative chemotherapy, followed by partial mastectomy and reconstructive surgery. Rosie’s husband has accompanied her to most of her medical encounters, but he was unable to attend the final conference, where the treatment recommendations were made.

Lily, the advanced practice nurse coordinating Rosie’s care (structure provider-credible authority), directs her interventions toward addressing the many sources of uncertainty for Rosie and her family, including lack of information about treatment options and outcomes (event congruence), unfamiliarity with the treatment environment (event familiarity), expectations for chemotherapy side effects and postoperative recovery (symptom pattern), impact of treatment on family relationships, and prognosis. In particular, Lily addresses Rosie’s many questions about why her treatment plan is different from what her primary physician told her to expect (event congruence) and how she will manage her family life while undergoing treatment. Lily provides an audiotape of the treatment conference so that Rosie’s husband (structure provider-social support) can hear what took place and can support Rosie in asking questions and understanding the information provided. Lily’s support for Rosie and her family continues throughout Rosie’s treatment course, and she periodically reassesses the sources of uncertainty and the strategies that Rosie and her family use to manage them.

Part 2: Reconceptualized Theory

Two years after her breast cancer diagnosis, Rosie returns to the center for a follow-up appointment. Lily asks Rosie to reflect on her cancer experience. Rosie describes the time of diagnosis and treatment as chaotic and dominated by uncertainty, and she wonders how she and her family got through it, but she tells Lily that gradually she came to see the cancer experience as providing new meaning to her life and helping her set priorities. She left a job she was dissatisfied with and now directs her energy toward her relationships with her teenage children. Rosie and her husband recently enjoyed a long-postponed second honeymoon trip to Hawaii. She tells Lily that she now embraces each day as an opportunity to live life and enrich the lives of her children.

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. You have been assigned to a new patient. You want to know about this person’s perceptions of the current situation, supportive relationships, and previous experiences with health and illness. What questions would you ask to assess the level of uncertainty?

2. You are working with a young woman who has been living with multiple sclerosis for 6 years. During an exacerbation of her disease, she focuses on her plans for going to law school. One of your colleagues suggests that she may be in denial about the severity of her illness. Use the reconceptualized Uncertainty in Illness Theory to propose an alternative interpretation of her perspective.
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Self-Transcendence Theory
Doris D. Coward

“The quest for nursing is to understand the nature of and to facilitate nursing processes in diverse contexts of health experiences”
(Reed, 1997a, p. 77).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Pamela G. Reed was born in Detroit, Michigan, where she grew up during the 1960s. She married her husband, Gary, in 1973, and they have two daughters. Reed received her baccalaureate from Wayne State University in Detroit, Michigan, in 1974 and earned her M.S.N. in psychiatric–mental health of children and adolescents and in nursing education in 1976. She began doctoral study at that institution in 1979 and received her Ph.D. in 1982 with a concentration in nursing theory and research. She pioneered nursing research into spirituality beginning with her dissertation research, directed by Joyce J. Fitzpatrick, focusing on the relationship between well-being and spiritual perspectives on life and death in terminally ill and well individuals.

Reed is on the faculty at the University of Arizona College of Nursing in Tucson, where she teaches, conducts research, and serves in administrative roles, including Associate Dean for Academic Affairs since January 1983. Reed has received numerous awards for doctoral teaching in philosophy of nursing science and practice, and for her theory development courses. Her major fields of research are spirituality, nursing philosophy, and ethical dimensions of end-of-life and palliative caregiving. She developed two widely used research instruments, the Spiritual Perspectives Scale and the Self-Transcendence Scale. Her research
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studies, financed by intramural and extramural funding, were reported in many presentations and publications. Her current research examines well-being and ethical dimensions in end-of-life caregiving by family caregivers and professional nurses. She has published over 100 articles and book chapters, and she co-edited the sixth edition of *Perspectives on Nursing Theory* with Shearer in 2012. In 2011, Reed and Shearer published *Nursing Knowledge and Theory Innovation: Advancing the Science of Nursing Practice*, promoting a philosophy and methods of practice-based knowledge development in 2011.

Reed is a fellow in the American Academy of Nursing and a member of a number of professional organizations, including Sigma Theta Tau International, the American Nurses Association, and the Society of Rogerian Scholars. She serves on the editorial review boards of numerous journals and was Contributing Editor for a *Nursing Science Quarterly* column, Scholarly Dialogue.

Reed's influence is evident not only in her own research and publications. The impact of her work is reflected in the research of many graduate students and in the work of other scientists nationally and internationally who have applied her theory or her two measurement scales in their research. Her theoretical ideas have been supported and extended by the many nurses she mentored.

### Theoretical Sources

Reed (1991a) developed her Self-Transcendence Theory using the strategy of deductive reformulation. The strategy originated with Reed's professors, Ann Whall and Joyce Fitzpatrick at Wayne State University (Fitzpatrick, Whall, Johnston, et al., 1982; Shearer & Reed, 2004; Whall, 1986). Deductive reformulation uses knowledge from non-nursing theory that is reformulated with a nursing conceptual model in constructing middle-range theory. The non-nursing theory sources were life span theories on adult social-cognitive and transpersonal development (e.g., Alexander & Langner, 1990; Commons, Richards, & Armon, 1984; Wilber, 1980, 1981, 1990). Principles from life span theories were reformulated using the nursing perspective of Martha E. Rogers’ conceptual system of unitary human beings (Rogers, 1970, 1980, 1990).

Reed describes her theory as originating from three sources (Reed, 2003, 2008). The first source was the conceptualization of human development (Lerner, 2002) as a lifelong process that extended beyond the attainment of adulthood throughout the aging and dying processes. This emerging belief in the ongoing potential for development was a paradigm shift from previously held views that both physical growth and mental development ended at adolescence (Reed, 1983).

The second source for the theory was the early work of nursing theorist Martha E. Rogers (Rogers, 1970, 1980, 1990). Rogers’ three principles of homeodynamics were congruent with the key principles of the evolving Life Span Developmental Theory. Rogers’ integrality principle identified development as a function of both human and contextual factors; it also identified disequilibrium between person and environment as an important trigger of development. Similarly, developmental theorist Riegel (1976) proposed that asynchrony in development among physical, emotional, environmental, and social dimensions was necessary for developmental progress. Rogers’ helicy principle characterized human development as innovative and unpredictable. This principle is similar to life span principles identifying development as nonlinear, continuous throughout the life span, and evident in variability within and across individuals and groups. Rogers’ resonancy principle described human development as a process of movement that, although unpredictable, had pattern and purpose. Life span theorists also proposed that the process of development displayed patterns of complexity and organization. Thus knowledge gained from the non-nursing life span developmental perspective was reformulated using an appropriate nursing conceptual system.

The third source for the theory was evidence from clinical experience and research indicating that clinically depressed older persons reported fewer developmental resources to sustain their sense of well-being in the face of decreased physical and cognitive abilities than did a matched group of mentally healthy older adults (Reed, 1986b). In addition, development in elderly and in “oldest-old” adults was found to be a nonlinear process of gain and subsequent loss, a process of transforming old perspectives and behaviors, and integrating new views and activities (Reed, 1989, 1991b).
Use of Empirical Evidence

Self-Transcendence Theory was grounded in belief in the developmental nature of older adults and the necessity of continued development to maintain mental health and a sense of well-being during the process of aging (Reed, 1983). Therefore, Reed's initial research in theory building was conducted with older adults (1986b, 1989, 1991b).

In the first study, Reed (1986b) examined patterns of developmental resources and depression over time in 28 mentally healthy and 28 clinically depressed older adults (mean age, 67.4 years). Levels
of developmental resources were measured 3 times (6 weeks apart) with the 36-item Developmental Resources of Later Adulthood (DRLA) scale, previously developed and tested by Reed. Healthy adults perceived higher levels of resources across time than did depressed adults. Scores on the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) scale (Radloff, 1977) were significantly higher in depressed individuals across time than were those of the mentally healthy. Strong relationships between DRLA scores and subsequent CES-D scores indicated that developmental resources influenced mental health outcomes in the healthy group; the reverse relationship found in the depressed group indicated that depression negatively influenced developmental resources in terms of the ability to explore new outlooks on life, to share wisdom and experience with others, and to find spiritual meaning.

In the second study, Reed (1989) explored the degree to which key developmental resources of later adulthood were related to mental health in 30 hospitalized clinically depressed older adults (mean age, 67 years). Participants completed the DRLA and CES-D measures and rated the importance in their current lives of each developmental resource reflected in the DRLA items. An inverse correlation was found between the level of resources and depression. Participants also reported that the resources represented by the DRLA items were highly important in their lives. In addition, key reasons given by participants for their psychiatric hospitalization were congruent with self-transcendence issues significant in later adulthood (e.g., physical health concerns, relationships with adult children, questions about life and death).

During the initial DRLA instrument development and testing, a factor labeled transcendence accounted for 45.2% of the variance in DRLA scores. In the second study (Reed, 1989), the 15-item transcendence factor was also more highly correlated with the CES-D than was the entire DRLA. Therefore, a recommendation for future research was to examine further the psychometric properties of the instrument, with a goal to shorten the DRLA to facilitate ease of administration in clinical settings.

A third study explored patterns of self-transcendence and mental health in 55 independent-living older adults (ranging from 80 to 97 years of age) (Reed, 1991b). In this study, self-transcendence was defined as “the expansion of one’s conceptual boundaries inwardly through introspective activities, outwardly through concerns about other’s welfare, and temporally by integrating perceptions of one’s past and future to enhance the present” (Reed, 1991b, p. 5). Self-transcendence was measured by the newly developed Self-Transcendence Scale (STS), derived from the previously identified transcendence factor in the original DRLA scale. The STS score was correlated inversely with both CES-D and Langner Scale of Mental Health Symptomatology (MHS) scores. The MHS is an index of general mental health on which higher scores indicate impairment in mental health in nonpsychiatric populations (Langner, 1962). In addition, the four patterns of self-transcendence identified by participants (generativity, introjectivity, temporal integration, and body-transcendence) were congruent with Reed’s definition of the concept.

In summary, Reed’s three studies provided evidence for her theoretical idea that self-transcendence views and behaviors were, in fact, present in older adults. Data indicated that such views and behaviors were strongly related to mental health. Thus, the findings supported a conceptualization of mental health in later adulthood that went beyond preoccupation with physical and cognitive declines and pointed out the importance of resources that expanded self-concept boundaries in aging.

Major Assumptions

Early in her theoretical work, Reed (1986a, 1987) proposed a process model for constructing conceptual frameworks that would guide nurses and nursing education in clinical specialties. In this model, health was proposed as the central concept, or axis, around which nursing activity, person, and environment evolved. An assumption of the model was that the focus of the nursing discipline was on building and engaging knowledge to promote health processes.

Nursing

The role of nursing activity was to assist persons (through interpersonal processes and therapeutic management of their environments) with the skills required for promoting health and well-being.
Person
Persons were conceived as developing over their life span in interaction with other persons and within an environment of changing complexity and vibrancy that could both positively and negatively contribute to health and well-being.

Health
In the early process model, *health* was defined implicitly as a life process of both positive and negative experiences from which individuals create unique values and environments that promote well-being.

Environment
Family, social networks, physical surroundings, and community resources were environments that significantly contributed to health processes that nurses influenced through “managing therapeutic interactions among people, objects, and [nursing] activities” (Reed, 1987, p. 26).

This metaparadigmatic approach to knowledge development for a nursing specialty was innovative and foundational to Reed’s own future work with the concepts of spirituality and self-transcendence. Self-Transcendence Theory evolved from the perspective that self-transcendence is one of many processes related to health, and the overall goal of the theory was to provide nurses with another perspective on the human capacity for well-being.

In her initial explication of the emerging Self-Transcendence Theory, Reed (1991a) identified one key assumption based on Rogers’ conceptual system. This assumption was that persons are open systems who impose conceptual boundaries upon themselves to define their reality and to provide a sense of wholeness and connectedness within themselves and their environment. Reed (2003) reaffirmed this assumption in a later publication, restating Rogers’ basic assumption that “human beings are integral with their environment” (p. 146). Self-conceptual boundaries fluctuate in form across the life span and are associated with human health and development. Self-transcendence was proposed as an important indicator of a person’s conceptual self-boundaries that could be assessed at specific times.

A second assumption identified in the later description of the theory was that self-transcendence is a developmental imperative (Reed, 2003), that is, self-transcendence must be expressed like any other developmental capacity in life for a person to realize a continuing sense of wholeness and connectedness. This assumption is congruent with Frankl’s (1969) and Maslow’s (1971) conceptualizations of self-transcendence as an innate human characteristic that, when actualized, gives purpose and meaning to a person’s existence.

Theoretical Assertions
There are three basic concepts in the Self-Transcendence Theory: vulnerability, self-transcendence, and well-being (Reed, 2003, 2008). Vulnerability is the awareness of personal mortality that arises with aging and other life phases, or during health events and life crises (Reed, 2003). The concept of vulnerability clarifies that the context within which self-transcendence is realized is not only when confronting end-of-own-life issues but also includes life crises such as disability, chronic illness, childbirth, and parenting. Self-transcendence refers to the fluctuations in perceived boundaries that extend persons beyond their immediate and constricted views of self and the world. The fluctuations are pandimensional: outward (toward awareness of others and the environment), inward (toward greater insight into one’s own beliefs, values, and dreams), temporal (toward integration of past and future in a way that enhances the relative present), and transpersonal (toward awareness of dimensions beyond the typically discernible world) (Reed, 1997b, 2003, 2008). Well-being is “feeling whole and healthy, in accord with one’s own criteria for wholeness and well-being” (Reed, 2003, p. 148). The theory also allows for additional personal and contextual variables such as age, gender, life experiences, and social environment that can influence the relationships among the three basic concepts. Interventions would focus on nursing activities that facilitate self-transcendence.

Three major propositions of the theory were developed from the three basic concepts. The first proposition is that self-transcendence is greater in persons facing end-of-own-life issues than in persons not facing such issues. End-of-own-life issues are interpreted broadly, as they arise with life events, illness, aging, and other experiences that increase awareness of personal mortality.
The second proposition is that self-transcendence is positively related to well-being (Reed, 1991a). Alternatively, decreased self-transcendence (as in the inability to reach out to others or to accept friendship) is positively related to depression as an indicator of decreased well-being or mental health. An important refinement to Self-Transcendence Theory has to do with the mediating effects of self-transcendence. Research results accumulated in the last decade indicate that self-transcendence mediates the relationship between vulnerability and well-being. In other words, self-transcendence is an underlying process or mechanism that explains why people may attain well-being when confronted with increased vulnerability.

The key assumption about person-environmental process (Reed, 1991a) led the third and revised set of propositions by Reed in 2003. Personal and environmental factors function as correlates, moderators, or mediators of the relationships between vulnerability, self-transcendence, and well-being.

In summary, the 2003, updated Self-Transcendence Theory proposes the following three sets of relationships (Figure 29–1):

1. Increased vulnerability is related to increased self-transcendence.
2. Self-transcendence is positively related to well-being and functions as a mediator between vulnerability and well-being.
3. Personal and contextual factors may influence the relationship between vulnerability and self-transcendence and between self-transcendence and well-being.

**Logical Form**

Reed’s empirical middle-range theory was constructed using the strategy of deductive reformulation to enhance understanding of the end-of-life phenomenon of self-transcendence (Reed, 1991a). The logic used was primarily deduction, to ensure that the middle-range theory was congruent with Rogerian and life span principles. Analogical reasoning was also used to work from other theories of life span development, comparing psychology and nursing about human development and potential for well-being in all phases of life. The key concepts of the

---

theory are related in a clear and logical manner, while allowing for creativity in the way the theory is applied, tested, and further developed. Reed’s strategy of constructing a nursing theory—from non-nursing theories, a nursing conceptual model, research, and clinical and personal experiences—piqued nurses’ interest in the phenomenon of developmental maturity and provided impetus for further theorizing into the variety of situations where awareness of personal mortality occurs.

### Acceptance by the Nursing Community

The quest for nursing is to facilitate human well-being through what Reed calls “nursing processes,” of which self-transcendence is one example (Reed, 1997a). Self-Transcendence Theory has been widely used in practice, education, and research.

### Practice

Reed’s (1986a, 1987) process model for clinical specialty education and psychiatric–mental health nursing practice articulates relationships among the metaparadigm constructs of health, persons and their environments, and nursing activity. Self-Transcendence Theory delineates specific concepts from Reed’s process model: constructs of health (i.e., well-being), person (i.e., self-transcendence), and environment (i.e., vulnerability), and it proposes relationships among these concepts to direct nursing activities. Reed (1991a) and Coward and Reed (1996) have suggested nursing activities that facilitate expansion of self-conceptual boundaries—journaling, art activities, meditation, life review, and religious expression, to name a few.

Self-transcendence may be integral to healing in many life situations. Nurse activities that promote the activities of self-reflection, altruism, hope, and faith in vulnerable persons are associated with an increased sense of well-being. Group psychotherapy (Stinson & Kirk, 2006; Young & Reed, 1995) and breast cancer support groups (Coward, 1998, 2003; Coward & Kahn 2004; 2005) are interventions that nurse researchers used to provide clients with opportunity for examining their values, for reaching out to share experience with and help similar others, and for finding meaning from their health situations. Others suggested similar strategies to facilitate well-being in caregivers of persons with dementia (Acton & Wright, 2000) and bereaved individuals (Joffrion & Douglas, 1994). Acton and Wright (2000) suggest arranging respite care for caregivers so that they have time and energy for transpersonal activities. Applications of creative-bonding art activities to promote self-transcendence were used in studies with nursing students and older adults (Chen & Walsh, 2009; Walsh, Chen, Hacker, et al., 2008) and in late-stage Alzheimer’s disease (Walsh, Lamet, Lindgren, et al., 2011). McGee (2000) suggested that recovery in alcoholism involves self-transcendence, facilitated by a nurse-designed environment that supports the 12 steps and 12 traditions of Alcoholics Anonymous.

### Education

Self-transcendence is in the writings of nurse theorists who are influential in nursing education (Erickson, 2002; Erickson, Tomlin, & Swain, 1983; Newman, 1986; Parse, 1981; Rogers, 1970, 1980; Sarter, 1988; Watson, 1979, 1985). These theories share a common view identifying self-transcendence as a foundational concept for the discipline. All levels of education may use the theory in courses to support care of the aging. Guo, Phillips, and Reed (2010) supported the need for non-hospice nurses to improve their abilities and attitudes toward older adults and their family caregivers related to end-of-life care. The art-activity with older adults at community senior centers is designed to develop more positive attitudes in nursing students when caring for them (Chen & Walsh, 2009; Walsh, Chen, Hacker, et al., 2008).

Self-transcendence is a pathway for helping the healer, or healing the healer, so that nurses learn to maintain a healthy lifestyle as they care for others (Conti-O’Hare, 2002). Two studies provide support for nurses benefiting from self-transcendence attitudes and behaviors. Self-transcendence perspectives correlated with lower levels of burnout in hospice and oncology nurses (Hunnibell, Reed, Quinn-Griffin, et al., 2008) and with higher levels of work engagement in acute care nurses (Palmer, Quinn, Reed, et al., 2010).

### Research

A number of research studies provide evidence to support the association between self-transcendence and increased well-being in populations that typically are confronted with awareness of their own personal

A number of studies have demonstrated a positive relationship among self-transcendence and well-being or quality of life in persons with HIV or AIDS (Coward, 1994, 1995; Coward & Lewis, 1993; McCormick, Holder, Wetsel, et al., 2001; Mellors, Erlen, Coontz, et al., 2001; Mellors, Riley, & Erlen, 1997; Sperry, 2011; Stevens, 1999). Numerous studies have described self-transcendence or related concepts in women with breast cancer (Carpenter, Brockopp, & Andrykowski, 1999; Coward, 1990, 1991; Coward & Kahn, 2004, 2005; Farren, 2010; Kamienski, 1997; Kinney, 1996; Matthews & Cook, 2009; Pelusi, 1997; Taylor, 2000; Thomas, Burton, Quinn-Griffin, et al., 2010).

Acton (2003), Acton and Wright (2000), and Kidd, Zauszniewski, and Morris (2011) explored self-transcendence in caregivers of persons with dementia as well as in caregivers of terminally ill patients who had died within the previous year (Enyert & Burman, 1999; Reed & Rousseau, 2007). Other populations studied include healthy middle-aged adults (Coward, 1996), elderly men with prostate cancer (Chin-A-Loy & Fernsler, 1998), female nursing students and faculty (Kilpatrick, 2002), nurses (Hunnibell, Reed, Quinn-Griffin, et al., 2008; McGee, 2004), homeless adults (Runquist & Reed, 2007), elders with chronic heart failure (Gusick, 2008), liver transplant recipients (Bean & Wagner, 2006), bullied middle-school boys (Willis & Grace, 2011; Willis & Griffith, 2010), stem cell transplant recipients (Williams, 2012), and persons with dementia (Walsh, Lamet, Lindgren, et al., 2011). Kim and colleagues (2011) found interdependence within Korean caregiver-elder dyads on self-transcendence variables and well-being. Two other reports examined the role of caregivers of end-of-life older adults and reported a positive relationship between caregiver transcendence and well-being (Phillips & Reed, 2009a, 2009b). Positive relationships among transcendence and transformation and finding meaning were also described in women with chronic conditions such as arthritis (Neill, 2002; Shearer, Fleury, & Reed, 2009).


Reed has mentored a number of master’s and doctoral students in research on self-transcendence. Research results from these studies provide additional empirical support for the theory and are cited earlier in the chapter and listed in the bibliography.

Further Development

Reed’s initial conceptualization of self-transcendence focused on later adulthood and identified the importance of personal resources that expand self-boundaries...
beyond the concerns generated by physical and cognitive decline. Other scholars broadened the theory to include younger adults with life-limiting conditions that may make them vulnerable to spiritual disequilibrium and depression. Recent studies by Reed and others have extended the scope of the theory to include additional populations of adolescent and adult age groups, patients and nonpatients, who may have increased awareness of personal mortality. Examples are Japanese hospitalized older adults (Hoshi & Reed, 2011), Korean older adults and their family caregivers (Kim, Reed, Hayward, et al., 2011), Amish adults in rural Ohio (Sharpnack, Quinn-Griffin, Benders, et al., 2010, 2011), caregivers of older adults with dementia (Kidd, Zauszniewski, Morris, et al., 2011), low-income older adults (McCarthy, 2011), older adults patients in Norwegian nursing homes (Haugan, Rannestad, Garåsen, Hammervold, et al., 2011), Taiwanese nursing students (Chen & Walsh, 2009), bullied middle-school boys (Willis & Grace, 2011; Willis & Griffith, 2010), and patients with progressive diseases such as multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus (Iwamoto, Yamawaki, & Sato, 2011).

Diverse personal and contextual variables impact the relationship between self-transcendence and well-being. Although a number of studies have associated older age with increased self-transcendence, younger research participants have also report self-transcendence views and behaviors and score high on self-transcendence measures. During a long or short period in one's life, a variety of human experiences (e.g., childbirth and parenting, illness and disability, caregiving, creating a work of art or literature, spiritual perspectives) all may evoke the pandimensional views and behaviors indicative of self-transcendence. Continued research into these and other personal and contextual factors will increase understanding of the role they play in the theoretical propositions (Reed, 2008). Continued development of the theory by Reed and others includes further examination of points of intervention to facilitate self-transcendence perspectives and behaviors in persons who express a need for increased sense of wholeness and well-being. As the Self-Transcendence Theory evolves, nurses learn more about potentials for well-being over the life span.

Reed received funding to study self-transcendence as it relates to end-of-life decisions and well-being in patients and their family caregivers. People facing the end of life represent some of the most vulnerable individuals to whom nurses may provide care. Although an abundance of lay literature exists about the developmental and transcendent experiences of end of life and dying, there is a dearth of systematic research into this human experience. The Self-Transcendence Theory guides the initial questions and may undergo further refinement as this inquiry progresses.

Other forms of inquiry may occur in reference to the theory, in view of Reed's reconceptualization of nursing. Reed (1997a) has clarified a more foundational definition of nursing that shifts the source of nursing activity from that of external agent (i.e., the “nurse”) to a view of nursing as an inner human process. Specifically, Reed defines nursing as a process of well-being that exists within and among human systems, characterized by changing complexity and integration. From this, she proposed self-transcendence as a nursing process. Further explorations into mechanisms of changing complexity and integration should help achieve new theoretical explanations about how self-transcendence emerges and functions in human lives.

Critique

Clarity

Clarity and consistency are key criteria in the description of and critical reflection on a theory (Chinn & Kramer, 2011). Theory clarity is evaluated by semantic clarity and structural clarity. Semantic consistency evaluates how consistent concepts are used with their definitions and the basic assumptions of the theory. Structural consistency involves assessing congruency among the assumptions, theory purpose, concept definitions, and connections among the concepts.

Theoretical sources for development of the theory are described clearly in several publications (Reed, 1991b, 1996, 1997b, 2003). The definitions and assumptions about the concepts derived from Life Span Developmental Theory and Rogers' Science of Unitary Human Beings have sometimes been difficult for nurses to grasp. Attempting to clarify concepts such as health and self-transcendence, Reed presented slightly varying definitions and numerous examples that, although theoretically consistent, may confuse some readers. In terms of structural clarity, the relationships in the schematic model of the theory (see Figure 29–1) are more fully defined and described in
Reed’s past and forthcoming writings (Reed, 2013). Structural consistency is good in that the identified relationships are logical and consistent.

It is not unusual to find these issues about clarity in definitions when a theory incorporates concepts that are somewhat abstract. Overall, however, Reed’s theoretical thinking has remained congruent with the original Rogerian and life-span conceptual views and assumptions underlying her knowledge development, and she conceptualized a theory that can be understood by both nurse clinicians and nurse researchers.

**Simplicity**

Reed’s middle-range theory is strong on simplicity, with three major concepts (vulnerability, self-transcendence, and well-being). The theory may increase in complexity somewhat as specific personal and environmental factors and their relationships to the major concepts are identified in clinical applications. The major concepts and the number of relationships generated by these concepts are minimal while still being meaningful and fairly comprehensive.

**Generality**

The scope and purpose of Reed’s theory are such that the theory can be applied to a wide variety of human health situations. The purpose of the theory is to enhance nurses’ understanding about well-being (Reed, 2008). Initially, Reed’s work focused on developmental resources in persons confronted by challenges of later adulthood related to indicators of mental health symptomatology, specifically, clinical depression (Reed, 1983, 1986b, 1991a). In linking self-transcendence to mental health as an indicator of well-being, the scope of the theory expanded to include persons other than older adults who were facing end-of-own-life issues (Reed, 1991b). Continued development and testing of the theory led to the specification of self-transcendence as a mediator between vulnerability and well-being, and it supported the direct relationship between self-transcendence and well-being (Reed, 2003, 2008). The theory is now broader in scope and more congruent with a life-span perspective, because the major concepts can be applied to anyone confronted with life events ranging from childbirth and caregiving to long-term care contexts, life-threatening illness, and dying. Broadening the scope and purpose of the theory from mental health to well-being increased generality, resulting in a theory that is applicable in many situations of health and healing.

**Accessibility**

How well the concepts of the theory are linked to observable, empirical reality and to nursing practice refers to the criterion of accessibility (Chinn and Kramer, 2011). Although the theory is abstract with concepts of vulnerability, self-transcendence, and well-being, numerous researchers have identified and studied empirical indicators. In particular, measurement of self-transcendence has been honed through the development and refinement of Reed’s Self-Transcendence Scale. Well-being has been measured by a variety of empirical indicators.

Researchers may use different approaches and empirical indicators to measure self-transcendence because the concept lends itself to a variety of approaches and measures that fit the clinical nursing context of interest. Research findings that support a strong relationship among self-transcendence and well-being, as hypothesized by the theory, attest to the theory’s empirical precision.

**Importance**

Self-Transcendence Theory is a middle-range theory that leads to valued goals in nursing education, practice, and research. The theory, which is grounded in nursing philosophy, research, and practice and is tested in research, has produced new nursing knowledge that is useful in practice. The theory provides insight into the developmental nature of humans related to health situations relevant to nursing care. Nurses and patients face events that challenge personal mortality. Knowledge of developmental resources (i.e., self-transcendence) can be engaged for persons to expand nurses’ repertoire for facilitating well-being in times of vulnerability. The abstract yet definable nature of self-transcendence facilitates development of many interventions that may be tested as strategies to promote well-being in a variety of nurse-patient encounters.

**Summary**

Self-Transcendence Theory was developed initially using deductive reformulation from life-span developmental theories, Rogers’ conceptual system of unitary human beings, empirical research, and clinical
and personal experiences of the theorist. The theoretical concepts are abstract, but concrete subconcepts have been developed and studied extensively in a number of populations. Research findings support the hypothesized relationships among self-transcendence views, behaviors, and well-being. These studies increase nurses’ understanding that, no matter how desperate a health situation, people retain a capacity for personal development that is associated with feelings of well-being.

Research findings have suggested ways in which nurses promote self-transcendence views and behaviors in themselves and in their clients. Further research is planned to examine interventions promoting self-transcendence and studies of personal and contextual factors that modify relationships among the theory concepts. In addition, qualitative research approaches assist in gaining a deeper understanding of the concept of self-transcendence as a nursing process and as it expresses the depth and changing complexity of human beings.

CASE STUDY

Mr. Jones is a 65-year-old man whose wife died 6 months ago after a long illness. The couple was married 45 years, and they were devoted to each other. They had three children who are now in their 30s. Two of the children live several hundred miles away, but one son lives with his wife and two preschool children less than 1 mile from Mr. Jones’s home.

Mr. Jones provided much of the care for his wife during her illness. Although her care was time-consuming and fatiguing and kept him at home much of the time, he was grateful that he could care for her. He now is alone in their home, is very lonely, is uninterested in preparing meals or eating, and lacks energy to return to his former community and social activities or even to interact with his son and family.

The hospice nurse contacted Mr. Jones for follow-up bereavement counseling. She told him that although he had “passed” a routine physical examination the week before, she was concerned about his continuing sadness and lack of energy. The nurse reassured him that it was not uncommon to grieve for many months after a major loss. She asked him if he thought his wife would have had a similar experience if he had been the first to die. His response was that his wife would have had an even more difficult time adjusting. The nurse and Mr. Jones then spent some time reflecting on and talking about his response. The nurse’s initial question and Mr. Jones’s resulting insight that his grief was not as bad as his wife’s would have helped him transcend his immediate experience of loss and find some meaning in his grief.

This illustration is an example of an inward expansion of self-conceptual boundaries indicative of self-transcendence. Other expressions of self-transcendence might help Mr. Jones facilitate his own healing and regain a measure of well-being.

In terms of outward expansion, Mr. Jones, with some encouragement, might reach out to his son’s family to begin to reconnect to the world outside himself. Walking to and from his home to theirs could expand his sensory world and provide opportunities to interact with other people and with nature along the way. Spending time with his grandchildren could be enlivening through the joy young children can bring to an older person, as could a sense of satisfaction derived from being helpful to his son and daughter-in-law.

Offering at a future time to use the skills he learned while caring for his wife through volunteering with hospice would be an example of transcending temporally. Integrating his memories of Mrs. Jones into his current life would be another example of temporal self-transcendence.

Transpersonal self-transcendence is another important experience for Mr. Jones. Although he was unable to attend church services for several years, he had in the past found worshiping with others a source of comfort. His spiritual life might even be expanded to consider new spiritual dimensions such as that found in the possibility of “being with” his wife again someday or in some way experiencing her presence in the present. Returning to church or to addressing spiritual dimensions outside of organized worship that relates Mr. Jones’s understanding of death to some greater or divine design is another example of transpersonal self-transcendence.
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Consider the pandimensional aspect of self-transcendence, and list examples of when you experienced expanded boundaries in your own life. Identify how this expanded awareness influenced your health or sense of well-being in each example.

2. What are some factors in the life of patients you cared for recently that negatively or positively influenced their self-transcendence? If negative, how might you have facilitated self-transcendence and a more positive outcome?

3. What nursing intervention could you do to facilitate self-transcendence in a woman with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome who is dying? Why would you select this nursing action?

4. How might you apply the Self-Transcendence Theory to help a frail 95-year-old person living in a nursing home maintain or gain a sense of well-being?
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### Selected Master's Theses and Dissertations


The uncertainty surrounding a chronic illness like cancer is the uncertainty of life writ large. By listening to those who are tolerating this exaggerated uncertainty, we can learn much about the trajectory of living” (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, p. 29).

Theory of Illness Trajectory
Janice Penrod, Lisa Kitko, and Gwen McGhan

Credentials and Background of the Theorists

Carolyn L. Wiener

Carolyn L. Wiener was born in 1930 in San Francisco. She earned her bachelor’s degree in interdisciplinary social science from San Francisco State University in 1972. Wiener received her master’s degree in sociology from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) in 1975. She remained at UCSF to pursue her doctorate in sociology, and she completed her Ph.D. in 1978. After receiving her Ph.D., Wiener accepted the position of assistant research sociologist at UCSF, where she remained for her entire professional career, attaining the rank of full professor in 1999.

Wiener is currently emeritus professor in the Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences at the School of Nursing at UCSF. Her research has focused on organization in health care institutions, chronic illness, and health policy. She has taught qualitative research methods, mentored nursing and sociology students and visiting scholars at UCSF, and conducted numerous seminars and workshops, nationally and internationally, on the grounded theory method.

Throughout her career, Wiener’s excellence earned her several meritorious awards and honors. In 2001, she gave the opening lecture in an international series entitled “Critiquing Health Improvement” at Nottingham University School of Nursing in England. Also in 2001,
she was an honoree at the UCSF assemblage “Celebrating Women Faculty,” an inaugural event honoring women faculty for their accomplishments. Wiener’s collaborative relationship with the late Anselm Strauss (co-originator with Barney Glaser of grounded theory) and her prolific experience in grounded theory methods are evidenced by her invited presentations at the Celebration of the Life and Work of Anselm Strauss at UCSF in 1996, at a conference entitled Anselm Strauss, a Theoretician: The Impact of His Thinking on German and European Social Sciences in Magdeburg, Germany in 1999, and at the First Anselm Strauss Research Colloquium at UCSF in 2005. Wiener is highly sought as a methodological consultant to researchers and students from a variety of specialties.

Dissemination of research findings and methodological papers is a hallmark of Wiener’s work. She produced a steady stream of research and theory articles from the mid-1970s. In addition, she authored or coauthored several books (Strauss, Fagerhaugh, Suczek, et al., 1997; Wiener, 1981, 2000; Wiener & Strauss, 1997; Wiener & Wysmans, 1990). Her early works focused on illness trajectories, biographies, and the evolving medical technology scene. From the late 1980s to 1990s, Wiener focused on coping, uncertainty, and accountability in hospitals. Her study examining quality management and redesign efforts in hospitals and the interplay of agencies and hospitals around accountability led to a book, *The Elusive Quest* (Wiener, 2000). In this book, Wiener describes the poor fit of quality improvement techniques borrowed from corporate industry in a hospital setting where professionals from diverse disciplines provide highly sophisticated care to patients whose individual biographies defy categorization and whose course of illness is idiosyncratic. Wiener challenged the concept that hospital performance can be, or should be, quantitatively measured. All of Wiener’s work is grounded in her methodological expertise and sociological perspective.

**Marylin J. Dodd**

Marylin J. Dodd was born in 1946 in Vancouver, Canada. She qualified as a registered nurse after studying at Vancouver General Hospital in British Columbia, Canada. She continued her education, earning a bachelor’s and a master’s degree in nursing from the University of Washington in 1971 and 1973, respectively. Dodd worked as an instructor in nursing at the University of Washington following graduation with her master's degree. By 1977, Dodd returned to academe and completed a Ph.D. in nursing from Wayne State University. She then accepted the position of Assistant Professor at UCSF. During her tenure there, Dodd advanced to the rank of full professor, serving as Director for the Center for Symptom Management at UCSF. In 2003, she was awarded the Sharon A. Lamb Endowed Chair in Symptom Management at the UCSF School of Nursing.

Dodd’s exemplary program of research is focused in oncology nursing, specifically, self-care and symptom management. Her outstanding record of funded research provides evidence of the superiority and significance of her work. She has skillfully woven modest internal and external funding with 23 years of continuous National Institutes of Health funding to advance her research. Her research trajectory has advanced impeccably as she progressively utilized both descriptive studies and intervention studies employing randomized clinical trial methodologies to extend an understanding of complex phenomena in cancer care.

Dodd's research was designed to test self-care interventions (PRO-SELF Program) to manage the side effects of cancer treatment (mucositis) and symptoms of cancer (fatigue, pain). This research, entitled The PRO-SELF: Pain Control Program—An Effective Approach for Cancer Pain Management, was published in Oncology Nursing Forum (West, Dodd, Paul, et al., 2003). Dodd teaches in the Oncology Nursing Specialty. In 2002, she instituted two new courses (“Biomarkers I and II”) that were developed by the Center for Symptom Management Faculty Group.

Dodd’s illustrious career has merited several prestigious awards. Among these honors, she was recognized as a fellow of the American Academy of Nursing (1986). Her excellence and significant contributions to oncology nursing are evidenced by her having received the Oncology Nursing Society/Schering Excellence in Research Award (1993, 1996), the Best Original Research Paper in Cancer Nursing (1994, 1996), the Oncology Nursing Society Bristol-Myers Distinguished Researcher Career Award (1997), and the Oncology Nursing Society/Chiron Excellence of Scholarship and Consistency of Contribution to the Oncology Nursing Literature.
Such disruption affects all aspects of life, including physiological functioning, social interactions, and conceptions of self. Coping is the response to such disruption. Because the processes surrounding the disruption of illness are played out in the context of living, coping responses are inherently situated in sociological interactions with others and biographical processes of self. Coping is often described as a compendium of strategies used to manage the disruption, attempts to isolate specific responses to one event that is lived within the complexity of life context, or assigned value labels to the responsive behaviors (e.g., good or bad) that are described collectively as coping. Yet, the complex interplay of physiological disruption, interactions with others, and the construction of biographical conceptions of the self warrants a more sophisticated perspective of coping.

The Theory of Illness Trajectory* addresses these theoretical pitfalls by framing this phenomenon within a sociological perspective that emphasizes the experience of disruption related to illness within the changing contexts of interactional and sociological processes that ultimately influence the person’s response to such disruption. This theoretical approach defines this theory’s contribution to nursing: coping is not a simple stimulus-response phenomenon that can be isolated from the complex context of life. Life is centered in the living body, therefore physiological disruptions of illness permeate other life contexts to create a new way of being, a new sense of self. Responses to the disruptions caused by illness are interwoven into the various contexts encountered in one’s life and the interactions with other players in those life situations.

Within this sociological framework, Wiener and Dodd address serious concerns regarding conceptual overattribution of the role of uncertainty for understanding responses to living with the disruptions of illness (Wiener & Dodd, 1993). An old adage tells us that nothing in life is certain, except death and taxes. Living is fraught with uncertainty, yet illness (especially chronic illness) compounds

---

Theoretical Sources

Although coping with illness has been of interest to social scientists and nursing scholars for decades, Wiener and Dodd clearly explicate that formerly implicit theoretical assumptions have limited the utility of this body of work (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, 2000). Being ill creates a disruption in normal life. Such disruption affects all aspects of life, including physiological functioning, social interactions, and conceptions of self. Coping is the response to such disruption. Because the processes surrounding the disruption of illness are played out in the context of living, coping responses are inherently situated in sociological interactions with others and biographical processes of self. Coping is often described as a compendium of strategies used to manage the disruption, attempts to isolate specific responses to one event that is lived within the complexity of life context, or assigned value labels to the responsive behaviors (e.g., good or bad) that are described collectively as coping. Yet, the complex interplay of physiological disruption, interactions with others, and the construction of biographical conceptions of the self warrants a more sophisticated perspective of coping.

The Theory of Illness Trajectory* addresses these theoretical pitfalls by framing this phenomenon within a sociological perspective that emphasizes the experience of disruption related to illness within the changing contexts of interactional and sociological processes that ultimately influence the person's response to such disruption. This theoretical approach defines this theory's contribution to nursing: coping is not a simple stimulus-response phenomenon that can be isolated from the complex context of life. Life is centered in the living body, therefore physiological disruptions of illness permeate other life contexts to create a new way of being, a new sense of self. Responses to the disruptions caused by illness are interwoven into the various contexts encountered in one's life and the interactions with other players in those life situations.

Within this sociological framework, Wiener and Dodd address serious concerns regarding conceptual overattribution of the role of uncertainty for understanding responses to living with the disruptions of illness (Wiener & Dodd, 1993). An old adage tells us that nothing in life is certain, except death and taxes. Living is fraught with uncertainty, yet illness (especially chronic illness) compounds

*The Theory of Illness Trajectory refers to theoretical formulations regarding coping with uncertainty through the cancer illness trajectory. From this perspective, coping is best viewed as change over time that is highly variable in relation to biographical and sociological influences. The trajectory is this course of change, of variability, that cannot be confined to or modeled in linear phases or stages. Rather, the illness trajectory organizes insights to better understand the dynamic interplay of the disruption of illness within the changing contexts of life.
uncertainty in profound ways. Being chronically ill exaggerates the uncertainties of living for those who are compromised (i.e., by illness) in their capability to respond to these uncertainties. Thus, although the concept of uncertainty provides a useful theoretical lens for understanding the illness trajectory, it cannot be theoretically positioned so as to overshadow conceptually the dynamic context of living with chronic illness.

In other words, the illness trajectory is driven by the illness experience lived within contexts that are inherently uncertain and involve both the self and others. The dynamic flow of life contexts (both biographical and sociological) creates a dynamic flow of uncertainties that take on different forms, meanings, and combinations when living with chronic illness. Thus, tolerating uncertainty is a critical theoretical strand in the Theory of Illness Trajectory.

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

Life is situated in a biographical context. Conceptions of self are rooted in the physical body and are formulated based on the perceived capability to perform usual or expected activities to accomplish the objectives of varied roles. Interactions with others are a major influence on the establishment of the conception of self. As varied role behaviors are enacted, the person monitors reactions of others and a sense of self in an integrated process of establishing meaning. Identity, temporality, and body are key elements in the biographical context, as follows:

- **Identity**: the conception of self at a given time that unifies multiple aspects of self and is situated in the body
- **Temporality**: biographical time reflected in the continuous flow of the life course events; perceptions of the past, present, and possible future interwoven into the conception of self
- **Body**: activities of life and derived perceptions based in the body

Illness, particularly cancer, disrupts the usual or everyday conception of self and is compounded by the perceived actions and reactions of others in the sociological context of life. This disruption permeates the interdependent elements of biography: identity, temporality, and body. This disruption or sense of disequilibrium is marked by a sense of a loss of control, resulting in states of uncertainty.

As life contexts continually unfold, dimensions of uncertainty are manifest, not in a linear sequence of stages or phases, but in an unsettling intermingling of perceptions of the uncertain body, uncertain temporality, and uncertain identity. The experience of illness always is placed within the biographical context, that is, illness is experienced in the continual flow of the life. The domains of illness-related uncertainty vary in dominance across the illness trajectory (Table 30–1) through a dynamic flow of perceptions of self and interactions with others.

The activities of life and of living with an illness are forms of work. The sphere of work includes the person and all others with whom he or she interacts, including family and health care providers. This network of players is called the total organization. The ill person (or patient) is the central worker; however, all work takes place within and is influenced by the total organization. Types of work are organized around the following four lines of trajectory work performed by patients and families:

1. Illness-related work: diagnostics, symptom management, care regimen, and crisis prevention
2. Everyday-life work: activities of daily living, keeping a household, maintaining an occupation, sustaining relationships, and recreation
3. Biographical work: the exchange of information, emotional expressions, and the division of tasks through interactions within the total organization
4. Uncertainty abatement work: activities enacted to lessen the impact of temporal, body, and identity uncertainty

The balance of these types of work is dynamically responsive, fluctuating across time, situations, perceptions, and varied players in the total organization in order to gain some sense of equilibrium (i.e., control). This interplay among the types of work creates a tension that is marked by shifts in the dominance of types of work across the trajectory. Recall, however, that the biographical context is rooted in the body. As the body changes through the course of illness and treatment, the capacity to perform certain
types of work and, ultimately, one’s identity are transformed.

A major contribution of this work was the delineation of types of uncertainty abatement work (Table 30–2). These activities were enacted to lessen the impact of the varied states of uncertainty induced by undergoing cancer chemotherapy. These strategies were highly dynamic and responsive and occurred in varied combinations and configurations across the illness trajectory for different players in the organization. Those enacting these strategies affected the conception of self when they monitored others’ responses to the strategy as they attempted to manage living with illness.

### MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS—cont’d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Sources of Uncertainty</th>
<th>Dimensions of Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNCERTAIN TEMPORALITY</td>
<td>Life is perceived to be in a constant state of flux related to illness and treatment.</td>
<td>Loss of temporal predictability prompts concerns surrounding:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The self of the past is viewed differently (e.g., the way it used to be).</td>
<td>• Duration: how long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expectations of the present self are distorted by illness and treatment.</td>
<td>• Pace: how fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipation of the future self is altered.</td>
<td>• Frequency: how often the experience of time is distorted (i.e., stretched out,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>constrained, or limitless)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCERTAIN BODY</td>
<td>Faith in the body is shaken (body failure).</td>
<td>Ambiguity in reading body signs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The conception of the former body (the way it used to be)</td>
<td>Concerns surrounding:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>comingles with the altered state of the body at present and the changed expectations</td>
<td>• What is being done to the body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for how the body may perform in the future.</td>
<td>• Jeopardized body resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Body failure and difficulty reading the new body upset the former conception of self.</td>
<td>• Efficacy and risks of treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skewed temporality impairs the expected life course.</td>
<td>• Disease recurrence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Use of Empirical Evidence

The Theory of Illness Trajectory was expanded through a secondary analysis of qualitative data collected during a prospective longitudinal study that examined family coping and self-care during 6 months of chemotherapy treatment. The sample for the larger study included 100 patients and their families. Each patient had been diagnosed with cancer (including breast, lung, colorectal, gynecological, or lymphoma) and was in the process of receiving chemotherapy for
TABLE 30-2 Uncertainty Abatement Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Activity</th>
<th>Behavioral Manifestations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacing</td>
<td>Resting or changing usual activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becoming “professional” patients</td>
<td>Using terminology related to illness and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Directing care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balancing expertise with super-medicalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking reinforcing comparisons</td>
<td>Comparing self with persons who are in worse condition to reassure self that it is not as bad as it could be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaging in reviews</td>
<td>Looking back to reinterpret emergent symptoms and interactions with others in the organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting goals</td>
<td>Looking toward the future to achieve desired activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covering up</td>
<td>Masking signs of illness or related emotions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bucking up to avoid stigma or to protect others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding a safe place to let down</td>
<td>Establishing a place where, or people with whom, true emotions and feelings could be expressed in a supportive atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choosing a supportive network</td>
<td>Selective sharing with individuals deemed to be positive supporters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking charge</td>
<td>Asserting the right to determine the course of treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

initial disease treatment or for recurrence. Subjects in the study designated at least one family member who was willing to participate in the study.

Although both quantitative and qualitative measures were used in data collection for the larger study, this theory was derived through analysis of the qualitative data. Interviews were structured around family coping and were conducted at three points during chemotherapeutic treatment. The patients and the family members were asked to recall the previous month and then discuss the most important problem or challenge with which they had to deal, the degree of distress created by that problem within the family, and their satisfaction with the management of that concern.

Meticulous attention was paid to consistency in data collection: family members were consistent and present for each interview, the interview guide was structured, and the same nurse-interviewer conducted each data collection point for a given family. Audiotaping the interview proceedings, verbatim transcription, and having a nurse-recorder present at each interview to note key phrases as the interview progressed further enhanced methodological rigor. The resultant data set consisted of 300 interviews (three interviews for each of 100 patient-family units) obtained at varied points in the course of chemotherapeutic treatment for cancer.

As the data for the larger study were analyzed, it became apparent to Dodd (principal investigator) that the qualitative interview data held significant insights that could further inform the study. Wiener, a grounded theorist who collaborated with Strauss, one of the method’s founders, was subsequently recruited to conduct secondary analysis of interview data. It should be noted that grounded theory methods typically involve a concurrent, reiterative process of data collection and analysis (Glaser, 1978; Glaser & Strauss, 1965). As theoretical insights are identified, sampling and subsequent data collection are theoretically driven to flesh out emergent concepts, dimensions, variations, and negative cases. However, in this project, the data had been collected previously using a structured interview guide; thus, this was a secondary analysis of an established data set.

Wiener’s expertise in grounded theory methods permitted the adaptation of grounded theory methods for application to secondary data that proved successful. In essence, the principles undergirding analyses (i.e., the coding paradigm) were applied to the preexisting data set. The analytical inquiry proceeded
inductively to reveal the core social-psychological process around which the theory is explicated: tolerating the uncertainty of living with cancer. Dimensions of the uncertainty, management processes, and consequences were further explicated revealing the internal consistency of the theoretical perspective of illness trajectory.

When considering the use of adapted grounded theory methods to analyze preexisting empirical evidence, several insights support the integrity of this work. First, Wiener was well prepared to advance new applications of the method from training and experience as a grounded theorist. The methodological credibility of this researcher supports her extension of a traditional research method into a new application within her disciplinary perspective (sociology). Further support is from the size of the data set: 100 patients and families were interviewed 3 times each, for a total of 300 interviews, a very large data set for a qualitative inquiry. Oberst pointed out that given this volume of data, some semblance of theoretical sampling (within the full data set) would likely be permitted by the researchers (Oberst, 1993). But the sheer size of the data set does not tell the whole story.

Sampling patients who had a relatively wide range of types of cancers (ranging from gynecological cancers to lung cancer) and both patients undergoing initial chemotherapeutic treatment and those receiving treatment for recurrence contributed significantly to variation in the data set. These sampling strategies ultimately contributed to establishing an appropriate sample, especially for revealing a trajectory perspective of change over time. Finally, despite the structured format of the interview, it is important to note that the patients and families dialogue about the previous month's events in a form of "brainstorming" (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, p. 18). This technique allowed the subjects to introduce almost any topic that was of concern to them (regardless of the subsequent structure of the interview). The audiotaping and verbatim transcription of these dialogues contributed to the variation and appropriateness of the resultant data set. Therefore, it may be concluded that empirical evidence culled through the interviews conducted in the larger study provide adequate and appropriate data for a secondary analysis using expertly adapted grounded theory methods.

Major Assumptions

Person is the focus of this middle-range theory. Middle range theories address one or more of the paradigm concepts (nursing, person, health, and environment), therefore some are not explicitly addressed; however, the following discussion of theoretical assumptions sheds some light on a theoretical interpretation of these concepts. Wiener and Dodd’s Theory of Illness Trajectory explicates major assumptions that reflect its derivation within a sociological perspective (Wiener & Dodd, 1993). Closer examination of each assumption reveals several related basic premises undergirding the theory.

The Theory of Illness Trajectory encompasses not only the physical components of the disease, but the “total organization of work done over the course of the disease” (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, p. 20). An illness trajectory is theoretically distinct from the course of an illness. In this theory, the illness trajectory is not limited to the person who suffers the illness. Rather, the total organization involves the person with the illness, the family, and health care professionals who render care.

Also, notice the use of the term work. “The varied players in the organization have different types of work; however, the patient is the ‘central worker’ in the illness trajectory” (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, p. 20). This statement reaffirms an earlier assertion in illness trajectory literature (Fagerhaugh, Strauss, Suczek, et al., 1987; Strauss, Corbin, Fagerhaugh, Glaser, et al., 1984). The work of living with an illness produces certain consequences that permeate the lives of the people involved. In turn, consequences and reciprocal consequences ripple throughout the organization, enmeshing the total organization with the central worker (i.e., the patient) through the trajectory of living with the illness. The relationship among the workers in the trajectory is an attribute that “affects both the management of that course of illness, as well as the fate of the person who is ill” (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, p. 20).

Theoretical Assertions

The context for the work and the social relationships affecting the work of living with illness in the Theory of Illness Trajectory is based in the seminal work of
Corbin and Strauss (1988). As the central worker, actions are undertaken by the person to manage the impact of living with illness within a range of contexts, including the biographical (conception of self) and the sociological (interactions with others). From this perspective, managing disruptions (or coping with uncertainty) involves patient interactions with various players in the organization as well as external sociological conditions. Given the complexity of such interactions across multiple contexts and with the numerous players throughout the illness trajectory, coping is a highly variable and dynamic process.

Originally, it was anticipated that the trajectory of living with cancer had discernible phases or stages that could be identified by major shifts in reported problems, challenges, and activities. This was the rationale for collecting qualitative data at three points during the chemotherapy treatment. In fact, this notion did not hold true: the physical status of the patient with cancer and the social-psychological consequences of illness and treatment were the central themes at all points of measurement across the trajectory.

The authors conceptually equate uncertainty with loss of control, described as “the most problematic facet of living with cancer” (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, p. 18). This theoretical assertion is reflected further in the identification of the core social-psychological process of living with cancer, “tolerating the uncertainty that permeates the disease” (p. 19). Factors that influenced the degree of uncertainty expressed by the patient and family were based in the theoretical framework of the total organization and external sociological conditions, including the nature of family support, financial resources, and quality of assistance from health care providers.

**Logical Form**

The primary logical form was grounded theory and inductive reasoning. Analytical reading of the interviews provided insights that led to the identification of the core process that unifies the theoretical assertions: tolerating uncertainty. Systematic coding processes were applied to define the dimensions of uncertainty and management processes used to deal with disease. The findings were then examined for fit within extant theoretical writings to extend understanding of the illness trajectory. The resultant qualitatively derived theory was grounded in the reported experiences of the participants and integrated with illness knowledge trajectories to advance the science.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

The Theory of Illness Trajectory provides a framework for nurses to understanding how cancer patients tolerate uncertainty manifested as a loss of control. Identification of the types of uncertainty is especially useful because it reveals strategies commonly employed by oncology patients in their attempt to manage their lives as normally as possible in the wake of the uncertainty created by a cancer diagnosis. Awareness of the themes of uncertainty and related management strategies faced by patients undergoing chemotherapy and survivorship and their family members has a significant impact on how nurses subsequently intervene with these compromised patient systems who are managing the work of their illness to “facilitate a less troubled trajectory course for some patients and their families” (Wiener & Dodd, 1993, p. 29). An example is Schlairet and colleagues (2010), who examined the needs of cancer survivors receiving care in a cancer community center using the Theory of Illness Trajectory as a framework. They concluded that nurses need to be aware of the specific needs of cancer survivors so that interventions can be developed to meet their needs (Schlairet, Heddon, & Griffis, 2010).

**Education**

Wiener and Dodd are highly respected educators who share their ongoing work through international conferences, seminars, consultations, graduate thesis advising, and course offerings. Incorporation of this work into these presentations not only advances knowledge related to the utility of illness trajectory models but also, perhaps more importantly, demonstrates how data-based theoretical advancement contributes to an evolving program of research in cancer care (Dodd, 1997, 2001). Including the theory in nursing texts on research and theory exposes researchers to the work and those in nursing practice (Wiener & Dodd, 2000).

**Research**

The theory has been referenced in a limited number of concept analyses or state-of-the-science papers
addressing uncertainty (McCormick, 2002; Mishel, 1997; Parry, 2003). Mishel (1997) has praised the broad theoretical focus maintained through the qualitative approach to theory derivation. Much of the work in coping with illness is constrained by the application of Lazarus and Folkman's framework of problem-based or emotion-based coping; however, in this study, inductive reasoning produced data-based theory that identifies a broad range of strategies related to tolerating and abating uncertainty (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Mishel, 1997). The variation and range of abatement strategies identified in this theory are a unique and significant contribution to the body of research in coping with the uncertainty of illness.

Further Development

In an earlier response article to the original publication, Oberst (1993) took issue with the delimitation of the concept of uncertainty to loss of control. This criticism was echoed by McCormick (2002), who theoretically positioned loss of control in the uncertainty cycle rather than as a manifestation of a state of uncertainty. In their work on end-of-life caregiving, Penrod and colleagues (2012, 2011) posit that minimizing uncertainty by increasing confidence and control is desirable for patients and their family caregivers transitioning through the end-of-life trajectory. Further research into the concept of control is warranted to untangle the conceptual boundaries and linkages between control and uncertainty throughout the illness trajectory.

Other researchers have criticized the implicit assertion that uncertainty (or loss of control) is always a negative event that requires some form of abatement (Oberst, 1993; Parry, 2003). Oberst (1993) suggested the need for further investigation to differentiate work related to tolerating uncertainty from abatement work in order to reveal how effective strategies in each type of work affect the sense of uncertainty throughout the trajectory. Parry (2003) studied survivors of childhood cancer and revealed that although uncertain states may be a problematic stressor for some, a more universal theme of embracing uncertainty toward transformational growth was evident in these survivors.

Penrod (2007) helped to clarify the concept of uncertainty with a phenomenological investigation that advanced the concept of uncertainty and identified different types of uncertainty. The experience of living with uncertainty was dynamic in nature with changes in the types and modes of uncertainty, and various types of uncertainty were guided by the primary tenets of confidence and a sense of control.

These insights demonstrate an evolving body of research related to uncertainty, control, and the illness trajectory. Rather than assume that uncertainty is a negative aspect of life, researchers must remain open to positive transformational outcomes of living through uncertainty. Wiener and Dodd's original recommendation remains salient, to expand the scope of the illness trajectory framework (Wiener & Dodd, 1993). The illness trajectory theoretical framework is especially useful for understanding the variations in uncertainty and control and for gaining a fuller perspective of the human experience with cancer and other conditions where the significance of uncertainty and control may vary.

Critique

Clarity

One concern in the clarity in Wiener and Dodd's Theory of Illness Trajectory is the delimitation of the concept of uncertainty to a loss of control. This limited conceptual perspective of uncertainty is clearly set forth in the work; therefore, this issue does not create a significant or fatal flaw in the work. The theory is delineated clearly and well supported by previous work in illness trajectories. Propositional clarity is achieved in the logical presentation of relationships and linkages between concepts. The conceptual derivation of managing illness as work is well developed and provides unique insight into the meaning of living through chemotherapy during cancer treatment. The application of the trajectory model is used consistently to demonstrate the dynamic fluctuations in coping, not in clearly demarcated stages or phases, but in situation-specific contexts of the work of managing illness.

Simplicity

This complex theory is interpreted in a highly accessible manner. The Theory of Illness Trajectory adopts a sociological framework that is applied to a phenomenon of concern to nursing: chemotherapeutic treatment of
cancer patients and their families. The sense of understanding imparted by the theory is highly relevant to oncology nursing practice. The theory presents an eloquent and parsimonious interpretation of the complexity of cancer work using key concepts with adequate definition; however, in order to comprehend the theoretical assertions fully, review of previous published studies would be very helpful.

Generality

The authors have limited the scope of this theory to patients and families progressing through chemotherapy for initial treatment or recurrence of cancer. The Theory of Illness Trajectory is well defined within this context. The integration of this middle-range theory with other work in illness trajectories and uncertainty theory indicates an emergent fit with other models of illness trajectories and uncertainty. Further theory-building work may produce a broader scope that permits application of the theoretical propositions in other contexts of illness trajectories.

Accessibility

Grounded theory methods rely on the dominance of inductive reasoning, that is, drawing abstractions or generalities from specific situations. Thus, the derived theory is rooted in the experiences expressed in the hundreds of interviews with cancer patients and their families. The integration of data-based evidence (e.g., quotes) in the formal description of the theory supports the linkages between the theoretical abstractions and empirical observations. Empirical evidence is presented in a logical, consistent manner that rings true to clinical experiences. Thus, the theory is useful to clinicians and holds promise for further research application.

Importance

The importance of the theoretical contributions made by this work, especially types of work and uncertainty abatement strategies during chemotherapy, has been established. The utility of the theory is apparent in cancer treatment, and further theoretical development holds promise of being generalizable to other contexts within cancer care and other illness trajectories. Yet, the limited evidence of directly derived consequences related to application of the Theory of Illness Trajectory in practice-based studies in nursing remains problematic. Applicability of this theory to phenomena of concern to nursing has been established by the focus on cancer chemotherapy. Therefore, potential utility for guiding nursing practice is demonstrated by the integration of the theory into Dodd’s exemplary program of research in cancer care (Dodd & Miaskowski, 2000; Dodd, 2001; 2004; Miaskowski, Dodd, & Lee, 2004; Jansen, Miaskowski, Dodd, et al., 2007).

Summary

Wiener and Dodd’s Theory of Illness Trajectory is at once complex, yet eloquently simple. The sociological perspective of defining the work of managing illness is especially relevant to the context of cancer care. The theory provides a new understanding of how patients and families tolerate uncertainty and work strategically to abate uncertainty through a dynamic flow of illness events, treatment situations, and varied players involved in the organization of care. The theory is pragmatic and relevant to nursing. The merits of this work warrant attention and use of the theory for practice applications that inform nurses as they interpret and facilitate the management of care during illness.

CASE STUDY

Mr. Miller is a 67-year-old man who has metastatic cancer. His primary caregiver is his wife, Mrs. Miller. Early in the course of treatment in your outpatient cancer care center, the couple focused their questions on the course of the disease, treatment options, and potential side effects of varied treatment options. They were proud of their ability to maintain “normal life” as Mr. Miller continued to work throughout aggressive treatment, taking time off only when the discomforts of treatment were so debilitating that he was physically unable to get to his office. Mr. and Mrs. Miller expressed little emotion throughout the course of treatment; they frequently praised each other’s strength and fortitude. During recent visits, Mrs. Miller has become extremely focused on laboratory values and test results, using highly technical language. She has also become adamant that certain staff members must perform certain tasks because “she does it better than anyone.”
The Theory of Illness Trajectory helps the clinician to interpret these behaviors and to intervene to help ease transitions across this trajectory. For example, clinicians can identify easily with patients and families who have become “professional patients” as they learn to use complex technical jargon about their treatment, laboratory values, or illness (Wiener & Dodd, 1993). These “junior doctors” attempt to earn a modicum of control as they manage treatment by requesting particular staff members to perform specific tasks (Dodd, 1997, p. 988). Care providers have a tendency to view this behavior as a positive hallmark of assuming self-care and, therefore, often reinforce such behaviors.

Deeper consideration of the theoretical assertions of the Theory of Illness Trajectory reveals that these behavioral strategies are efforts to tolerate the uncertainty of the illness experience. The confidence built through these socially reinforced behaviors can be converted to guilt very quickly when situations beyond the expertise of the patient or family go awry. Given this perspective, the limitation of this management strategy becomes clear, and intervention is indicated: if patients and families are to manage care effectively, they must be educated proactively to do so (Dodd, 1997, 2001).

In proactively educating the patient-family system, consider the varied domains of uncertainty and the varied forms of uncertainty abatement work. To understand the patient-family trajectory, assessment data are critical. For example, although well-developed protocols for symptom management or palliation are available, such protocols are useless if patients or caregivers fail to describe the extent of symptoms because they perceive these “hassles” or “bothers” as trivial in the face of life-threatening disease. Compounding this issue, nurses may fall into a pattern of focusing on illness-related work, thereby diverting important attention from the other forms of work faced by these patients and their families. Understanding of the varied domains of uncertainty and forms of uncertainty abatement work facilitates a more open dialogue regarding these key areas of concern, allowing the nurse to encourage the patient and caregiver to share more about their experiences in an effort to help them through this difficult time.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. How does an illness trajectory differ from a course of illness? Consider how the application of each perspective yields different foci for intervention in a health condition. Which perspective is most congruent with your views of nursing?

2. Considering your clinical experiences, identify examples of how patients and their families have experienced health-related uncertainty. Was uncertainty related to a loss of control? What are the conditions under which health-related uncertainty is perceived as a negative life event versus those when it is perceived as a growth-enhancing event?

3. As an advanced clinician, you are intimately involved in the work of managing an illness. Based on your understanding of the work of illness management espoused in the Theory of Illness Trajectory, what nursing behaviors have you observed that exacerbate feelings of loss of control or uncertainty in patients?

4. What factors (personal, environmental, or organizational) contributed to the nursing behaviors observed in question 3? What nursing interventions would create a less troubling trajectory for patients and families in the situations observed?
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**Secondary Sources**


Theory of Chronic Sorrow

Ann M. Schreier and Nellie S. Droes

“Chronic sorrow is the presence of pervasive grief-related feelings that have been found to occur periodically throughout the lives of individuals with chronic health conditions, their family caregivers and the bereaved”

(Burke, Eakes, & Hainsworth, 1999, p. 374).

Credentials and Background of the Theorists

Georgene Gaskill Eakes
Georgene Gaskill Eakes was born in New Bern, North Carolina. She received a Diploma in Nursing from Watts Hospital School of Nursing in Durham, North Carolina, in 1966, and she graduated Summa Cum Laude from North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University with a baccalaureate in nursing in 1977. Eakes completed her M.S.N. in 1980 at the University of North Carolina at Greensboro and her Ed.D. in 1988 at North Carolina State University. Eakes received a federal traineeship for graduate study at the master’s level and a graduate fellowship from the North Carolina League for Nursing for her doctoral studies. She was inducted into Sigma Theta Tau International in 1979 and Phi Kappa Phi in 1988.

Early in her professional career Eakes worked in acute and community-based psychiatric and mental health settings. In 1980, she joined the faculty at the East Carolina University School of Nursing in Greenville, North Carolina.

Eakes’s interest in issues related to death, dying, grief, and loss relates to the 1970s, when she sustained life-threatening injuries in an automobile crash. This near-death experience heightened her awareness of how ill-prepared health care professionals and lay
people are to deal with individuals facing their mortality and the general lack of understanding of grief reactions experienced in response to loss situations. Motivated by this insight, her research investigated death anxiety among nursing personnel in long-term care settings and the exploration of grief resolution among hospice nurses.

In 1983, Eakes established a community-service support group for individuals diagnosed with cancer and their significant others that she continues to co-facilitate. Leadership of this group alerted her to the ongoing nature of grief reactions associated with potentially life-threatening and chronic illness. While presenting her research at a Sigma Theta Tau International conference in Taipei, Taiwan, in 1989, she attended a presentation on chronic sorrow by Mary Lermann Burke. She immediately made the connection between Burke's description of chronic sorrow in mothers of children with a myelomeningocele disability and her observations of grief reactions among the cancer support group members.

After the conference, Eakes contacted Burke to explore the possibility of collaborative research endeavors. They scheduled a meeting that included Burke and her colleague, Margaret A. Hainsworth, and Carolyn Lindgren, a colleague of Hainsworth. The Nursing Consortium for Research on Chronic Sorrow (NCRCS) was an outcome of the first meeting in the summer of 1989.

Subsequent to the NCRCS's establishment, members conducted numerous collaborative qualitative research studies on populations of individuals affected with chronic or life-threatening conditions, on family caregivers, and on bereaved individuals. Eakes focused her studies on those diagnosed with cancer, family caregivers of adult mentally ill children, and individuals who have experienced the death of a significant other. From 1992 to 1997, Eakes received three research grant awards from the East Carolina University School of Nursing and two research grants from the Beta Nu Chapter of Sigma Theta Tau International to support her research projects.

In addition to her professional publications, Eakes has conducted numerous presentations on issues related to grief-loss and death and dying to professionals and lay groups at the local, state, national, and international levels. She was heavily involved with the training of sudden infant death syndrome counselors for North Carolina and local and regional hospice volunteers. Eakes is active in efforts to improve the quality of care at the end of life and is a member of the Board of Directors of the End of Life Care Coalition of Eastern North Carolina.

In 2002, Eakes received the East Carolina University Scholar Teacher Award, which recognizes excellence in integration of research into teaching practices. In 1999, Eakes received the Best of Image award for theory publication presented by the Sigma Theta Tau International Honor Society of Nursing for her article, "Middle-Range Theory of Chronic Sorrow." She was a finalist in the Oncology Nursing Forum Excellence in Writing Award in 1994. Other honors and awards include the North Carolina Nurse Educator of the Year by North Carolina Nurses Association in 1991 and Outstanding Researcher by the Beta Nu Chapter of Sigma Theta Tau International Honor Society for Nurses in 1994 and 1998. Eakes has served as a reviewer for Qualitative Health Research, an international interdisciplinary journal.

Eakes is Professor Emeritus at East Carolina University College of Nursing. Prior to her retirement, she taught undergraduate courses in psychiatric and mental health nursing and nursing research, a master's-level course in nursing education, and an interdisciplinary graduate course titled "Perspectives on Death/Dying." Currently, she is Director of Clinical Education at Vidant Medical Center in Greenville, NC (G. Eakes, personal communication, 2012).

Mary Lermann Burke

Mary Lermann Burke was born in Sandusky, Ohio. She was awarded her initial nursing diploma from the Good Samaritan Hospital School of Nursing in Cincinnati in 1962, and a postgraduate certification from Children's Medical Center in the District of Columbia. After several years of experience in pediatric nursing, Burke graduated Summa Cum Laude with a bachelor's degree in nursing from Rhode Island College in Providence. In 1982, she received her master's degree in parent-child nursing from Boston University, where she was also awarded a Certificate in Parent-Child Nursing and Interdisciplinary Training in Developmental Disabilities from the Child Development Center of Rhode Island Hospital and the Section on Reproductive and Developmental Medicine at Brown University in Providence. In 1989,
she received her nursing science doctorate in Family Studies from Boston University.

Burke was inducted into Theta Chapter, Sigma Theta Tau, during her master’s program at Boston University in 1981 and became a charter member of Delta Upsilon Chapter-at-Large of Sigma Theta Tau at Rhode Island College in 1988. She received a Doctoral Student Scholarship Award from Theta Chapter in 1988 and the Delta Upsilon Chapter-at-Large Louisa A. White Award for Research Excellence in 1996.

During the period from 1991 to 1996, Burke received four Rhode Island College Faculty Research Grants for studies of chronic sorrow. In 1998, she was awarded a grant from the Delta Upsilon Chapter-at-Large for initial quantitative instrument development for the study of chronic sorrow. From 1992 to 1995, Burke was principal investigator on the Transition to Adult Living Project, funded by the Department of Health and Human Services, Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Genetics Services Branch. In 1995, she was co-principal investigator on a New England Regional Genetics Group Special Projects Grant, The Transition to Adult Living Project—System Dissemination of Information.

Burke's early practice was in pediatric nursing specialty in both acute and primary settings. She joined the faculty of the Rhode Island College Department of Nursing as clinical instructor in 1980, and she became full-time in 1982, assistant professor in 1987, associate professor in 1991, and professor in 1996. During this period, she taught pediatric nursing in didactic and clinical courses. She also developed and taught a nursing course encompassing nutrition, pharmacology, and pathophysiology. Burke retired from Rhode Island College faculty in December 2002.

Burke had become interested in the concept of chronic sorrow during her master’s program while in a clinical practicum at the Child Development Center of Rhode Island Hospital. While working there with children with spina bifida and their parents, she developed a clinical notion that the emotions she observed in the parents were consistent with chronic sorrow as first described by Olshansky (1962). Her master’s thesis, The Concerns of Mothers of Preschool Children with Myelomeningocele, identified emotions similar to chronic sorrow. She then developed the Burke Chronic Sorrow Questionnaire for her doctoral dissertation research, Chronic Sorrow in Mothers of School-Age Children with Myelomeningocele.

In June 1989, Burke presented her dissertation research at the Sigma Theta Tau International Research Congress in Taipei, Taiwan, where she interacted with Dr. Eakes of East Carolina University and Dr. Hainsworth of Rhode Island College. Subsequently, this group became the NCRCS, joined briefly by Dr. Carolyn Lindgren of Wayne State University. Together they developed a modified Burke/NCRCS Chronic Sorrow Questionnaire and conducted a series of individual studies that were then analyzed collaboratively. Burke’s studies in this series focused on chronic sorrow in infertile couples, adult children of parents with chronic conditions, and bereaved parents. The collaboratively analyzed studies led to the development of a middle-range Theory of Chronic Sorrow, which was published in 1998. Members of the Consortium, both individually and collaboratively, presented numerous papers on chronic sorrow at local, state, national, and international conferences and published 10 articles in refereed journals. Their article, “Middle-Range Theory of Chronic Sorrow” received the Best of Image Award in 1999 in the Theory Category from Sigma Theta Tau International. Burke has collaborated with Dr. Eakes in the development of the Burke/Eakes Chronic Sorrow Assessment Tool.

Burke is active in numerous professional and community organizations. She serves on the St. Joseph’s Health Services of Rhode Island Board of Trustees. She received the Outstanding Alumna Award for Contributions in Nursing Education from the Rhode Island College Department of Nursing and the Alumni Honor Roll Award from Rhode Island College (L. Burke, personal communication, 2005).

Margaret A. Hainsworth

Margaret A. Hainsworth was born in Brockville, Ontario, Canada. She received her diploma in nursing in 1953 at Brockville General Hospital in Brockville, Ontario. In 1959, she immigrated to the United States to attend the George Peabody College for Teachers in Nashville, Tennessee, where she received a diploma in public health nursing. Hainsworth continued her education at Salve Regina College in Newport, Rhode Island, and received a baccalaureate degree in nursing in 1973. She then received a master’s degree in psychiatric and mental health nursing from Boston College.
in 1974 and a doctoral degree in education administration from the University of Connecticut in 1986. In 1988, she became board certified as a clinical specialist in psychiatric and mental health nursing.

Hainsworth was inducted into Sigma Theta Tau, Alpha Chi Chapter in 1978 and Delta Upsilon Chapter-at-Large in 1989. In 1976, she received the outstanding faculty award at Rhode Island College. In 1992, she was selected and attended the Technical Assistance Workshop and Mentorship for Nurses in Implementation of the National Plan for Research in Child and Adolescent Mental Disorders, sponsored by the National Institutes of Health. Hainsworth reviewed manuscripts for Qualitative Health Research, an Inter-disciplinary Journal, a Sage publication. In 1999, she was a visiting fellow on a faculty exchange program at the Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology in Melbourne, Australia.

Hainsworth’s nursing practice was in public health and psychiatric and mental health nursing. She became a lecturer in the Department of Nursing at Rhode Island College in 1974 and full professor in 1992. Her major area of teaching was psychiatric care in the classroom and clinical. A course entitled “Death and Dying” that she taught became an elective in the college’s general studies program. Hainsworth always maintained her practice and was employed for 13 years as a consultant at the Visiting Nurse Association. She entered private practice at Bay Counseling Association in 1993 and maintained that practice for 5 years.

Her interest in chronic illness and its relationship to sorrow began in her practice as a facilitator for a support group for women with multiple sclerosis. This interest led to her dissertation work, An Ethnographic Study of Women with Multiple Sclerosis Using a Symbolic Interaction Approach. This research was accepted for a presentation at the Sigma Theta Tau Research Congress in Taipei, Taiwan, in 1989, where she learned about Burke’s research on chronic sorrow after attending her presentation.

Building on Burke’s work, the NCRCS was established in 1989 to expand the understanding of chronic sorrow. Hainsworth was one of the four cofounders and remained an active member until 1996. The NCRCS research began with four studies focused on chronic sorrow in individuals in chronic life situations, and members of the consortium analyzed data collaboratively. During the 7 years she was a member, the consortium presented their findings at international, state, and regional conferences and published 13 manuscripts. In 1999, they were awarded the Best of Image Award in Theory from Sigma Theta Tau International (M. Hainsworth, personal communication, 2005).

### Theoretical Sources


The NCRCS theorists cite Olshansky’s observations of parents with mentally retarded children that indicated these parents experienced recurrent sadness and his coining the term chronic sorrow. This original concept was described as “a broad, simple description of psychological reaction to a tragic situation” (Lindgren, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1992, p. 30). During the 1980s, other researchers began to examine the experience of parents of children who were either physically or mentally disabled. This work validated a recurrent sadness and never-ending grief the parents experienced. Grief was previously conceptualized as a process that resolved over time, and if unresolved, was abnormal according to Bowlby and Lindemann’s work (Lindgren, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1992). In contrast to this time-bound conceptualization, chronic sorrow researchers later described recurrent sadness as a normal experience (Lindgren, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1992). Burke, in her study of children with spina bifida, had defined chronic sorrow as “pervasive sadness that is permanent, periodic and progressive in nature” (as cited in Hainsworth, Eakes, & Burke, 1994, p. 60).

The NCRCS group focused on the response to grief and incorporated Lazarus and Folkman’s 1984 work on stress and adaptation as a basis for management methods described in their work (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998). Internal coping strategies include action-oriented, cognitive reappraisal and interpersonal behaviors (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998). Thus, the middle-range Theory of Chronic...
MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

Chronic Sorrow

_Chronic sorrow_ is the ongoing disparity resulting from a loss characterized by pervasiveness and permanence. Symptoms of grief recur periodically, and these symptoms are potentially progressive.

Loss

_Loss_ occurs as a result of disparity between the “ideal” and real situations or experiences. For example, there is a “perfect child” and a child with a chronic condition who differs from that ideal.

Trigger Events

_Trigger events_ are situations, circumstances, and conditions that highlight the disparity or the recurrent loss and initiate or exacerbate feelings of grief.

Management Methods

_Management methods_ are means by which individuals deal with chronic sorrow. These may be internal (personal coping strategies) or external (health care practitioner or other persons’ interventions).

Ineffective Management

Ineffective management results from strategies that increase the individual’s discomfort or heighten the feelings of chronic sorrow.

Effective Management

Effective management results from strategies that lead to increased comfort of the affected individual.

Sorrow extended the theoretical base of chronic sorrow to not only the experience of chronic sorrow in certain situations but also the coping responses to the phenomenon.

Use of Empirical Evidence

Chronic Sorrow

The empirical evidence supporting the NCRCS’s initial conceptual definition of _chronic sorrow_ was derived from interviews with mothers of children with spina bifida, which were conducted in Burke’s (1989) dissertation work. Through this research, Burke defined chronic sorrow as a pervasive sadness and found that the experience was permanent, periodic, and potentially progressive (Eakes, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1993). This was the foundation for the subsequent series of studies, including the interview guides used in these studies.

The NCRCS studies addressed the following:

- Individuals with the following:
  - Cancer (Eakes, 1993)
  - Infertility (Hainsworth, Eakes, & Burke, 1994)
  - Multiple sclerosis (Hainsworth, Burke, Lindgren, et al., 1993; Hainsworth, 1994)
  - Parkinson’s disease (Lindgren, 1996)
- Spousal caregivers of persons with the following:
  - Chronic mental illness (Hainsworth, Busch, Eakes, et al., 1995)
  - Multiple sclerosis (Hainsworth, 1995)
  - Parkinson’s disease (Lindgren, 1996)
- Parental caregivers of the following:
  - Adult children with chronic mental illness (Eakes, 1995)

Based on these studies, the theorists postulated that chronic sorrow occurs in any situation in which the loss is unresolved. These studies did not demonstrate consistently that the associated emotions worsened over time. However, the theorists concluded that the studies did support the “potential for progressivity and intensification of chronic sorrow over time” (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998, p. 180).

The NCRCS theorists extended their studies to individuals experiencing a single loss (bereaved). They found that this population experienced these same feelings of chronic sorrow (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1999).

Based on this extensive empirical evidence, the NCRCS theorists refined the definition of chronic sorrow as the “periodic recurrence of permanent, pervasive sadness or other grief-related feelings associated with ongoing disparity resulting from a loss experience” (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998, p. 180).

Triggers

Using the empirical data from the series of studies, the NCRCS theorists identified primary events or situations that precipitated the re-experience of initial
grief feelings. These events were labeled chronic sorrow triggers (Eakes, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1993). The NCRCS compared and contrasted the triggers of chronic sorrow in individuals with chronic conditions, family caregivers, and bereaved persons (Burke, Eakes, & Hainsworth, 1999). For all populations, comparisons with norms and anniversaries were found to trigger chronic sorrow. Both family caregivers and persons with chronic conditions experienced triggering with management crises. One trigger unique for family caregivers was the requirement of unending caregiving. The bereaved population reported that memories and role change were unique triggers.

**Management Strategies**

The NCRCS posited that chronic sorrow is not debilitating when individuals effectively manage feelings. The management strategies were categorized as internal or external. Self-care management strategies were designated as internal coping strategies. The NCRCS designated internal coping strategies as action, cognitive, interpersonal, and emotional.

Action coping mechanisms were used across all subjects—individuals with chronic conditions and their caregivers (Eakes, 1993; Eakes, 1995; Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1999; Eakes Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1993; Hainsworth, 1994; Hainsworth, 1995; Hainsworth, Busch, Eakes, et al., 1995; Lindgren, 1996). The examples provided are similar to distraction methods commonly used to cope with pain. For instance, “keeping busy” and “doing something fun” are examples of action-oriented coping (Eakes, 1995; Lindgren, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1992). The NCRCS theorists found that cognitive coping was used frequently, and examples included “thinking positively,” “making the most of it,” and “not trying to fight it” (Eakes, 1995; Hainsworth, 1994; Lindgren, 1996). Interpersonal coping examples included “going to a psychiatrist,” “joined a support group,” and “talking to others” (Eakes et al., 1993; Hainsworth, 1994; 1995). Emotional strategy examples included “having a good cry” and expressing emotions (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998; Hainsworth, Busch, Eakes, et al., 1995). A management strategy was labeled effective when a subject described it as helpful in decreasing feelings of re-grief.

External management was described initially by Burke as interventions provided by health professionals (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998). Health care professionals assist affected populations to increase their comfort through roles of empathetic presence, teacher-expert, and caring and competent professional (Eakes, 1993; Eakes, 1995; Eakes, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1993; Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1999; Hainsworth, 1994; Hainsworth, 1995; Hainsworth, Busch, Eakes, et al., 1995; Lindgren, 1996).

In summary, an impressive total of 196 interviews resulted in the middle-range Theory of Chronic Sorrow. The theorists summarized a decade of research with individuals with chronic sorrow and found that this phenomenon frequently occurs in persons with chronic conditions, in family caregivers, and in the bereaved (Burke, Eakes, & Hainsworth, 1999; Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998).

**Major Assumptions**

**Nursing**

Diagnosing chronic sorrow and providing interventions are within the scope of nursing practice. Nurses can provide anticipatory guidance to individuals at risk. The primary roles of nurses include empathetic presence, teacher-expert, and caring and competent caregiver (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998).

**Person**

Humans have an idealized perception of life processes and health. People compare their experiences both with the ideal and with others around them. Although each person’s experience with loss is unique, there are common and predictable features of the human loss experience (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998).

**Health**

There is a normality of functioning. A person’s health depends upon adaptation to disparities associated with loss. Effective coping results in a normal response to life losses (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998).

**Environment**

Interactions occur within a social context, which includes family, social, work, and health care environments. Individuals respond to their assessment of
themselves in relation to social norms (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998).

### Theoretical Assertions

1. Chronic sorrow is a normal human response related to ongoing disparity created by a loss situation.
2. Chronic sorrow is cyclical in nature.
3. Predictable internal and external triggers of heightened grief can be categorized and anticipated.
4. Humans have inherent and learned coping strategies that may or may not be effective in regaining normal equilibrium when experiencing chronic sorrow.
5. Health care professionals’ interventions may or may not be effective in assisting the individual to regain normal equilibrium.
6. A human who experiences a single or an ongoing loss will perceive a disparity between the ideal and reality.
7. The disparity between the real and the ideal leads to feelings of pervasive sadness and grief (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998).

### Logical Form

This theory is based on a series of qualitative studies. Through the analysis of 196 interviews, the middle-range Theory of Chronic Sorrow evolved. With the empirical evidence, the NCRCS theorists described the phenomenon of chronic sorrow, identified common triggers of re-grief, and described internal coping mechanisms and the role of nurses in the external management of chronic sorrow. Evidence of the theoretical assumptions is clear in empirical data.

### Acceptance By the Nursing Community

#### Practice

**NCRCS-Original Work**

The series of NCRCS studies, which form the foundation of the middle-range Theory of Chronic Sorrow (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998), are replete with practice applications. Each article relates the findings to clinical nursing practice (Burke, Eakes, & Hainsworth, 1999; Eakes, 1993; Eakes, 1995; Hainsworth, 1994; Hainsworth, Burke, Lindgren, et al., 1993; Hainsworth, Busch, Eakes, et al., 1995; Hainsworth, Eakes, Burke, 1994; Lindgren, 1996; Lindgren, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1992). Suggestions are provided on how nurses may assist individuals and family caregivers to effectively manage the milestones or triggering events. More specifically, the work identifies nursing roles as empathetic presence, teacher-expert, and caring and competent professional (Eakes, Burke, Hainsworth, et al., 1993).

**NCRCS-Derived Literature**

The original NCRCS work is referenced in publications in practice-focused journals. Several non-NCRCS nurse authors published articles that cite NCRCS studies directed to practicing clinicians (Gedaly-Duff, Stoger, & Shelton, 2000; Gordon, 2009; Kerr, 2010; Krafft & Krafft, 1998; Scornaienchi, 2003). Interdisciplinary practice-focused literature provided guidance useful to nurses (Doka, 2004; Harris & Gorman, 2011; Miller, 1996).

The work listed above in the practice section is also educationally related. The next section presents evidence of undergraduate, graduate, and continuing education support of the NCRCS’s work on chronic sorrow’s relevance in the educational community.

### Education

#### Undergraduate Education

*Standardized Nursing Languages.* Literature on standardized nursing languages reveals that chronic sorrow is a diagnostic category (NANDA, 2011) with related expected outcomes and suggested interventions (Johnson, Moorhead, Bulechek, et al., 2012). Comparison of the definitions of chronic sorrow used by the North American Nursing Diagnosis Association International (NANDA-I) and the NCRCS (Eakes, Burke, & Hainsworth, 1998) reveal essentially similar dimensions. Several widely used nursing diagnosis textbooks (Ackley & Ladwig, 2011; Carpenito-Moyet, 2010; Doenges, Moorhouse, & Murr, 2010) cite the work of the NCRCS and/or authors who used the NCRCS’s work to explicate linkages among chronic sorrow as a diagnostic category, intervention, and outcome. Linkages among diagnostic categories in the North American Diagnostic Association International (NANDA-I), the Nursing Outcomes Classification
NOC), and the Nursing Interventions Classification (NIC) (Johnson, Moorhead, Bulechek, et al., 2012) provide educational applications for undergraduate nursing students and educators—for nursing students learning clinical decision processes and for nurse educators designing curricula and teaching clinical decision processes. Moreover, the linkages focus care planning on outcomes, an essential step in teaching evidence-based practice (Pesut & Herman, 1998).

**Graduate Research Education: Nursing**
The use of the NCRCS's theoretical work in unpublished master's theses and doctoral dissertations and in dissertation-related articles is evidence of graduate nursing education use. Studies are listed as follows and are categorized according to graduate level and topic.

- **Master's theses**
  - Chronic sorrow in mothers of chronically ill children (Golden, 1994; Shumaker, 1995)
- **Doctoral dissertations**
  - Parental caregivers of children with special health care needs (Kelly, 2010)
  - Women who are treated for cancer and experienced fertility problems and/or premature menopause (Hunter, 2010)
  - Development of the Kendall Chronic Sorrow Instrument to screen for and measure the experience of chronic sorrow (Kendall, 2005)

**Graduate Research Education: Other Disciplines**
Graduate students in other professional disciplines, including education, social work, psychology, education, and family life have conducted dissertational studies using the NCRCS's work. These unpublished studies, listed as follows according to topic, hold interdisciplinarity relevance for nursing practice.

- **Individuals with the following:**
  - Chronic back pain (Blair, 2010)
  - Infertility (Casale, 2009)
  - Bipolar disorder (Freedberg, 2011)
- **Family caregivers of the following:**
  - Young and adolescent children with:
    - Multiple disabilities (Parrish, 2010); a significant disability (Patrick-Ott & Ladd, 2010); special health care needs (Kelly, 2010)
    - Chronic mental illness (Davis, 2006)
    - Autism (Collins, 2008; Monsson, 2010)
  - Adult children with the following:
    - Cerebral palsy (Masterson, 2010; Wee, 2010)

**Continuing Education**
Several authors used the consortium's work on chronic sorrow in articles, offered for continuing education credit at the time of publishing for clinicians who work with families with chronically ill members (Doornbos, 1997; Hobdell, Grant, Valencia, et al., 2007; Mallow & Bechtel, 1999; Meleski, 2002; Melnyk, Feinstein, Moldenhauer, et al., 2001). Drench's (2003) course for physical therapists and physical therapy assistants presented content on loss and grief that included the NCRCS's work.

**Research**
A review of published research that used the NCRCS's work reveals that researchers have extended the work through studies conducted with representative populations studied previously and with new populations. Extensions of NCRCS populations are listed as follows:

- **Individuals:**
  - Who have human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (Lichtenstein, Laska, & Clair, 2002; Ingram & Hutchinson, 1999)
  - Who are female victims of child abuse (Smith, 2007; 2009)
- **Family caregivers of children with the following:**
  - Asthma (Maltby, Kristjanson, & Coleman, 2003)
  - Diabetes (Bowes, Lowes, Warner, et al., 2009; Lowes & Lyne, 2000)
  - Disabilities (Mallow & Bechtel, 1999; Patrick-Ott & Ladd, 2010)
  - Epilepsy (Hobdell, Grant, Valencia, et al., 2007)
  - HIV (Mawn, 2012)
  - Sickle cell disease (Northington, 2000)
Although most of the authors were from the United States, the literature reflects an international interest with publications by nurses from Australia (Maltby, Kristjanson, & Coleman, 2003), Sweden (Ahlström, 2007; Isaksson & Ahlström, 2008; Pejlert, 2001), and the United Kingdom (Bowes, Lowes, Warner, et al., 2009; Lowes & Lyne, 2000). Several studies were written by occupational therapists, and one was written by sociologists, supporting the assertion that NCRCS work is the basis for international and interdisciplinary research. Application of this middle-range theory to research is seen in current nursing literature (Eakes, 2013).

**Further Development**

To date, most of the research efforts related to the middle-range Theory of Chronic Sorrow used qualitative methods and focused on identifying the concept’s occurrence in new populations. Instrument development studies designed to measure the intensity of chronic sorrow at the interval or ratio level will enhance further development of the theory. Current instruments—the Burke/Eakes Chronic Sorrow Assessment (Eakes, 2013) and the Kendall Chronic Sorrow Instrument (Kendall, 2005)—yield data at the nominal or ordinal levels. Ratio or interval chronic sorrow–intensity understanding would enhance studies designed to measure evidence of the effectiveness of nursing roles and interventions to achieve outcomes identified in the NOC system, for example, “Acceptance: Health Status . . . Depression Level . . . Hope . . . Mood Equilibrium” (Johnson, Moorhead, Bulechek, et al., 2012, p. 220–221). This type of research would contribute empirical support for evidence-based or theory-based nursing practice.

**Critique**

**Clarity**

This theory clearly describes a phenomenon observed in the clinical area when loss occurs, and it is evident that it is highly accepted in nursing practice. The nursing diagnosis of Chronic Sorrow is included in the standardized languages of NANDA-I. It is defined as cyclical, recurrent, and potentially progressive, and it is consistent with the NCRSC definition. In each of the published works of these theorists, key concepts are defined, and the middle-range theory describes the proposed relationship among the concepts that make intuitive sense. For example, it is clear that effective management, whether internal or external, will lead to increased comfort, and, conversely, ineffective management will lead to increased discomfort and intensity of chronic sorrow. As a middle-range theory, the scope is limited to explanation of a single phenomenon, that of response to loss, and is congruent with clinical practice experience. As Eakes has stated, “the beauty of this middle range theory is that it rings true with practitioners, students, and educators, as is evident from the continued communication nationally and internationally” (G. Eakes, personal communication, May 2012).

Some, albeit few, of the NCRCS’s interviewees did not experience the symptoms labeled as Chronic Sorrow. This one unclear aspect of the theory remains—that is, why not all individuals with unresolved losses experience chronic sorrow. No further data have been reported about these individuals. Although chronic sorrow is unique to each person and their situation, do individuals who do not experience chronic sorrow have different personality characteristics? Are they more resilient, or did they receive effective health care interventions at the time of their loss? What would these individuals suggest about ongoing coping with loss?

This and a clarification of the categories of internal management strategies point to future work on this theory. How problem-oriented and cognitive strategies differ and the emotive-cognitive, emotional, and interpersonal strategies are not clearly described. The overlap between external versus internal management raises a question when the word interpersonal is used to describe seeking professional help.

Finally a concept that needs clarification is progression of chronic sorrow. Although chronic sorrow is described as potentially progressive, the nature of the progression and the pathology associated with it is not clear.

**Simplicity**

The Theoretical Model of Chronic Sorrow (Figure 31–1) enhances the understanding of the relationship among the variables. With this model, it is clear that chronic sorrow is cyclical in nature, pervasive, and potentially progressive. Further, with the subconcepts of internal
versus external management and ineffective versus effective management, it is clear what type of assessment and at what point appropriate intervention by nurses and other health care providers would be best to prevent chronic sorrow from becoming progressive. With a limited number of defined variables, the theory is succinct and readily understood. As a middle-range theory, it is useful for research design and practice guidance.

**Generality**

The concept of chronic sorrow began with the study of parents of children with a physical or cognitive defect. The NCRCS theorists, through empirical evidence, expanded the theory to include a variety of loss experiences. The theory clearly applies to a wide range of losses and is applicable to the affected individual as well as to the caregivers and the bereaved. In addition, the theory is useful to a variety of health care practitioners. With these concepts, the unique nature of the experience is captured with the breadth of the concepts such as triggers. The triggers and the management strategies are unique to the individual situation and thus allow application to a wide variety of situations.

**Accessibility**

As is characteristic of middle-range theory, the limited scope readily allows researchers to study clinical phenomenon. With a limited number of variables and defined relationships among the variables, researchers are able to generate hypotheses to study nursing interventions that promote effective management strategies for chronic sorrow. These outcome studies provide and add to the foundation of evidence-based practice.

Because the theory was derived from empirical evidence, it has clear utility for further research. The clear definition of chronic sorrow allows the study of individuals with a variety of losses and loss situations that commonly result in chronic sorrow. In their study of bereaved individuals, Eakes and colleagues (1999) identified symptoms of chronic sorrow in most subjects.

**Importance**

As a consequence of the rich body of research surrounding this theory, chronic sorrow is a widely accepted phenomenon. This is evident by its inclusion in NANDA-I diagnoses. Nurses and other health care professionals found validity for their experiences with loss in the clinical arena. Subsequently, health care
practitioners are able to normalize the experience. As Eakes stated, “chronic sorrow is like the pregnancy experience, it is a normal process in which clients can benefit from guidance and support of health care professionals” (G. Eakes, personal communication, May 2012).

**Summary**

Loss is an experience common to all individuals. This middle-range theory describes the phenomenon of chronic sorrow as a normal response to the ongoing disparity created by the loss. The major concepts are described and include disparity, triggers, and management strategies (internal and external). The theoretical sources and empirical evidence are described. There is abundant evidence that the theory is accepted and used in practice, education, and research. It is referenced internationally by nurses and those in other disciplines. Suggestions for further development and research are presented. A thorough critique describes the clarity of the concepts and the simplicity and the usefulness of the theory for evidence-based research.

**CASE STUDY**

Susan Jones is a 21-year-old woman who sustained a spinal cord injury at 14 years of age as a result of a diving accident. She is quadriplegic and attends a local college. Her mother, Mary Jones, is her primary caregiver. Mrs. Jones complains of difficulty sleeping and has frequent headaches. As the nurse, you suspect that Mrs. Jones may be experiencing chronic sorrow.

Using the Burke/NCRC Chronic Sorrow Questionnaire (caregiver version) as an interview guide, you find evidence of chronic sorrow (Eakes, 1995). Mrs. Jones describes frequent feelings of being overwhelmed. She expresses that she feels both angry at times and heartbroken that her daughter will never have a normal life. She indicates that she has had these feelings off and on since her daughter's accident. Further, she tells you that she sees no end to her caregiving responsibilities. These feelings are strongest when her friend's children get married and get jobs away from home. She copes with these feelings by trying to focus on the positive (her daughter is alive and her sons are doing well) and talking with a few close friends.

You reassure Mrs. Jones that she is not alone in her situation, and that it is normal to have these feelings. In the course of the interview, you find that Mrs. Jones has not sought professional counseling. Mrs. Jones tells you that she feels better because this is the first time a health professional has asked her about her feelings. With Mrs. Jones, you begin to strategize on finding respite care and a regular mental health counselor to assist her in coping with chronic sorrow.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. Using the middle-range Theory of Chronic Sorrow as a framework, devise one or more hypotheses about parents of children with diabetes who do or do not attend a support group.

2. What outcome measures or objective evaluation could be used to validate the effectiveness of interventions in a chronic sorrow support group?

3. Compare and contrast the middle-range Theory of Chronic Sorrow with Kubler-Ross’s stages of grief and Bowlby’s theory of loss. What is alike and different among them?

4. Based on the theoretical assertions of the middle-range theory, consider a clinical situation in which the Theory of Chronic Sorrow was or could be applied in your practice. State your rationale.
POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY
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### Master’s Theses

Background and Credentials of the Theorist

Phil Barker was born in Scotland by the sea, and thus began the influence of and interest in water, the ultimate metaphor of life (Barker, 1996a). He credits his father and grandfather with “the warmth of nurture and the discipline of boundaries,” who helped him appreciate that “life was an answer waiting for the right question,” and he, like them, became a philosopher (Barker, 1999b, p. xii). Life in this context contributed to his enduring curiosity and interest in the philosophy of the everyday, which resonate throughout the Tidal Model.

Barker trained as a painter and sculptor in the mid-1960s, and he won the prestigious Pernod Award for Young Painters in 1974. By this time, he had already become a psychiatric nurse. He continues to paint word pictures in metaphor. Barker credits art school with introducing him to “learning from Reality,” the reality of experience, which became the focus of his philosophical inquiries. His fascination with Eastern philosophies, which began at art school, flows through the Tidal Model with echoes of chaos, uncertainty, change, and the Chinese idea of crisis as opportunity. This early involvement in the arts also helps to explain Barker’s view of nursing as “the craft of caring” (Barker, 2000c, 2000e; Barker & Whitehill, 1997).

Following art school, Barker worked as a commercial artist and mural painter, supplementing his income with laboring work on the railroads and in factories.

The Tidal Model of Mental Health Recovery

Nancy Brookes

“Mental illnesses or psychiatric disorders are ‘problems of human living’: people find it difficult to live with themselves or to live with others in the social world. A simple idea that becomes complicated when we try to engage with it. Nurses try to help people address these problems of living, in an effort to live through them. Another simple idea, that becomes complicated at the level of practice. All is paradox” (Personal communication, February 23, 2008).
After a gap of more than 30 years, Barker returned to painting in 2006 and has become a successful, award-winning artist (see: www.mcloughlinart.com).

Barker’s “ocean of experience” surged in a new direction in 1970, when he took a position as an “attendant at the local asylum.” His fascination with the human dimension, the lived experience, and the stories of people challenged by mental distress prompted him to relocate his interest in the arts and humanities to nursing.

Barker’s early progress through nursing, although unusual, was typical of the times and the context. Soon after qualifying in 1974, Barker began to study and practice various psychotherapies such as cognitive behavioral therapy, and family and group therapy. His doctoral research, begun in 1980, featured cognitive behavioral work with a group of women living with depression (Barker, 1987). However, around this time, Barker became uncomfortable with the application of therapies to people experiencing problems in living, and the “uncertainty principle” resurfaced for him. His curiosity about life and persons provoked questions about the resilience and integrity of the people with whom he was working. Instead of “caring for” or “treating” them, he was learning what it meant to experience distress from the people themselves. He wondered what recovery meant to people. Questions re-emerged around the following:

- What it is to be a person
- What is the proper focus of nursing, and
- What are nurses needed for?

During his tenure as Professor of Psychiatric Nursing Practice at the University of Newcastle begun in 1993, these questions framed his research agenda and culminated in the development of the Tidal Model.

As the UK’s first Professor of Psychiatric Nursing Practice, Barker broke the conventional “academic” mold by maintaining his involvement in practice. This involvement led directly to the development of the Tidal Model. Throughout his nursing career, Barker has wondered about the proper focus of psychiatric nursing and the role of care, compassion, understanding, and courage in helping people who are experiencing extreme distress, loss of self, or spiritual crisis (Barker, 1999b). The Tidal Model was developed within this context and history. The “story knowledge” base lies at the heart of the Tidal Model. (Barker dislikes the use of the term narrative, which he prefers to call story). Barker has published in the area of psychiatric and mental health nursing since 1978. A prolific writer, he has published 19 books, over 50 book chapters, and more than 150 academic papers. He was Assistant Editor for the Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing for a decade. Barker became a Fellow of the Royal College of Nursing (UK) in 1995, only the fourth psychiatric nurse to be so honored. He received the Red Gate Award for Distinguished Professors at the University of Tokyo in 2000. In 2001, he received an Honorary Doctorate from Oxford Brookes University in England, and a room was named in his honor at the Health Care Studies Faculty at Homerton College in Cambridge. Barker has held visiting professorships at international universities in Australia (Sydney), Europe (Barcelona), and Japan (Tokyo). From 2002 to 2007, he was Visiting Professor at Trinity College in Dublin. In 2006, he received the inaugural “Lifetime Achievement Award” from Blackwell journals, publishers of the Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing. In 2008, he shared with his wife Poppy Buchanan-Barker the Thomas Szasz Award for Contributions to Civil Liberties at New York University.

With his wife and professional partner, Poppy Buchanan-Barker, Barker has conducted recovery-focused workshops and seminars in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, Japan, Finland, Denmark, Turkey, Germany, Ireland, and the United Kingdom. A popular commentator on the human condition, Barker brings to radio, television, and the popular press his passion for and curiosity about the recovery process and personhood.

Barker is currently an Honorary Professor at the University of Dundee in Scotland and a psychotherapist in private practice. He and Poppy Buchanan-Barker have further developed the recovery paradigm at Clan Unity, their international mental health recovery and reclamation consultancy in Scotland.

**Theoretical Sources**

The Tidal Model is focused on the fundamental care processes of nursing, is universally applicable, and is a practical guide for psychiatric and mental health nursing (Barker, 2001b). The theory is radical in its reconceptualization of mental health problems as
unequivocally human, rather than psychological, social, or physical (Barker, 2002b). The Tidal Model "emphasizes the central importance of developing understanding of the person's needs through collaborative working, developing a therapeutic relationship through discrete methods of active empowerment, establishing nursing as an educative element at the heart of interdisciplinary intervention" (Barker, 2000e, p. 4) and seeks to resolve problems and promote mental health through narrative approaches (Stevenson, Barker, & Fletcher, 2002).

The Tidal Model is a philosophical approach to recovery of mental health. It is not a model of care or treatment of mental illness, although people described as mentally ill do need and receive care. The Tidal Model represents a worldview, helping the nurse begin to understand what mental health might mean for the person in care, and how that person might be helped to begin the complex voyage of recovery. Therefore, the Tidal Model is not prescriptive. Rather, a set of principles, the Ten Tidal Commitments, serve as a metaphorical compass for the practitioner (Buchanan-Barker & Barker, 2005, 2008). They guide the nurse in developing responses to meet the individual and contextual needs of the person who has become the patient. The experience of mental distress is invariably described in metaphorical terms. The Tidal Model employs the universal and culturally significant metaphors associated with the power of water and the sea, to represent the known aspects of human distress. Water is “the core metaphor for both the lived experience of the person . . . and the care system that attempts to mold itself around a person's need for nursing” (Barker, 2000e, p. 10).

Barker describes an “early interest in the human content of mental distress . . . and an interest in the human (phenomenological) experience of distress,” which is viewed in contexts and wholes rather than isolated parts (Barker, 1999b, p. 13). The “whole” nature of being human is “re-presented on physical, emotional, intellectual, social and spiritual planes” (Barker, 2002b, p. 233). This phenomenological interest pervades the Tidal Model with an emphasis on the lived experience of persons, their stories (replete with metaphors), and narrative interventions. Nurses carefully and sensitively meet and interact with people in a “sacred space” (Barker, 2003a, p. 613).

A feature of Barker's nursing practice has been his exploration of the possibilities of genuine collaborative relationships with users of mental health services. In the 1980s, he developed the concept of “caring with” people, learning that the professional-person relationship could be more “mutual” than the original nurse-patient relationship defined by Peplau (1969). Barker further developed this concept during the 1990s in a working relationship with Dr. Irene Whitehill and others who used mental health services (Barker & Whitehill, 1997). This led to the “need for nursing” and “empowerment” studies as well as a commitment to publish the stories of people's experience of madness, and their voyage of recovery, complete with personal and spiritual meanings (Barker, Campbell and Davidson, 1999; Barker, Jackson, & Stevenson, 1999a; Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2004b).

Barker enlisted the support of Dr. Whitehill and other “user/consumer consultants,” to evaluate “user friendly” qualities of the original processes of the Tidal Model. This involvement of “user/consumer consultants” is seen in several ongoing projects and represents a distinctive feature of continued development of the Tidal Model.

Barker's long-standing appreciation of Eastern philosophies pervades his work. The work of Shoma Morita is a specific example of how the philosophical assumptions of Zen Buddhism were integrated with psychotherapy (Morita, Kondo, Levine, & Morita, 1998). Morita's dictum—“Do what needs to be done”—resonates in many of the practical activities of the Tidal Model. In contrast to the zealous “problem-solving” attitude embraced by much of Western psychiatry and psychology, Morita believed that it was futile to try to “change” oneself or one's “problems,” which come and go like the weather. Instead, the focus should be on answering the questions:

- What is my purpose in living?
- What needs to be done now?

People have the capacity to live and grow through distress, by doing what needs to be done. For people who are in acute distress, especially when they are at risk to self or others, it is vital that nurses relate directly to the person's ongoing experience. Originally Barker called this process engagement, but he has since redefined the specific interpersonal process as bridging, a supportive human process necessary to reach out to people in distress. This emphasizes the
need to build, creatively, a means of reaching the person; crossing in the process, the murky waters of mental distress (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2004b).

The Tidal Model may be viewed through the lens of social constructivism, recognizing that there are multiple ways of understanding the world. Meaning emerges through the complex webs of interaction, relationships, and social processes. Knowledge does not exist independently of the knower, and all knowledge is situated (Stevenson, 1996). Change is the only constant, as meaning and social realities are constantly renegotiated or constructed through language and interaction. Barker believes “all I am is story; all I can ever be is story.” As people try to explain to others “who” they are, they tell stories about themselves and their world of experience, revising, editing, and rewriting these stories through dialogue. Barker first discussed this idea with his mentor, Hilda (Hildegard) Peplau in 1994, who agreed that “people make themselves up as they talk” (Barker, 2003a; Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2007b).

Barker credits many thinkers with influencing his work, beginning with Annie Altschul and Thomas Szasz. His view of mental health problems as problems of living popularized by Szasz (1961, 2000) and later Podvoll (1990) is a perspective he prefers to diagnostic labeling and the biomedical construction of people and illness (Barker, 2001c, p. 215). He agrees with Szasz that it is futile to try to “solve problems in living.” Life is not a problem to be solved. Life is something to be lived, as intelligently, as competently, as well as we can, day in and day out (Miller, 1983, p. 290). The challenge for nursing is to help persons live “intelligently” and “competently.”

Travelbee’s (1969) concept of the Therapeutic Use of Self flows through the Tidal Model and provides an anchor for the “proper focus of nursing.” The following three main theoretical frameworks underpin the Tidal Model:

1. Peplau’s (1952; 1969) Interpersonal Relations Theory
2. Theory of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing derived from the Need for Nursing studies
3. Empowerment within interpersonal relationships

The pragmatic emphasis on strength-based, solution-focused approaches acknowledges the important influence of Steve de Shazer’s solution-focused therapy, although, as noted above, Barker does not believe that there can be any “solutions” for problems in living, merely pragmatic strategies for living with such problems. The influence of Denny Webster and her colleagues in Denver in the early 1990s, introducing de Shazer’s ideas into nursing practice, significantly shaped the development of the Tidal Model (Webster, Vaughn, & Martinez, 1994). The Tidal Model draws its core philosophical metaphor from chaos theory, where the unpredictable yet bounded nature of human behavior and experience can be compared to the flow and power of water (Barker, 2000b, p. 54). In constant flux, the tides ebb and flow; they exhibit nonrepeating patterns yet stay within bounded parameters (Vicenzi, 1994). Barker (2000b) acknowledges the “complexity [of] both the internal universe of human experience and the external universe, which is, paradoxically, within and beyond the individual, at one and the same time” (p. 52). Within this complex, nonlinear perspective, small changes create later unpredictable changes; a hopeful message that directs nurses and persons to identify small changes and variations. Chaos theory suggests that there are limits to what we can know, and Barker invites nurses to cease the search for certainty, embracing instead the reality of uncertainty. Know that “change is constant,” one of the Ten Tidal Commitments, identifies and celebrates change in people, circumstances, relationships, and organizations (Barker, 2003b; Buchanan-Barker & Barker, 2008). This perspective also presents challenges in trying to understand people, relationships, and situations. It directs inquiry in qualitative, nonlinear ways, such as action research, grounded theory, phenomenology, and critical theory (Barker, 1999a).

Annie Altschul, the Grande Dame of British psychiatric nursing (Barker, 2003a, p. 12), along with Hilda (Hildegard) Peplau, was one of Barker’s mentors. Altschul’s influence, especially her early appreciation of system theory, is evident in the Tidal Model, as is her interest in understanding rather than explaining mental distress and her belief that people need more straightforward help than many psychiatric theories suggest.

Barker credits Peplau, the mother of psychiatric nursing, with his becoming “an advocate for nursing as a therapeutic activity in its own right” (Barker, 2000a, p. 617). Peplau introduced her interpersonal paradigm for the study and practice of nursing in the early 1950s and defined nursing as “a significant, therapeutic, interpersonal process” (Peplau, 1952,
A defining characteristic of the Tidal Model is emphasis on story in the person’s own voice.

The empirically derived empowering interactions framework suggests that improvement in the person’s situation and lifestyle is possible, building on strengths is better than focusing on problems, collaboration is key, participation is the way, and self-determination is the ultimate goal (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2004a; Barker, Stevenson & Leamy, 2000). Eight respectful, empowering interactions bring generally invisible nursing interactions into the practice arena (Michael, 1994). De Shazer’s (1994) influence is evident as he asserts that change and intervention “boils down to stories about the telling of stories, the shaping and reshaping of stories so that troubled people change their story” (p. xvii).

The Ten Tidal Commitments support this perspective and direction (Box 32–1).

This is a significant reframing of the view of the person-in-care and the proper focus of nursing.

**Box 32–1 The Ten Tidal Commitments: Essential Values of the Tidal Model**

The Tidal Model draws on our values about relating to people. These frame our efforts to help others in their moment of distress.

The values of the Tidal Model reflect a philosophy of how we would hope to be treated should we experience distress or difficulty in our lives.

As more people around the world have become involved in exploring the Tidal Model for their work in different settings, the need to reaffirm the core values of the Tidal Model has become more apparent. We have come to appreciate how both the “helper” (whether professional, friend, or fellow traveler) and the person need to make a commitment to change. This commitment binds them together.

The Ten Tidal Commitments distill the essence of the value base of the Tidal Model. These commitments need to be firmly in place for any team or individual practitioner who wishes to develop the practice of the Tidal Model.

1. **Value the voice:** The person’s story is the beginning and end point of the whole helping encounter, embracing not only the account of the person’s distress, but also the hope for its resolution. The story is spoken by the voice of experience. We seek to encourage the true voice of the person—rather than reinforce the voice of authority.

Traditionally, the person’s story is “translated” into a third-person professional account by different health care or social care practitioners. This becomes not so much the person’s story (my story) but the professional team’s view of the story (history). The Tidal Model seeks to help people develop their own unique narrative accounts into a formalized version of “my story” by ensuring that all assessments and records of care are written in the person’s own “voice.” If the person is unable or unwilling to write in his or her own hand, then the nurse acts as secretary, recording what has been agreed conjointly is important—writing this in the “voice” of the person.

2. **Respect the language:** People develop unique ways of expressing their life stories, representing to others that which the person alone can know. The language of the story—complete with its unusual grammar and personal metaphors—is the ideal medium for illuminating the way to recovery. We encourage people to speak their own words in their distinctive voice.

Stories written about patients by professionals are traditionally framed by arcane technical
language of psychiatric medicine or psychology. Regrettably, many service users and consumers often come to describe themselves in the colonial language of the professionals who have diagnosed them. By valuing—and using—the person’s natural language, the Tidal practitioner conveys the simplest yet most powerful respect for the person.

3. **Develop genuine curiosity:** The person is writing a life story but is in no sense an “open book.” No one can know another person’s experience. Consequently, professionals need to express genuine interest in the story so that they can better understand the storyteller and the story. Often professionals are interested only in “what is wrong” with the person or in pursuing particular lines of professional inquiry—for example, seeking “signs and symptoms.” Genuine curiosity reflects an interest in the person and the person’s unique experience, as opposed to merely classifying and categorizing features, which might be common to many other “patients.”

4. **Become the apprentice:** The person is the world expert on the life story. Professionals may learn something of the power of that story, but only if they apply themselves diligently and respectfully to the task by becoming apprentice-minded. We need to learn from the person what needs to be done, rather than leading. No one can ever know a person’s experience. Professionals often talk “as if” they might even know the person better than they know themselves. As Szasz noted: “How can you know more about a person after seeing him for a few hours, a few days, or even a few months, than he knows about himself? He has known himself a lot longer!” The idea that the person remains entirely in charge of himself is a fundamental premise (Szasz, 2000).

5. **Use the available toolkit:** The story contains examples of “what has worked” for the person in the past, or beliefs about “what might work” for this person in the future. These represent the main tools that need to be used to unlock or build the story of recovery. The professional toolkit—commonly expressed through ideas such as “evidence-based practice”—describes what has “worked” for other people. Although potentially useful, this should be used only if the person’s available toolkit is found wanting.

6. **Craft the step beyond:** The professional helper and the person work together to construct an appreciation of what needs to be done “now.” Any “first step” is a crucial step, revealing the power of change and potentially pointing toward the ultimate goal of recovery. Lao Tzu said that the journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step. We would go further: Any journey begins in our imagination. It is important to imagine—or envision—moving forward. Crafting the step beyond reminds us of the importance of working with the person in the “me now”: addressing what needs to be done now, to help advance to the next step.

7. **Give the gift of time:** Although time is largely illusionary, nothing is more valuable. Often, professionals complain about not having enough time to work constructively with the person. Although they may not actually “make” time, through creative attention to their work, professionals often find the time to do “what needs to be done.” Here, it is the professional’s relationship with the concept of time that is at issue, rather than time itself (Jonsson, 2005). Ultimately, any time spent in constructive interpersonal communication, is a gift—for both parties). There is nothing more valuable than the time the helper and the person spend together.

8. **Reveal personal wisdom:** Only the person can know himself or herself. The person develops a powerful storehouse of wisdom through living the writing of the life story. Often, people cannot find the words to express fully the multitude, complexity, or ineffability of their experience, invoking powerful personal metaphors to convey something of their experience (Barker, 2002b). A key task for the professional is to help the person reveal and come to value that wisdom, so that it might be used to sustain the person throughout the voyage of recovery.

9. **Know that change is constant:** Change is inevitable because change is constant. This is the

Continued
BOX 32-1  The Ten Tidal Commitments: Essential Values of the Tidal Model—cont’d

common story for all people. However, although change is inevitable, growth is optional. Decisions and choices have to be made if growth is to occur. The tasks of the professional helper are to develop awareness of how change is happening and to support the person in making decisions regarding the course of the recovery voyage. In particular, we help the person to steer out of danger and distress, keeping on the course of reclamation and recovery.

10. Be transparent: If the professional and the person are to become a team, then each must put down their “weapons.” In the story-writing process, the professional’s pen can all too often become a weapon: writing a story that risks inhibiting, restricting, and delimiting the person’s life choices. Professionals are in a privileged position and should model confidence by being transparent at all times, helping the person understand exactly what is being done and why. By retaining the use of the person’s own language, and by completing all assessments and care plan records together (in vivo), the collaborative nature of the professional-person relationship becomes even more transparent.


MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

The Theoretical Basis of the Tidal Model

The Tidal Model begins from four simple, yet important starting points:

1. The primary therapeutic focus in mental health care lies in the community. A person’s natural life is an “ocean of experience.” The psychiatric crisis is only one thing, among many, that might threaten to “drown” them. Ultimately, mental health care is aimed to return people to that “ocean of experience,” so that they might continue their life voyage.

2. Change is a constant, ongoing process. Although people are constantly changing, this may be beyond their awareness. One of the main aims of the approaches used within the Tidal Model is to help people develop their awareness of the small changes that, ultimately, will have a big effect on their lives.

3. Empowerment lies at the heart of the caring process. However, people already have their own “power.” We need to help people “power up,” so they can use their own personal power to take greater charge of their lives, using this in constructive ways.

4. The nurse and the person are united (albeit temporarily) like dancers in a dance. When effective nursing happens, as W. B. Yeats (1928) might have remarked, “How do we tell the dancer from the dance?” This reminds us that genuine caring encounters involve “caring with” the person, not just “caring about” the person, or doing things that suggest we are “caring for” them.

The Three Domains: A Model of the Person

In the Tidal Model, the person is represented by three personal domains: Self, World, and Others. A domain is a sphere of control or influence, a place where the person experiences or acts out aspects of private or public life. Simply, a domain is a place where one lives.

The domains are like the person’s home address. Their house or flat has several rooms, but the person is not found in each of these rooms all the time; rather the person is sometimes in one room, and sometimes in another. The personal domains are similar. Sometimes the person is mainly in the Self Domain, and at other times the person is mainly in the World or Others Domain.

The Self Domain is the private place where the person experiences thoughts, feelings, beliefs, values,
and ideas that are known only to the person. In this private world, the distress called “mental illness” is first experienced. All people keep much of their private world secret, only revealing to others what they wish them to know. This is why people are often such a “mystery” to us, even when they are close friends or relatives.

In the Tidal Model, the Self Domain becomes the focus of our attempts to help the person feel “safe” and “secure,” where we try to help the person address and begin to deal with the private fears, anxieties, and other threats to emotional stability related to specific problems of living. The main focus is to develop a “bridging” relationship and to help the person develop a meaningful Personal Security Plan. This work is the basis for development of the person’s “self-help” program, which will sustain the person on return to everyday life. The World Domains is the place where the person shares some of the experiences from the Self Domain, with other people, in the person’s social world. When people talk to others about their private thoughts, feelings, beliefs, or other experiences known only to them, they go to the World Domain.

In the Tidal Model, the World Domain is the focus of our efforts to understand the person and the person’s problems of living. This is done through the use of the Holistic Assessment. At the World Domain, we try to help the person begin to identify and address specific problems of living on an everyday basis through use of dedicated One-to-One Sessions.

The Others Domain is where the person acts out everyday life with other people, such as family, friends, neighbors, work colleagues, and professionals. The person engages in different interpersonal and social encounters that may be influenced by others, and may—in turn—influence others. The organization and delivery of professional care and other forms of support is in the Others Domain. However, the key focus of the Tidal Model is dedicated forms of group work—Discovery, Information-Sharing, and Solution-Finding.

By participating in these groups, the person develops awareness of the value of social support, which can be received from and given to others. This becomes the basis of the person’s appreciation of the value of mutual support, which can be accessed in everyday life.

Water—A Metaphor†

The Tidal Model emphasizes the unpredictability of human experience through the core metaphor of water. Life is a journey taken on an ocean of experience. All human development—including the experience of health and illness—applies discoveries made on that journey across the ocean of experience. At critical points in the journey, people may experience storms or piracy. The ship may begin to take in water, and the person may face the prospect of drowning or shipwreck. The person may need to be guided to a safe haven, to undertake repairs, or to recover from the trauma. Once the ship is intact or the person has regained his or her sea legs, the journey can begin again as the person sets his or her course on the ocean of experience.

This metaphor illustrates many of the elements of a psychiatric crisis and the necessary responses to this human predicament. “Storms at sea” is a metaphor for problems of living; “piracy” evokes the experience of rape or a “robbery of the self” that severe distress can produce. Many users describe the overwhelming nature of their experience of distress as akin to “drowning,” and this often ends in a metaphorical “shipwreck” on the shores of an acute psychiatric unit. A proper “psychiatric rescue” should be akin to “lifesaving” and should lead the person to a genuine “safe haven,” where necessary human repair work can take place.

Guiding Principles‡

1. A belief in the virtue of curiosity: the person is the world authority on his or her life and its problems. By expressing genuine curiosity, the professional can learn something of the “mystery” of the person’s story.

2. Recognition of the power of resourcefulness: Rather than focusing on problems, deficits,
and weaknesses, the Tidal Model seeks to reveal resources available to the person—both personal and interpersonal—that might help on the voyage of recovery.

3. Respect for the person’s wishes, rather than being paternalistic, and suggesting that we might “know what is best” for the person.

4. Acceptance of the paradox of crisis as opportunity: Challenging events in our lives signal that something “needs to be done.” This might become an opportunity for a change in life direction.

5. Acknowledging that all goals, obviously, belong to the person. These represent the small steps on the road to recovery.

6. The virtue in pursuing elegance: Psychiatric care and treatment are often complex and bewildering. The simplest possible means should be sought, which might bring about the changes needed for the person to move forward.

Getting in the Swim—Engagement Beliefs§

When people are in serious distress, they often feel as if they are drowning. In such circumstances, they need a “lifesaver.” Of course, lifesavers need to engage with the person—they need to get close—to begin the rescue process. To get in the swim and to begin the engagement process, we need to believe the following:

- That recovery is possible
- That change is inevitable—nothing lasts
- That ultimately, people know what is best for them
- That people possess all the resources they need to begin the recovery journey
- That the person is the teacher, and we, the helpers, are the pupils
- That we need to be creatively curious to learn what needs to be done to help the person now!

Therapeutic Philosophy¶

1. Why this—why now? We need to consider, first of all, why the person is experiencing this particular life difficulty now. The focus of care is very much on what the person is experiencing now and what needs to be done now to address, and hopefully resolve, the problem.

2. What works? We need to ask “what works” (or might work) for the person under the present circumstances. This represents the “person-centered” focus of care. Rather than using standardized techniques or therapeutic approaches, which may have general value, we aim to identify either what has worked for the person in the past or what might work for the person in the immediate future, given their history, personality, and general life circumstances.

3. What is the person’s personal theory? We need to consider how this person understands her or his problems. What “sense” does the person “make” of her or his problems? Rather than giving persons professionalized explanations of their difficulties in the form of theory or diagnosis, try to understand how they understand their experience. What is the person’s personal theory?

4. How do we limit restrictions? We should aim to use the least restrictive means of helping the person address and resolve their difficulties. The Tidal Model tries to identify how little the nurse might do to help the person, and how much the person might do to bring about meaningful change. Together, these represent the least restrictive intervention.

Continuum of Care¶

As needs flow with the person across artificial boundaries, care is seamless with the intention of the person returning his or her “ocean of experience” within his or her own community. Across the care continuum, people may need critical or immediate, transitional or developmental care. Practical immediate care addresses searching for solutions to the person’s problems, generally in the short term, and focuses upon “what needs to be done, now.” People enter the care continuum for immediate care when experiencing an initial mental
Use of Empirical Evidence

Barker’s long-standing curiosity about the nature and focus of psychiatric nursing and the stories of persons-in-care led to the development of a theoretical construction of psychiatric nursing, or a metatheory, that could be further explored through empirical inquiry (Barker, Reynolds, & Stevenson, 1997, p. 663). Over 5 years, from 1995, the Newcastle and North Tyneside research team developed an understanding of what people experiencing problems in living might need from nurses and began using their emergent findings in 1997 as the basis for development of the Tidal Model.

Barker supports learning from, using, and integrating extant theory and research, as well as the experience of reality—“evidence from the most ‘real’ of real worlds” (Barker & Jackson, 1997). An example is the “need adapted” approach to caring with people living with schizophrenia developed from Alanen’s studies in Finland. One understanding that underpins Alanen’s work and flows through the Tidal Model is that people and their families need to think of admission to a psychiatric facility as a result of problems of living they have encountered and not as a mysterious illness that is within the patient (Alanen, Lehtinen, & Aaltonen, 1997).

The power of the nurse-patient relationship demonstrated through Altschul’s pioneering research in the early 1960s and Peplau’s paradigm of interpersonal relationships contribute to the empirical base of the Tidal Model. Altschul’s study of nurse-patient interaction in the 1960s provides empirical support for the complex, yet paradoxically “ordinary” nature of the relationship (Barker, 2002a). Altschul’s study of community teams in the 1980s raised questions about the “proper focus of nursing” and the “need for nursing,” and both Altschul and Peplau provided evidence related to interprofessional teamwork.

Two of Barker’s theory-generating studies provided the empirical base for the Tidal Model. The “need for nursing” studies (Barker, Jackson, & Stevenson, 1999a, 1999b) examined the perceptions of service users, significant others, members of multidisciplinary teams, and nurses, and it sought to clarify discrete roles and functions of nursing within a multidisciplinary care and treatment process and to learn what people value in nurses (Barker, 2001c, p. 215). They demonstrated that professionals and persons-in-care wanted nurses to relate to people in ordinary, everyday ways. There was universal acceptance of special interpersonal relationships between nurses and persons, echoing Peplau’s (1952) work. “Knowing you, knowing me” emerged as the core concept in these studies. The nurse is expected to know what the person wants even if it is not verbalized or is not clear, and needs are constantly
Major Assumptions

Two basic assumptions underpin the Tidal Model. First, “change is the only constant.” Nothing lasts. All human experience involves flux, and people are constantly changing. This suggests the value of helping people become more aware of how change is happening within and around them in the “now” (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2004a). Second, people are their stories. They are no more and no less than the complex story of their lived experience. The person’s story is framed in the first person, and the story of how they came to be here experiencing this ‘problem of living’ contains the raw material for solutions (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2004a).

The Tidal Model rests on the following assumptions:
- There are such “things” as psychiatric needs.
- Nursing might in some way meet those needs (Barker & Whitehill, 1997, p. 15).
- Persons and those around them already possess the solutions to their life problems.
- Nursing is about drawing out these solutions (Barker, 1995, p. 12).

The Tidal Model assumes that when people are caught in the psychic storm of “madness,” it is “as if” they risk drowning in their distress or foun-
dering on the rocks; it is “as if” they have been boarded by pirates and have been robbed of some of their human identity; it is “as if” they have been washed ashore on some remote beach, far from home and alienated from all that they know and understand.

Nursing

“Nurses are involved in the process of working with people, their environments, their health status and their need for nursing” (Barker, 1996a, p. 242). Nursing is continuously changing, internally and in relation to other professions, in response to changing needs and changing social structures. “If any one thing defines nursing, globally, it is the social construction of the nurse’s role” (Barker, Reynolds, & Ward, 1995, p. 390). Nursing as nurturing exists only when the conditions necessary for the promotion of growth or development are put in place (Buchanan-Barker & Barker, 2008). Nursing is “an enduring human interpersonal activity and involves a focus on
the promotion of growth and development” (Barker & Whitehill, 1997, p. 17) and present and future direction (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2007a). Barker extended Peplau's original definition, clarifying the purpose of nursing as *trephotaxis* from the Greek: “the provision of the necessary conditions for the promotion of growth and development” (Barker, 1989, 2009). He emphasizes the distinction between “psychiatric” and “mental health” nursing. When nurses help people explore their distress, in an attempt to discover ways of remedying or ameliorating it, they are practicing psychiatric nursing. When nurses help the same people explore ways of growing and developing, as persons, exploring how they presently live with and might move beyond their problems of living, they are practicing mental health nursing. (Barker, 2003a; 2009).

Nursing is a human service offered by one group of human beings to another. There is a power dynamic in the “craft of caring,” one person has a duty to care for another (Barker, 1996b, p. 4). Nursing is a practical endeavor focused on identifying what people need now; collaboratively exploring ways of meeting those needs; and developing appropriate systems of human care (Barker, 1995, 2003a). The proper focus of nursing is the “need” expressed by the person-in-care, which “can only be defined as a function of the relationship between a person-with-a-need-for-nursing and a person-who-has-met-that-need”. (Barker, 1996a, p. 241; Barker, Reynolds, & Ward, 1995, p. 389). These responses are the phenomenological focus of nursing (Barker, Reynolds, & Ward, 1995, p. 394; Peplau, 1987); a focus on human responses to actual or potential health problems (American Nurses Association, 1980). These may range across behavior, emotions, beliefs, identity, capability, spirituality, and the person’s relationship with the environment (Barker, 1998a).

Nursing’s exploration of the human context of being and caring supports nursing as a form of human inquiry. Being with and caring with people is the process that underpins all psychiatric and mental health nursing, and this process distinguishes nurses from all other health and social care disciplines (Barker, 1997). “Nursing complements other services and is congruent with the roles and functions of other disciplines in relation to the person’s needs” (Barker, 2001c, p. 216).

**Person**

Within the Tidal Model, interest is directed toward a phenomenological view of the person’s lived experience, and his or her story. “Persons are natural philosophers and meaning makers devoting much of their lives to establishing the meaning and value of their experience and to constructing explanatory models of the world and their place in it” (Barker, 1996b, p. 4). Nurses are able to see and appreciate the world from the person’s perspective and share this with the person. People are their stories. “The person’s sense of self and the world of experience, including the experience of others is inextricably tied to their life stories and the various meanings they have generated” (Barker, 2001c, p. 219). People are in a constant state of flux, with great capacity for change (Buchanan-Barker & Barker, 2008) and engaged in the process of becoming (Barker, 2000c). They live within their world of experience represented in three dimensions: (1) world, (2) self, and (3) others.

Life is a developmental voyage, and people travel across their “ocean of experience.” This voyage of discovery and exploration can be risky, and people have both a fundamental need for security and a capacity to adapt to changing circumstances. The “journey across our ocean of experience depends on our physical body on which we roll out the story of our lives” (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2007a, p. 21). The Tidal Model “holds few assumptions about the proper course of a person’s life” (Barker, 2001a, p. 235). Persons are defined in relations, for example, as someone’s mother, father, daughter, son, sister, brother, friend and also in relation with nurses.

**Health**

Barker provides the provocative definition of health put forth by Illich (1976) as “the result of an autonomous yet culturally shaped reaction to socially-created reality. It designates the ability to adapt to changing environments, to growing up . . . to healing when damaged, to suffering and to the peaceful expectation of death. Health embraces the future . . . includes the inner resources to live with it (p. 273). Health is a personal task where success is “in large part the result of self-awareness, self-discipline, and inner resources by which each person regulates his/her own daily rhythms and actions, his/her diet, and his/her sexuality” (Illich, 1976, p. 274).
Our personhood, connections, and fragility “make the experience of pain, of sickness, and of death an integral part of life” (Illich, 1976, p. 274). Illich’s (1976) description illustrates both the chaotic and Zen sense of “reality.” “Health is not ‘out-there,’ it is not something to be pursued, gained or delivered (health-care). It is a part of the whole task of being and living” (Barker, 1999b, p. 240).

“Health means whole . . . and is likely linked to the way we live our lives, in the broadest sense. This ‘living’ includes the social, economic, cultural and spiritual context of our lives” (Barker, 1999b, p. 48). The experience of health and illness is fluid. Within a holistic view, people have their own individual meanings of health and illness that we value and accept. Nurses engage with people to learn their stories and their understanding of their current situation, including relationships with health and illness within their worldview (Barker, 2001c). Ill health or illness almost always involves a spiritual crisis or a loss of self (Barker, 1996a). A state of disease is a human problem with social, psychological, and medical relations, a whole life crisis. Nursing with the Tidal Model is pragmatic and focused upon persons’ strengths, resources, and possibilities, maintaining a health orientation; the Tidal Model is a healthy theory.

**Environment**

The environment is largely social in nature, the context in which persons travel within their ocean of experience, and nurses create “space” for growth and development. “Therapeutic relationships are used in ways that enhance persons’ relationships with their environment” (Montgomery & Webster, 1993, p. 7). Human problems may derive from complex person-environment interactions in the chaos of the everyday world (Barker, 1998b). “Persons live in a social and material world where their interaction with the environment includes other people, groups, and organizations” (Barker, 2003a, p. 67). Family, culture, and relationships are integral to this environment. Vital areas of everyday living, including housing, financing, occupation, leisure, and a sense of place and belonging are areas of environment (Barker, 2001c).

The theoretical assertions include:

- Psychiatric nursing is an interactive, developmental human activity, more concerned with the future development of the person than the origins or cause of their present mental distress.
- The experience of mental distress associated with psychiatric disorder is represented through public disturbance or reports of private events that are known only to the person concerned. Nurses help people access, review, and re-author these experiences.
- Nurses and the people-in-care are engaged in a relationship based upon mutual influence. Change is constant, and within relationships there are changes in the relationship and within the participants in the relationship.
- The experience of mental illness is translated into a variety of disturbances of everyday living and human responses to problems in living (Barker & Whitehill, 1997).

The divide between community and institution is artificial and rejected as needs flow with the person across these boundaries. Much psychiatric and mental health nursing takes place in the most mundane of settings, from day rooms of hospital wards to the living room or kitchen of the person’s own home (Barker, 1996b). With critical interventions, nurses make the person and the environment safe and secure. Engagement is critical, and the social environment is critical for engagement. When people are deemed to be at risk, they need to be detained in a safe and supportive environment, a safe harbor until they return to their ocean of experience in the community (Barker, 2003a). “Nurses organize the kind of conditions that help to alleviate distress and begin the longer term process of recuperation, resolution or learning. They help persons to feel the ‘whole’ of their experience . . . and engender the potential for healing” (Barker, 2003a, p. 9).
and what it means. Mental distress is a symbolic force, which is known only, in phenomenological terms, to the person involved. The lived experience is the medium through which we receive important messages about our life and its meaning (Barker, 2001c). Barker views mental distress as part of the whole of the person, not something split off from their “normal” being.

The Tidal Model assumes and asserts that people know what their needs are, or can be helped to recognize or acknowledge them over time. From that minimally empowered position, people may be helped to meet these needs in the “short” term. What nurses and everyone else in the person’s social world relate to is the expressed behavior. Mental illness is disempowering, and “people who experience any of the myriad threats to their personal or social identities, commonly called mental illness or mental health problems, experience a human threat that renders them vulnerable.” However, “most people are sufficiently healthy to be able to act for themselves and to influence constructively the direction of their lives” (Barker, 2003a, pp. 6–7). Recovery is possible, and people have the personal and interpersonal resources that enable this recovery process (Barker, 2001c).

**Logical Form**

The Tidal Model is logically adequate, the structure of relationships is clear, and the concepts are precise, developed, and developing. It contains broad ideas, addresses many situations of persons with problems in living, follows the “logic of experience” (Barker, 1996b), and develops “practice-based evidence” (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005).

Barker and colleagues constructed a metatheory of psychiatric and mental health nursing. Questions about the nature of persons, problems in living, and nursing were followed with systematic inquiry. The theory informs and is shaped by research. The Tidal Model flows from a particular philosophical perspective and worldview that provides the context for beliefs about persons and nursing.

The theory identifies the core of nursing practice as “knowing you, knowing me.” It specifies a nursing focus of inquiry, identifies phenomena of particular interest to nurses, and provides a broad perspective for nursing research, practice, education, and policy. The theory classifies a body of nursing knowledge that is largely story-based. The components are clearly presented and logically derived from clinical observation, practice, theory, research, and philosophy.

The emergent evidence from users of the theory in the UK, Ireland, Canada, and New Zealand confirms the importance of the simple affirmation of the personal story, with its emphasis on understanding what is happening for and to the person, and what this means for persons in their own language. Stories generated within the caring context are written in the person’s own voice, helping the person to “take back” the personal story, which has been lost from view by becoming a “patient” or “client.” Even when the person is severely disabled by problems of living, the nurse keeps the focus on helping the person determine “what needs to be done” and on finding the personal and interpersonal resources necessary to be empowered.

The attempt to understand persons’ constructions of their world is expressed through the holistic assessment that helps persons to relate their story and explore what needs to be done. Care planning is a collaborative exercise with emphasis on developing an awareness of change and revealing solutions. The celebration of personhood and the holistic narrative approach creates a style of practice of working collaboratively with people. It emphasizes persons’ inherent resources and acknowledges change as an enduring characteristic.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

The Tidal Model appeals to those interested in person-centered care and theory-based practice. The literature illustrates the wide acceptance and use of the theory in practice and in research. Acceptance of the theory is facilitated by the philosophical, theoretical, research, and practical base, along with clearly stated values and principles.

**Practice**

The Tidal Model was developed in practice between 1995 and 1997 and was introduced formally on two acute psychiatric wards in Newcastle, England, in 1998. It was subsequently adopted by the Mental Health Program, and in 2000 rolled out across nine acute psychiatric wards, their associated community
support teams, and one 24-hour facility in the community (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005). The Tidal Model became international as interest spread in the United Kingdom first to Ireland, then throughout the world.

Most of the early Tidal Model developmental work was undertaken in the United Kingdom, with projects ranging across hospital and community services, from acute through rehabilitation, to specialist forensic services and community care. These ranged from metropolitan services in cities like central London and Birmingham, where the clinical populations are socially, culturally, and ethnically diverse, to Cornwall, Glamorgan, and Norfolk, where people from rural English and Welsh communities were served. The most extensive project was in Scotland, where since 2003 the Glasgow mental health services operated a series of Tidal projects, embracing acute, rehabilitation, adolescent, and elder care, in what was the largest mental health service in the UK (Lafferty & Davidson, 2006). By 2012, the Glasgow projects had extended to include Greenock, Inverclyde, Paisley, and Ayrshire, representing more than a third of the overall population of Scotland.

The Republic of Ireland established a wide range of projects in County Cork, County Mayo and Dublin, ranging across hospital and community settings. Cork City, Ireland, was the first to introduce and develop the Tidal Model within community mental health care at Tosnu—Gaelic for “fresh start.”

At the Royal Ottawa Mental Health Centre in Canada, three programs implemented the Tidal Model in September 2002. The Forensic and Mood programs include inpatient wards and outpatient components. The Substance Use and Concurrent Disorders Program includes an inpatient ward, outpatient nursing, a day hospital, and a residential program in the community and is the first program of its kind to implement the Tidal Model. In February 2004, the Tidal Model was introduced to remaining inpatient wards, including geriatric, crisis and evaluation, general psychiatry in transition, psychosocial rehabilitation, schizophrenia, and youth (adolescents). Across Canada, also there has been much interest in the Tidal Model. It has been implemented or is in progress in facilities from coast to coast.

In Australia, the Model was first introduced in Sydney followed by Townsville, Queensland. More recently, projects have been established in child and adolescent care in Sydney, with a new development in the area of “justice health.” In New Zealand, nurses at Rangipapa in Porirua were the first to introduce the Tidal Model into a forensic setting and the first to investigate the experience with the model from the perspective of staff and clientele (Cook, Phillips, & Sadler, 2005). The Tidal Model’s emphasis on story has proven particularly attractive to the indigenous Maori and Pacific Islands people of New Zealand, who greatly value the power of storytelling. In Japan, the Model has been the focus of a major development program for almost a decade at the Kanto Medical Center, the largest private psychiatric facility in Tokyo. Dr. Tsuyoshi Akayama, the lead psychiatrist, translated the Tidal Model training materials into Japanese and then taught his medical and nursing colleagues how to use the Model, following his short study tour in Newcastle with Dr. Barker. This was the first formal collaboration between psychiatrists and nurses—as nurses had led the implementation in the earlier projects. Dr. Akayama has promoted consideration of the Tidal Model within the “developing nations” program of the World Psychiatric Association. The Japanese have set a trend for greater interprofessional collaboration, albeit with nursing taking the lead role.

The Tidal Model of Mental Health Recovery is directed toward understanding and explaining further the human condition. Central to this effort is helping people use their voices as the key instrument for charting their recovery from mental distress. The Tidal Model is a person-centered model of mental health care delivery, which is respectful of culture and creed (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005). This practical theory identifies the concepts necessary to understand the human needs of people with problems in living, and how and what nurses might do to address those needs. The theory systematically explains specific phenomena and suggests the nature of relationships within a particular worldview. Barker, however, has consistently asserted that the theory is “no more than words on paper.” It is not a reified work or recipe for practice, but a practical and evolving guide for delivering collaborative, person-centered, strength-based, and empowering care through relationship.
Education

Barker and Buchanan-Barker offer a free training manual for download from their website (www.tidal-model.com). This package is used as the basic preparation for implementation of the model, ensuring fidelity to the values, principles, and processes of the Tidal Model, while allowing creative, locally relevant implementation.

The Tidal Model has been integrated into undergraduate and postgraduate programs in most UK universities and has been the focus of many graduate and postgraduate projects and theses at many international universities from the United States to the Philippines. At the University of Ottawa, Canada, and Dalhousie University in Nova Scotia, the Tidal Model is included in undergraduate and graduate courses. The Tidal Model anchors the mental health nursing residency program developed collaboratively by five tertiary mental health centers in Ontario. The holistic, strength-based, narrative Tidal Model holds great promise for inclusion in educational programs concerned with theory-based practice and person-centered care.

Research

The Tidal Model developed from a clinical research program. All International Tidal Model network members are encouraged to evaluate the model in practice. A research and development consultancy was established as a loose network for Tidal Model implementation and development projects. The consultancy provides a framework for evaluation of the Tidal Model in action from the perspective of organizational outcome, professional experience, and user/consumer experience (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005). The important task of evaluating the implementation, processes, and outcomes of the Tidal Model in practice is ongoing in Canada, Ireland, Japan, and New Zealand and across the United Kingdom.

Two evaluation studies (Fletcher & Stevenson, 2001; Stevenson & Fletcher, 2002) explored outcome measures important in evaluating the Tidal Model and evaluated the impact of the Tidal Model assessment in practice (Stevenson & Fletcher, 2002). Results of both studies indicate an increase in the number of admissions and a decrease in the length of stay. There was a decrease in need for the highest level of observation that correlated with the speed of assessment, and a decrease in incidents of violence, self-harm, and use of restraints. Nurses themselves reported that the Tidal Model enhanced professional practice and encouraged fuller engagement with persons-in-care. It was useful in helping persons fulfill care plans and enabled nurses to focus their interactions on persons’ needs. Support workers were more able to help persons identify goals and targets for the day and carry them out; they described the Tidal Model as a way of raising their profile and professional esteem (Stevenson & Fletcher, 2002, p. 35). Similar findings, using the same method, were reported in Birmingham, the second city in England to implement the Tidal Model (Gordon, Morton, & Brooks, 2005), Glasgow, the largest city in Scotland (Lafferty & Davidson, 2006), and Dublin, the capital of Ireland. These studies provide evidence for the implementation of this person-centered theory in practice.

Barker and Walker (2000) studied senior nurses’ views of multidisciplinary teamwork in 26 acute psychiatric admission units and the relationship to the care of persons and their families. While nurses face challenges in implementing “working in partnership,” the study provides some direction for further inquiry around the interprofessional nature of the theory.

The transition for nurses to a solution focus in interactions was the subject of study by the Newcastle team (Stevenson, Jackson, & Barker, 2003). Nurses participated in a specially tailored solution education initiative, and the impact was assessed for both nurses and persons-in-care using multiple data sources. This study provides strong evidence of significant improvement in nurses’ solution-focused knowledge, performance, and use in practice. Persons-in-care also found the approach helpful.

The Royal Ottawa Mental Health Centre Tidal team replicated the Newcastle study and assessed the impact of implementation of the Tidal Model on selected outcome measures over four time periods in the three pioneer programs, with similar results particularly in the Mood program. They also replicated the Newcastle study over four time periods in the Forensic Program at the Brockville site. The Tosnu team completed a user-focused evaluation of the Tidal Model implementation. In Birmingham, on the Tolkien ward, a 4-month evaluation has been completed and published (Gordon, Morton, & Brooks,
Evaluation work is ongoing at St. Tydfil Hospital in Wales.

In New Zealand, a qualitative, hermeneutic, phenomenological study followed the implementation of the Tidal Model in a secure treatment unit (Cook, Phillips, & Sadler, 2005). Five themes that reflected meanings attached to providing and receiving care emerged: relationships, hope, human face, leveling, and working together, suggesting positive experiences and outcomes with implementation of the Tidal Model. The Tidal Model is set in a research base that provides the possibility of research utilization or the more contemporary knowledge transfer. Nurses practicing within the Tidal Model are actively using research in practice as well as contributing to the development of nursing practice. The Tidal Model has potential for participatory action research, uncovering knowledge embedded in practice, and developing new knowledge and understandings.

Barker and Buchanan-Barker emphasize that any realistic study of the Tidal Model in practice must focus on the “workings” of the team, both individually and collectively. It must take into account the organizational context, the support available to the team, the quality of the environment, and the range of other physical, social, and interpersonal factors. As practitioners begin to work in a Tidal way, key research questions must focus on “what happens?” in Tidal practice.

**Further Development**

The Tidal Model is clear, concepts are defined, and relationships are identified. This enables the identification of areas for further theory development. For example, Barker is reframing his original notion of the “logic of experience” as “practice-based evidence.” Practice-based evidence represents the knowledge of what is possible in this particular situation and what might contribute further to our shared understanding of human helping (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005).

Several other developments characterize the Tidal Model. It has evolved from the initial acute, inpatient use across the continuum of care, with critical, transitional, and developmental components. The theory has evolved to the Tidal Model of Mental Health Recovery and Reclamation, broadening both its scope and utility. Colleagues in other fields such as palliative care have expressed appreciation of the model and the desire to bring it into their practice settings. Other professions support the values, philosophy, and utility of the Tidal Model. Mental health user/consumer/survivor communities around the world are involved in the continuing development of this mental health recovery theory (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005).

Since its inception, the Tidal Model has gained national and international attention. It continues to be implemented, taught, and studied internationally, with new sites joining from around the world. In November 2003, the Tidal Model was launched in North America. As new sites implement and study the Tidal Model, the practical, theoretical, and research base is enriched. In 2003, Barker reaffirmed the values underlying the Tidal Model in the Ten Tidal Commitments. They provide the necessary guidance to pursue and develop the philosophy of the Tidal Model. Although Barker expects fidelity to the principles and values of the Tidal Model in its implementation, he cautions against slavish importation. Rather, implementation needs to be tailored to fit the local context, with the result that each implementation will be unique and contribute to the theory’s development. This reflects Barker’s appreciation of the concept of “practice-based evidence”—what he called the “art of the possible,” that is, developing philosophically and theoretically sound forms of practice that are based on considerations of what is appropriate, meaningful, and potentially effective in any given practice context.

The Tidal Model is developing across cultures noted above, with different clinical populations, in a variety of settings. The body of knowledge framed within the Tidal Model continues to develop, acknowledging the wide range of complex factors that define people and their human experiences—personal history, personal preferences, values and beliefs, social status, cultural background, family affiliations, and community membership (Barker, 2003a).

**Critique**

**Clarity**

The concepts, subconcepts, and relationships are logically developed and clear, and the assumptions are consistent with the theory’s goals. Words have multiple meanings; however, the major concepts, subconcepts,
and relationships are described carefully, specifically, and metaphorically, though not necessarily concisely. It is Barker's terms like “problems in living,” mental distress, and view of people experiencing problems as “persons” that guide nurses to a proper focus. The identification of “human needs” rather than psychological, social, or physical needs also provides clarity and focus. How nurses see persons and how persons want to be nursed are clearly illustrated through the core category of “knowing you, knowing me.” Three subcategories, ordinary me, pseudo-ordinary or engineered-me, and professional me each have four dimensions: depth of knowing, power, time, and translation (Barker, Jackson, & Stevenson, 1999a; Jackson & Stevenson, 2004).

In practice, using the person’s own language, rather than jargon or professional language, contributes to the theory’s success and its clarity. Major concepts of collaboration, empowerment, relationships, solution focus, empowering through relationships, narrative, and the use of “problems in living” are sufficiently clear and open the theory for use in other areas of nursing and health care.

A number of concepts and relationships are presented elegantly and schematically within the Tidal Model. The person’s unique lived experience is synergistic and reciprocal among the World, Self, and Others, domains that are represented in a triangle (Figure 32–1).

The Holistic Assessment, the person’s story, is at the heart of care planning and is represented as a heart. The circle of security assessment and plan surrounds the heart, all of which is surrounded by the interprofessional team circle (Figure 32–2).

The continuum of care (immediate, transitional, and developmental) intersects with the focus of care (Barker, 2000e; Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2007a) (Figure 32–3).

Barker and Buchanan-Barker (2007a) provide a map or overview of the continuum of care or voyage of the person who enters, progresses through, and exits the service (Figure 32–4).

This easily understood theory is accessible conceptually and linguistically through the use of everyday language.

**Simplicity**

The Tidal Model is based upon a few simple ideas about “being human” and “helping one another” (Barker, 2000e). It is comprehensive, elegant in its simplicity, and at a level of abstraction to guide practice, education, research, and policy. However, the concepts themselves are complex, and the broad relationships among the concepts add to the complexity of the Tidal Model; people and relationships are inherently complex.

Assumptions, concepts, and relationships are described in everyday language and illuminated through metaphor. For example, simply being respectful of the persons’ knowledge and expertise about their own health and illness and listening to persons’ stories is empowering. Abstract and complex concepts or relationships are expressed metaphorically as in the ebb and flow of the tide. Practical and philosophical, the Tidal Model provides some direction in operationalizing or using the concepts, but it is careful not to prescribe practice.

**Generality**

The Tidal Model is international in scope, suggesting its relevance cross-culturally and cross-nationally. By the beginning of 2004, there were almost 100 Tidal Model projects in progress in different clinical settings in a variety of countries around the world—Australia, Canada, England, Ireland, Japan, New Zealand, Scotland, and Wales (Barker, 2004; Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005). A wide range of settings
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immediate care</th>
<th>Transitional care</th>
<th>Developmental care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term/time limited</td>
<td>Focus on ensuring a smooth passage</td>
<td>Longer-term/intensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on solutions</td>
<td>Focus on understanding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and clinical populations are represented in the Tidal Model projects: rural and urban, acute, crisis and longer-term care wards, private and public facilities, community programs, rehabilitation, forensic, youth, adults, and older adults. The Tidal Model has been successful across the continuum of psychiatric and mental health care and in a range of practice situations. Universal characteristics of collaboration, empowerment, relationships, stories, and strengths appeal to nurses, service users, and colleagues in other disciplines and support general applicability.

The Tidal Model is consistent with the Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion, where the process of empowerment and participation is seen as fundamental to good health (World Health Organization, 1986). The Tidal Model parallels the process of enabling people to increase control over and improve their health. The Ten Tidal Commitments (Barker, 2003b) provide guidance, direction, and support in using the theory. In Scotland, Lafferty and Davidson (2006) observed that the practice with the Tidal Model helped nurses fulfill the person-centered requirements of the new Scottish Mental Health Act. In Canada, the Best Practice Guideline for Client-Centred Care (Registered Nurses’ Association of Ontario, 2006) echoes the Tidal Model by using some of the same language.

Barker acknowledges that in order to practice within the Tidal Model, we need to believe that recovery is possible and change is inevitable. “The Tidal Model per se does not work. The practitioner is the instrument or medium of change” (Buchanan-Barker, 2004, p. 8). As the Tidal Model was developed specifically for psychiatry and mental health care, the criterion of generality is met.
Accessibility

This substantive theory is grounded in data that emerged inductively from studies of the need for nursing. Studies guided by the Tidal Model suggest its utility and precision and provide confidence that the theory is useful, practical, and accessible. Studies of the impact of implementation of the theory in practice also support its utility and precision. The “need for nursing,” the proper focus of nursing, and the empowering interactions framework provide a strong empirical base for the Tidal Model.

Nurses working with different clinical populations and in a variety of settings are testing the Tidal Model in practice. The focus of inquiry is person-centered outcomes and the lived experience of persons collaborating in care. Studies addressing the outcome orientation empower interactions that contribute to empirical adequacy and confidence in this solution-oriented perspective.

Importance

The Tidal Model has clearly illustrated that it provides direction and focus for nursing. The theory is accessible conceptually and linguistically and lends itself to research. This research, relevant to nurses’ work, contributes knowledge to guide and inform practice. Studies guided by the Tidal Model also explore its impact and a variety of outcomes. Narrative knowledge derived from the theory advances the practice of nursing, nursing education, nursing research, and policy. The Tidal Model is represented by a range of “holistic (exploratory) and focused (risk) assessments which generate person-centered interventions that emphasize the person’s extant resources and capacity for solution-finding” (Barker, 2001b, p. 82).

Working with the Tidal Model has enabled nurses to articulate their practice and “invisible skills” (Michael, 1994). For example, empowerment strategies such as respecting the person and inspiring hope also give voice to nurses themselves. Nurses gain confidence working as interprofessional team members where their contribution and focus is clearly articulated.

Challenges exist at a practical, personal, and system level with any change, and these are anticipated and addressed. However, the Tidal Model is an important and essential theory to develop and guide practice in psychiatry and mental health care.

Summary

The Tidal Model developed from a discrete focus on psychiatric nursing in acute settings to a more flexible mental health recovery and reclamation model for any setting, relevant to any discipline. It emphasizes empowering forms of engagement or bridging, the importance of the lived experience, and an appreciation of the potential for healing that lies within the re-authoring of the story (Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2004a).

The Tidal Model provides an orientation to practice that is research-based, holistic, and person-centered. Keen (in Barker & Buchanan-Barker, 2005, pp. 231–241) describes a “deeply collaborative, person-centered, solution-focused (McAllister, 2003), narrative-based, pragmatic, and systemic theory.” The theory describes various assumptions about people, their inherent value, and the value of relating to people in particular ways. It describes how people might come to appreciate differently, perhaps better, their own value and the unique value of their experience. The Tidal Model opens possibilities of new ways of being with people in relation. Perhaps some of its appeal is that it harkens back to “our roots” and values, which brought us into nursing in the first place. While the theory provides direction for practice, education, research, and policy, it is not easy. Nurses are aware of the challenge in making the shift to commit to change and to grow and develop in enacting the essence of the Tidal Model, the Ten Tidal Commitments.

CASE STUDY

Scott was a young man described as having a first episode psychosis. He had beaten his father, who subsequently died. Scott was transferred to a secure unit, where his primary nurse began to explore his story with him through a Holistic Assessment, which represents Scott’s world of experience at this moment in time.

How this began: “It all started when my father punched my mother again, he was totally drunk that night. It was so noisy in that room, the T.V., the banging, and those voices in my head, they kept yelling at me to do something fast to save my mother. I don’t remember exactly what had happened after. I was so confused.”
How this affected me: “I don’t know. I have been in jail for 4 months before coming here. They told me I killed my father. I don’t remember much except that I kept hammering his head; I just remember I was standing in a pool of blood.” “They told me my mother is still in the hospital; I haven’t seen her since.” “I’m scared. I can’t sleep.”

How I felt in the beginning: “It just devastated me, turned me upside down.” “I felt awful even though I hated him so much; he never listened to me; no one ever listened to me or believes me.” “I hate him because I watched him beating my mother all my life.”

How things have changed over time: “It got worse when my stepbrother ran away. My father was a sinner, a drunk, wife beater, even conspired with the Communists. I was not allowed to leave the house except school, my mother stayed in all day to do farm work, he was the only one that ran errands outside the house.” “I’ve always been a bit scared and angry too.”

The effect on my relationships: “I don’t have any relationships with anyone; I don’t like people because nobody likes me.”

How do I feel now? “Well, I feel nervous, very shaky and scared. I don’t know what to expect, I don’t know what is going to happen.” “Confused, I guess, and I’m tired.”

What do I think this means? “I don’t know, that was my question, maybe I will go back to jail, maybe it means I needed help.” “It means I have a lot of challenges to meet.”

What does all this say about me as a person? “I just want to be a better person, I want to be well, and I want to take care of my mother.”

What needs to happen now? “Well, I suppose I’m here for an assessment.”

What do I expect the nurse to do for me? “Continue to talk to me the way you are talking to me. No one ever talks to me like this. You are listening, and it seems like you believe me. This is so different from jail and anywhere else.”

The people who are important: “My mother is the only important person in this world. My stepbrother came back only for the money.”

Things that are important: “Well, able to share with others.” “My dog—Pepper, but he is at the Humane Society right now.” “I have a really nice picture of me and my mom.”

Ideas about life that are important: “Able to fit in.”

Evaluating the problems: “My main problems are loneliness and what’s going to happen in my future. My whole life is complex!” I would rate my loneliness as an 8 for distress, an 8 for disturbance, and a 2 for control. My future and what’s going to happen would be a 10 for distress, a 10 for disturbance, and I have no control, a zero.”

How will I know the problem has been solved? “I’ll know the problem has been solved maybe when the voices stop talking to me, when I get out of jail and out of the hospital.”

What needs to change for this to happen? “Maybe I need to take medication, maybe I just have to start talking to real people, not the voices.”

The nurse recognized that Scott needed some help to feel more emotionally secure. She engaged him in a security assessment and they developed a Personal Security Plan together.

Later in the week, the nurses noted that Scott was spending a lot of time in his room. Instead of encouraging Scott to participate in ward activities, his primary nurse shared her observation and asked Scott how it was helpful to him to spend so much time lying on his bed, alone in the room. Scott’s reply was, “The voices don’t bother me so much.” This opened a conversation, helping the nurse begin to understand what this was like for Scott and what might be helpful for him.

In another conversation, the primary nurse asked “the miracle question.” “Suppose that tonight, while you are asleep, the problem you have was miraculously solved. How would you know? What would be the first difference you noticed when you woke up?” Scott’s unexpected reply—“I’d have a friend.” By exploring—rather than closing down—the narrative, the nurse began to involve Scott in “what needed to be done” to help him.

The Holistic Assessment and the Personal Security Plan represent the first steps in helping Scott reclaim ownership of the story of his difficulties and/or distress: beginning to explore what action needs to be taken—by Scott and/or others—to reduce his distress and address his

Continued
problems. Traditionally, Scott might be given a diagnosis of “psychosis” as an explanation of his situation, with much of the resultant effort focused on managing this abstract, invisible “disorder.” Within the Tidal Model, the nurse’s focus is much more pragmatic. By joining with Scott in exploring his difficulties from his perspective, as he describes his experience in his own words, the nurse begins to develop a supportive, empathic relationship. The main aim is to help Scott make his own sense of what has happened to him (rather than telling him), helping him identify what part he has played (if any) in the development of his problems and beginning to work out what needs to be done to begin to address them. When a person like Scott eventually moves out into the everyday world, he will take with him the self-knowledge he has gained through the various relationships established in the individual and group work. Instead of expecting Scott to be a passive (or compliant) recipient of care or treatment, the nurse expects him to participate as fully as possible in constructing the kind of care that he needs, establishing ownership not only of his problems but also of the ultimate means of resolving them. Clearly, this approach makes significant emotional and intellectual demands on both the person and the nurse.

What questions might be asked in a security assessment? The security plan has two questions: What can I do that will help me to deal with my present problems? And what help can others offer that I might find valuable? What might Scott’s security plan look like?

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Select three or four of the Ten Tidal Commitments, and consider how these might be realized in your practice.

2. Where would you find support for each of the Ten Tidal Commitments within your workplace?

3. What is the key Tidal question?

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY

The Tidal Model website at www.tidal-model.com enables accessibility to and connection with the international Tidal community.
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In today’s technological world, nursing’s historic mission of providing comfort to patients and family members is even more important. Comfort is an antidote to the stressors inherent in health care situations today, and when comfort is enhanced, patients and families are strengthened for the tasks ahead. In addition, nurses feel more satisfied with the care they are giving.”


Katharine Kolcaba was born and educated in Cleveland, Ohio. In 1965, she received a diploma in nursing and practiced part time for many years in medical-surgical nursing, long-term care, and home care before returning to school. In 1987, she graduated in the first RN to MSN class at Case Western Reserve University (CWRU) Frances Payne Bolton School of Nursing, with a specialty in gerontology. While in school, she job-shared a head nurse position on a dementia unit. It was in this practice context that she began theorizing about the outcome of patient comfort.

Kolcaba joined the faculty at the University of Akron College of Nursing after graduating with her master’s degree in nursing. She gained and maintains American Nurses Association (ANA) certification in gerontology. She returned to CWRU to pursue her doctorate in nursing on a part-time basis while continuing to teach. Over the next 10 years, she used course work in her doctoral program to develop and explicate her theory. Kolcaba published a concept analysis of comfort with her philosopher-husband (Kolcaba & Kolcaba, 1991), diagrammed aspects of comfort (Kolcaba, 1991), operationalized comfort as an outcome of care (Kolcaba, 1992a), contextualized...
comfort in a middle-range theory (Kolcaba, 1994), and tested the theory in an intervention study (Kolcaba & Fox, 1999).

Currently, Dr. Kolcaba is an emeritus associate professor of nursing at the University of Akron College of Nursing, where she teaches theory to MSN students. She also teaches theory to DNP students at Ursuline College in Mayfield Heights, Ohio. Her interests include interventions for and documentation of changes in comfort for evidence-based practice. She resides in the Cleveland area with her husband, where she enjoys being near her grandchildren and her mother. She represents her company, known as The Comfort Line, to assist health care agencies implement the Theory of Comfort on an institutional basis. She is founder and coordinator of a local parish nurse program and a member of the ANA. Kolcaba continues to work with students conducting comfort studies.

Theoretical Sources

Kolcaba began her theoretical work diagramming her nursing practice early in her doctoral studies. When Kolcaba presented her framework for dementia care (Kolcaba, 1992b), a member of the audience asked, “Have you done a concept analysis of comfort?” Kolcaba replied that she had not but that would be her next step. This question began her long investigation into the concept of comfort.

The first step, the promised concept analysis, began with an extensive review of the literature about comfort from the disciplines of nursing, medicine, psychology, psychiatry, ergonomics, and English (specifically Shakespeare’s use of comfort and the Oxford English Dictionary [OED]). From the OED, Kolcaba learned that the original definition of comfort was “to strengthen greatly.” This definition provided a wonderful rationale for nurses to comfort patients since the patients would do better and the nurses would feel more satisfied.

Historical accounts of comfort in nursing are numerous. Nightingale (1859) exhorted, “It must never be lost sight of what observation is for. It is not for the sake of piling up miscellaneous information or curious facts, but for the sake of saving life and increasing health and comfort” (p. 70).

From 1900 to 1929, comfort was the central goal of nursing and medicine because, through comfort, recovery was achieved (McIlveen & Morse, 1995). The nurse was duty bound to attend to details influencing patient comfort. Aikens (1908) proposed that nothing concerning the comfort of the patient was small enough to ignore. The comfort of patients was the nurse’s first and last consideration. A good nurse made patients comfortable, and the provision of comfort was a primary determining factor of a nurse’s ability and character (Aikens, 1908).

Harmer (1926) stated that nursing care was concerned with providing a “general atmosphere of comfort,” and that personal care of patients included attention to “happiness, comfort, and ease, physical and mental,” in addition to “rest and sleep, nutrition, cleanliness, and elimination” (p. 26). Goodnow (1935) devoted a chapter in her book, The Technique of Nursing, to the patient’s comfort. She wrote, “A nurse is judged always by her ability to make her patient comfortable. Comfort is both physical and mental, and a nurse’s responsibility does not end with physical care” (p. 95). In textbooks dated 1904, 1914, and 1919, emotional comfort was called mental comfort and was achieved mostly by providing physical comfort and modifying the environment for patients (McIlveen & Morse, 1995).

In these examples, comfort is positive and achieved with the help of nurses and, in some cases, indicates improvement from a previous state or condition. Intuitively, comfort is associated with nurturing activity. From its word origins, Kolcaba explicated its strengthening features, and from ergonomics, its direct link to job performance. However, often its meaning is implicit, hidden in context, and ambiguous. The concept varies semantically as a verb, noun, adjective, adverb, process, and outcome.

Kolcaba used ideas from three early nursing theorists to synthesize or derive the types of comfort in the concept analysis (Kolcaba & Kolcaba, 1991).

- **Relief** was synthesized from the work of Orlando (1961), who posited that nurses relieved the needs expressed by patients.
- **Ease** was synthesized from the work of Henderson (1966), who described 13 basic functions of human beings to be maintained during care.
- **Transcendence** was derived from Paterson and Zderad (1975), who proposed that patients rise above their difficulties with the help of nurses.
Four contexts of comfort, experienced by those receiving care, came from the review of nursing literature (Kolcaba, 2003). The contexts are physical, psychospiritual, sociocultural, and environmental. The four contexts were juxtaposed with the three types of comfort, creating a taxonomic structure (matrix) from which to consider the complexities of comfort as an outcome (Figure 33–1).

The taxonomic structure provides a map of the content domain of comfort. It is anticipated that researchers will design instruments in the future such as the questionnaire developed from the taxonomy for the end-of-life instrument (Kolcaba, Dowd, Steiner, & Mitzel, 2004). Kolcaba includes the steps on her website for adaptation of the General Comfort Questionnaire by future researchers.

**MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS**

**Health Care Needs**

Health care needs are comfort needs arising from stressful health care situations that cannot be met by recipients’ traditional support systems. The needs

---
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The seeds of modern inquiry about the outcome of comfort were sown in the late 1980s, marking a period of collective, but separate, awareness about the concept of holistic comfort. Hamilton (1989) made a leap forward by exploring the meaning of comfort from the patient’s perspective. She used interviews to ascertain how each patient in a long-term care facility defined comfort. The theme that emerged most frequently was relief from pain, but patients also identified good position in well-fitting furniture and a feeling of being independent, encouraged, worthwhile, and useful. Hamilton concluded, “The clear message is that comfort is multi-dimensional, meaning different things to different people” (p. 32).

After Kolcaba developed her theory, she demonstrated that changes in comfort could be measured using an experimental design in her dissertation (Kolcaba & Fox, 1999). In this study, health care needs were those (comfort needs) associated with a diagnosis of early breast cancer. The holistic intervention was guided imagery, designed specifically for this
patient population to meet their comfort needs, and the desired outcome was their comfort. The findings revealed a significant difference in comfort over time between women receiving guided imagery and the usual care group (Kolcaba & Fox, 1999). Kolcaba and associates conducted additional empirical testing of the Theory of Comfort, which is detailed in her book (Kolcaba, 2003, pp. 113–124) and cited on her website. These comfort studies demonstrated significant differences between treatment and comparison groups on comfort over time. Examples of interventions that have been tested include the following:

- Guided imagery for psychiatric patients (Apóstolo & Kolcaba, 2009)
- Healing touch and coaching for stress reduction in college students (Dowd, Kolcaba, Steiner, & Fashinpaur, 2007)
- Hand massage for hospice patients and long-term care residents (Kolcaba, Dowd, Steiner, & Mitzel, 2004; Kolcaba, Schirm, & Steiner, 2006)
- Patient-controlled heated gowns for reducing anxiety and increasing comfort in preoperative patients (Wagner, Byrne, & Kolcaba, 2006)

In each study, interventions were targeted to all attributes of comfort relevant to the research settings, comfort instruments were adapted from the General Comfort Questionnaire (Kolcaba, 1997, 2003) using the taxonomic structure (TS) of comfort as a guide, and there were at least two (usually three) measurement points used to capture change in comfort over time. The evidence for efficacy of hand massage as an intervention to enhance comfort is published in Evidence-Based Nursing Care Guidelines: Medical-Surgical Interventions (Kolcaba & Mitzel, 2008).

Further support for the Theory of Comfort was found in a study of four theoretical propositions about the nature of holistic comfort (Kolcaba & Steiner, 2000):

1. Comfort is generally state-specific.
2. The outcome of comfort is sensitive to changes over time.
3. Any consistently applied holistic nursing intervention with an established history for effectiveness enhances comfort over time.
4. Total comfort is greater than the sum of its parts.
Tests on the data set from Kolcaba and Fox’s (1999) earlier study of women with breast cancer supported each proposition. Other areas of study included in the Kolcaba website are burn units, labor and delivery, infertility, nursing homes, home care, chronic pain, pediatrics, oncology, dental hygiene, transport, prisons, deaf patients, and those with mental disabilities.

**Major Assumptions**

**Nursing**
Nursing is the intentional assessment of comfort needs, the design of comfort interventions to address those needs, and reassessment of comfort levels after implementation compared with a baseline. Assessment and reassessment may be intuitive or subjective or both, such as when a nurse asks if the patient is comfortable, or objective, such as in observations of wound healing, changes in laboratory values, or changes in behavior. Assessment is achieved through the administration of verbal rating scales (clinical) or comfort questionnaires (research), using instruments developed by Kolcaba (2003).

**Patient**
Recipients of care may be individuals, families, institutions, or communities in need of health care. Nurses may be recipients of enhanced workplace comfort when initiatives to improve working conditions are undertaken, such as those to gain Magnet status (Kolcaba, Tilton, & Drouin, 2006).

**Environment**
The environment is any aspect of patient, family, or institutional settings that can be manipulated by nurse(s), loved one(s), or the institution to enhance comfort.

**Health**
Health is optimal functioning of a patient, family, health care provider, or community as defined by the patient or group.

**Assumptions**
1. Human beings have holistic responses to complex stimuli (Kolcaba, 1994).
2. Comfort is a desirable holistic outcome that is germane to the discipline of nursing (Kolcaba, 1994).
3. Comfort is a basic human need that persons strive to meet or have met. It is an active endeavor (Kolcaba, 1994).
5. Patients who are empowered to actively engage in health-seeking behaviors are satisfied with their health care (Kolcaba, 1997, 2001).
6. Institutional integrity is based on a value system oriented to the recipients of care (Kolcaba 1997, 2001). Of equal importance is an orientation to a health-promoting, holistic setting for families and providers of care.

**Theoretical Assertions**
The Theory of Comfort contains three parts (propositional assertions) to be tested separately or as a whole.

Part I states that comforting interventions, when effective, result in increased comfort for recipients (patients and families), compared to a preintervention baseline. Care providers may be considered recipients if the institution makes a commitment to the comfort of their work setting. Comfort interventions address basic human needs, such as rest, homeostasis, therapeutic communication, and treatment as holistic beings. Comfort interventions are usually nontechnical and complement the delivery of technical care.

Part II states that increased comfort of recipients of care results in increased engagement in health-seeking behaviors that are negotiated with the recipients.

Part III states that increased engagement in health-seeking behaviors results in increased quality of care, benefiting the institution and its ability to gather evidence for best practices and best policies.

Kolcaba believes that nurses want to practice comforting care and that it can be easily incorporated with every nursing action. She proposes that this type of comfort practice promotes greater nurse creativity and satisfaction, as well as high patient satisfaction. In order to enhance comfort, the nurse must deliver the appropriate interventions and document the results in the patient record. However, when the appropriate intervention is delivered in an intentional and comforting manner, comfort still may not be enhanced sufficiently. When comfort is not yet enhanced to its fullest, nurses then consider intervening variables to
explain why comfort management did not work. Such variables may be abusive homes, lack of financial resources, devastating diagnoses, or cognitive impairments thatrender the most appropriate interventions and comforting actions ineffective. Comfort management or comforting care includes interventions, comforting actions, the goal of enhanced comfort, and the selection of appropriate health-seeking behaviors by patients, families, and their nurses. Thus, comfort management is proposed to be proactive, energized, intentional, and longed for by recipients of care in all settings. To strengthen the role of nurses as comfort agents, documentation of changes in comfort before and after their interventions is essential. For clinical use, Kolcaba suggests asking patients to rate their comfort from 0 to 10, with 10 being the highest possible comfort in a given health care situation. This documentation could be a part of the electronic databases in each institution (Kolcaba, Tilton, & Drouin, 2006).

**Logical Form**

Kolcaba (2003) used the following three types of logical reasoning in the development of the Theory of Comfort: (1) induction, (2) deduction, and (3) retrodiction (Hardin & Bishop, 2010).

**Induction**

Induction occurs when generalizations are built from a number of specific observed instances (Hardin & Bishop, 2010). When nurses are earnest about their practice and earnest about nursing as a discipline, they become familiar with implicit or explicit concepts, terms, propositions, and assumptions that underpin their practice. Nurses in graduate school may be asked to diagram their practice as Dr. Rosemary Ellis asked Kolcaba and other students to do, and it is a deceptively easy-sounding assignment.

Such was the scenario during the late 1980s as Kolcaba began. She was head nurse on an Alzheimer’s unit at the time and knew some of the terms used then to describe the practice of dementia care, such as facilitative environment, excess disabilities, and optimum function. However, when she drew relationships among them, she recognized that the three terms did not fully describe her practice. An important nursing piece was missing, and she pondered about what nurses were doing to prevent excess disabilities (later naming those actions interventions) and how to judge if the interventions were working. Optimum function had been conceptualized as the ability to engage in special activities on the unit, such as setting the table, preparing a salad, or going to a program and sitting through it. These activities made the residents feel good about themselves, as if it were the right activity at the right time. These activities did not happen more than twice a day, because the residents couldn’t tolerate much more than that. What were they doing in the meantime? What behaviors did the staff hope they would exhibit that would indicate an absence of excess disabilities? Should the term excess disabilities be delineated further for clarity?

Partial solutions to these questions were to (1) divide excess disabilities into physical and mental, (2) introduce the concept of comfort to the original diagram, because this word seemed to convey the desired state for patients when they were not engaging in special activities, and (3) note the nonrecursive relationship between comfort and optimum functioning. This thinking marked the first steps toward a theory of comfort and thinking about the complexities of the concept (Kolcaba, 1992a).

**Deduction**

Deduction occurs when specific conclusions are inferred from general premises or principles; it proceeds from the general to the specific (Hardin & Bishop, 2010). The deductive stage of theory development resulted in relating comfort to other concepts to produce a theory. Since the works of three nursing theorists was entailed in the definition of comfort (Paterson & Zderad, 1975; Henderson, 1966 and Orlando, 1961), Kolcaba looked elsewhere for the common ground needed to unify relief, ease, and transcendence (three major concepts). What was needed was a more abstract and general conceptual framework that was congruent with comfort and contained a manageable number of highly abstract constructs.

The work of psychologist Henry Murray (1938) met the criteria for a framework on which to hang Kolcaba’s nursing concepts. His theory was about human needs; therefore it was applicable to patients who experience multiple stimuli in stressful health care situations. Furthermore, Murray’s idea about unitary trends gave Kolcaba the idea that, although comfort
was state-specific, if comforting interventions were implemented over time, the overall comfort of patients could be enhanced over time. In this deductive stage of theory development, she began with abstract, general theoretical construction and used the sociological process of substruction to identify the more specific (less abstract) levels of concepts for nursing practice.

**Retroduction**

Retroduction is useful for selecting phenomena that can be developed further and tested. This type of reasoning is applied in fields that have few available theories (Hardin & Bishop, 2010). Such was the case with outcomes research, which now is centered on collecting databases for measuring selected outcomes and relating those outcomes to types of nursing, medical, institutional, or community protocols. Murray’s twentieth-century framework could not account for the twenty-first–century emphasis on institutional and community outcomes. Using retroduction, Kolcaba added the concept of *institutional integrity* to the middle-range Theory of Comfort. Adding the term extended the theory for consideration of relationships between health-seeking behaviors and institutional integrity. In 2007, the concepts of *best practices* and *best policies* were linked to institutional integrity. Theory-based evidence organizes the knowledge base for best practices and policies (see Figure 33–2).

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

Students and nurse researchers have frequently selected this theory as a guiding framework for their studies in areas such as nurse midwifery (Schuiling, Sampselle, & Kolcaba, 2011), hospice care (Kolcaba, Dowd, Steiner, et al., 2004), perioperative nursing (Wilson & Kolcaba, 2004), long-term care (Kolcaba, Schirm, & Steiner, 2006), stressed college students (Dowd, Kolcaba, Steiner, et al., 2007), dementia patients (Hodgson & Andersen, 2008), and palliative care (Lavoie, Blondeau, & Picard-Morin, 2011).

When nurses ask patients or family members to rate their comfort from 0 to 10 before and after an intervention or at regular intervals, they produce documented evidence that significant comfort work is being done. A verbal rating scale is sensitive to changes in comfort over time (Dowd, Kolcaba, Steiner, et al., 2007). A list of effective comforting interventions for each patient/family member is readily available and communicated.

Perianesthesia nurses have incorporated the Theory of Comfort into their Clinical Practice Guidelines for management of patient comfort. In this setting, comfort management specifies (1) assessing patients’ comfort needs related to current surgery, chronic pain issues, and comorbidities; (2) creating a comfort contract with patients prior to surgery that specifies effective comfort interventions, understandable and efficient comfort measurement, and the type of postsurgical analgesia preferred; (3) facilitating comfortable positioning, body temperature, and other factors related to comfort during surgery; and (4) continuing with comfort management and measurement in the postsurgical period (Wilson & Kolcaba, 2004).

**Education**

Goodwin, Sener, & Steiner (2007) described guidelines for applying the Theory of Comfort in accelerated baccalaureate nursing programs. The theory proved to be easy for faculty to understand and apply and provided an effective method to role-model a supportive learning partnership with the students. The Theory of Comfort is included in *Core Concepts in Advanced Practice Nursing* (Robinson & Kish, 2001). The theory is appropriate for students to use in any clinical setting, and its application can be facilitated by use of Comfort Care Plans available on Kolcaba’s website.

Recently, Goodwin, Sener, and Steiner (2007) utilized the Theory of Comfort as a teaching philosophy in a fast-track nursing education program for students with baccalaureate degrees in other disciplines. The taxonomic structure and conceptual framework guided ways of being a comforting faculty member. The theory provided ways for students to obtain relief from their heavy course work by facilitating questions to their clinical problems, maintaining ease with their curriculum through trusting their faculty members, and achieving transcendence from their stressors with use of self-comforting techniques. The authors anticipate “that this adaptation may assist students to transform into professional nurses who are comfortable and comforting in their roles and who are committed to the goal of lifelong learning” (p. 278).
Research

An entry in the Encyclopedia of Nursing Research speaks to the importance of measuring comfort as a nursing-sensitive outcome (Kolcaba, 2006). Nurses can provide evidence to influence decision making at institutional, community, and legislative levels through studies that demonstrate the effectiveness of comforting care. Kolcaba (2001) called for measurement of comfort in large hospitals and home care to expand the theory and develop the literature on evidence-based comfort.

Using the taxonomic structure of comfort (see Figure 33–1) as a guide, Kolcaba (1992a) developed the General Comfort Questionnaire to measure holistic comfort in a sample of hospital and community participants. Positive and negative items were generated for each cell in the taxonomic structure grid. Twenty-four positive items and twenty-four negative items were compiled with a Likert-type format ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree, with higher scores indicating higher comfort. At the end of the instrumentation study with 206 one-time participants from all types of units in two hospitals and 50 participants from the community, the General Comfort Questionnaire demonstrated a Cronbach alpha of 0.88 (Kolcaba, 1992a).

Researchers are welcome to generate comfort questionnaires specific to their areas of research. The verbal rating scales and other traditionally formatted questionnaires may be downloaded from Kolcaba’s website, where she also responds to inquiries in an effort to enhance the use of her theory. Instructions for use of the questionnaires are available on her website. Popularity of the theory seems to be associated with universal recognition of comfort as a desirable outcome of nursing care for patients and their families.

Further Development

Kolcaba has persisted in the development of her theory from the original conception as the root of her practice, to concept analysis that provided the taxonomic structure of comfort, to development of ways to measure the concept, and currently to its use for practice, education, and research. She uses a full array of approaches to build her theory.

The methodical development of the concept resulted in a strong, clearly organized, and logical theory that is readily applied in many settings for education, practice, and research. Kolcaba developed templates for measurement to facilitate application of the comfort theory in additional settings. The comfort management templates she provided for use in practice settings have been helpful to students and faculty members. Outcomes of research have demonstrated the appropriateness of her theory for measuring whole-person changes that were less effectively captured with other types of instruments, as noted in a study of urinary incontinence (Dowd, Kolcaba, & Steiner, 2000).

The original theoretical assertion (Part 1) of the Theory of Comfort has stood up to empirical testing. When a comfort intervention is targeted to meet the holistic comfort needs of patients in specific health care situations, comfort is enhanced beyond baseline measurement. Furthermore, enhanced comfort has been correlated with engagement in health-seeking behaviors (Schlotfeldt, 1975). Empirical tests of the theoretical assertions for the second and third parts of the theory are to be conducted. Outcomes for desirable health-seeking behaviors could include increased functional status, faster progress during rehabilitation, faster healing, or peaceful death when appropriate. Health-seeking behaviors are negotiated among the patient, family members, and care providers. Institutional outcomes would include decreased length of stay for hospitalized patients, smaller number of readmissions, decreased costs, and achievement of national awards such as the Beacon Award. Kolcaba consults with hospital administrators who want to enhance quality of care. She views quality care as comforting actions delivered in an intentional manner in order to create an environment that leads to engagement in health-seeking behaviors.

Kolcaba postulates that intentional emphasis on and support for comfort management by an institution or community increases patient/family satisfaction, because persons are healed, strengthened, and motivated to be healthier. Extending the Theory of Comfort to the community is of current interest. It is well known that some communities are more comfortable to live in, grow old in, and go to school in than are others.

An area of interest for further development is the universal nature of comfort. Currently, the General Comfort Questionnaire has been translated into
Taiwanese, Spanish, Iranian, Portuguese, and Italian (see Kolcaba website), and translation into Turkish is pending. Comfort of children has been accurately observed and documented in perioperative settings (personal communication, Nancy Laurelberry, February 16, 2008), and the use of Comfort Daisies by children who self-report (see website) has been tested in a hospital setting (personal communication, Carrie Majka, February 28, 2008).

The Theory of Comfort has been included in electronic nursing classification systems such as NANDA (2011), NIC (2008), and NOC (2008). Kolcaba consults with hospitals to include comfort management in their documentation systems. Use of the theory has made significant contributions to nursing practice and the discipline. Kolcaba continues to spend time and energy developing and disseminating the theory through presentations, publications, and discussions since retirement from full-time teaching.

The Theory of Comfort is widely used as an organizing framework for Magnet application and recertification of Magnet Status. Nurses often choose this framework themselves because it describes what they want to do for patients and families, and what patients want from nurses during their hospitalization. An array of possible uses of the framework components is offered to the hospital, such as Comfort Rounds, performance review criteria, methods of documentation, clinical ladder criteria, and so on. The “value added” benefit when nurses are supported in their comforting interventions can be empirically demonstrated through measurement of institutional outcomes such as patient satisfaction, “Best Hospital” designations, and cost savings.

Critique

Clarity

Some of the early articles such as the concept analysis (Kolcaba & Kolcaba, 1991) may lack clarity but are consistent in terms of definitions, derivations, assumptions, and propositions. Clarity is much improved in the article explicating the theory and subsequent articles. Kolcaba applies the theory to specific practices using academic, but understandable, language. All research concepts are defined theoretically and operationally.

Simplicity

The Theory of Comfort is simple because it is basic to nursing care and the traditional mission of nursing. Its language and application are of low technology, but this does not preclude its use in highly technological settings. There are few variables in the theory, and selected variables may be used for research or educational projects. The main thrust of the theory is for nurses to return to a practice focused on the holistic needs of patients inside or outside institutional walls. It is simplicity that allows students and nurses to learn and practice the theory easily (Kolcaba, 2003).

Generality

Kolcaba’s theory has been applied in numerous research settings, cultures, and age groups. The only limiting factor for its application is how well nurses and administrators value it to meet the comfort needs of patients. If nurses, institutions, and communities are committed to this type of nursing care, the Theory of Comfort enables efficient, individualized, holistic practice. The taxonomic structure of comfort facilitates researchers’ development of comfort instruments for new settings.

Accessibility

The first part of the theory, asserting that effective nursing interventions offered over time will demonstrate enhanced comfort, has been tested and supported with numerous studies. Furthermore, in the study by Dowd, Kolcaba, & Steiner (2000), enhanced comfort was a strong predictor of increased health-seeking behaviors, meaning when patients are more comfortable, they do better in rehab or recovery. This relationship supports the second and third part of the comfort theory. The comfort instruments have demonstrated strong psychometric properties, supporting the validity of the questionnaires as measures of comfort that reveal changes in comfort over time and support of the taxonomic structure.

Importance

The Theory of Comfort describes patient-centered practice and explains how comfort measures matter to patients, their health, and the viability of institutions. The theory predicts the benefit of effective comfort measures (interventions) for enhancing comfort and engagement in health-seeking behaviors.
The Theory of Comfort is dedicated to sustaining nursing by bringing the discipline back to its roots. Documentation of comfort strategies and their effects empirically demonstrates the art of nursing. The outcome of comfort describes the effects of memorable helping interactions with nurses that go beyond checklists or physician orders. It encompasses the art and science of nursing. Making electronic data systems inclusive of value-added outcomes such as comfort is imperative. Collaboration and the openness of Kolcaba’s website facilitates dissemination of the theory for application.

The orientation to patient and family comfort may have been present first in nursing, but it has become invisible and perhaps less valued by a health care system that promotes the use of medications and technology. Refocusing on patient and family comfort represents a return to the roots of nursing and also to the need for empirical evidence. We can demonstrate through research that comfort is foundational to patient recovery, to other health-seeking behaviors, and to institutional viability. The focus is applicable to other health care professions and ancillary workers. The use of a comfort framework implemented throughout a hospital facilitates everyone being “on the same page.”

**Summary**

From its inception, the Theory of Comfort has focused on what the discipline of nursing does for patients. As the theory evolved, the definition derived from concept analysis expanded to include broader aspects of the patient such as cultural and spiritual aspects. The basic format of the taxonomic structure and conceptual framework remains the same. The development of the General Comfort Questionnaire was important to validate that the concept can be measured and documented, it is positive, and it is related to desirable patient, family, and institutional outcomes.

The theory has relevancy for practice and easily guides nurses in the planning and designing of nursing care in any setting. Its usefulness in education has been described as providing a framework that enables students to organize their assessments and plans of care and learn the art of nursing as well as the science. It is useful for expert nurses in the delivery of care as they demonstrate what they do beyond the technical aspects of nursing.

In research, the theory provides a way to validate improvement in patient comfort after receiving comforting interventions. The concept of comfort accounts for the aspect of quality that the patient describes as “feeling better.” Kolcaba has made consistent efforts to develop and expand comfort into all realms of health care. Through her own thinking and in interaction with nurses and other health professionals, the concept has continually evolved into patient and nurse care techniques. Institutions have recognized the value of designing comfort environments for both their patients and their staff. Through Kolcaba’s publications and Internet activities (website), the Theory of Comfort is now worldwide.

**CASE STUDY**

A 32-year-old African-American mother of three toddlers who is 28 weeks pregnant is admitted to the high-risk pregnancy unit with regular contractions. She is concerned because the plans for her family are not finalized. She has many comfort needs that are diagrammed in Table 33–1. When nurses assess for comfort needs in any of their patients, they can use the taxonomic structure, or comfort grid, to identify and organize all known needs. Using the comfort grid (see Figure 33–1) as a mental guide, nurses can design interrelated comforting interventions that can be implemented in one or two nurse-patient-family interactions.

For this case, some suggestions to individualize the types of comfort interventions that might be considered are presented in Table 33–2.

For clinical use, the nurse could ask the patient to rate her comfort before and after receiving the interventions on a scale from 0 to 10, with 10 being the highest level possible. To determine through research if a specific comforting intervention enhanced the comfort of a group of patients, a comfort questionnaire could be developed and administered, assessing each cell in the comfort grid (see Figure 33–1). A Likert-type scale with responses ranging from 1 to 6 would facilitate a total comfort score. Such a questionnaire could be given to the patient before and after the interventions are implemented to demonstrate the level of effectiveness for the comfort interventions.
TABLE 33-1 Taxonomic Structure of Comfort Needs for Case Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context of Comfort</th>
<th>Relief</th>
<th>Ease</th>
<th>Transcendence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>Aching back Early strong contractions</td>
<td>Restlessness and anxiety</td>
<td>Patient thinking, “What will happen to my family and to my babies?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychospiritual</td>
<td>Anxiety and tension</td>
<td>Uncertainty about prognosis</td>
<td>Need for emotional and spiritual support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>Roommate is a primigravida Room is small, clean, and pleasant</td>
<td>Lack of privacy Telephone in room Feeling of confinement with bed rest</td>
<td>Need for calm, familiar environmental elements and accessibility of distraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociocultural</td>
<td>Absence of family and culturally sensitive care</td>
<td>Family not present Language barriers</td>
<td>Need for support from family or significant other Need for information and consultation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 33-2 Comfort Care Actions and Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Comfort Care Action or Intervention</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard comfort interventions</td>
<td>Vital signs Laboratory test results Patient assessment Medications and treatments Social worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching</td>
<td>Emotional support Reassurance Education Listening Clergy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort food for the soul</td>
<td>Energy therapy such as healing touch if it is culturally acceptable Music therapy or guided imagery (patient’s choice of music) Spending time Personal connections Reduction of environmental stimuli</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. If you were asked to diagram your practice, what concepts would you include? Where is comfort in your diagram?
2. Select a patient, and apply the Theory of Comfort in your nursing practice. How did the theory impact your style of practice? Where are your comfort measures in the taxonomic structure? (See Figure 33–1.)
3. There is some evidence that comfort is a universal need. Identify a way you met a comfort need for someone you cared for recently. Would this comfort intervention work in another culture? Why or why not?
4. How would you apply the Theory of Comfort in a community setting? What interventions could you use to enhance comfort in an aggregate group? How would you assess to see if your intervention was effective?

5. Identify an area of nursing practice for comfort research, and explain why it is needed.

6. How might the Theory of Comfort influence policy change?
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Postpartum Depression Theory

M. Katherine Maeve

“The birth of a baby is an occasion for joy—or so the saying goes . . . But for some women, joy is not an option”  
(Beck, 2006d, p. 40).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Cheryl Tatano Beck graduated from the Western Connecticut State University with a baccalaureate in nursing in 1970. She recognized during her first clinical rotation that obstetrical nursing was to be her lifelong specialty. After graduation, Beck worked as a registered nurse at the Yale New Haven Hospital on the postpartum and normal newborn nursery unit. By 1972, Beck had graduated from Yale University with a master’s degree in maternal-newborn nursing and a certificate in nurse midwifery. In 1982, she received a doctorate in nursing science from Boston University.

Beginning at the rank of instructor in 1973, Beck has held academic appointments with increasing rank at several major universities, including the University of Maryland, the University of Michigan, Florida Atlantic University, the University of Rhode Island, and Yale University, and as professor at the University of Connecticut, where she holds a joint appointment in the School of Nursing and School of Medicine. Beck has served as consultant on numerous research projects for universities and state agencies in the northeastern United States. During her career, Beck has received more than 30 awards, including Distinguished Researcher of the Year from the Eastern Nursing Research Society in 1999. She was inducted

The author wishes to thank Dr. Cheryl Tatano Beck for her generosity of spirit in allowing me liberties with the interpretation of her life’s work. Dr. Beck’s work represents an enormous contribution to nursing, made even more remarkable because it did not depend on boatloads of NIH funding. That alone is an inspiration. Thanks are also extended to Dr. Peggy L. Chinn, who happily has not retired as a mentor or friend.
as a fellow in the American Academy of Nursing in 1993.

This body of work has resulted in a substantive theory of postpartum depression (Beck, 1993) and the development of the Postpartum Depression Screening Scale (PDSS) (Beck, 2002c; Beck & Gable, 2000) and the Postpartum Depression Predictors Inventory (PDPI) (Beck, 1998, 2001, 2002b). A timeline of Beck’s research that demonstrates the logical progression of her work is outlined in Table 34–1.

A prolific author and disseminator of her research, Beck has authored more than 100 research-based articles and given scores of research presentations locally, nationally, and internationally. She has served on the editorial boards of many nursing journals, including Advances in Nursing Science, Nursing Research, and the Journal of Nursing Education. Beck served on the executive board for the Marce Society, an international society for the understanding, prevention, and treatment of mental illness associated with childbirth, and on the advisory committee of the Donaghue Medical Research Foundation in Connecticut. Over her career, Beck has been given numerous local, national, and international awards for her work. Most recently, in 2011, Beck was given the Best Publication by Sigma Theta Tau International

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Focus of Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Women’s cognitive and emotional responses to fetal monitoring (master’s thesis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Replication of master’s thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Parturients’ temporal experiences during labor (doctoral dissertation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Mothers’ temporal experiences in postpartum period after vaginal and cesarean deliveries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Postpartum temporal experiences of primiparas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Incidence of maternity blues in primiparas and length of hospital stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Teetering on the edge: A grounded theory study of PPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>The lived experience of PPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Nurses’ caring with postpartum depressed mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Screening methods for PPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>PPD and maternal-infant interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Mothers’ with PPD perceptions of nurses’ caring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Relationship between PPD and infant temperament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Predictors of PPD metaanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Mothers with PPD and their experiences interacting with children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Concept analysis of panic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Developing research programs using qualitative and quantitative approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Effects of PPD on child development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Checklist to identify women at risk for PPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Maternal depression and child behavioral problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>PDSS: Development and psychometric testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Comparative analysis between PDSS and two other depression instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Item response theory in affective instrument development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Ensuring content validity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>PPD—metasynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Revision of PDPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Mothering multiples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>PPD in mothers of babies in the NICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>PDSS—Spanish version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Birth trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder after childbirth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Benefits of internet interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>DHA in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Birth trauma and breastfeeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Mapping birth trauma narratives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>PDSS—Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Mothers caring for a child with a brachial plexus injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Subsequent childbirth after previous birth trauma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NICU, Neonatal intensive care unit; PDPI, Postpartum Depression Predictors Inventory; PDSS, Postpartum Depression Screening Scale; PPD, postpartum depression.
Honor Society award for Best of Journal of Nursing Scholarship-Profession, World Health, and Health Systems.

Many in nursing recognize the classic Polit and Hungler research text, a fixture in countless graduate nursing programs. Beck became coauthor of Polit’s seventh edition (Polit & Beck, 2003), reflecting Beck’s research expertise. In 2011, this text received the American Journal of Nursing Book of the Year Award for the 9th edition. Beck has also written articles regarding statistical analysis strategies and approaches for qualitative research.

Although Beck conducted seven major studies regarding educational and caring issues with undergraduate nursing students, for over 3 decades she contributed to knowledge development in obstetrical nursing. Her research career began by studying women in labor, with interest in fetal monitoring. Beck’s research focus eventually became the postpartum period and specific studies of postpartum mood disorders.

**Theoretical and Philosophical Sources**

Although Beck does not address caring as a theoretical or philosophical construct specific to her research, she has conducted studies that evidence her belief about the importance of caring in nursing. Beck’s use of the ideas of Jean Watson with regard to caring theory endorses caring as central to nursing, while acknowledging Watson’s concern that quantitative methodologies may not adequately reflect the ideal of transpersonal caring. It is obvious throughout Beck’s writings, including research reports using both quantitative and qualitative methods, that advancing nursing as a caring profession is desirable and achievable in practice, research, and education.

Because many of the studies used to develop Beck’s Postpartum Depression Theory were qualitative in nature, Beck has cited various theoretical sources reflecting the philosophical and theoretical roots of methodologies important for the kind of knowledge developed in each study. Phenomenology was used in the first major study of how women experienced postpartum depression, with Colaizzi’s (1978) approach. In her next study, Beck used grounded theory as influenced by the theoretical and philosophical ideas of Glaser (1978), Glaser and Strauss (1967), and Hutchinson (1986), all seminal contributors to the evolution of grounded theory in nursing. Throughout all of Beck’s work and consistent with feminist theory, there is explicit valuing of the importance of understanding pregnancy, birth, and motherhood through “the eyes of women” (Beck, 2002a). Furthermore, Beck acknowledges that childbirth occurs in many simultaneous contexts (medical, social, economic) and that mothers’ reactions to childbirth and motherhood are shaped by their contextual responses.

An unusual theoretical source came from the work of Sichel and Driscoll (1999), who developed an earthquake model to conceptualize how interactions between biology and life result in what they term biochemical loading. Over time, with constant chemical challenges related to stressors, women’s brains may develop a kind of “fault line” that is less likely to remain intact during critical moments in women’s lives, such as the challenges women face around childbirth, resulting in a kind of “earthquake.” Beck understood Sichel and Driscoll’s model to “suggest that a woman’s genetic makeup, hormonal and reproductive history, and life experiences all combine to predict her risk of ‘an earthquake’ which occurs when her brain cannot stabilize and mood problems erupt” (Beck, 2001, p. 276). Although it is easy to understand the physiological and hormonal challenges of pregnancies for women, Sichel and Driscoll’s earthquake model was important in helping Beck to holistically conceptualize the phenomena that might affect the development of postpartum depression for women. Although Beck states that she never experienced postpartum depression after the birth of her own children, those who have may relate to the earthquake metaphor complete with tremors culminating in postpartum depression or, worse, postpartum psychosis.

Beck has identified Robert Gable as a particularly important source in her work. As Professor Emeritus at the University of Connecticut, Neag School of Education, Gable had coauthored an important text called Instrument Development in the Affective Domain (Gable & Wolf, 1993). After developing a wealth of knowledge about postpartum depression, the next logical steps for Beck became developing instruments that could predict and screen for postpartum depression. Gable assisted Beck with theoretical operationalization of her theory for practical use. Gable has remained directly involved through the step-by-step development of the PDSS, including the Spanish version (Beck & Gable, 2003).
Beck’s major concepts have undergone refinement and clarification over years of work on postpartum depression. The first two concepts, postpartum mood disorders and loss of control, were developed utilizing phenomenology and grounded theory methods.

**Concepts 1 to 2**

1. **Postpartum Mood Disorders**
   
   Postpartum depression and maternity blues have become better delineated over time, as has the understanding of postpartum psychosis. Two other perinatal mood disorders, postpartum obsessive-compulsive disorder and postpartum-onset panic disorder, have been identified, as has how these disorders are different and how they are interrelated (Beck, 2002c).

   **Postpartum Depression**
   
   *Postpartum depression* is a nonpsychotic major depressive disorder with distinguishing diagnostic criteria that often begins as early as 4 weeks after birth. It may also occur anytime within the first year after childbirth. Postpartum depression is not self-limiting and is more difficult to treat than simple depression. Prevalence rates are 13% to 25%, with more women affected who are poor, live in the inner city, or are adolescents. Approximately 50% of all women suffering from postpartum depression have episodes lasting 6 months or longer.

   **Maternity Blues**
   
   Also known as *postpartum blues* and *baby blues*, maternity blues is a relatively transient and self-limited period of melancholy and mood swings during the early postpartum period. Maternity blues affects up to 75% of all women in all cultures.

2. **Loss of Control**
   
   Loss of control was identified as the basic psychosocial problem in the 1993 substantive theory of Beck’s early work. This descriptive theory captured a process women go through with postpartum depression. Loss of control was experienced in all areas of women’s lives, although the particulars of the circumstances may be different. The concept of loss of control fit with extant literature and left women with feelings of “teetering on the edge” (Beck, 1993). The process identified consisted of the following four stages:
   
   1. **Encountering terror** consisted of horrifying anxiety attacks, enveloping fogginess, and relentless obsessive thinking.
   2. **Dying of self** consisted of alarming unrealness, contemplating and attempting self-destruction, and isolating oneself.
   3. **Struggling to survive** consisted of battling the system, seeking solace at support groups, and praying for relief.
   4. **Regaining control** consisted of unpredictable transitioning, guarded recovery, and mourning lost time.
The conceptual ideas and definitions described above were used to develop specific foci for testing. Initially, Beck (1998) identified eight risk factors for postpartum depression. Many studies have expanded areas where Beck determined that more conceptual clarity was needed.

Another important change is marriage. Through subsequent research, it was noted that there were two marital factors of concern: marital status and the nature of the marital relationship satisfaction (Beck, 2002b). Two other risk factors identified were socioeconomic status and issues of unplanned and unwanted pregnancies.

These are major concepts found to be significant predictors or risk factors for postpartum depression (Beck, 2002b). The most current interpretation of effect size was assigned from a metaanalysis of 138 extant studies and is at the end of each concept definition (Beck, 2002b).

### 3. Prenatal Depression
Depression during any or all of the trimesters of pregnancy has been found to be the strongest predictor of postpartum depression. (Effect size = Medium)

### 4. Child Care Stress
Child care stress pertains to stressful events related to child care such as infant health problems and difficulty in infant care pertaining to feeding and sleeping. (Effect size = Medium)

### 5. Life Stress
Life stress is an index of stressful life events during pregnancy and postpartum. The number of life experiences and the amount of stress created by each of the life events are combined to determine the amount of life stress a woman is experiencing. Stressful life events can be either negative or positive and can include experiences such as the following:
- Marital changes (e.g., divorce, remarriage)
- Occupational changes (e.g., job change)
- Crises (e.g., accidents, burglaries, financial crises, illness requiring hospitalization)
- (Effect size = Medium)

### 6. Social Support
Social support pertains to instrumental support (e.g., babysitting, help with household chores) and emotional support. Structural features of a woman's social network (husband or partner, family, and friends) include proximity of its members, frequency of contact, and number of confidants with whom the woman can share personal matters. Lack of social support is when a woman perceives that she is not receiving the amount of instrumental or emotional support she expects. (Effect size = Medium)

### 7. Prenatal Anxiety
Prenatal anxiety occurs during any trimester or throughout the pregnancy. Anxiety refers to feelings of uneasiness or apprehension concerning a vague, nonspecific threat. (Effect size = Medium)

### 8. Marital Satisfaction
The degree of satisfaction with a marital relationship is assessed and includes how happy or satisfied the woman is with certain aspects of her marriage, such as communication, affection, similarity of values (e.g., finances, child care), mutual activity and decision making, and global well-being. (Effect size = Medium)

### 9. History of Depression
A woman has a history of depression if there is report of having had a bout of depression before this pregnancy. (Effect size = Medium)

### 10. Infant Temperament
The temperament is the infant's disposition and personality. Difficult temperament describes an infant who is irritable, fussy, unpredictable, and difficult to console. (Effect size = Medium)

### 11. Maternity Blues
Maternity blues was previously defined as a non-pathological condition after giving birth. Prolonged
episodes of maternity blues (lasting more than 10 days) may predict postpartum depression. (Effect size = Small to medium)

### 12. Self-Esteem

Self-esteem is a woman’s global feelings of self-worth and self-acceptance. It is her confidence and satisfaction in self. Low self-esteem reflects a negative self-evaluation and feelings about oneself or one's capabilities. (Effect size = Medium)

### 13. Socioeconomic Status

Socioeconomic status is a person's rank or status in society involving a combination of social and economic factors (e.g., income, education, and occupation). (Effect size = Small)

### 14. Marital Status

Marital status is a woman's standing in regard to marriage; it denotes whether a woman is single, married or cohabiting, divorced, widowed, separated, or partnered. (Effect size = Small)

### 15. Unplanned or Unwanted Pregnancy

Unplanned or unwanted pregnancy refers to a pregnancy that was not planned or wanted. Of particular note is the issue of pregnancies that remain unwanted after initial ambivalence. (Effect size = Small)

### Concepts 16 to 22

These final concepts represent the distillation of all predictor and risk factors that are used to screen women for symptoms of postpartum depression in the PDSS (Beck, 2002c).

### 16. Sleeping and Eating Disturbances

Sleeping and eating disturbances include inability to sleep even when the baby is asleep, tossing and turning before actually falling asleep, waking up in the middle of the night, and difficulty going back to sleep. Even though she is consciously aware of the need to eat, the woman may experience loss of appetite and inability to eat.

### 17. Anxiety and Insecurity

Anxiety and insecurity includes overattention to relatively minor issues, feelings of jumping out of one's skin, feeling the need to keep moving, or pacing. There is an ever-present feeling of insecurity and a sense of being overwhelmed in the new role of mother.

### 18. Emotional Lability

A woman experiencing emotional lability has a sense that her emotions are unstable and out of her control. It is commonly characterized as crying for no particular reason, irritability, explosive anger, and fear of never being happy again.

### 19. Mental Confusion

Mental confusion is characterized by a marked inability to concentrate, focus on a task, or make a decision. There is a general feeling of being unable to regulate one's own thought processes.

### 20. Loss of Self

Women sense that the aspects of self that reflected their personal identity have changed since the birth of their infant, so they cannot identify who they really are and are fearful that they might never be able to be their real selves again.

### 21. Guilt and Shame

A woman experiences guilt and shame when she perceives that she is performing poorly as a mother and has negative thoughts regarding her infant. This results in an inability to be open with others about how she feels and contributes to a delay in diagnosis and intervention.

### 22. Suicidal Thoughts

Women experience suicidal thoughts when they have frequent thoughts of harming themselves or ending their lives to escape the living nightmare of postpartum depression.
Use of Empirical Evidence

When Beck began to examine postpartum depression in 1993, she noted that only two qualitative studies contributed to the knowledge base of the disorder. Most studies were based upon knowledge developed in disciplines other than nursing. Beck's background as a nurse midwife undoubtedly gave her a view of women throughout the postpartum period that was not commonly available to those in other disciplines involved with women during the perinatal period.

In 1993, after four major studies regarding women in the postpartum period (Table 34–1), Beck developed a substantive theory of postpartum depression using grounded theory methodology. The substantive theory was entitled “teetering on the edge,” with the basic psychosocial problem identified as loss of control (Beck, 1993). Since development of the substantive theory, Beck has designed 14 other studies to refine the theory by examining the experiences of postpartum depression on mother-child interactions, postpartum panic, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and birth trauma to tease out differences among postpartum mood disorders (postpartum depression, maternity blues, postpartum psychosis, postpartum obsessive-compulsive disorder, postpartum-onset panic disorder). Metaanalyses were conducted on predictors of postpartum depression, the relationship between postpartum depression and infant temperament, and the effects of postpartum depression on mother-infant interaction. In addition, two qualitative metasyntheses were conducted on postpartum depression and mothering multiples.

Beck used ten qualitative studies of postpartum depression in women from a wide variety of geographic locations and cultures. Women represented in these studies included Black Caribbean women, Irish women, Indian women, Hong Kong Chinese women, Hmong women, Middle Eastern women (living in the UK), Asian women, Portuguese women, Australian women, Canadian women, and African American women. These new data were used to compare Beck's original teetering on the edge grounded theory with women in other cultures. Beck found that the theory's modifiability was in keeping with theoretical expectations of a relevant substantive grounded theory. Therefore, the theory of “teetering on the edge,” with “loss of control” as the basic psychosocial process has functionally expanded to women in other cultures (Beck, 2006a, 2012b).

Major Assumptions

Nursing

Beck describes nursing as a caring profession with caring obligations to persons we care for, students, and each other. In addition, interpersonal interactions between nurses and those for whom we care are the primary ways nursing accomplishes the goals of health and wholeness.

Person

Persons are described in terms of wholeness with biological, sociological, and psychological components. Further, there is a strong commitment to the idea that persons or personhood is understood within the context of family and community.

Health

Beck does not define health explicitly. However, her writings include traditional ideas of physical and mental health. Health is the consequence of women's responses to the contexts of their lives and their environments. Contexts of health are vital to understanding any singular issue of health.

Environment

Beck writes about the environment in broad terms that include individual factors as well as the world outside of each person. The outside environment includes events, situations, culture, physicality ecosystems, and sociopolitical systems. In addition, there is an acknowledgment that women in the childbearing period receive care within a healthcare environment structured in the medical model and permeated with patriarchal ideology.

Theoretical Assertions

The theoretical assertions within Beck's theory are well represented throughout her writings. She acknowledges the importance of Sichel and Driscoll's (1999) work related to the biological factors involved in postpartum depression in the following assertions:

- The brain can biochemically accommodate various stressors, whether related to internal biology or external events.
- Stressful events (internal or external), particularly over long periods, cause disruption of the biochemical regulation in the brain. The more insults
to the brain, the more chronically deregulated the brain becomes. Because an already deregulated brain is challenged again with new stressors (internal or external), it is likely that serious mood and psychiatric disorders will result.

- Women's unique and normal brain and hormonal chemistry result in a vulnerability to mood disorders at critical times in their lives, including after giving birth.
- Postpartum depression is caused by a combination of biological (including genetic), psychological, social, relational, economic, and situational life stressors.
- Postpartum depression is not a homogenous disorder. Women may express postpartum depression with a single symptom but are more likely to have a constellation of varying symptoms. This is related to varying life histories of internal and external stressors.
- Culturally, women are expected to feel happy, look happy, act happy, understand how to be a mother naturally, and experience motherhood with a sense of fulfillment. These expectations make it difficult for women to express genuine feelings of distress.
- The stigma attached to mental illness increases dramatically when a mental illness is related to the birth of a child, leading women to suffer in silence.
- Within a level of prevention framework, postpartum depression can be prevented through identification and mitigation of risk factors during the prepartum period. Postpartum depression can be identified early with careful screening and can be treated effectively. Prevention can alleviate months of suffering and decrease the harmful effects on women, their infants, and their families.
- A number of biological, sociological, and psychological issues and challenges are entirely normal in all pregnancies. These may include fatigue, sleep alterations, questioning one's abilities, and the like. Comprehensive prenatal and postnatal care can eliminate troublesome pathological symptoms and help women normalize expected symptoms, thus reducing the degree of stress they actually experience.

**Logical Form**

Beck's Postpartum Depression Theory, as described in previous sections of this chapter, identifies how both inductive and deductive logic significantly contributed to the development of the theory. Chinn and Kramer (2011) identify inductive logic as foundational to qualitative methods, with reasoning from the particular to the general. In contrast, deductive reasoning moves from the general to the particular, drawing conclusions that represent the general.

Because Beck's theory reflects a very complex and focused path in its evolution, it is helpful to be clear about what criteria were used to understand and present the theory. The definition of theory currently used is... “a creative and rigorous structuring of ideas that projects a tentative, purposeful, and systematic view of phenomena” (Chinn & Kramer, 2011, p. 257).

Middle-range theories may be derived using grounded theory approaches, and they identify social processes that may occur in various social events. For example, Beck's substantive theory of postpartum depression found that loss of control was the basic psychosocial problem facing women, but this problem could also occur in contexts other than the postpartum period.

The evolution of Beck's theory is instructional for several reasons. First, Beck's unceasing, linear, and logical efforts to develop the theory for pragmatic practice concerns led to a theory that addresses a specific practice problem. Because her theory is relatively new, there are few contributors to the substance of the theory. Therefore, there is opportunity to follow a very clear and focused process of theory development by a scholar who began the work as a young woman. Beck has tested her theory, used it with various populations, tested instruments, and developed a work in which other scholars can join her to contribute to the science. Second, Beck's theory of postpartum depression is remarkable as an example of extensive inductive theory development in a specific area of nursing practice addressing a specific patient problem. Although Beck began her work with a global understanding of caring, her focused work on postpartum depression was advanced through the development of a substantive middle-range theory and continues to advance. From the beginning, Beck's goal has been to understand postpartum depression in a way that would allow professionals to develop adequate prevention strategies, develop screening programs for early intervention, and develop adequate treatment strategies to prevent harm to women, their children, and their families. True to her research
Aims, what began as a descriptive substantive theory of postpartum depression has evolved into an extensive research program.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

As Beck’s research findings have been disseminated more widely, the theory and the instruments based on the theory have been utilized increasingly in nursing practice throughout the United States. In addition, the PDSS is in use and translated as appropriate in Canada, Australia, Brazil, New Zealand, Ireland, South Africa, Germany, Russia, Turkey, Hungary, China, and Israel. (The References section titled Bibliography of Research Using the Screening Scale includes international use of the PDSS.)

The PDSS became a standard of care for women in the high-risk obstetrical clinic of the Medical University of South Carolina Hospital (A. Raney, personal communication, April 28, 2004). The clients in this clinic vary in age across the spectrum, come from various ethnic backgrounds, and have a wide range of medical risk factors. She has noted that the tool is a vehicle for opening discussions with women that had not occurred prior to implementation of the tool. High scores on the PDSS have given physicians evidence to understand how postpartum depression is expressed in their patients, increasing their sensitivity and awareness. Predictably, marshaling of community resources to meet the specific needs of individual clients has been a challenge; however, the landscape for the Charleston community in understanding and responding to the special needs of women during this time has occurred.

Public health initiatives that involve working with new mothers and babies are also utilizing Beck’s theory of postpartum depression via the PDSS. For example, the Healthy Start CORPS: Inter-Conceptual Care Case Management Project in North Carolina begins to follow women when they are 6 weeks postpartum. All new clients, many of whom are Native American, are given the PDSS so that intervention and management strategies can be built into plans of care for individual women and their families (L. Baker, personal communication, April 29, 2004). The director of the program emphasizes the ease with which women are able to discuss symptoms of postpartum depression after completing the tool.

Beck’s work has also been instrumental in community intervention and education projects such as the Ruth Rhoden Craven Foundation for Postpartum Depression Awareness located in South Carolina. Helena Bradford founded this organization because of a tragic postpartum mood disorder within her own family. Ms. Bradford advocates for postpartum awareness within her community and conducts support groups (H. Bradford, personal communication, April 28, 2004).

**Education**

Beck is a frequently invited speaker for professional educational conferences and workshops. Her work is cited frequently in nursing maternal and newborn nursing texts, such as that of Davidson, London, & Ladewig (2011). At both undergraduate and graduate levels, Beck’s work sets the standard for knowledge and understanding about postpartum depression. In addition, Beck’s work has been used to educate members of other disciplines, such as physicians, mental health workers, public health professionals, social workers, and those who work in social service agencies that provide protective care for women and children. Beck also brings her work to the general public and policy makers through active community involvement at the local, state, national, and international levels.

**Research**

The long research development of Beck’s theory is evident in Table 34–1. As previously noted, she has received numerous awards recognizing the importance of her research. Nurses increasingly are using Beck’s work for master’s and doctoral level research. In addition, Beck facilitates practice implementation research for academic and nonacademic sites.

**Further Development**

Beck identified what became another major concept in her theory, as well as a restructuring of postpartum mood disorder definitions (Beck, 2004a, 2004b). Because of increasing reports of PTSD after childbirth, she examined women’s experiences of traumatic births (Beck, 2004a). In this work, birth trauma was defined as “an event occurring during the labor and delivery process that involves actual or threatened...
serious injury or death to the mother or her infant. The birthing woman experiences intense fear, helplessness, loss of control, and horror” (Beck, 2004b, p. 28). Beck noted that women who actually had been suffering from PTSD were misdiagnosed as having postpartum depression and were treated incorrectly with antidepressant medications. She recommended that postpartum mood disorders be changed to postpartum mood and anxiety disorders (Beck, 2004b). PTSD would then be differentiated as a distinct diagnosis with different treatment approaches. Birth trauma, as a concept, will be examined empirically and included in predictor and screening instruments as appropriate. Beck (2006c) examined women’s experience of the anniversary of their birth trauma, noting that the birthday of a woman’s child might represent a time of reexperiencing the trauma all over again. Current research by Beck and co-investigator Carol Lammi-Keefe focuses on docosahexaenoic acid in pregnancy and its effect on postpartum depression (Judge & Beck, 2008).

Researchers utilized the PDSS to screen for postpartum depression in a sample acquired on the Internet compared with a community-based sample (Le, Perry, & Sheng, 2008). Initial results suggested a high degree of internal consistency and construct validity between the two groups. Findings indicated that the Internet group included greater numbers of participation among Hispanic and Asian women, and the Internet group evidenced more risk factors for a postpartum depression diagnosis. Future research focuses on ways to connect women in an Internet group with appropriate services for intervention for prevention and treatment.

**Critique**

**Clarity**

Beck’s theory evidences a semantic clarity as concepts are defined clearly and consistently. Within and between research reports, Beck uses terms, ideas, definitions, and concepts in a way that reflects growth, yet they are defined and easily understood. Her research and writings use both inductive and deductive language, and her verbiage is economical and clear.

**Simplicity**

Postpartum depression is a complex phenomenon, experientially and theoretically. Yet Beck’s theory of postpartum depression follows a logical progression specific to observations made in nursing practice. It is accessible empirically and theoretically. Importantly, concepts and definitions used for predicting a woman’s risk for postpartum depression and concepts and definitions used to screen women for symptoms of postpartum depression are directly meaningful for women, the lay public, and practitioners from nursing and other related disciplines.

**Generality**

Beck has accounted for the complexity of postpartum depression within the expansion of the concepts within the theory. Generality issues relate to how broadly the theory describes human experience, and this is supported by applicability of the theory in different cultural contexts. Chinn and Kramer (2011) note that generality refers to a theory’s ability to remain conceptually simple, yet account for a broad range of empirical experiences. Postpartum depression is a relatively narrow experience; however, its nature and causation are especially complex. Importantly, Beck (2007) has studied the experiences of many women and has also used research from numerous sources that address postpartum depression in various geographical and cultural groups. Embracing findings from these studies to compare and contrast with the extant theory has given new breadth to the theory and significantly impacts its generality.

**Accessibility**

The PDSS (Postpartum Depression Screening Scale) has been subjected to a rigorous statistical process for development and standardization. Beck and Gable (2000) examined the psychometric properties of the scale with regard to reliability of the measure within developmental and diagnostic samples. Validity analyses were conducted with the two samples, as were procedures used to establish cutoff scores for clinical interpretations. These studies indicated that the PDSS is a reliable and valid screening instrument for detection of postpartum depression (Beck & Gable, 2000, 2001a, 2001b, 2001c, 2001d). The theory and the PDSS are relatively new and have therefore not been critiqued empirically by a wide variety of scholars. Beck has two instruments: the PDSS, which is well established, and the Postpartum Depression Prediction Inventory (PDPI), which has more recently
been found valid and reliable in studies (Beck, 2002b; Hanna, Jarman, Savage, et al., 2004; Oppo, Mauri, Ramacciotti, et al., 2009). An important feature of Beck's theory is its immediate accessibility and dynamic potential to impact women's lives.

Importance

The value of Beck's work is of growing importance within nursing and other disciplines. Perinatal mood disorders are obviously more than transient inconveniences for women and their families. The sequence of events in the life of women (Meier, 2002) points to the extraordinary need for greater awareness and use of Beck's Postpartum Depression Theory for prevention, identification, early intervention, and treatment.

There is a growing awareness that the responsibility for identification and early intervention of postpartum depression belongs to more than those who are primarily responsible for caring for women during pregnancy and immediately after birth (Beck, 2003; Kennedy, Beck, & Driscoll, 2002). Because of consistent interactions with mothers, pediatric and neonatal nurses can make valuable contributions to successful interventions for mothers suffering from postpartum depression. Psychiatric nurses might also be able to identify problems in women (or their children) that do not immediately indicate postpartum depression.

However, knowledge about postpartum depression is developing in a way that sheds light on less obvious consequences. Recently, postpartum depression has been linked to adverse effects on children's cognitive and emotional development and behavior problems of older children in school. Postpartum depression could have a negative effect upon situations such as substance use, traffic accidents, criminal behaviors, domestic violence, progress in school, employment and income, and many others. A growing awareness within nursing, other health care professionals, and the public will allow greater identification of postpartum depression in the many contexts within which people live their lives.

Summary

The development of Beck's Postpartum Depression Theory is the quintessential example of how creative nursing knowledge is developed from nursing observations, utilizing multiple methods and rigorous testing. The theory was influenced by various theoretical and philosophical stances, adding breadth and texture. Maternity nurses are able to read the breadth and understand how to apply it in their practice. Beck and others continue to expand the theory by exploring its applicability to different cultures and exploring ways of reaching women who have potential for its benefit.

Increasingly, nurses and the wider society are recognizing that issues of postpartum depression have not been adequately understood or acknowledged. Nursing, like other health care professions, has been shocked by unanticipated events when postpartum depression leads to untoward outcomes that appear in the evening news. Even among nurses and other health professionals, their knowledge does not mitigate the effects of this illness. These events point out the importance of this theory. Dr. Cheryl Tatano Beck's work has demonstrated that nursing research provides evidence to understand and prevent postpartum depression. Her research and instruments facilitate detection, early intervention, and treatment.

CASE STUDY

At the tender age of 11 years, Kim was "sold" by her mother to three adult men for an evening of sex and drugs. Kim related that as her mother went out the door, she advised her to “do what they tell you and I'll be back in the morning.” Kim was never okay again. Although she did relatively well during the sporadic times she went to school, her life was a series of drug and sex binges. At 17, Kim was in jail and pregnant. She had been arrested several times and released, but the judge insisted that this time she stay incarcerated until after the baby was born to guarantee the baby would be crack-free at birth. Kim's prenatal records, however, did not indicate drug or alcohol use, and neither did her jail records. She adamantly insisted that she never used drugs or alcohol once she found out she was pregnant (late in the first trimester). Through a series of misunderstandings, she was released 2 weeks before the baby's birth. However, Kim did well, continued to stay drug-free, refused medication during labor, and delivered a beautiful healthy baby—a baby whose blood test results were negative for drugs.
Kim recalls that she began motherhood believing this would be the event that would turn her life around. It did for several weeks, but slowly Kim became involved in her old life. She received money to buy clothes and food for her baby. In spite of that help, however, Kim had no place to live and no money to support herself. She never held a legal job in her life. She qualified for postpartum medical care for 6 weeks, but after that she was on her own.

When the baby was 7 months old, Kim called a nurse who had once cared for her during her pregnancy and asked for help to give her daughter up for adoption. She believed she would simply never be able to give her baby the life she knew all babies deserved. Kim was using drugs again, and the baby was being kept by whoever was in the mood to do so. Kim absolutely loved this baby, and the choice for adoption came from this love. Kim chose a local Christian adoption agency. Staff there gave her the opportunity to read the profiles of potential families, see pictures of them, and actually choose the family who would raise her baby. Though she did not know the family’s name or address, the family and the agency committed to regular photographs and updates about her daughter.

Without resources or support, and without her baby, Kim returned to the only life she had ever known among the only people she really knew. Eighteen months later, Kim gave birth to another baby. This time, she swore things would be different. When this new baby was also about 7 months old, Kim found herself deeply involved in crack use, with her baby being passed around from relative to relative and from friend to friend. Unfortunately, Kim was present during the commission of a violent crime with a predictably tragic outcome. Although Kim did not actually commit this crime, she was present and was ultimately sent to prison.

Kim once remarked that she loved being pregnant, loved giving birth, and loved the idea of being a mother. She said, “It would be great in the beginning, but after a couple of months I'd start feeling bad. It seems like with both my babies that around 6 or 7 months, I just couldn’t handle anything.”

Although Kim took the baby to a pediatrician for follow-up care, none of those care providers knew her or knew her history—they were primarily concerned with her son’s health. Kim’s affect is usually very upbeat; she smiles easily. It is not likely that anyone ever asked her any important questions about her life or her experience of being a mother. Kim was, for all intents and purposes, “lost to follow-up.”

Kim’s story illustrates the kinds of complexities that can make postpartum depression especially challenging for women who live amid drugs and chaos. In the midst of this life, women still want to be good mothers and have the same hopes and same dreams we all have. Drugs, alcohol, crimes, and all the other ways Kim’s life was chaotic were the only avenues by which she received services—after-the-fact services.

Interventions by others could have made a difference at many points in Kim’s life. One of these points was during her prenatal period. She clearly evidenced most of the risk factors for postpartum depression, despite her cheerful attitude toward the pregnancy. If you had been a nurse caring for Kim during her prenatal care and identified her to be at risk for postpartum depression, what kind of care plan would you have developed before or after her baby’s birth? Would you have been willing to intervene on behalf of Kim or her baby, even though their needs occurred within the community and not in the confines of a hospital or office?

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

1. Interview a friend or family member about her prenatal and postnatal experiences.
2. Did she have feelings that you expected? Did she have any that surprised you?
3. Were any of her experiences suggestive of risk for postpartum depression?
4. Explore the resources available in your community for women with postpartum depression.
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Theory of Caring

Danuta M. Wojnar

“Caring is a nurturing way of relating to a valued other toward whom one feels a personal sense of commitment and responsibility”

(Swanson, 1991, p. 162).

Credentials and Background of the Theorist

Kristen M. Swanson, RN, PhD, FAAN, was born in Providence, Rhode Island. She earned her baccalaureate degree (magna cum laude) from the University of Rhode Island, College of Nursing in 1975. She began her career as a registered nurse at the University of Massachusetts Medical Center in Worcester, because the founding nursing administration clearly articulated a vision for professional nursing practice and actively worked with nurses to apply these ideals while working with clients (Swanson, 2001).

As a novice nurse, more than anything Swanson wanted to become a knowledgeable and technically skillful practitioner with a goal of teaching others. Hence, she pursued graduate studies in Adult Health and Illness Nursing at the University of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia. After receiving a master’s degree in nursing in 1978, she worked briefly as clinical instructor of medical-surgical nursing at the University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing and subsequently enrolled in the Ph.D. in nursing program at the University of Colorado in Denver. There she studied psychosocial nursing with an emphasis on the concepts of loss, stress, coping, interpersonal relationships, person and personhood, environments, and caring.

While a doctoral student, as part of a hands-on experience with a self-selected health promotion activity, Swanson participated in a cesarean birth support group focused on miscarriage. The guest speaker, a physician, focused on pathophysiology and health problems prevalent after miscarriage, but women attending the meeting were more interested in talking about their personal experiences with pregnancy loss. That day Swanson decided to learn more about the human experience and responses to miscarrying.
Caring and miscarriage became the focus of her doctoral dissertation and subsequently her program of research.

Swanson received an individually awarded National Research Service postdoctoral fellowship from the National Center for Nursing Research, which she completed under the direction of Dr. Kathryn E. Barnard at the University of Washington in Seattle. She joined the faculty at the University of Washington School of Nursing and continued her scholarly work as professor and chairperson of the Department of Family Child Nursing until summer 2009. In addition to teaching and administrative responsibilities at the University of Washington, She conducted research funded by the National Institutes of Nursing Research; published, mentored faculty and students, and served as a consultant at national and international levels. She has been an invited speaker or visiting professor on multiple occasions, including Karolinska Institute in Sweden, IWK (Isaac Walton Killam) Health Centre, a tertiary care hospital for women, children, and families in Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, and, most recently, the National Cheng Kung University in Taiwan, Taiwan. While at the University of Washington in 2009, Swanson also held the University of Washington Medical Center Term Professorship in Nursing Leadership.

In 2009, Swanson was appointed Dean and Alumni Distinguished Professor at the University of North Carolina (UNC) School of Nursing at Chapel Hill and Associate Chief Nursing Officer for Academic Affairs at UNC Hospitals. Dr. Swanson continues her scholarship, which in recent years shifted to translational research and consulting with various organizations to enact the Theory of Caring in clinical practice, education, and research. Her service contributions include service on the editorial board or reviewer for Journal of Nursing Scholarship, Nursing Outlook, Research in Nursing and Health, and the International Journal of Human Caring. In recognition of many outstanding contributions to the nursing discipline, among other honors, Swanson was inducted as a fellow in the American Academy of Nursing in 1991, received a Distinguished Alumnus Award from the University of Rhode Island in 2002, and was selected as a fellow for the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Nurse Executive Fellows program in 2004.

### Theoretical Sources

Swanson has drawn on various theoretical sources while developing her Theory of Caring. She recalls that from the beginning of her nursing career, her education and clinical experience made her acutely aware of the profound difference caring made in the lives of people she served:

*Watching patients move into a space of total dependency and come out the other side restored was like witnessing miracles unfold. Sitting with spouses in the waiting room while they entrusted the heart (and lives) of their partner to the surgical team was awe inspiring. It was encouraging to observe the inner reserves family members could call upon in order to hand over that which they could not control. It warmed my heart to be so privileged as to be invited into the spaces that patients and families created in order to endure their transitions through illness, recovery, and, in some instances, death* (Swanson, 2001, p. 412).

Swanson credits several nursing scholars for insights that shaped her beliefs about the nursing discipline and influenced her program of research. She acknowledges Dr. Jacqueline Fawcett’s course on the conceptual basis of nursing practice, which led her to understand the differences between the goals of nursing and other health disciplines, and to realize that caring for others as they go through life transitions of health, illness, healing, and dying was congruent with her personal values (Swanson, 2001). Swanson chose Dr. Jean Watson as mentor during her doctoral studies. She attributes the emphasis on exploring the concept of caring in her doctoral dissertation to Dr. Watson’s influence. However, despite the close working relationship and emphasis on caring in Swanson’s dissertation, Swanson’s program of research on caring and miscarriage is not an application of Watson’s Theory of Human Caring (Watson, 1979, 1988, 1999). Instead, both Swanson and Watson assert that compatibility of findings on caring in their individual programs of research adds credibility to their theoretical assertions (Swanson, 2001). Swanson acknowledges Dr. Kathryn E. Barnard for encouraging her transition from the interpretive to a contemporary empiricist paradigm and for transferring caring knowledge from her phenomenological investigations to intervention research and clinical practice with women who have miscarried.
Caring

*Caring* is a nurturing way of relating to a valued other toward whom one feels a personal sense of commitment and responsibility (Swanson, 1991).

Knowing

*Knowing* is striving to understand the meaning of an event in the life of the other, avoiding assumptions, focusing on the person cared for, seeking cues, assessing meticulously, and engaging both the one caring and the one cared for in the process of knowing (Swanson, 1991).

Being With

*Being with* means being emotionally present to the other. It includes being there in person, conveying availability, and sharing feelings without burdening the one cared for (Swanson, 1991).

Doing For

*Doing for* means to do for others what one would do for self if at all possible, including anticipating needs, comforting, performing skillfully and competently, and protecting the one cared for while preserving his or her dignity (Swanson, 1991).

Enabling

*Enabling* is facilitating the other’s passage through life transitions and unfamiliar events by focusing on the event, informing, explaining, supporting, validating feelings, generating alternatives, thinking things through, and giving feedback (Swanson, 1991).

Maintaining Belief

*Maintaining belief* is sustaining faith in the other’s capacity to get through an event or transition and face a future with meaning, believing in other’s capacity and holding him or her in high esteem, maintaining a hope-filled attitude, offering realistic optimism, helping to find meaning, and standing by the one cared for no matter what the situation (Swanson, 1991).

Use of Empirical Evidence

Swanson formulated her Theory of Caring inductively, as a result of several investigations. For her doctoral dissertation, using descriptive phenomenology, she analyzed data from in-depth interviews with 20 women who had recently miscarried. As a result of this phenomenological investigation, Swanson proposed two models: (1) The Caring Model, and (2) The Human Experience of Miscarriage Model. The Caring Model proposed five basic processes (*knowing, being with, doing for, enabling, and maintaining belief*) that give meaning to acts labeled as caring (Swanson-Kauffman, 1985, 1986, 1988a, 1988b). This was foundational for Swanson’s (1991) middle-range Theory of Caring.

While a postdoctoral fellow, Swanson conducted a phenomenological study, exploring what it was like to be a provider of care to vulnerable infants in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Swanson (1990) discovered that the caring processes she identified with women who miscarried were also applicable to mothers, fathers, physicians, and nurses who were responsible for care of infants in the NICU. Hence, she retained the wording that described the acts of caring and proposed that all-inclusive care in a complex environment embraces balance among caring (for the self and the one cared for), attaching (to others and roles), managing responsibilities (assigned by self, others, and society), and avoiding bad outcomes (Swanson, 1990).

In a subsequent phenomenological investigation conducted with socially at-risk mothers, Swanson (1991) explored what it had been like for these mothers to receive an intense, long-term nursing intervention. Swanson recalls that after this study she was finally able to define caring and refine the understanding of caring processes. Collectively, phenomenological inquiries with women who miscarried, caregivers in the NICU, and socially at-risk mothers formed a basis for expansion of the Caring Model into the middle-range Theory of Caring (Swanson, 1991, 1993).
Swanson tested her Theory of Caring with women who miscarried in investigations funded by the National Institutes of Nursing Research and other funding sources. Swanson’s (1999a, 1999b) intervention research \((N = 242)\) examined the effects of caring-based counseling sessions on women coming to terms with loss and emotional well-being during the first year after miscarrying. Additional aims were examination of the effects of passage of time on healing during that first year and development of strategies to monitor caring interventions. This study established that passing of time had positive effects on women’s healing after miscarriage, however, caring interventions had a positive impact on decreasing the overall disturbed mood, anger, and level of depression. The second aim was to monitor the caring variable and determine if caring was delivered as intended. To do so, caring was monitored in the following three ways:

1. Approximately 10% of counseling sessions were transcribed and data were analyzed using inductive and deductive content analysis.

2. Before each caring session, the counselor completed McNair, Lorr, and Droppleman’s (1981) Profile of Mood States to monitor whether the counselor’s mood was associated with women’s ratings of caring after each session, using an investigator-developed Caring Professional Scale.

3. After each session, the counselor completed an investigator-developed Counselor Rating Scale and took narrative notes about her own counseling.

The most noteworthy finding of monitoring caring was that clients were highly satisfied with caring received during counseling sessions, suggesting caring was delivered and received as intended.

Swanson’s (1999c) subsequent investigation was a literary metaanalysis on caring. An in-depth review of 130 investigations on caring led Swanson to propose that knowledge about caring may be categorized into five hierarchical domains (levels), and research conducted in any one domain assumes the presence of all previous domains (Swanson, 1999c).

- The first domain refers to the persons’ capacities to deliver caring.
- The second domain refers to individuals’ concerns and commitments that lead to caring actions.
- The third domain refers to the conditions (nurse, client, organizational) that enhance or diminish the likelihood of delivering caring.
- The fourth domain refers to actions of caring.
- The fifth domain refers to the consequences or the intentional and unintentional outcomes of caring for both the client and the provider (Swanson, 1999c).

Conducting the literary metaanalysis clarified the meaning of the concept of caring as it is used in the nursing discipline and validated the transferability of Swanson’s middle-range Theory of Caring beyond perinatal context.

Subsequently, Swanson authored or coauthored numerous scholarly articles and book chapters on application of caring-healing relationships in clinical practice and education or tested the theory of caring. Swanson coauthored an article on nursing’s historical legacy as a caring—healing profession, and the meaning, significance, and consequences of optimal healing environments for modern nursing practice, education, and research (Swanson & Wojnar, 2004).

The article presented the core foci of nursing as a discipline: what it means to be a person and experience personhood; the meaning of health at the individual, family, and societal levels; how environments create or diminish the potential for the promotion, maintenance, or restoration of well-being; and the caring-healing therapeutics of nursing. A book chapter followed to enhance nurses’ capacity for compassionate caring (Swanson, 2007). In it, Swanson explored how caring matters to well-being of every person and described conditions that impact quality of nurse caring ranging from the interpersonal relationships through physical environments, to executive/managerial leadership. Swanson’s coauthored works focused on social and economic factors that affect nursing shortage and quality of care (Grant & Swanson, 2006) and consumer satisfaction with health care (Mowinski-Jennings, Heiner, Loan, et al., 2005). Swanson and colleagues also explored complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) attitudes and competencies of nursing students and faculty and the results of integrating CAM into the nursing curriculum as a holistic approach to nursing (Booth-Laforce, Scott, Heitkemper, et al., 2010).

In her own program of research, Swanson tested the usability of the Theory of Caring. In 2003, Swanson and colleagues published results from an investigation on the miscarriage effects on interpersonal and sexual relationships during the first year after loss
from women's perspective and investigated the context and evolution of women's responses to miscarriage during the first year after loss (Swanson, Connor, Jolley, et al., 2007). In 2009, Swanson and her research team published results of a funded intervention study called Couples Miscarriage Healing Project. The purpose was to better understand the effects of miscarriage on men and women as individuals and as couples, to explore the effects of miscarriage on couple relationships, and to identify best ways of helping men and women heal as individuals and as couples after unexpected pregnancy loss. Study participants (341 heterosexual couples) were randomly assigned to control or one of the following three treatment groups: (1) nurse caring, which entailed attending three counseling sessions with a nurse, (2) self-caring, which involved completing three videos and workbooks, or (3) combined caring, which involved attending one nurse caring session and completion of three videos and workbooks, to determine the most effective way of supporting couples after miscarriage. Interventions, based on Swanson's Theory of Caring and Meaning of Miscarriage Model, were offered at 1, 5, and 11 weeks after enrollment. Outcomes included depression (CES-D) and grief, pure grief (PG), and grief-related emotions (GRE). Differences in rates of recovery were estimated via multilevel modeling conducted in a Bayesian framework. Bayesian odds ($BO$) ranging from 3.0 to 7.9 showed that nurse caring was most effective for accelerating women's resolution of depression. $BO$ of 3.2 to 6.6 favored nurse caring intervention and no treatment over self, and combined caring for resolving men's depression. $BO$ of 3.1 to 7.0 favored all three interventions over no treatment for accelerating women's grief resolution, and $BO$ of 18.7 to 22.6 favored nurse caring and combined caring over self-caring or no treatment for resolving men's grief. $BO$ ranging from 2.4 to 6.1 favored nurse-caring and self caring over combined caring or no treatment for promoting women's resolution of grief-related emotions. $BO$ from 3.5 to 17.9 favored nurse caring, combined caring, and control over self-caring for resolving men's grief emotions. Nurse-caring had the overall most positive impact on couples' resolution of grief and depression. In addition, grief resolution was accelerated by self-caring for women and combined caring intervention for men.

Researchers concluded that applying the Theory of Caring in clinical practice is an effective strategy to promote healing after unexpected pregnancy loss for women and men as individuals and as couples.

Swanson continues to contribute to research of other scholars. In 2006, Wojnar and Swanson explored why lesbian mothers should deserve special consideration when it comes to healing after miscarriage. As a result, Wojnar, Swanson, and Adolfsson (2011) offered a revised conceptual model of miscarriage inclusive of lesbian population for clinical practice and research. Swanson coauthored findings from an investigation that explored soldiers' experiences with military health care (Jennings, Loan, Heiner, et al., 2005). Findings suggest that quality of care for soldiers is improved by narrowing the gap between what is offered for them as consumers and what they experience when they seek care. Most recently, Swanson coauthored results from a study that explored the experiences of parents following moderate to severe traumatic brain injury of their child (Roscigno & Swanson, 2011) as well as the quality of life for children following traumatic brain injury (Roscigno, Swanson, Solchany, et al., 2011), where participants described health and cultural barriers leading to misunderstandings that could be easily avoided.

Swanson's Theory of Caring has been validated for a wide range of usage in research, education, and clinical practice.

**Major Assumptions**

In 1993, Swanson further developed her theory of informed caring by making her major assumptions explicit about the four main phenomena of concern to the nursing discipline: *nursing, person/client, health, and environment.*

**Nursing**

Swanson (1991, 1993) defines nursing as informed caring for the well-being of others. She asserts that the nursing discipline is informed by empirical knowledge from nursing and other related disciplines, as well as “ethical, personal and aesthetic knowledge derived from the humanities, clinical experience, and personal and societal values and expectations” (Swanson, 1993, p. 352).
Person

Swanson (1993) defines persons as “unique beings who are in the midst of becoming and whose whole-
ness is made manifest in thoughts, feelings, and behav-
iors” (p. 352). She posits that the life experiences of
each individual are influenced by a complex interplay
of “a genetic heritage, spiritual endowment and the
capacity to exercise free will” (Swanson, 1993, p. 352).
Hence, persons both shape and are shaped by the
environment in which they live.

Swanson (1993) views persons as dynamic, grow-
ing, self-reflecting, yearning to be connected with
others, and spiritual beings. She suggests the follow-
ing: “. . . spiritual endowment connects each being to
an eternal and universal source of goodness, mystery,
life, creativity, and serenity. The spiritual endowment
may be a soul, higher power/Holy Spirit, positive
energy, or, simply grace. Free will equates with choice
and the capacity to decide how to act when confronted
with a range of possibilities” (p. 352). Swanson (1993)
noted, however, that limitations set by race, class,
gender, or access to care might prevent individuals
from exercising free will. Hence, acknowledging
free will mandates nursing discipline to honor indi-
viduality and consider a whole range of possibilities
that are acceptable or desirable to those whom the
nurses attend.

Moreover, Swanson posits that the other, whose
personhood nursing discipline serves, refers to fam-
ilies, groups, and societies. Thus, with this under-
standing of personhood, nurses are mandated to
take on leadership roles in fighting for human rights,
equal access to health care, and other humanitarian
causes. Lastly, when nurses think about the other to
whom they direct their caring, they also need to
think of self and other nurses and their care as that
cared-for other.

Health

According to Swanson (1993), to experience health
and well-being is:

“. . . to live the subjective, meaning-filled experi-
ence of wholeness. Wholeness involves a sense of
integration and becoming wherein all facets of
being are free to be expressed. The facets of being
include the many selves that make us a human:
our spirituality, thoughts, feelings, intelligence,
creativity, relatedness, femininity, masculinity,
and sexuality, to name just a few” (p. 353).

Thus, Swanson sees reestablishing well-being as a
complex process of curing and healing that includes
“releasing inner pain, establishing new meanings, re-
storing integration, and emerging into a sense of re-
newed wholeness” (Swanson, 1993, p. 353).

Environment

Swanson (1993) defines environment by situation. She
maintains that for nursing it is “any context that influ-
ences or is influenced by the designated client” (p. 353).
Swanson states that there are many kinds of influences
on environment, such as the cultural, social, biophysi-
cal, political, and economic realms, to name only a few.
According to Swanson (1993), the terms environment
and person-client in nursing may be viewed inter-
changeably. For example, Swanson posits, “for heuristic
purposes the lens on environment/designated client
may be specified to the intra-individual level, wherein
the ‘client’ may be at the cellular level and the environ-
ment may be the organs, tissues or body of which the
cell is a component” (p. 353). Therefore, what is consid-
ered an environment in one situation may be consid-
ered a client in another.

Theoretical Assertions

Swanson’s Theory of Caring (Swanson, 1991, 1993,
1999b) was empirically derived through phenomeno-
logical inquiry. It offers a clear explanation of what it
means for nurses to practice in a caring manner and
emphasizes that the goal of nursing is promotion of
well-being. Swanson (1991) defines caring as “a nur-
turing way of relating to a valued other toward whom
one feels a personal sense of commitment and respon-
sibility” (p. 162).

According to Swanson, a fundamental and univer-
sal component of good nursing is caring for the
client’s biopsychosocial and spiritual well-being. Swanson (1993) asserts that caring is grounded
in maintenance of a basic belief in human beings,
supported by knowing the client’s reality, conveyed
by being emotionally and physically present, and
enacted by doing for and enabling the client. The car-
ing processes overlap and may not exist in separation.
Each is an integral component of the overarching
The Structure of Caring

- Maintaining belief
- Knowing
- Being with
- Doing for
- Enabling

Philosophical attitudes towards persons (in general) and the designated client (in specific)
Informed understanding of the clinical condition (in general) and the situation and client (in specific)
Message conveyed to client
Therapeutic actions
Intended outcome

Client well-being

FIGURE 35-1 The structure of caring as linked to the nurse’s philosophical attitude, informed understandings, message conveyed, therapeutic actions, and intended outcome. (From Swanson, K. M. [1993]. Nursing as informed caring for the well-being of others. Image: The Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 25[4], 352–357.)

Swanson’s middle-range Theory of Caring was developed empirically using an inductive approach. Chinn and Kramer (2011) note, “With induction people induce hypotheses and relationships by observing or experiencing an empiric reality and reaching some conclusion” (p. 182). Swanson’s theory was generated from phenomenological investigations with women who experienced unexpected pregnancy loss, caregivers of premature and ill babies in the newborn intensive care unit (NICU), and socially at-risk mothers who received long-term care from master’s-prepared nurses. Swanson claims that her in-depth meta-analysis of research on caring has supported the generality of her theory beyond a perinatal context (Swanson, 1999c).

Acceptance by the Nursing Community

The usefulness of Swanson’s Theory of Caring has been demonstrated in research, education, and clinical practice. The proposition that caring is central to nursing practice had its beginning in the theorist’s own insights into the importance of caring in professional nursing practice and in findings from Swanson’s phenomenological investigations. Her subsequent investigations demonstrated applicability of the Theory of Caring in clinical nursing practice, education, and research. Swanson’s theory has been embraced as a framework for professional nursing practice in the United States, Canada, and Sweden. An example is the Dalhousie University School of Nursing in Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, which selected Swanson’s Theory of Caring to guide the development of future generations of nurses as caring professionals. Likewise, nurses at IWK (Isaac Walton Killam) Health Centre, a tertiary care hospital for women, children, and families in Halifax, Nova Scotia, have recognized the
traditional legacy of nursing as a caring-healing discipline and the concepts in Swanson's theory as applicable in practice. Since 1998, the Nursing Practice Council at IWK used Swanson's Theory of Caring as their framework for professional nursing practice.

Nurse caring is manifested in different ways and practice contexts. For example, in a postpartum context, demonstration of a baby bath to new parents incorporates all five caring processes. The act involves being with by demonstrating bathing the newborn to the parents. The unrushed timing of the bath so the infant is awake and parents are present conveys willingness (doing for or enabling); and the observing, querying, and involving parents in the task engages them in their own infant's care (intended outcome) while acknowledging that they are perfectly capable of caring for their new child and that their preferences matter (knowing and maintaining belief). In carrying out this seemingly simple act, the nurse creates an optimal environment for learning that enables new parents to make decisions about infant care, while leveraging the task as an opportunity to engage in a meaningful social encounter and developing a trusting relationship.

Education
Humane and altruistic caring occurs when the theory is used in various practice areas such as feeding or grooming an incapacitated older adult, monitoring and managing the recovery of a patient who suffered a stroke, or enhancing infant care skills of new parents. Nurse caring, as demonstrated by Swanson in research with women who miscarried, caregivers in the NICU, and socially at-risk mothers, recognizes the importance for nurses to attend to the wholeness of humans in their everyday lives. Thus Swanson's theory offers nurse educators a simple way of immersing students into the profession by immersing them in the language of what it means to be caring and cared for in order to promote, restore, or maintain the optimal wellness of individuals.

Research
Swanson has persisted in the development of her theory, describing and defining the concept of caring and basic caring processes, instrument development, and testing in intervention research with women and men who have experienced unexpected pregnancy loss. Recent review of computerized databases (MEDLINE, CINHAL, and Digital Dissertations) indicated that Swanson's work on caring and miscarriage has been cited or otherwise utilized in over 160 data-based publications. Examples of applications of Swanson's Theory of Caring in clinical research include exploring clinical scholarship in practice (Kish & Holder, 1996); guidelines for nurses working with patients diagnosed with multiple sclerosis (Yorkston, Klasner, & Swanson, 2001); assessing the impact of caring in work with vulnerable populations (Kavanaugh, Moro, Savage, et al., 2006); the importance of creating a caring environment for older adults (Sikma, 2006); Wojnar's (2007) study of lesbian couples who miscarried; and Roscigno's research of children who sustained traumatic brain injury (Roscigno & Swanson, 2011; Roscigno, Swanson, Solchany, et al., 2011).

Further Development
Swanson is interested in further development by testing and applying her theory in clinical practice. There is much potential for further development by testing Swanson's Theory of Caring in various contexts of health and illness. Also, her processes of caring suggest that the theory is applicable in other helping disciplines such as teaching, social work, and medicine as well as other life situations for nursing.

Critique

Clarity
The concept of caring and caring processes (knowing, being with, doing for, enabling, and maintaining belief) that are central to the theory are clearly defined and arranged in a logical sequence that describes the processes of caring delivery. Swanson's theory offers clear definitions and contextual linkages with the concepts of the nursing discipline (person, nurse, environment, and health) in nurse-client interactions, thus further explicating the definitions.

Simplicity
A simple theory has a minimal number of concepts. Swanson's Theory of Caring is simple yet elegant. It brings the importance of caring to the forefront and exemplifies the discipline's values. The main purpose
of the theory is to foster delivery of nursing care focused on the needs of the individuals while fostering their dignity, respect, and empowerment. Simplicity and consistent language used to define the concepts and processes allows students and nurses to understand and apply Swanson’s theory in their practice.

Generality
Swanson’s Theory of Caring may be applied in research and clinical work with diverse populations. The conditions essential for delivering caring that promotes individuals’ wholeness across the life span have been described clearly (Swanson, 1999c). Hence, the theory is generalizable to nurse-client relationships in many clinical settings.

Accessibility
Swanson’s Theory of Caring concepts and assumptions are grounded in clinical nursing practice and research using an empirical approach. The completeness and simplicity of operational definitions strengthen empirical precision of this theory. Swanson and others have successfully applied her theory in numerous studies. Swanson and her research team tested the Theory of Caring in a clinical trial with women and men who experienced miscarriage and demonstrated that caring intervention resulted in decreased depressive mood and facilitated healthy grieving for both genders. Swanson has published research guidelines with colleagues for assessing the impact of caring healing relationships in clinical nursing (Quinn, Smith, Ritenbaugh, et al., 2003) and developed self-report instruments to measure caring as delivered by health care professionals and by couples to each other (Swanson, 2002). The template for delivering caring-based interventions and the research-based instruments open possibilities for use and further testing with other populations.

Importance
Swanson’s Theory of Caring describes nurse-client relationships that promote wholeness and healing. The theory offers a framework for enhancing contemporary nursing practice, education, and research while bringing the discipline to its traditional values and caring-healing roots. Swanson’s Theory of Caring has been applied to interdisciplinary caring relationships beyond nurse-client encounters. Recent applications in clinical nursing practice show tangible positive results. For example, since coming to the UNC School of Nursing at Chapel Hill as Dean, Swanson has focused on intensifying the linkages among nursing education, research, and practice. In partnership with Clinical Professor Dr. Mary Tonges, Chief Nursing Officer and Senior Vice President for Patient Care Services at UNC Hospital, Swanson has worked on strengthening the scholarship that supports nursing practice and enhances the relevance of nursing education and research to clinical practice through quality improvement projects. This research partnership has already resulted in positive outcomes on nursing workplace satisfaction and patient safety. Likewise, Swanson’s Theory of Caring has been applied in clinical practice and evaluated on selected variables at Virginia Mason Medical Center in Seattle, Washington, resulting in positive outcomes for patients and nurses.

**CASE STUDY**

1. The birth of a child is one of the most memorable experiences in a woman’s life. You are a birth unit nurse, and at the change of a shift you are assigned to care for a teen mother who came to hospital alone and is now in active labor. When you arrive in her room, you notice that she is teary and appears frightened. Describe how you would apply Swanson’s theory to connect emotionally and deliver caring in your practice with this young mother.

2. A 56 year old obese man presents in the outpatient clinic. He is experiencing polydipsia and polyuria for over a week. He also reports a weight loss of 5 kg in the past few weeks. He delayed his clinic visit for as long as possible because he feared he may have diabetes like his father and was afraid to face the reality. You check his sugar level and it is 430. The man bursts into tears. Describe how you would apply Swanson’s theory to help the client face the diagnosis of chronic disease, cope with the disease process, and promote well-being?
CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES

1. Consider Swanson's Theory of Caring as a framework for your own nursing practice and research. How is it applicable?

2. Think about a time when you felt that someone cared about you deeply. Remember what it felt like to experience caring. Now reflect on that experience and review your experience in the context of the processes of caring in Swanson's theory.

3. Think about an interaction with a client-family in your clinical practice that you wish you could change or improve. Use the processes of the Theory of Caring to critically assess about where you might have made more appropriate actions. If it were possible to improve this interaction, what would you change and why?
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“Standards of care offer a promising approach for the development of middle-range prescriptive theories because of their empirical base in clinical practice and their focus on linkages between interventions and outcomes”


Peaceful End-of-Life Theory
Patricia A. Higgins and Dana M. Hansen

Credentials and Background of the Theorists
Cornelia M. Ruland
Cornelia M. Ruland received her Ph.D. in nursing in 1998 from Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, Ohio. She is Director of the Center for Shared Decision Making and Nursing Research at Rikshospitalet University Hospital in Oslo, Norway, and holds an adjunct faculty appointment in the Department of Biomedical Informatics at Columbia University in New York. Ruland has established a research program on improving shared decision making and patient-provider partnerships in health care, and the development, implementation, and evaluation of information systems to support it. She focuses on aspects of and tools for shared decision making in clinically challenging situations: (1) for patients confronted with difficult treatment or screening decisions for which they need help to understand the potential benefits and harms of alternative options and to elicit their values and preferences, and (2) preference-adjusted management of chronic or serious long-term illness over time. As primary investigator on a number of research projects, she has received awards for her work.

Shirley M. Moore
Shirley M. Moore is Associate Dean for Research and Professor, School of Nursing, Case Western Reserve

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Cornelia Ruland and Shirley Moore for their contributions to the chapter.
University. She received her diploma in nursing from the Youngstown Hospital Association School of Nursing (1969) and her bachelor's degree in nursing from Kent State University (1974). She earned a master's degree in psychiatric and mental health nursing (1990) as well as a Ph.D. in nursing science (1993) at Case Western Reserve University. She has taught nursing theory and nursing science to all levels of nursing students and conducts a program of research and theory development that addresses recovery after cardiac events. Early in her doctoral study, Moore was encouraged by nurse theorists Joyce J. Fitzpatrick, Jean Johnson, and Elizabeth Lenz to not only use theory but to develop it as well. The Rosemary Ellis Theory Conference, held annually for several years at Case Western Reserve University, offered Moore an opportunity to explore theory as a practical tool for practitioners, researchers, and teachers. Influenced by these experiences, Moore has assisted in the development and publication of several theories (Good & Moore, 1996; Huth & Moore, 1998; Ruland & Moore, 1998). Moore considers theory construction an essential skill for doctoral students.

### Theoretical Sources

The Peaceful End-of-Life Theory is informed by a number of theoretical frameworks (Ruland & Moore, 1998). It is based primarily on Donabedian's model of structure, process, and outcomes, which in part was developed from general system theory. General system theory is pervasive in other types of nursing theory, from conceptual models to middle-range and micro-range theories—an indicator of its usefulness in explaining the complexity of health care interactions and organizations. In the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory, the structure-setting is the family system (terminally ill patient and all significant others) that is receiving care from professionals on an acute care hospital unit, and process is defined as those actions (nursing interventions) designed to promote the positive outcomes of the following: (1) being free from pain, (2) experiencing comfort, (3) experiencing dignity and respect, (4) being at peace, and (5) experiencing a closeness to significant others and those who care.

A second theoretical underpinning is preference theory (Brandt, 1979), which has been used by philosophers to explain and define quality of life (Sandoe, 1999), a concept that is significant in end-of-life research and practice. In preference theory, the good life is defined as getting what one wants, an approach that seems particularly appropriate in end-of-life care. It can be applied to both sentient persons and incapacitated persons who have previously provided documentation related to end-of-life decision making. Quality of life, therefore, is defined and evaluated as a manifestation of satisfaction through empirical assessment of such outcomes as symptom relief and satisfaction with interpersonal relationships. Incorporating patient preferences into health care decisions is considered appropriate (Ruland & Bakken, 2001; Ruland, Kresevic, & Lorensen, 1997) and necessary for successful processes and outcomes (Ruland & Moore, 2001).

This theory was derived in a doctoral theory course in which Ruland was a student and Moore was faculty. Middle-range theories were just emerging, and there were few good definitions or examples. The class was challenged to think about the future use and development of middle range theory for nursing science and practice. The students discussed knowledge sources from which they could derive middle range theory, such as empirical knowledge, clinical practice knowledge, and synthesized knowledge. Each student was asked to derive a middle range theory from a knowledge source of choice. Ruland had just completed a major project to develop a clinical practice standard for peaceful end of life with a group of cancer nurses in Norway. The standard was synthesized into the theory of peaceful end of life by Ruland and later was refined with Moore's assistance. This is an example of middle range theory developed by doctoral nursing students as they study knowledge development methods. This theory is also an example of middle range theory development using a standard of practice as a source.

### Use of Empirical Evidence

The Peaceful End-of-Life Theory is based on empirical evidence from direct experience of expert nurses and review of the literature addressing components of the theory. The group of expert practitioners who developed the standard of care for peaceful end of life had at least 5 years of clinical experience caring for
MAJOR CONCEPTS & DEFINITIONS

Not Being in Pain
Being free of the suffering or symptom distress is the central part of many patients’ end-of-life experience. Pain is considered an unpleasant sensory or emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage (Lenz, Suppe, Gift, et al., 1995; Pain terms, 1979).

Experience of Comfort
Comfort is defined inclusively, using Kolcaba and Kolcaba's (1991) work as “relief from discomfort, the state of ease and peaceful contentment, and whatever makes life easy or pleasurable” (Ruland & Moore, 1998, p. 172).

Experience of Dignity and Respect
Each terminally ill patient is “respected and valued as a human being” (Ruland & Moore, 1998, p. 172). This concept incorporates the idea of personal worth, as expressed by the ethical principle of autonomy or respect for persons, which states that individuals should be treated as autonomous agents, and persons with diminished autonomy are entitled to protection (United States, 1978).

Being at Peace
Peace is a “feeling of calmness, harmony, and contentment, (free of) anxiety, restlessness, worries, and fear” (Ruland & Moore, 1998, p. 172). A peaceful state includes physical, psychological, and spiritual dimensions.

Closeness to Significant Others
Closeness is “the feeling of connectedness to other human beings who care” (Ruland & Moore, 1998, p. 172). It involves a physical or emotional nearness that is expressed through warm, intimate relationships.

can be derived from these relational statements to be tested their usefulness. The authors of the standard of care and authors of the theory attempted to incorporate clearly described, observable concepts and relationships that expressed the notion of caring.

Major Assumptions

Nursing, Person, Health and Environment
As in other middle-range theories the focus of the theory of peaceful end of life does not address each metaparadigm concept. The theory was derived from standards of care written by a team of expert nurses who were addressing a practice problem, therefore, the metaparadigm concepts explicitly addressed were nursing and person. The theory addresses the nursing phenomena of complex, holistic care to support persons’ peaceful end of life.

Two assumptions of Ruland and Moore's (1998) theory are identified as follows:
1. The occurrences and feelings at the end-of-life experience are personal and individualized.
2. Nursing care is crucial for creating a peaceful end-of-life experience. Nurses assess and interpret cues that reflect the person's end-of-life experience and intervene appropriately to attain or maintain a peaceful experience, even when the dying person cannot communicate verbally.

Two additional assumptions are implicit:
1. Family, a term that includes all significant others, is an important part of end-of-life care.
2. The goal of end-of-life care is not to ‘optimize care, in the sense that it must be the best, most technologically advanced treatment, a type of care that frequently results in overtreatment. Rather, the goal in end-of-life care is to maximize treatment, that is, the best possible care will be provided through the judicious use of technology and comfort measures, in order to enhance quality of life and achieve a peaceful death.

Theoretical Assertions
Six explicit relational statements were identified (Ruland and Moore, 1998) as theoretical assertions for the theory, as follows:
1. Monitoring and administering pain relief and applying pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic
interventions contribute to the patient’s experience of not being in pain.
2. Preventing, monitoring, and relieving physical discomfort, facilitating rest, relaxation, and contentment, and preventing complications contribute to the patient’s experience of comfort.
3. Including the patient and significant others in decision making regarding patient care, treating the patient with dignity, empathy and respect, and being attentive to the patient’s expressed needs, wishes, and preferences contribute to the patient’s experience of dignity and respect.
4. Providing emotional support, monitoring and meeting the patient’s expressed needs for antianxiety medications, inspiring trust, providing the patient and significant others with guidance in practical issues, and providing physical presence of another caring person if desired contribute to the patient’s experience of being at peace.
5. Facilitating participation of significant others in patient care; attending to significant others’ grief, worries, and questions; and facilitating opportunities for family closeness contribute to the patient’s experience of closeness to significant others or persons who care.
6. The patient’s experiences of not being in pain, comfort, dignity, and respect, being at peace, and closeness to significant others or persons who care contribute to the peaceful end of life (p. 174).

**Logical Form**

The Peaceful End-of-Life Theory was developed using inductive and deductive logic. A unique feature of the theory is its development from a standard of care. The peaceful end-of-life standard was created by expert nurses in response to a lack of direction for managing the complex care of terminally ill patients. The standard was developed for the surgical gastroenterological care unit in a university hospital in Norway. Thus, the standard served as a logical intermediary step linking practice and theory. Standards of care serve as credible, authoritative statements that describe a practitioner’s roles and responsibilities and an expected performance level of nursing care by which the quality of practice can be evaluated (American Association of Critical Care Nurses, 1998). In this instance of knowledge development, the standard of care was an interim step that effectively linked clinical practice and theory.

Ruland and Moore (2001) detailed the steps they followed in the development of the standard for peaceful end of life, which included review of relevant literature, clarification of important concepts, and incorporation of clinical practice knowledge. Each step is analogous to those used in theory development. Thus, the logic for the development of this theory is straightforward, and the process used is clearly stated.

**Acceptance by the Nursing Community**

**Practice**

A small but growing number of articles cite the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory. It is included on the Clayton State University School of Nursing Theory Link page with a link to American Journal of Critical Care, End-of-Life Care (Kirchhoff, Spuhler, Walker, et al., 2000). Liehr and Smith (1999) refer to the theory’s development of a practice standard as a foundation for developing theory, Kehl (2006) cites it in her concept analysis of a “good death,” and Baggs and Schmitt (2000) discuss the potential usefulness of the theory as a means to improve end-of-life decision making for critically ill adults. Kirchoff (2002) continued the discussion on creating an environment of care in the intensive care unit that promotes a peaceful death by synthesizing information from three sources (the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory [Ruland & Moore, 1998], the Institute of Medicine’s definition of peaceful death [Field & Cassell, 1997], and precepts from the American Association Colleges of Nursing’s “Peaceful Death: Recommended Competencies and Curricular Guidelines for End of Life Nursing Care,” 1997). The Peaceful End-of-Life Theory was one of the theories used to develop a model for holistic palliative care for sickle cell patients (Wilkie, Johnson, Mack, et al., 2010). In Taiwan, Lee and colleagues (2009) cite Peaceful End-of-Life Theory as important to establish a framework to identify the major barriers of good end-of-life care in an ICU.

**Education**

Peaceful end of life has been integrated into nursing courses for generations with a focus on care of the patient and family. End-of-life content has become
more standardized in the form of theory, competencies, and curricular guidelines. Ruland and Moore (1998) are an example of an early end-of-life theory as attention to hospice and palliative care has developed. Ruland and Moore (1998) were cited by Kirchoff and colleagues (2000) when End of Life was a featured topic of a CE (continuing education) offering for critical care nurses in their online journal.

Research

The Peaceful End-of-Life Theory has gained international recognition as containing key components of a peaceful death. Kongsuwan and colleagues created a conceptual model (Kongsuwan & Touhy, 2009) and conducted qualitative (Kongsuwan & Locsin, 2009) and quantitative research (Kongsuwan, Keller, Touhy et al., 2010) on peaceful death in adult patients in Thailand. Ruland and Moore’s (1998) Peaceful End-of-Life Theory served as a comparison model for Kongsuwan and colleagues’ work and was cited as possessing qualities essential for a peaceful death that have been identified in many cultures.

In Quebec, an ethnographic study was conducted to identify key components of a good death for rural residents, and the authors identified The Peaceful End-of-Life Theory as important to developing an understanding of the concept of a good death (Wilkie, Johnson, Mack, et al., 2010).

Further Development

Ruland and Moore acknowledge the need for continued refinement and development of the theory. There are a number of potential ideas to advance its development, and testing the theory is in the planning stage; for example, testing the relationships among the five major concepts is a possibility. Another idea is merging some of the process criteria from the three concepts of pain, comfort, and peace to explore outcomes related to physical-psychological symptom management. Concept analysis or mapping could be used to determine if the process criteria associated with the three concepts are different or sufficiently alike to allow merging. For the concept of pain, two process criteria (monitoring and administering pain relief and applying pharmacological and nonpharmacological interventions) are closely related to the comfort process criterion (preventing, monitoring, and relieving physical discomfort) and the peace process criterion (monitoring and meeting patient’s needs for anti-anxiety medication). Nonpharmacological interventions (e.g., music, humor, relaxation) that serve to distract a dying patient are useful for the relief of pain, anxiety, and general physical discomfort. Future studies are suggested to explore linkages of the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory to other middle-range theories such as one for acute pain based on practice guidelines (Good and Moore, 1996), pain management (Good, 1998), and unpleasant symptoms (Lenz, Pugh, Milligan, et al., 1997; Lenz, Suppe, Gift, et al., 1995).

Critique

Clarity

All elements of the theory are stated clearly, including the setting, assumptions, concepts, and relational statements. These concepts vary considerably in their level of abstraction, from more concrete (pain and comfort) to more abstract (dignity).

Simplicity

Despite uncomplicated terms and clear expression of ideas, the theory has been described as one of a higher-level middle-range theories (Higgins & Moore, 2000), primarily because of the level of abstraction of the outcome criteria and the multidimensional complexity expressed in its relational statements.

Generality

The Peaceful End-of-Life Theory has specific boundaries related to time, setting, and patient population. It was developed for use with terminally ill adults and their families who are receiving care in an acute care setting. The concept of peaceful end of life came from a Norwegian context and may not be appropriate for all cultures; however it has been noted for practice by nurses in other cultures. Its concepts and relationships resonate with many nurses, and it comprehensively addresses the multidimensional aspects of end-of-life care. For example, the outcome indicators associated with the five concepts address the technical aspect of care (providing both pharmacological and nonpharmacological interventions for the relief of symptoms), communication (decision making), the psychological aspect (emotional support), and
dignity and respect (treating the patient with dignity, empathy, and respect) (Figure 36–1).

**Accessibility**

The deductive and inductive logic used to develop this theory provides a solid basis for developing testable hypotheses among the five concepts of the theory. Theoretical congruency is demonstrated through the outcome indicators, all of which are conceptualized from the perspective of the patients and their families.

**Importance**

As a successful synthesis of clinical practice and scholarly theory development, the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory illustrates a way to bridge the theory-practice-research continuum. Besides addressing an identified need for a comprehensive middle-range theory to guide care of patients in the end-of-life experience, Ruland and Moore’s (2001) work clearly illustrates the richness of practice and standards as a source for the development of theory.

All of the outcome indicators are measurable, using qualitative, quantitative or both methodology (see Figure 36–1). Unlike some middle-range theories that have a specific instrument to measure a particular concept, no instrument has been developed for Peaceful End-of-Life Theory. For future studies among the five concepts, instruments need to be identified to measure hypothesized relationships. Mixed methods (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 2003) was described as an appropriate approach for investigating the concepts. For example, a phenomenological

---

approach could be used to investigate patient and family perceptions of their opportunities for and satisfaction with family closeness, decision making, or both. Also with attention to linkages, a number of existing instruments could be considered to measure outcome indicators associated with the five concepts (see Figure 36–1) such as perception of symptoms with the Memorial Symptom Assessment Scale (Portenoy, Thaler, Kornblith, et al., 1994) or the General Comfort Questionnaire (Kolcaba, 2003).

**CASE STUDY**

Becky is a 66-year-old woman who was diagnosed with stage IV congestive heart failure (CHF). She is recently widowed (approximately 6 months ago) and the mother of four devoted young adult children and the grandmother of two. Her youngest daughter (Sue) lives with her mother and is a student at a local University. Sue has taken leave from the University to care for her mother. Becky has completed her advance directives, and is adamant that she not receive extraordinary measures to sustain her life. This has been a difficult issue for her children, as they cannot fathom the loss of another parent. Sue is the durable power of attorney (DPOA) and states she will call 911 in the event her mother stops breathing, even though her mother has a Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) order.

The physician has ordered home hospice care. The daughter greets the social worker and nurse at the door and insists the word *hospice* is not mentioned to her mother, as it would “kill” her. During the hospice admission, it became clear that Becky understands she is dying and sees how much her children are grieving over the thought of losing another parent. After several weeks on the hospice program, Becky continues to report discomfort, high pain levels, shortness of breath, and difficulty in communicating with her children about her wishes. She is not ready to say good-bye to her children or grandchildren and is afraid to die.

Despite prescribed medication and team-focused care (social worker, nurse, nursing assistant, and clergy), Becky continues to rate her pain level at severe (8 to 10) and talks about her suffering, fear of death, and concern over what will happen to her family when she is gone. During a team meeting, it was decided to ask Becky to describe three different kinds of pain (physical, emotional, and spiritual). Becky had a physical pain rating of 3 to 4, and both emotional and spiritual pains were rated as severe (8 to 10). The adult children continue to ask about treatments that are more aggressive; however, they also state that they do not like to see her suffer.

**CRITICAL THINKING ACTIVITIES**

The end of life is filled with complex physiological, psychological, spiritual, and family relationship problems that affect the patient’s comfort and ability to achieve peaceful end of life. In addition, unresolved issues in family relationships can lead to complicated grieving for family members before and after the death. Suffering outside of physical discomfort is not readily understood, but the relief of suffering is a fundamental goal of end-of-life care and is necessary to achieve comfort and a peaceful end of life.

1. Explore the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory in relation to your practice. How does it assist you in identifying and addressing issues related to suffering (e.g., emotional, spiritual, and psychological) in a case from your clinical practice? In the case of Becky?
2. Use the concepts of “closeness to significant others” and “experience of dignity and respect” from the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory to assist you in developing a nursing practice strategy to address the relationship issues for Becky and her family.
3. With the professional ethical standards for nursing practice (such as ANA), evaluate the correspondence with the “experience of dignity and respect” in this theory. Discuss the similarity, difference, relevance, significance, scope, usefulness, and adequacy.
4. Describe how the concepts of the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory apply to patients with diagnoses other than congestive heart failure, such as Alzheimer's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Does the theory help you identify issues and develop, implement, and evaluate appropriate nursing interventions? What limitations of the theory did you find in these considerations?

5. Identify signs of anticipatory grieving that exist for Becky and her family, and then describe use of the Peaceful End-of-Life Theory to address these issues and how to achieve a peaceful end of life.

POINTS FOR FURTHER STUDY
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The Future of Nursing Theory

- Nursing theoretical systems actively give direction and create understanding in practice, research, administration, and education.

- Theoretical works of a discipline address pertinent questions, offer frameworks to answer the questions, and develop knowledgeable evidence for practice.

- Nursing models and theories exhibit normal science, that is, global communities of scholars whose research and practice contribute scientific achievements.

- Expansion of the philosophy of nursing science, qualitative approaches, and quantitative methods has greatly increased the development and use of middle range theories in nursing research and practice.

- Internet communication continues to greatly expand global sharing among professional communities of nurse scholars.
“Nursing theoretical knowledge has demonstrated powerful contributions to education, research, administration and professional practice for guiding nursing thought and action. That knowledge has shifted the primary focus of the nurse from nursing functions to the person. Theoretical views of the person raise new questions, create new approaches and instruments for nursing research, and expand nursing scholarship throughout the world.”


It becomes obvious from studying texts such as this one that understanding and use of nursing theoretical works is active and growing globally, pointing the way to new knowledge through research, education, administration, and practice applications. Reviews of the seventh edition of this text by consumers identified by the publisher as well as published reviews in scholarly nursing journals recognize its contribution to professional nursing. Suggestions that are given receive careful consideration for each new edition (Dickson & Wright, 2012; Smith, 2012; Paley, 2006). Smith (2012) points out, “The text is significant in that it provides nursing students with an accurate and scholarly reference to identify significant philosophies, models and theories that are pertinent to their own nursing practice” (p. 201). Similarly, Dickson and Wright (2012) conclude, the text “simply and elegantly describes the great progress that nursing as a discipline and profession has accomplished guided by the vision of leading nursing theorists. The scope and depth … may address the concerns and critics who argue nursing theory is outdated or irrelevant to current practice and research” (p. 204). In this eighth edition, effort was given to updating the chapters while maintaining clarity and integrity of each work and keeping the size of the text workable. Unit I content was updated and restructured, and a new Chapter 4 was added on knowledge structure and the role of analysis in theory development.

Units II to VI were updated, and the uniform outline of each chapter was maintained. The philosophies, nursing models, and theories in Units II to IV address each metaparadigm concept (person, environment, health, and nursing). Since middle-range nursing theories (Unit V) are limited in scope and specific to practice, care was given to clarifying this and specifying the metaparadigm concepts addressed. Rodgers (2005) notes that “middle-range theories currently have the most emphasis in nursing” (p. 191). Similarly, Im & Chang (2012) conclude that “ . . . middle range [theory] will play an essential role in nursing research . . . ” (p. 162).

As in previous editions, the chapters in this eighth edition are written by those who use the various theoretical works in their professional practice and research. Nurses around the world are increasingly recognizing the vital nature of theoretical works and applying them to their practice, research, education, and administration (Alligood & Marriner Tomey, 1997, 2002, 2006; Alligood & Tomey, 2010; Butts & Rich, 2011; George,
As indicated in Chapter 1, this eighth edition continues to clarify the relevance of nursing theoretical works, facilitate their recognition as systematic demonstrations of nursing substance, and inspire their use as frameworks for nursing scholarship in practice, research, education, and administration. Simply put, the framing of an issue guides to the desired outcome. There are many different ways to survey the art and science of nursing theory. This chapter explores the growth of nursing theory from three perspectives.

First, as noted in Chapter 2, the philosophy of science continues to open new ways of developing and using theoretical works (Butts & Rich, 2011; Carper, 1978; Chinn & Kramer, 2011; Fawcett & Garity, 2009; Kuhn, 1962, 1970). The significance of normal science (Chapter 3) to the discipline is considered (Kuhn, 1962, 1970). Second, nursing theory is viewed in the context of new growth that encourages framing knowledge in present day understanding. The phenomenal expansion of middle-range theory development and use in all areas of nursing is discussed (Butts & Rich, 2011). Third and finally, the global development and use of nursing theoretical works by nurse scholars around the world highlights growth and reminds the reader of the vital nature of theory for the profession, discipline, and science (Johnson & Webber, 2004).

**Nature of Normal Science**


Nurses generate theory-based scholarship for research and practice. Work by the communities of scholars in the nursing models has led to the development of research instruments or clinical measurement tools unique to that paradigm (Fawcett, 2005, 2009).

Kuhn (1970) stated, “Paradigms gain their status by being more successful than their competitors in solving a few problems that the group of practitioners have come to recognize as acute” (p. 23). Kuhn (1970) defines normal science as “research firmly based upon one or more past scientific achievements, achievements that some particular scientific community acknowledges for a time as supplying the foundation for its further practice” (p. 10). The characteristics of paradigms that evidence their nature and lead to normal science include the following:

- A community of scholars who base their research and practice on the paradigm
- The formation of specialized journals
- The foundation of specialists’ societies
- The claim for a special place in curricula (Kuhn, 1970)

Rodgers (2005) describes normal science as . . . “the highly cumulative process of puzzle solving in which the paradigm guides scientific activity and the paradigm is, in turn, articulated and expanded” (p. 100). Rodgers (2005) cites Kuhn’s premise that research in normal science “is directed to the articulation of those phenomena and theories that the paradigm supplies” (p. 100).

The conceptual models of nursing in this text exhibit these characteristics. Each model is unique with ranges of development in these characteristics. Rogers’ Science of Unitary Human Beings (Chapter 13) is an excellent example having generated hundreds of research studies, 13 research instruments, and 12 nursing process clinical tools for practice (Fawcett, 2005; Fawcett & Alligood, 2001). The Society of Rogerian Scholars, founded in 1988, publishes a refereed journal, *Visions: The Journal of Rogerian Nursing Science*, with issues available on the Society of Rogerian Scholars website to foster development of the science among the community of scholars. Rogerian science is the basis of award winning texts.
and curricula for undergraduate and graduate nursing programs (Fawcett, 2005). In 2008, the Society of Rogerian Scholars celebrated 25 years of Rogerian conferences, the 20th anniversary of the society and 15 years of Visions: The Journal of Rogerian Nursing Science. Similarly, the International Orem Society for Orem’s Self-Care Deficit Theory (Chapter 14). King International Nursing Group for King’s Conceptual System (Chapter 15), the Neuman Trustee Group for Neuman’s Systems Model (Chapter 16), and the Boston-based Adaptation Research in Nursing Society for Roy’s Adaptation Model (Chapter 17) are well developed and productive communities of scholars.

Nursing theories that have developed normal science include: Boykin & Schoenhofer’s Theory of Nursing as Caring (Chapter 19), Meleis’s Transitions Theory (Chapter 20), Pender’s Health Promotion Model (Chapter 21), Leininger’s Theory of Culture Care (Chapter 22), Margaret Newman’s Theory of Health as Expanding Consciousness (Chapter 23), Parse’s Theory of Human Becoming (Chapter 24), and Erickson, Tomlin, and Swain’s Theory of Modeling and Role-Modeling (Chapter 25). Many of these have founded consortia or societies for development of research, presentations, publications, and practice applications.

**Expansion of Theory Development**

Theoretical works provide ways to think about nursing. Johnson and Webber (2001, 2004) addressed the future of nursing in questions about the importance of theory development for recognition of nursing as a profession, as a discipline, and as a science. They identify three significant areas affected by nursing knowledge and dependent on its continued development. Theory affects recognition of nursing as 1) a profession, 2) a discipline, and 3) a science. Substantive knowledge is the heart of nursing for recognition but most importantly for quality care of patients whom we serve. Moving nurses beyond functional practice to a style of practice with a professional delivery model requires transposing from emphasis on what the nurse does to emphasis on the patient. This requires practice based on a systematic presentation and focus on persons. As knowledge is transferred to those coming into the profession, a style of practice is also related. As nurses shift to a professional style of nursing, most agree that, “nursing knowledge arises from inquiry and guides practice” (Parse, 2008, p. 101). The growth of middle-range theory accentuates the practice-theory connection opening new insights and vistas for theory development. The literature demonstrates numerous ways for scholars to classify nursing theoretical works. Classifications vary based on the framework used for the classification. Of importance is that nurses: know the individual works, recognize them as evidence on which to base practice, teach them to students, and select one for a professional style of practice and improved quality of care.

Nurses eagerly embraced qualitative research approaches to explore questions that quantitative research methods could not answer, and this expanded theory development led to new qualitative middle-range theories (Alligood & May, 2000; Peterson & Bredow, 2009; Sieloff & Frey, 2007; Smith & Liehr, 2008; Thorne, Kirkham, & O’Flynn-Magee, 2004). New theories expand the volume of middle-range or practice theory applications. Examples include new theories in Orem (Biggs, 2008; Reigel, Jaarsma, & Stromberg, 2012), in Neuman (Bigbee & Issel, 2012; Casalenuovo, 2002; Gigliotti, 2003; Shamsudin, 2002), in Roy (DeSanto-Madeya, 2007; Dobratz, 2011; Dunn, 2005; Hamilton & Bowers, 2007; Roy, 2011), in Rogers (Kim, Kim, Park, et al., 2008; Malinski, 2012; Willis & Grace, 2011), in Newman (Brown, 2011; MacNeil, 2012; Pharris & Endo, 2007), in King (Alligood, 2010e; Sieloff & Frey, 2007), and in Parse (Smith, 2012; Wang, 2008). This exciting development closes the gap between research and practice (Alligood, 2010c) coming from quantitative and qualitative methods.

Considering nursing knowledge in a generic structure as presented in Figure 37–1 is a view of knowledge based on the nature of the content within nursing science rather than focusing on the research method. Middle-range theories vary in range and level of abstraction as the name of the classification indicates. Actually, this is true for theoretical works in other classifications (philosophies, models, and theories) as they also have similarities and differences in their levels of abstraction (Fawcett, 2005). Middle-range theories are recognizable as they include details that are specific to practice, such as the situation or health condition involved, client population or age group, location or area of nursing practice, and action of the nurse or the nursing intervention (Alligood, 2010a, p. 482).
Application of middle-range theories in nursing practice is improving nursing practice quality, whether developed quantitatively or qualitatively. Both approaches are at the level of practice and develop useful nursing knowledge. Consideration of middle-range theory in a generic structure of knowledge reveals that theory from the hypothetical-deductive method and theory from qualitative approaches arrives at a similar level of abstraction. In spite of different philosophical bases, methods, and approaches, the knowledge is at a similar level of abstraction (Figure 37–1).

Global Communities of Nursing Scholars

In addition to the growth stimulated by a broader philosophy of nursing science and emergence of middle-range theories, a major contribution to the state of the art and science of nursing theory is globalization of communities of nurse scholars with vast communication via the Internet. Most nursing conceptual model and theory societies or consortia have international members. The International Orem Society biennial conferences alternate between the United States and other countries. The 2010, the conference was held in Thailand. Parse’s Institute of Humanbecoming and Watson’s Consortium on Caring Science draw international applicants each year. The 12th International Biennial Neuman Systems Model Symposium was titled Enhancing Global Health with Nursing Theories—NSM. This may be attributed to global communication, increased world travel, and translation of nursing theory textbooks into other languages. Nurses around the world are embracing nursing theory as they experience its utility in their practice. Numerous nursing journals publish articles by international scholars such as: Journal of Nursing Scholarship, Nursing Science Quarterly, Journal of Advanced Nursing, Visions: The Journal of Rogerian Nursing Science, and International Journal for Human Caring, to name a few.

Various editions of Nursing Theorists and Their Work (Marriner Tomey, 1989, 1994; Marriner Tomey & Alligood, 1998; 2002; Alligood & Marriner Tomey, 2010) and Nursing Theory: Utilization and Application (Alligood & Marriner Tomey, 1997, 2002, 2006; Alligood, 2010a) have been published in classical Chinese, which is Taiwanese, Finnish, German, Italian, Japanese, Korean, Spanish, and Portuguese as well as international circulation to English-speaking countries. Publications demonstrate global interest in nursing conceptual models and nursing theories. In addition, the theoretical works of international theorists are included in this text: Evelyn Adam, Canada (Chapter 5). Roper, Logan, and Tierney, Scotland (Chapter 5), Katie Eriksson, Finland (Chapter 11), Phil Barker, Ireland (Chapter 32), Kari Martinsen, Norway (Chapter 10), and Nightingale, England (Chapter 6). A PubMed search of nursing theory publications in each language possible in PubMed was conducted on October 23, 2008, and again on August 24, 2012, which is evidence of growth. (Table 37–1)

Current trends indicate that global consciousness has arrived evidenced by nursing theory articles from around the world in nursing journals in the United States and other countries (Hisama, 1999; Im & Chang, 2012; Tanaka, Katsuno, and Towako, et al., 2012). Sigma Theta Tau International with worldwide membership and international conferences has contributed along with the Internet. The Journal of Nursing Scholarship features articles from global members (Palese, Tomietto,
## TABLE 37-1 Global Nursing Theory Publications*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>10,144</td>
<td>12,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danish</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwegian</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afrikaans</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czeck</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other possible languages examined</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of nursing theory publications retrieved when limited by each language possible in a PubMed search (August 23, 2012).

Several nursing theory websites provide information such as the Nursing Theory Link Page maintained by Clayton College and State University Department of Nursing and the Nursing Theory Page maintained by the University of San Diego School of Nursing. These websites link to home pages or websites for most theorists and their work.

In conclusion, the state of the art and science of nursing theory is exciting as we continue to see phenomenal growth. First, nursing theoretical works are used globally by nurse scholars who collaborate to develop nursing science (Kuhn, 1970). Second, theory development with qualitative research addresses unanswered nursing questions. New understanding from middle-range theories improves nursing practice (see Figure 37–1). Third, and finally, global nurse scholars are applying nursing theoretical works and contributing new nursing knowledge. Nurses of the world share ideas and knowledge with the Internet.

This is a crucial time in the history of nursing. I am not speaking about the shortage of nurses, although this is extremely important. Rather, there are important changes in process for nursing. We are moving forward with continued challenges for Quality and Safety Education for Nurses (QSEN), as noted in Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (2012) reports. Similarly, Benner and colleagues (2010) have called for radical transformation of nursing education, and nurses are responding to the Institute of Medicine (IOM) report, The Future of Nursing: Leading Change (Ellerbe & Regen, 2012). In all of these efforts, it is vital that nursing knowledge be valued and nursing theory be taught, learned, used, and applied in practice for development of the profession and that nursing research continue to develop new nursing knowledge for the discipline. One thing remains true for the nursing profession: “Theory without practice is empty and practice without theory is blind” (Cross, 1981, p. 110).
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